Form No: HCJD/C-121.

ORDER SHEET

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

W.P. No. 1944 of 2019

Mst. Ghulam Ayesha alias Pariya Kumari Bai and another Vs Ministry of Interior, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	proceedings	

01) <u>17-05-2019</u>. M/s Rao Abdur Raheem and Muhammad Umair Baloch Advocates, for the petitioner.

Through this consolidated order, I will decide the instant petition alongwith W.P. No. 1945/2019, titled "Mst. Dua Fatima alias Simaran and another v. Ministry of Interior, etc."

2. The facts, in brief, are that the petitioners reside in the Province of Sindh. They assert that after converting to Islam they had entered into respective marriage contracts. They apprehend that they would be harmed by private individuals, who have been arrayed as respondents in these petitions. The petitioners have sought direction to the respondents for giving them protection. Perusal of the memorandum of the petitions unambiguously shows that the cause of action has arisen

and the private respondents reside in the Province of Sindh.

- 3. The learned Counsels for the petitioners were asked as to why the latter did not approach a competent Court in the Province of Sindh. They have been heard at length but despite their able assistance they could not satisfy this Court regarding the bonafides of the petitioners in invoking the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 4. The learned Counsels have been heard and record perused with their able assistance.
- 5. The cause of action has arisen outside the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. The petitioners instead of invoking the jurisdiction of a competent Court in the Province of Sindh or approaching the administrative hierarchy, preferred to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as the "Constitution"). It is noted that every citizen is entitled to protection by the State and Article 9 of the Constitution guarantees the fundamental right of security. In case the petitioners apprehend harm being caused by some private individuals then they should have approached a

W.P. No. 1944 of 2019.

3

competent Court or the administrative authorities in the Province of Sindh for redressal of their grievances. It is settled law that a constitutional petition is not

maintainable merely on the basis of apprehensions.

- Criminal Procedure, 1898 (hereinafter referred to as the "Cr.P.C.") provides for an efficacious remedy against a person who is likely to commit a breach of peace or to do any wrongful act. Moreover, other provisions of law also provide adequate remedies for ensuring that a person who is likely to commit a wrongful act is restrained from doing so. The petitioners instead of availing the adequate remedies provided under the law have invoked the jurisdiction under Article 199 of the Constitution and that too of a Court which is not vested with territorial jurisdiction to the extent of issuing a writ relating to the acts of the private respondents arrayed in these petitions.
- 7. For the above reasons, these petitions are not justiciable before this Court and, therefore,\accordingly dismissed.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Tanveer Ahmed