ORDER SHEET.

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2784 of 2022.

NAEEM UR REHMAN MALIK.

Vs.

MST. SAADIA NASEEM, ETC.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	proceedings	
<u> </u>		

26.07.2022

Rana Umar Iqbal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

The learned counsel for the Petitioner, by way of the instant Petition, assailed the Order dated 24.05.2022 ("Impugned Order") through which the interim maintenance of the Minor/Respondent No.2 has been fixed at the rate of Rs.45,000/- per month.

- Brief facts of the matter are that the Respondents No.1 and 2 filed a suit for the Dissolution of Marriage on the basis of Khula, Past, Present Recovery of and Maintenance at the rate of Rs. 75,000/- per month for Respondent No.1 and at the rate of Rs. 100,000/- per month for Minor/Respondent No.2 and Recovery of Cash amounting to Rs. 2,390,000/- before the learned Judge Family Court, Islamabad (West) ("Family Court") on 09.02.2022. The suit was contested by the Petitioner/Defendant through filing of his written statement. However, the learned Family Court, after failure of pre-trail reconciliation, passed the Impugned Order and fixed the maintenance for the Minor/Respondent No.2 at the rate of Rs. 45,000/- per month.
- 3. Learned counsel contended that the Impugned Order is not tenable as the interim

maintenance fixed by the learned Family Court is beyond the financial status of the Petitioner and learned Family Court has not considered and appreciated this fact and prayed for setting aside of the Impugned Order.

- 4. Arguments heard. Record perused.
- 5. The Impugned Order has been passed in pursuance of Section 17-A of the West Pakistan Family Courts Act, 1964, where under the Family Court has the jurisdiction to pass interim order for maintenance at any stage of the proceedings in a suit for maintenance. The purpose behind the provision of interim maintenance is to ensure that during the pendency of the legal proceedings, the minors are not faced with financial challenges.
- 6. Section 14 (3) of the West Pakistan Family Courts Act, 1964, bars an appeal or revision against an interim order passed by a Family Court with the obvious purpose to avoid delays.
- 7. The Impugned Order is merely interlocutory order. The maintenance fixed through such an order is only temporary. The quantum of maintenance may be modified after appraising the evidence produced at trial. An aggrieved party will have a right to agitate his grievance before the appellate Court when the interim order merges into a final order. Even otherwise, quantum of interim maintenance, being a factual dispute cannot be made a ground for invoking the Constitutional jurisdiction of this Court.
- 8. I am guided by the consistent view taken by different Honorable Benches of the Islamabad

High Court, Islamabad in various including the reported cases of Dr. Aqueel Waris Vs. Ibrahim Aqueel Waris, 2020 CLC 131; Minhaaj Sagib Vs. Najam Us Sagib, 2018 CLC Mashkoor Ahmed Khokhar versus The Family Judge (East), Islamabad, 2019 CLC Muhammad 1635; Touseeq Danial Bhatti versus Ayesha Naeem, 2021 MLD 337; and Aamir Munir Puri versus Mst. Saima Naeem, 2021 YLR 2166, wherein it has been held that interlocutory orders by the Family Court cannot be assailed in Constitutional jurisdiction even though they may be harsh in some instances. Case law relied upon by the learned counsel for the Petitioner does not advance his case. The instant petition is, therefore, not maintainable.

9. Thus, in the light of above said discussion and keeping in view the above said observations by this Court in the aforementioned cases the Impugned Order dated 24.05.2022 passed by the learned Family Court, does not call for interference in writ jurisdiction. Consequently, this Writ Petition is hereby **dismissed in** *limine*.

(SAMAN RAFAT IMTIAZ) JUDGE