# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1484-2022

Tasleem Akhtar Versus Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of Date of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
02.	08.6.2022.	Rana Faisal Qayyum, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Dastar Ali, FCPO. H/S/LT Nazir Ahmed PN.	
		Learned AAG alongwith official of respondents	
		in attendance contends that he needs some time for	
		filing of comments.	

2. Adjourned to 19.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2142/2022

Arsla Khan, etc

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	00.6.2022	Dana Zahaar ud Din Khan Advasata for the Detitioners

**(1)** 08.6.2022. Rana Z

Rana Zaheer ud Din Khan, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioners have prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for release of their CNIC.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioners *interalia* contends that Petitioners are Pakistan national by birth, however, petitioners changed their nationality and got Afghan Citizenship thereafter petitioners surrender their Afghan nationality. It has further been contended that other family members of the petitioners have already been cleared by DLC and their CNIC have been issued but Petitioners CNIS have not yet been issued despite the fact that there is no impediment for release of CNIC of the Petitioners.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioners.
- 4. Adjourned to 13.7.2022.

#### C.M.No.01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1802/2022

Raja Muhammad Nadeem Kiani

#### **Versus**

Cooperative Housing Society , Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
03.	08.6.2022.	Mr.Adil Aziz Qazi and Mr.Haseeb Hassan, Advocates for Petitioner.	
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 4.	
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.	
		Raja Fakhar Ajaz, Advocate for respondents No.10 & 13.	
		Ch.Tanveer Akhtar, Advocate for respondent No.15. Ch.Saeed Anwar, Advocate for respondent No.11.	

Learned State counsel representing respondents No.1 to 4 contends that new election schedule will be announced within next three days, she is directed to submit the same before the Court.

- 2. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.5 to 9 and 14 through special messenger as well as through telephonic mode at the cost of Petitioner who is directed to provide telephone numbers of the said respondents in the office for the purpose of service.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.450/2020

Ebrahim Koko Klaing

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
08.	08.6.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Org.No.80/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Criminal Original No.80/2022

**Ebrahim Koko Klaing** 

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>			
3.	08.6.2022.	Ch.Zafar Ali Warriach & Mr.Shahid Naseer, Advocates		
		for applicant.		
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.		
		Mst.Mumtaz Zahra, Additional Secretary (AP), M/o		
		Foreign Affairs.		
		Mr.Rohail Bhatti, S.O (Lit-I), M/o Interior.		
		Mr.Asad Khan Burki, Legal Advisor, M/o Foreign Affairs.		
		Mr.Qaiser Masood, Addl.Director Law, FIA.		
		Mr.Ijaz Ahmed Khan, Deputy Director FIA.		
		Mr.Sajid Awan, Assistant Director, FIA.		

The Additional Secretary, Ministry of Foreign Affairs alongwith Legal Advisor of Ministry of Foreign Affairs has submitted a detailed report that an official of Myanmar Embassy in Islamabad has visited the detenu Ebrahim Koko Klaing in district Jail Adyala, Rawalpindi on 01.6.2022 for verification and confirmation of his citizenship and certain documents/forms were filled. The report further reflects that as and when Myanmar Embassy confirms the citizenship of applicant he will be dealt accordingly.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant has produced original family members list, citizenship scrutiny card, residential address confirmation, basic education details and police clearance certificate of the applicant issued by the Myanmar Government copies whereof have been placed on record and one set of

copies of these documents has been handed over to the Additional Secretary, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Islamabad for her input and transmission to the Myanmar Embassy in Islamabad for verification. The final outcome of the Embassy of Myanmar qua citizenship of the applicant shall be submitted before the Court on the next date of hearing.

- 3. The learned AAG in attendance is directed to assist this Court as to whether confinement of the applicant in present circumstances is justiciable or otherwise.
- 4. Adjourned to 15.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.993/2021 Sharafat Ali etc Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
(7)	8.6.2022.	Mr.Rashid Hafeez, Advocate for Petitioners.		
		Mr.Imtiaz Anwar Cheema, Advocate for respondent		
		No.4.		
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.		
		Mr.Muhammad Arfan, S.O-Litigation, Establishment		
		Division.		

Learned counsel for the parties contend that matter is still pending with the Apex Court and till the final decision of the same matter may be adjourned as the judgment of the Apex Court will lead the future course in this case.

2. Adjourned to 14.11.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3175/2021

Saif ur Rehman, etc

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Froceedings	
05.	08 6 2022	To be heard alongwith W.P.No. 993/2021

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.3163/2021

Maqbool Ahmed, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	08.6.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.993/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1379/2021**

Syed Ali Kausar Zaidi

#### **Versus**

Secretary/Chairman, Pakistan Railways, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>						
04.	08.6.2022.	Mr.Nasir Petitioner.	•	Advocate,	Proxy	Counsel	for
		Mr.Bilal Kl	han, State	Counsel for	Pakistan	Railways.	

Learned State Counsel requests for adjournment in order to file parawise comments. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file parawise comments failing which Secretary, Pakistan Railways, shall appoint an officer not below the rank of Additional Secretary who shall appear before this Court on the next date of hearing to explain his position in this case.

2. Adjourned to 26.9.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.806/2020

Muhammad Sakhi, etc **Versus** Talib Hussain, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	08.6.2022.	Barrister Hamza Ahmed Khan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
		Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi & Mr.Shahid Munir, Advocates for respondents No.1 to 3.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 1**8.7.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.595/2020

Dr.Salman Akbar Malik

Versus

FGEHA, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	8.6.2022.	Miss.Zainab Janjua, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch Advocates for CDA. Mr.Haseeb Hassan, Advocate for MCI. Mst.Sana Khan, D.G Water & Sanitation, CDA. Mr.Muhammad Asif Khan & Mr.Arif Khan, Advocates for FGEHA. Mr.Basit Khan, Director Law, FGEHA. Mr.Hassan Shah, Director Maintenance, FGEHA. Mr.Khan Zeb, Deputy Director Law, FGEHA. Mr.Mohsin Pasha, A.D.Law, FGEHA. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. M.Iqbal, Director Revenue, MCI.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

- 2. Parties are directed to argue their case in light of order dated 23.5.2022 passed by this Court by submission of comparative analysis of the law and regulations in this case.
- 3. Learned counsel for the FGEHA contends that proposal has been submitted in the joint meeting with CDA held on 25.4.2022 and after deliberation between the officials of CDA and FGEHA all the issues were resolved on their joint understanding which is binding upon them. Learned counsel for CDA contends that the above mentioned understanding

between the parties is for settlement of longstanding water crisis in ICT especially in G-13 and G-14 FGEHA Sectors but no judicial verdict be given on this understanding unless legal jurisdiction of the FGEHA, CDA and Local Government is settled in this case.

4. Adjourned to 27.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2346/2021

Syed Israr Gillani, etc

**Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
02.	08.6.2022.	Syed Wajid Hussain Gillani, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch, Advocate for FGEHA.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG for respondent
		No.1 & 2.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Learned counsel for FGEHA needs some time for filing of parawise comments. On Court's call learned State Counsel has put appearance and undertakes to file parawise comments on behalf of respondents No.5 & 6. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 27.6.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3664/2021**

S.M.Javed Igbal

#### Versus

National Police Foundation Housing Society, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	07.6.2022	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Syed Khawar Ameer Bukhari, Advocate for respondents
		No.1 & 2.
		Mr.Tahir Hussain Anchan, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for National **Police** Foundation Housing Society, **Islamabad** in attendance contends that as per his instructions plot in question has been allotted to the petitioner and they have no objection on his possession or raising boundary wall, however, construction of any room for the purpose of security is not permissible as it falls within the non conforming use as per CDA bylaws. He further contends that construction would be in accordance with the approved plan of the CDA as National Police Foundation Housing Society is not authority to grant any permission for construction of property.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition stands *disposed of* with the observation that petitioner may raise boundary wall on the subject plot for the purpose of his exclusive possession.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3167/2021

Cantonment Board Rawalpindi through its CEO **Versus** 

Election Commission of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
07.	07.6.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Mr.Sajid Mehmood Shad, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for
		respondent No.1.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.1 which is allowed, however, respondent No.1 is directed to submit parawise comments by all means before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3166/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3166/2021

Malik Muhammad Munir

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	07.6.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Mr.Sajid Mehmood Shad, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for
		respondent No.4.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.4 which is allowed, however, respondent No.4 is directed to submit parawise comments by all means before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

**Writ Petition No.1156/2021** 

Alam Zeb Bangash

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings			signature where ne	e of Judge cessary.	and tha	at of part	ies
9.	07.6.2022.	Mirza	Nasir	Saleem,	Advocate,	Proxy	Counsel	for
		Petitio	ner.					
		Raja N	4uhamı	mad Aftab	Ahmed, AA	G.		
		Muhai	mmad 1	Irfan, S.O,	Establishme	ent Divis	sion.	
		Mr.Ma	jid Kha	n, A.D (Le	gal) M/o NH	ISR & C,	Islamaba	d.

In compliance of order of this Court, the official of M/o NHSR & C, Islamabad has submitted a concise report which has been placed on record and copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for petitioner who intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 11.10.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1059/2021**

Muhammad Zaheer Butt

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Islam, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
9.	07.6.2022.	Petitioner in person.  Malik Muhammad Zubair, Advocates for respondents No.1,3,4 & 5.  Malik Imran Feroze, Advocate for respondent No.2.  Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.  Respondents No.1 to 5 in person.  Mr.Arif, ASI.

After hearing the parties, it appears that respondent No.1 Muhammad Islam principal accused claims to be owner of two separate plots in Mouza Pind Pariyan, Islamabad including plot in question which was purchased by him through a sale deed executed by last vendor Mst.Shaheen Kousar but sale deed has not been issued by Joint Sub Registrar, Islamabad though scanned copy of front page is available to him which has been placed on record. No.1/Muhammad Islam also claims to be owner of plot situated in Khusra No.62 and contends that he has transferred the disputed plot (which is under construction) to one Shakir who is presently raising construction on the said plot.

2. In view of above stance taken by respondent No.1 Muhammad Islam before this Court, the I.O present before the Court is directed to record the statement of respondent No.1 Muhammad Islam, verify

the credentials as well as conduct physical verification and submit report of both the plots claimed by respondent No.1 Muhammad Islam who is directed to identify both these plots to the I.O who shall submit report accordingly.

3. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3398/2020

Syed Zubair Ali

#### **Versus**

Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(7)	07.6.2022.	Mr.Abid Farooq Awan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Usman Rasool Ghumman, Advocate for CDA.
		Mr.Umer Sajjad Chaven, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 3.

After hearing the parties, it appears that plot No.2-A, Street No.113, Sector G-14/3, Islamabad, has been alienated on 22.6.2021 during the pendency of this case despite the injunctive order by FGEHA in the name of Babar Israr Bhatti who is necessary party to be impleaded in this case as any judgment passed by this Court might affect his rights, therefore, petitioner is directed to implead Babar Israr Bhatti as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.

- 2. Office is directed to issue notice to the newly impleaded respondent No.5 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner.
- 3. The Director Housing Societies as well as Director Law, CDA, are directed to appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing and explain their position as to whether after enactment of FGEHA Act, 2020, CDA Ordinance, 1960 shall stand repeal and not applicable to the Sectors designated to the FGEHA.
- 4. Adjourned to 27.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.446/2022

Muhammad Amir Khan *Versus* NADRA, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

03. 06.6.2022.

Petitioner in person.

Syed Junaid Jaffar, Law Officer, NADRA.

Learned counsel for respondents in attendance contends that he needs some time for filing of parawise comments.

2. Adjourned to 20.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.05/2021

Mst.Nusrat Malik

#### Versus

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(11)	06.6.2022.	Mr.Waheed Mumtaz, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Ahmed Ejaz Yousaf, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the Petitioner to argue her case failing which restraining order already granted vide order dated 04.1.2021 shall stand vacated automatically.

2. Adjourned to 10.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2698/2020

Farzana Akram, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	06.6.2022.	Mr.Khalid Mehmood, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.S.M.Rehan Naqvi, D.D Legal, FDE.
		Mr. Muhammad Arfan, S.O, Lit-V, Establishment Division.

Learned AAG alongwith official of Federal Directorate of Education, Islamabad has submitted a concise report whereby 4-Tier Structure has been revised and matter has been transmitted to M/o FE & PT for onward submission to the Finance Division.

- 2. Learned principal counsel for petitioners is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2337/2020

Saeed ur Rehman

#### Versus

Full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	06.6.2022.	Miss.Kashifa Niaz Awan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Zahid Hussain, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for respondents No.2 to 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has addressed her arguments. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Absolute last opportunity is granted to respondents No.2 to 4 to argue their case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record.

2. Adjourned to 07.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4057/2018

Abdul Raheem Bhatti

#### **Versus**

Federal Employees Cooperative Housing Society, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
13.	03.6.2022.	Mr.Abdul Wahid Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner. Syed Muhammad Ali Bukhari, Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawad & Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocates for CDA.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel. Mr.Ashfaq Warriach, SI PS Aabpara. Mr.Naveed Ilahi, Member Planning CDA. Mr.Muhammad Arshad Chouhan, Director Housing Societies. Mr.Usman Rashid Khan, Director BCS.

The Member Planning alongwith Director (Housing Societies), CDA, in attendance has submitted a concise report which has been placed on record. Parawise comments on behalf of CDA has also been filed. The Director (Housing Societies), CDA, has highlighted the action taken by CDA against the officials of the Society as well as non confirming use of CDA bylaws. He further contends that matter has been referred to the concerned Special Magistrate, CDA, to proceed in accordance with law and registration of FIR.

2. Be that as it may, the Director (Housing Societies), CDA, shall submit a complete report alongwith proposed action taken against the delinquents on unauthorized construction of different individuals within the area of Society, on the next date of hearing.

- 3. Perusal of record reveals that entire issue revolves around the illegal action of the Executive Committee of the Society, however, no action has been taken against them. The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Islamabad shall appear in person on the next date of hearing and shall apprise this Court regarding action taken against the Executive Committee of the Society.
- 4. Adjourned to 21.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.29-2022

Muhammad Bashir **Versus** 

Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	03.6.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant. Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for respondents. Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.
		Learned counsel for respondents seeks some
		more time for compliance of the judgment dated
		23.12.2020 passed by this Court in Writ Petition
		No.1916/2018.

2. Adjourned to 12.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2006/2022

Mst.Farhana

#### **Versus**

Inspector General of Police, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	03.6.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Mr.Akhtar, S.I PS Golra.

Learned State Counsel alongwith I.O in attendance has placed on record copy of case FIR No.748/2022 u/s 365 PPC PS Golra Sharif, Islamabad. The I.O further contends that matter is under investigation and he needs some time to conclude the investigation.

2. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.5641/2022

Shafi Ullah **Versus** The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)	03 6 2022	Nemo for applicant

(01) 03.6.2022. Nemo for applicant.

This is an application under objection whereby office has raised objections which are reflected at Sr.No.7, 14 & 26 of the objection sheet.

2. Perusal of record reveals that main case has already been decided vide order dated 13.4.2022, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objections are sustained and file be consigned to record.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.1896/2022**

Saira Anwar *Versus* 

Estate Office through Estate Officer, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	03.6.2022	Ihtiram ul Haq Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, Petitioner is directed to deposit process fee within seven days for summoning of respondent as was directed vide order dated 27.5.2022.

2. Adjourned to 24.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Original No.77/2022**

Arsalan Abbasi *Versus* Yousaf Naeem Khokhar, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

02. 03.6.2022. Mr.Asif Saeed Mughal, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.1995/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. Since connected Criminal Originals have already been fixed for **22.6.2022**, therefore, instant matter may also be fixed for the said date after issuance of notices to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2063/2022

Mst.Farah Batool, etc

#### Versus

Muhammad Yousaf Chaudhry, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	03.6.2022.	Maulvi Anwar-ul-Haq, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioners have assailed the order dated 09.5.2022 passed by learned Civil Judge Ist Class-East, Islamabad whereby application filed by the petitioners u/s 12 (2) CPC against the judgment and decree dated 07.3.2015 has been dismissed primarily on the ground that no fraud or misrepresentation has been established while passing the impugned judgment and decree.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner interalia contends that impugned order passed by learned trial Court is against law and facts. It has further been contended that question of fraud and misrepresentation have to be demonstrated through recording of evidence but learned trial Court without framing the issues decided the matter summarily.
- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice 3. be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS as well as through the Executing Court at the expense of the Petitioners.

## 4. Adjourned to 20.7.2022.

### C.M.No.01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

## C.M.No.02/2022.

Notice. In the meanwhile impugned order dated 09.5.2022 is suspended till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2056/2022

Nisar Hussain Jafri

Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	03.6.2022.	Syed Asif Shah, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner who is Chairman of Pakistan Goods Transport Alliance has prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for release of different loaded and unloaded vehicles of different transporters which have been detained by Administration of ICT, Islamabad on the direction of Ministry of Interior, Islamabad in order to block roads all over Pakistan to stop rallies of different political parties in the country.

2. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to respondents No.1, 3, 4, 6 & 8 through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner. The Secretary, Ministry of Interior, Islamabad shall also depute a responsible officer fully conversant with the facts of the case not below the rank of Deputy Secretary who will appear before this Court on the next date of hearing in order to assist this Court.

- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Islamabad shall also appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Petitioner is directed to provide details of all the vehicles which have been detained by Administration of ICT, Islamabad till the next date of hearing.
- 5. Adjourned to **07.6.2022.**

### C.M. No.1/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M. No.2/2022.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2409/2019

Philip Morris Pakistan *Versus* Adjudicating Authority, EOBI, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig or counsel wh		•	that of par	ties
09. (	)3.6.2022.	Mr.Khurram applicant.	Mehmood	Qureshi,	Advocate	for

### C.M.No.2035/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **18.7.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.546/2021

Rahileh Saadat *Versus* Majid Abbas

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

02. 03.6.2022.

Mr. Arshad Zaman Kayani, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.601/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **14.7.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.NO.472/2021

Muhammad Munir (deceased) through legal heirs **Versus** Muhammad Zameer, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	02.6.2022.	Qazi Rafi-ud-Din Babar, Advocate for appellants.

Qazi Rafi-ud-Din Babar, Advocate for appellants. Mr.Usman Jilani & Mst.Sabiha Daraz Khan, Advocates for respondents No.1 & 2.

Learned counsel for the appellants has raised the question that initially learned trial Court framed issues on 10.6.2015 and later on issues were amended vide order dated 03.9.2019 by the learned trial Court, however, impugned judgment is silent qua issues framed on 03.9.2019, therefore, impugned judgment be set-aside and matter be remanded back to the learned trial Court to re-write the judgment.

- 2. Learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 6 is not available today, therefore, matter is adjourned for arguments on their behalf on this question. Office is directed to issue notice Pervi to respondents No.3 & 6 as well as their learned counsel.
- 3. Adjourned to 21.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.94/2022

Nadeem Abbas, etc *Versus* 

Amir Ali Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	•
(4)	02.6.2022.	Ch.Imtiaz Ahmed, Advocates for the applicants.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for ICT department.
		Mr.Irfan Nawaz Memon, Deputy Commissioner, ICT.
		Mr.Imtiaz Mehmood Janjua, ADC (R) / Collector, ICT.

On Court's call Mr.Irfan Nawaz Memon, Deputy Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad alongwith Mr.Imtiaz Mehmood Janjua, ADC (R) / Collector, ICT, Islamabad have put appearance who were present in the Court in connected Crl.Org.No.326/2021. The copy of instant criminal original has been handed over to them for filing compliance report.

2. Adjourned to 22.6.2022. To be heard alongwith Crl.Org.No.326/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.315/2022

Capital Development Authority *Versus*Inayat Ollah Khan Niazi, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	02.6.2022.	Mr.Sabir Khan, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

The Director Enforcement and Building Inspector, CDA shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.313/2022

Capital Development Authority *Versus*Nashwar Begum, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	02.6.2022.	Mr.Sabir Khan, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Syed Amjad Ali Shah, Advocate for respondent No.1.

The Director Enforcement and Building Inspector, CDA shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.314/2022

Capital Development Authority **Versus**Shuja Sharif, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	02.6.2022.	Mr.Sabir Khan, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Barricter Ehean Ali Oazi for recoondent No 1

Barrister Ehsan Ali Qazi for respondent No.1.

The Director Enforcement and Buildin

The Director Enforcement and Building Inspector, CDA shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.313/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.4681/2021**

Zenab Mustafa Versus Additional District & Sessions Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	02.6.2022.	Ch.Muhammad Nawaz, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner undertakes to submit the details of the concerned Secretary of the Society for compliance of order dated 17.3.2022.

2. Adjourned to 19.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2001/2022

Ameer Haider Cheema **Versus** 

Federal Government, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**01.** 02.6.2022. Mr.Umer Ejaz Gillani, Advocate for Petitioner.

After arguing the case at some length it has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that connected *W.P.No.3291/2018* is pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court and next date of hearing is **23.6.2022**. It is appropriate that both the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1663/2022

Pakistan Currency Exchange Private Limited *Versus*Kabir Ahmed, etc

	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	02.6.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Sagib Bhatti, Advocate for petitioner.

As per office report, respondent No.1, has been shifted from the given address, therefore, petitioner is directed to submit telephone number of respondent No.1 for purpose of service in the office thereafter office shall call respondent No.1.

2. Adjourned to 14.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.326/2021

Makhmood Hussain, etc

#### Versus

Yousaf Naseem Khokhar, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	02.6.2022.	Mr.Rashid Hafeez & Ch.Imtiaz Ahmed, Advocates for the applicants. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for ICT department. Mr.Irfan Nawaz Memon, Deputy Commissioner, ICT. Mr.Imtiaz Mehmood Janjua, ADC (R) / Collector, ICT.

Mr.Irfan Nawaz Memon, Deputy Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad alongwith Mr.Imtiaz Mehmood Janjua, ADC (R) / Collector, ICT, Islamabad in attendance contends that major portion of the direction passed by this Court vide order dated 13.8.2021 has been complied with. He further contends that 78 applicant have been registered in the register under the law whereas 18 new applicant are in process of verification. It has further been informed that Draft Rules for the appointment of revenue officials are pending with Ministry of Interior, Islamabad.

2. Let notice be issued to the Secretary, Ministry of Interior, Islamabad to submit the reasons as to why Draft Rules have not yet been completed till date despite clear direction of this Court. The Secretary shall also depute some responsible officer fully conversant with the facts of the case not below the rank of Deputy Secretary who will appear before this Court on the next date of hearing in order to assist this Court.

- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Islamabad is also directed to get the proof of verification of Patwar Pass Certificate at the earliest through a special messenger and submit a report in this regard on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Adjourned to 22.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1670/2022

Ibrar Hussain

#### Versus

Additional Deputy Commissioner (General), Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**02.** 01.6.2022.

Mr. Nasir Ahmed Abbasi, Advocate for petitioner.

Syed Qamar Hussain Sabzwari, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. Hafiz M. Atif Khokhar, Advocate for MCI.

Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

Mr. Mahfooz Paracha, District Attorney, ICT, department.

Mr.Sheryar, Arif, ADCG, Islamabad.

After hearing the parties, it appears that Federal Government through Ministry of Interior, Islamabad, Capital Development Authority and The Director General, Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency, are necessary parties in this case which have not been impleaded as respondents in this case, therefore, Petitioner is directed to file amended memo of writ petition after impleading them as respondents in this case thereafter office shall issue notices to the newly impleaded respondents. The Environmental Protection Agency shall appoint an Inspector who shall visit the site where respondent No.3 is operating tube well who shall submit report regarding water level in the area.

2. Adjourned to 06.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1226/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### **Versus**

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(2)	01.6.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner. Mr.Tanveer Ali, Supdt. Punjab Land Commission. Mr.Abdul Qadir & Mr.Ghulam Asghar, Patwari, Tonsa Sharif, district D.G.Khan.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through special messenger at the cost of petitioners.

- 2. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments through their law department as well as with the approval of Secretary, Land Commissionerd, Punjb before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1230/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### **Versus**

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	01.6.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner. Mr.Tanveer Ali, Supdt. Punjab Land Commission. Mr.Abdul Qadir & Mr.Ghulam Asghar, Patwari, Tonsa Sharif, district D.G.Khan.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through special messenger at the cost of petitioners.

- 2. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments through their law department as well as with the approval of Secretary, Land Commissioner, Punjab before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1226/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1229/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### **Versus**

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
(2)	01.6.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner. Mr.Tanveer Ali, Supdt. Punjab Land Commission. Mr.Abdul Qadir & Mr.Ghulam Asghar, Patwari, Tonsa Sharif, district D.G.Khan.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through special messenger at the cost of petitioners.

- 2. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments through their law department as well as with the approval of Secretary, Land Commissioner, Punjab before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1226/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1228/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### **Versus**

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
(2)	01.6.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner. Mr.Tanveer Ali, Supdt. Punjab Land Commission. Mr.Abdul Qadir & Mr.Ghulam Asghar, Patwari, Tonsa Sharif, district D.G.Khan.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through special messenger at the cost of petitioners.

- 2. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments through their law department as well as with the approval of Secretary, Land Commissioner, Punjab before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1226/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1227/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### **Versus**

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(2)	01.6.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner. Mr.Tanveer Ali, Supdt. Punjab Land Commission. Mr.Abdul Qadir & Mr.Ghulam Asghar, Patwari, Tonsa Sharif, district D.G.Khan.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through special messenger at the cost of petitioners.

- 2. All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments through their law department as well as with the approval of Secretary, Land Commissioner, Punjab before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.7.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1226/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1147/2022

Dr.Karim Khan, etc

#### **Versus**

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	01.6.2022.	Mr.Riaz Hanif Rahi, Advocate for petitioners.
		Mr.Asim Rauf, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.
		Petitioners in person.

Parawise comments on behalf of respondents

No1 to 4 have already been filed and copy of the same

has been handed over to the learned counsel for

petitioners who intends to peruse the same and

requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.426/2022

Civil Works Organization **Versus**Land Acquisition Collector, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	-
03.	01.6.2022.	Mr.Usman Jillani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondent No.1.
		Mr.Mubashar Piracha, Law Officer, CWO.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 & 3. Let notice pervi be issued to respondents No.2 & 3 as well as their learned counsel. Respondents No.1 to 3 are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 05.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ

Malik Naheed Khan **Versus** Asad Ullah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
(4)	30.5.2022.	Malik Muzaffar Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.Aneel Saeed, Assistant Commissioner, Secretariat, Islamabad. Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel. Mr.Asim, ASI.			

The Assistant Commissioner Secretariat, ICT, Islamabad, alongwith State Counsel in attendance has submitted compliance report which has been placed on record. He further contends that local Government will comply with the directions passed by this Court vide order dated 30.11.2020 in W.P.No.2561/2020. The copy of the report has been handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant who intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 22.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1339/2022

Dr.Sohail Nadeem, etc **Versus** 

Higher Education Commission, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
02.	30.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen, Advocate for Petitioners.	
		Mr.Nauman Munir Paracha, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.	
		Mr. Abdul Rafeh, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.	
		Mr.Sami Ullah Khan, A.D-Legal, Quaid-i-Azam, University.	

Respondents are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 08.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.771/2022

Rabiah Iqbal **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
03.	30.5.2022.	Sheikh Riaz ul Haque, Advocate for Petitioner. Ch.Hafeez Ullah Yaqub, Muhammad Asif Khan, Tauqeer Ahmed and Mr.G.Shabbir Akbar, Advocates for respondents. Mr.Muqeem-ul-Hassan, Legal Advisor, NEPRA. Mr.Ali Rukh Khattak, Senior Law Officer, SNGPL.	
		All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing.	

2. Adjourned to 28.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# Writ Petition No.4609/2021

Muhammad Farhat Hayat

### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3).	30.5.2022.	Mr.Adnan Bashir ChaudhAry, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for petitioner needs some time in order to seek fresh instructions from his client.

2. Adjourned to 21.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.2577/2021

Petrosin Services Indus River Private Limited **Versus** 

National Highway Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(06)	30.4.2022.	Mr.Attaullah Hakim Kundi, Advocate for Petitioner. Sardar M.Haroon Sami, Advocate for respondent No.2.	

Learned counsel for petitioner requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 12.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2281/2019

Junaid Abbasi

#### **Versus**

Chief Secretary, AJ & K Kashmir, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(12)	30.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Saqib Bhatti, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Abdul Rehman Sheikh, Mr.Intizar Hussain Panjutha, Mr.Manzoor Ahmed Lone, Mr.Akbar Abbasi and Syed Asad Ali Saeed, Advocates for respondents. Mr.Khalid Mehmood, Authorized Officer, AJK. Mr.M.Shafiq, A.M-FIA.

Mr.Khalid Mehmood, the authorized officer of AJK Government in attendance seeks time to produce the original record of auction process. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the respondents to produce the relevant record.

2. Adjourned to 07.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Objection Case No.8228/2022

SNGPL **Versus** N.I.R.C, etc

S. No. of	Date of
order/	order/
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.

01.

25.5.2022.

Barrister Suhail Nawaz for Petitioner.

Office has raised certain objections to the filing of instant writ petition, which are reflected at Sr.No.33 & 37 of the objection sheet that photocopies of the CNIC of the petitioners and bio-metric verification of petitioners No.2 to 6 have not been provided.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that biometric verification of authorized representative of petitioner/SNGPL has already been made in the office. However, petitioner Nos.3 to 6 are impleaded because respondent has made them the opposite party in his petition before NIRC. As such no relief has been claimed by them. Therefore, he intends to delete their names from the array of the memo of the petition. Furthermore, he undertakes to provide copy of CNIC of the petitioners/authorized representatives in the office.
- 3. In view of above, office objections are sustained. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove the office objections within seven days, whereafter, office shall number the petition and place before the Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.29-2022

Muhammad Bashir **Versus** Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
05.	25.5.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant. Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for respondents.	
		Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.	

Learned counsel for respondents contends that he has not gone through the order passed by Apex Court in this case, therefore, he needs some time to place his point of view on the basis of said order.

2. Adjourned to 03.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.667/2022

The State

Versus

Additional Sessions Judge, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(04)	25.4.2022	Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.	
		Respondent No.2 in person.	
		Mr.Qurban Ali, S.I.	
		Mr.Raees, ASI/I.O.	
		Mr.Adnan Hussain, ASI.	
		Muhammad Ashfaq, ASI PS Bhara Kahu.	

Respondent No.2 in attendance contends that

he needs some time to engage a counsel.

2. Adjourned to 22.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.638/2022

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited **Versus** 

National Industrial Relations Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
(02)	23.2.2022.	Barrister Adeel Aftab for petitioner.	
		Respondent No.4 in person.	

Respondent No.4 in attendance contends that

he needs some time to engage a counsel.

2. Adjourned to 19.9.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.53/2022

Yasir Faiz, etc

Versus

Additional District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	25.5.2022.	Muhammad Waleed Khalid, Advocate for petitioners. Sardar Arshad Mehmood Khan, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 6.
		Learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 6
		contends that respondent No.6, has passed away during
		the pendency of instant matter, however, respondents
		No.3 to 5 are legal heirs of respondent No.6, therefore,
		there is no need to implead legal heirs of respondent
		No.6.

2. Adjourned to 05.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.4065/2021

Tanveer Ahmed Khan

#### **Versus**

Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	25.5.2022.	Muhammad Waleed Khalid, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for petitioner. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondents No.1, 2 & 4. Mr.Nasim Ahmed Shah, Advocate for respondent No.3.
		Learned counsel for the petitioner is stated to be
		indisposed and request for adjournment has been made
		on his behalf.
		2. Adjourned to 06.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.1838/2022

Dr.Rahila Yousaf **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**01.** 25.5.2022. Mr.Riaz Hanif Rahi, Advocate for petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that another W.P.No.2991/2021 filed by present petitioner is also pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court, therefore, propriety demands that both the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Org.No.138/2021

Nadeem Awan, etc

### Versus

Tariq Rashid, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
11.	25.5.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1518/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.960/2021

Riasat Hussain Qureshi, etc

### **Versus**

Secretary, M/o Housing & Works, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
11.	25.5.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1518/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1518/2021

Rafaqat Hussain Shah, etc

#### **Versus**

Secretary, M/o Housing & Works, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
10.	25.5.2022.	Raja Inam Ameen Minhas and Syed Wajid Ali Gillani, Advocates for Petitioners. Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for FGEHA. Dr.Sataish, DC/LAC, FGEHA. Mr.Ehsan Elahi, Director Land, FGEHA. Khan Zeb, Deputy Director Law, FGEHA. Mr.Mohsin Pasha, A.D, FGEHA.

Both the parties i.e Committee on behalf of locals (Mouza Jhangi Syedan) and officials of FGEHA are in agreement qua assessment of BUPs of Sector G-14/1 and G-14/2 that at the time of survey of the subject property they will record the BUPs/structures and draw their sketch on the assessment form whereafter the signatures/thumb impressions of owner with CNIC number shall also be recorded including the division of the family as narrated by the owners before the survey officials. It is also directed to the survey officials to record the electricity meters and gas connections, if any, on the subject property and count different family unit living in the subject property whereas the objection of FGEHA on the issue of different set of family members

in same constructed portion will be adjudicated under the law, policy and regulations at the time of final determination of rehabilitation benefits. Both the parties are directed to co-operate with each other and conclude the survey within next week by all means, however, in case of any objection, it shall be recorded and brought on record for further adjudication in due course of time. The question relating to rectification and modifications of restraining order to the extent of Sector G-14/2, will be considered on the next date of hearing after perusal of layout plan of BUPs subject to objections on the other side.

2. Adjourned to 02.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.1095/2021

Yad Muhammad, etc *Versus* 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(07)	25.5.2022.	Nemo for the parties. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Respondents No.4 & 5 are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 27.9.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.2840/2020

Souban Nazir

**Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(9)	25.5.2022.	Raja Inaam Amin Minhas, Advocate for petitioner. Cdr.Hamid Hayat, Assistant, Judge Advocate General, Naval Headquarter, Islamabad.
		Learned AAG is not available due to law and
		order situation in the city.
		2. Adjourned to 09.6.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.3322/2021

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Ltd

Versus

OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(5)	25.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Aslam Korai Baloch, Proxy Counsel for petitioner. Mst.Kalsoom Rafique, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for petitioner is stated to be indisposed and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 08.11.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **OGRA APPLICATION NO.04/2021**

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Ltd

Versus

OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(5)	25.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Aslam Korai Baloch, Proxy Counsel for applicant. Syed Jaffer, Law Officer, OGRA.

Learned counsel for petitioner is stated to be indisposed and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 08.11.2022. To be heard alongwith OGRA Application No.03/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **OGRA APPLICATION NO.03/2021**

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Ltd

Versus

OGRA, etc

Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
Proceedings	
25.2.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Aslam Korai Baloch, Proxy Counsel for applicant. Raja Zaheer Hussain, Advocate for respondent No.2.
	Learned counsel for applicant is stated to be
	indisposed and request for adjournment has been made
	on his behalf.
	order/ Proceedings

2. Adjourned to 08.11.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.842/2021

Touseef Ahmed, etc

#### **Versus**

Noor Muhammad (deceased) through legal heirs, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**02.** 25.5.2022. Mr.Muhammad Ramzan, Advocate for appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants at the very outset contends that connected R.F..A.No.769 & 770 of 2021 are pending before Honourable Bench-IV of this Court, therefore, propriety demands that all the appeals are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

R.F.A.No.841/2021

Touseef Ahmed, etc **Versus** Mst.Nusrat Bibi, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**02.** 25.5.2022. Mr.Muhammad Ramzan, Advocate for appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants at the very outset contends that connected R.F..A.No.769 & 770 of 2021 are pending before Honourable Bench-IV of this Court, therefore, propriety demands that all the appeals are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Criminal Revision No.85/2021**

The Director, Intelligence & Investigation (Inland Revenue), Islamabad **Versus** 

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(05)	25.5.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Mr.Ghulam Qasim Bhatti, Advocate for respondents No.2
		& 3.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Parties shall argue maintainability of instant criminal revision on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.11.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Org.No.01/2018

**Privatization Commission** 

#### **Versus**

Sabur ur Rehman, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
14.	25.5.2022.	To be heard alongwith Civil Suit No.37/2015.

,

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1676-2022

Ministry of Interior Employees Housing Society, Islamabad **Versus** 

Chief Commissioner/Director General, ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
02.	25.5.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 5.
		Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for CDA.

Learned Counsel for respondents present before the Court are directed to submit a concise report in this case. Petitioner is directed to submit details of all the pending Civil Suits relating to the instant matter to the learned MIT of this Court who shall requisition the report of the status of said Suits from the concerned Court.

- 2. Let fresh notices be issued to the remaining respondents through special messenger at the cost of petitioner who is directed to deposit process fee within seven days.
- 3. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Civil Suit No.37/2015**

M/s Cargil Holding

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
22.	25.5.2022.	Nemo for plaintiff.
		Mst.Mahnoor Asif, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.2.
		Mr.Jamshed Abbasi, Deputy G.M State Engineering
		Corporation.
		Syed Haider Ali Shah, State Engineering Corporation.

Perusal of record reveals that evidence has not yet been concluded. Last opportunity is granted to the parties to record their evidence before the Local Commission failing which Local Commission shall submit report qua progress of the evidence through learned MIT of this Court.

4. Adjourned to 07.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Criminal Appeal No.72/2021**

Muhammad Maqsood *Versus* 

Hafeez Tabassum, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	25.5.2022	Mr.Ahsan Shoaib, Proxy Counsel for petitioner.
		Respondent No.2 in person.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Murtaza Haral, S.I.

Respondent No.2 in attendance contends that his learned counsel is not available due to law and order situation in the city.

2. Adjourned to 02.11.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2613/2019

Mst.Noor Safia

#### **Versus**

Rasul Bux Phulpoto, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
11.	25.5.2022.	Mst.Mehak Ali, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr.Sohail Anwar in person.

Amended memo of the petition has been filed which has been placed on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to submit complete record of the civil suit filed by the petitioner for the same subject matter before the Civil Court before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4265/2021

Muhammad Ayub Bhatti

#### **Versus**

Sajjad Hussain, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	24.5.2022.	Mr. Haseeb Hassan, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mr.Gul Hussain Jadoon, Advocate for respondent No.1.

It has been informed that respondent No.2, has passed away during the pendency of instant matter.

Learned counsel for petitioner intends to file amended memo of parties after impleading the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.2 before the next date of

2. Adjourned to 14.9.2022.

hearing and requests for adjournment.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.1211/2022

M/s Shifa International Hospitals Limited

#### Versus

Federal Government, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	24.5.2022.	Mr.Zaheer Bashir Ansari, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mr.Hamza Akhtar, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Respondent No.3 in person.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.

### C.M. No.1891/2022.

This is an application for placing additional documents on record. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions. The status of the documents will be considered at the time of final arguments.

### **Main Case.**

Comments on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 have already been filed.

2. Adjourned to 21.6.2022 for final arguments. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4102/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.4102/2021

M/s Shifa International Hospitals Limited

#### **Versus**

Federal Government, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	24.5.2022.	Mr.Zaheer Bashir Ansari, Advocate for petitioner. Mr.Hamza Akhtar, Advocate for respondent No.2. Ch.Muhammad Usman Minhas, Advocate for respondent No.3. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.

Learned AAG alongwith official of Ministry of Health contends that he will file parawise comments before the next date of hearing and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 21.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3703/2021**

Muhammad Azizullah *Versus* Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.		Mr.Muhammad Imran, Advocate for Petitioner. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 6.
		Mian Hacook Ali Rhatti. Advocato for respondent No. 7

Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 6. Mian Haseeb Ali Bhatti, Advocate for respondent No.7 Ch.Qaiser Nazir Sipra, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Learned counsel for petitioner contends that he has assailed the vires of the Islamabad cooperative Societies Elections Rules, 2019 whereas no relief has been sought against respondent No.8 (private respondent), therefore, he may be deleted from the array of respondents. Order accordingly. Learned counsel for respondents are also directed to submit their legal submissions in writing before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 29.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## **Writ Petition No.3479/2021**

Muhammad Shamraiz

## **Versus**

Police Training School through its Commandant, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	24.5.2022.	Mr.Jan Muhammad Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mst.Khadija Ali and Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel for respondents No.3 & 4. Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for CDA. Ejaz Ahmed, S.I PS Nilore.
		Learned counsel for CDA as well as learned
		State Counsel request for some more time to file
		parawise comments.

2. Adjourned to **20.6.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.1325/2021

Abid Ali Amanat etc

#### Versus

Directorate General, Pakistan Post, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	24.5.2022.	Mr.Shah Jehan Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Qazi Maqsood, representative of Post Office.

Learned counsel for the petitioners requests for adjournment to prepare his brief. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the petitioners to argue their case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record and after hearing the learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Adjourned to 26.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## W.P. No.100/2020

Naseer Ahmad

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	24.5.2022.	Mr.Khalil ur Rehman Abbasi, Advocate for petitioner. Mst.Shaista Tabussam Khan Sultanpuri, Advocate for MCI. Mr.Irfan Azim Khan, D.G- Environment, CDA. Rana Kashif Nazir, Director Environment, CDA. Mr.Yawar Hussain Rana, S.O, M/o Interior.
		The officials of CDA in attendance undertake to
		submit final inquiry report on the next date of hearing.
		They further submit that original record has been
		misplaced from the office due to which final conclusive
		report has not yet been prepared.

Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

2.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.63/2021

M/s Etoile Construction

#### **Versus**

M/s Whole Food Private Limited, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(05)	24.5.2022.	Mr.Tahir Hussain Anchan, Proxy counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Amjad Hameed Ghauri, Advocate for respondent No.1.	
		Mr.Muhammad Mustafa Khalid, Advocate for respondent No.2.	

Learned Counsel for the petitioner is busy before another Bench of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 03.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.470/2021

Syed Wajid Hussain Bukhari **Versus** 

Raja Said Akbar (deceased) through legal heirs, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	24.5.2022.	Mr. Haseeb Hassan, Advocate for Petitioner.

It is observed from the record that I remained associated with one of the parties of this case during my practice days, therefore, it is appropriate that instant matter be adjudicated by some other Bench of this Court.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Crl.Misc.No.237-BC/2022

Humayun Ashraf **Versus**Javed Iqbal Abbasi, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	24.5.2022.	Mr.Shams ul Wahab, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner is stated to be busy before Apex Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 06.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1753/2022**

Sardar Khurram Shahzad

### Versus

The State, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(1)	20.5.2022.	Raja Shuja ur Rehman, Advocate for the Petitioner.	

Through this writ petition, petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.450 dated 03.5.2021 u/s 489-F PPC Police Station Koral, Islamabad.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner interalia contends that earlier respondent/complainant has lodged the similar FIR No.1199 dated 06.12.2020 u/s 489-F PPC PS Rawat, district Rawalpindi regarding same series of cheques issued in same agreement, therefore, subsequent FIR No.450 dated 03.5.2021 u/s 489-F PPC Police Station Koral, Islamabad, is liable to be quashed. Learned counsel has placed reliance on case law reported as PLD 2018 SC 595 (Mst.Sughran Bibi

#### Versus State).

- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 30.6.2022.

## C.M.No.01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M.No.02/2022.

Notice.

**MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)** JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1769/2022**

Muhammad Rahzeb Abbasi

#### **Versus**

Col.(R) Ghulam Abbas Khan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	order/	Order with or counsel	_		_	that of pa	arties
(1)	20.5.2022.	Ch.Mushtaq	Hussain	&	Mr.Afzaal	Qadeer	Satti,
		Advocates for	r the Petition	oner.			

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 20.4.2022 passed by learned Civil Judge Ist Class-West, Islamabad whereby application filed by the petitioner u/s 12 (2) CPC against the judgment and decree dated 31.10.2020 has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that learned trial Court while adjudicating the application has observed that Court of equal jurisdiction i.e Civil Court is not capacity to adjudicate this matter which infact is in violation of law laid down by the superior Courts of the country. Learned counsel has placed reliance on case law reported as *PLD 2016 SC 358 (Sahibzadi Maharunnisa Versus Mst.Ghulam* 

- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to respondent No.1 through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 22.6.2022.

### C.M.No.01/2022.

Sughran).

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M.No.02/2022.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1509/2022

Inayat Wali **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2)	20.5.2022.	Petitioner in person.
		Mr.Nauman Ali, Director Estate, OPF.
		Mr.Muhammad Fayyaz, Law Officer, OPF.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

The representatives of respondents alongwith AAG in attendance contend that the land in question is under litigation before Apex Court and there is likelihood of early decision in that matter thereafter respondent department will be able to hand over the possession of the plot which was allotted to the petitioner. When Director Estate, OPF has been confronted qua any alternate settlement in order to accommodate the petitioner, he seeks some time to work out any other option to accommodate the petitioner. Respondents are directed to file concise report in this case before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 21.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1443/2022

Umar Farooq Kanjoo **Versus** Prime Minister of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	20.5.2022.	Mr.Raees Abdul Wahid, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Sohail Khurshid Gujjar. Advocate for respondents No.3. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 & 4. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.2 & 4 through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 12.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1979/2021**

Zafar Ullah Khan, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/	Order with sig or counsel who		_	nd t	hat of <sub>l</sub>	parties
5.	20.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad	Shoaih	Shaheen	<u>گ</u>	Mirza	Wagas
<b>J.</b>	20.5.2022.	Qayyum, Advoca			a	riiiZa	waqas
		- , , ,					N- 2
		Mr.Tariq Zaman				ponaent	S No.2.
		Raja Muhammad	d Aftab Al	nmed, AAG			

Parawise comments on behalf of respondent No.2 has already been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 & 4. Let respondents No.3 & 4, be served through special messenger at the cost of petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 24.6.2022 for final arguments. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3161/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.3161/2021**

Zafar Ullah Khan, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
7.	20.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen & Mirza Waqas Qayyum, Advocates for Petitioners. Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch. Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Parawise comments on behalf of respondents

No.2 to 4 have already been filed. Learned counsel for
the petitioners intends to peruse the same and requests
for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 24.6.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3529/2016

Muhammad Nadeem

#### **Versus**

CDA through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	20.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Mazhar Javed & Mr.Khalid Mehmood
		Gothel, Advocates for Petitioner.
		Mrs.Misbah Gulnar Sharif & Mr.Muhammad Anwar Dar,
		Advocates for CDA.

Learned counsel for CDA has submitted corrigendum dated 19.5.2022 whereby final seniority list of Assistant/Senior Assistant (BPS-14/16)(Non-Gazetted) has been submitted which has been placed on record, according to which issue of seniority to the extent of petitioner Muhammad Nadeem has been settled.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition has borne fruit, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2922/2020

Muhammad Nadeem

#### **Versus**

CDA through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	20.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Mazhar Javed & Mr.Khalid Mehmood
		Gothel, Advocates for Petitioner.
		Mrs.Misbah Gulnar Sharif & Mr.Muhammad Anwar Dar,
		Advocates for CDA.

Learned counsel for CDA has submitted corrigendum dated 19.5.2022 whereby final seniority list of Assistant/Senior Assistant (BPS-14/16)(Non-Gazetted) has been submitted which has been placed on record, according to which issue of seniority to the extent of petitioner Muhammad Nadeem has been settled.

 In view of above, instant writ petition has borne fruit, disposed of accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.74-2021

Muhammad Ashfaq Aziz

#### Versus

Malik Riaz, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(10)	20.5.2021.	Mst.Farah Rana, Advocate for applicant. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Asad Khan Burki, Legal Advisor, M/o Foreign Affairs. Mr.Wasim Akhtar, S.O-Law, M/o Interior. Mr.Rohail Bhatti, Section Officer Law, M/o Interior.

Learned AAG alongwith official of Ministry of Foreign Affairs has submitted copy of letter of request addressed to the UK High Commission in Islamabad for early transfer of Mr.Tariq Aziz to Pakistan on humanitarian grounds which has been placed on record. Learned AAG further contends that he will inform the latest updates to this Court after receiving the same from UK Authority.

2. Adjourned to 24.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3516/2020**

Muhammad Yasir *Versus* Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signal or counsel where		_	that of par	ties
07.	20.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad applicant.	Qaboor	Khatak,	Advocate	for

### C.M.No.1785/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **05.7.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.464/2020

Mian Waheed ud Din Versus Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

20.5.2022.

Mst.Shafaq Abid, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.1776/2022.

Through instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw W.P.No.464/2020. C.M is allowed.

2. W.P.No.464/2020 is *dismissed as* withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Revision No.80/2021**

Naveed Lutf Ali **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(4)	20.5.2022.	Syed Mujtaba Haider Sherazi, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.212/2022.

This is an application for early hearing. Since main case has already been fixed for 13.6.2022, therefore, instant application is dismissed.

2. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.720/2022

Amjad Mehmood

#### **Versus**

Army Welfare Trust through its Managing Director, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	19.5.2022.	Nemo for petitioner.
		Mr.Ahtazaz Hanif Khokhar, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.1.
		Mr.Siddique Akbar Abbasi, Advocate for respondent
		No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1, is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. No one has put appearance on behalf of petitioner. Let notice pervi be issued to the petitioner for his representation before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to **27.6.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.4495/2021**

Wajid Gul **Versus** IESCO, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	14.3.2022.	Mr.Hassan Javed, Proxy Counsel for petitioner. Mr.Khalid Zaman, Advocate for respondent No. 1

Mr.Khalid Zaman, Advocate for respondent No.1. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

- 2. Learned counsel for respondents seeks some more time to assist this Court on the issue agitated through instant writ petition.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3631/2021

Malik Muhammad Azam, etc

#### **Versus**

Chief Commissioner/Director General ICT Exercising Power of Member Board of Revenue, ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	19.5.2022.	Mr.Mohsin Javed, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Muhammad Suleman Sheikh, Advocate for respondent No.6.
		Mst. Aneeqa Farooq, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.7.
		Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondents No.10,
		15, 16a to 16c & 18 to 21.
		Learned counsel for petitioner is not available
		and request for adjournment has been made on his
		behalf.

2. Adjourned to 06.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3042/2021

Ejaz Adalat **Versus** 

D.G exercising power of Secretary, Cooperative Housing Societes, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	19.5.2022.	Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Learned Proxy Counsel contends that principal counsel for the petitioner is busy before Apex Court and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 05.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3041/2021.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# Writ Petition No.3041/2021

Ejaz Adalat Versus

D.G exercising power of Secretary, Cooperative Housing Societies, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	19.5.2022.	Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Learned Proxy Counsel contends that principal counsel for the petitioner is busy before Apex Court and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 05.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.1992/2021

Ahsan Nawaz

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
05.	11.5.2022.	Mr.Gulzar Hussain Raja, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Zeshan Haider, Law Officer NBP.
		Learned counsel for petitioner is not available
		and request for adjournment has been made on his
		behalf.
		2. Adjourned to 26.9.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3051/2020

Asad Ullah, etc **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	19.5.2022	Mr.Hassan Javed, Advocate for petitioners.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 to 6. Let notice Pervi be issued to the respondents No.3 to 6 as well as their learned counsel.

2. Adjourned to 29.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4770/2018**

Multi Professional Co-operative Housing Society, Islamabad **Versus** 

Chief Commissioner ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(10)	19.5.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Wajid Hussain Mughal, Advocate for petitioner. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2.
		Barrister Saman Mamoon, Advocate for respondent No.3.

In compliance of order dated 05.4.2022 learned counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 has produced the original record of recovery proceedings under Section 50-A of Co-operative Societies Act, 1925 relating to Multi Professional Co-operative Housing Society which has been retained in the Court till the final decision of this writ petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to peruse the original record in order to assist this Court and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 02.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.269/2021

Pakistan Amature Circle Kabbadi Federation **Versus** 

Asif Zaman, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(6)	18.5.2022.	Mr.Majid Ali, Advocate for applicant.
		Mr.Muhammad Irfan Ullah, Advocate for respondents.
		Mr.Musa Haseeb, Supdt.Legal, PSB.

Learned counsel for respondents contends that case for affiliation of applicant with Pakistan Sports Board has been received in their office which shall be placed before the Board/Executive Committee in its upcoming meeting for decision.

2. Adjourned to 28.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1094/2022

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_
2.	18.5.2022.	Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO.
		Mr.Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.3. Let respondent No.3, be served through special messenger at the cost of petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.612/2022

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

Mr.Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Respondent No.3 in attendance has submitted his reply which has been placed on record. He further contends that he will himself argue his case.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.4550/2021**

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited Versus

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.	
3.	18.5.2022.	Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO.	•

Mr.Musnarrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, 1ESCO. Mr. Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No. 2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner.

- 2. As per office report notice could not be served upon respondent No.2 due to incomplete address. Learned counsel for petitioner shall submit affidavit of facts regarding last known address of respondents No.2 thereafter he will be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the petitioner.
- 3. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4196/2021**

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	s Proceedings	

**4.** 18.5.2022.

Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO. Mr.Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner. As per office report notice has been served upon respondents No.5, however, despite service of notices no one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.5, therefore, he is proceeded ex-parte.

- 2. As per office report notice could not be served upon respondent No.8 due to incomplete address. Learned counsel for petitioner shall submit affidavit of facts regarding last known address of respondents No.8 thereafter he will be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the petitioner.
- 3. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.4195/2021**

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	18.5.2022.	Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO.

Mr. Musharrif Ikram, Add. Deputy Manager, IESCO. Mr. Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No. 2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.4. Let respondent No.4, be served through special messenger at the cost of petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4175/2021

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
4.	18.5.2022.	Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO.
		Mr.Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Written request for adjournment has been filed

on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022.

Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1622/2022

Islamabad Electric Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director Legal, President's Secretariat (Public), islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	18.5.2022	Mr.Musharrif Ikram, Add.Deputy Manager, IESCO.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Asim Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

As per office report notice could not be issued to respondent No.3, due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, Petitioner is directed to deposit process fee within seven days for summoning of respondent No.3.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3648/2021**

Muhammad Naeem

### **Versus**

IESCO, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
04.	18.5.2022.	Mrs.Aaliya Zareen Abbasi, Advocate for petitioner.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3.

2. Adjourned to 04.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.3236/2021

Muhammad Adnan Rana, etc

#### **Versus**

D.G, Intelligence Bureau, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(6)	18.5.2022.	Mr. Moneeb Ahmed, Advocate for the Petitioners. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr. Amiada Jahala Danuta Director Litigation for
		Mr.Amjad Iqbal, Deputy Director Litigation for respondent No.1.
		Parawise comments on behalf of respondent
		No.1 has been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioners
		intends to peruse the same and requests for
		adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 30.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### C.M.A.No.14/2021

Abdul Jabbar

#### Versus

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	18.5.2022.	Mr.Tahir Mehmood Abbasi, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Muhammad Aslam Baloch, Proxy Counsel for respondents.

Learned principal counsel for respondents is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant

2. Adjourned to 30.6.2022. To be heard alongwith C.M.A.No.13/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### C.M.A.No.13/2021

Abdul Jabbar

#### Versus

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	18.5.2022.	Mr.Tahir Mehmood Abbasi, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Muhammad Aslam Baloch, Proxy Counsel for respondents.

Learned principal counsel for respondents is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant

2. Adjourned to 30.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.56/2022

Muhammad Qadeer etc

#### **Versus**

Mst.Saleem Akhtar, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	18.5.2022.	Abdul Kamran Butt, Advocate for appellants.

As per office report notices have not yet been served upon respondents. Let fresh notices be issued to the respondents through special messenger at the expense of the appellants. Notice may also be served upon the respondents through the executing Court of Rizwan Uddin, Civil Judge Ist Class, East, Islamabad.

2. Adjourned to 28.6.2022.

### C.M.No.01/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile status quo shall be maintained till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.803/2021

Raja Anwar Baig **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with s or counsel w	_	_	e and th	nat of par	ties
2.	18.5.2022.	Mr.Muzammil	Hussain	Shad,	Proxy	Counsel	for
		appellant.					

As per office report notices have been served upon respondents No.1 to 10, however, despite service of notices no one has put appearance on behalf of respondents, therefore, they are proceeded ex-parte.

2. Adjourned to 27.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.564/2021

Tanvir Ahmed Abbasi

### **Versus**

M.M.Tahir, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	18.5.2022.	Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, Proxy Counsel for appellant. Mr.Imtiaz Anwar Cheema, Advocate for respondent No.9. Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondent No.10.

Let fresh notices be issued to respondents

No.1 to 8 through registered post A.D and TCS at the

expense of the appellant.

2. Adjourned to 24.10.2022.

### C.M.No.01/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile status quo shall be maintained to the extent of suit plot till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Criminal Appeal No.15/2022

Sarmad Khalid **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	18.5.2022.	Nemo for appellant.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Mr.Shabbir, SI PS Margallah, Islamabad.

Despite service of notice respondent No.2 has not appeared. Let bailable warrant of arrest in the sum of Rs.20,000/- be issued to procure the attendance of respondent No.2, which shall be executed through SHO

2. Adjourned to 26.10.2022.

Police Station Margallah, Islamabad.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4666/2021

Muhammad Arif **Versus** 

Muhammad Hamid, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	12.5.2022.	Mr. Shujah Ullah Gondal, Advocates for Petitioner.
		Mr.Zahid Asif Chaudhry, Muhammad Bilal & Sardar
		Shabbir Hussain, Advocates for respondents.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.Zahid Hameed, ASI, PS Sabzi Mandi.

Learned principal counsel for petitioner is stated to be indisposed and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Learned State Counsel contends that challan in this case has already been submitted in the trial Court on 27.12.2021, therefore, instant writ petition has become infructuous.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to argue maintainability of instant writ petition specially when challan has already been submitted in the trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.3419/2021**

Tabassum Iqbal, etc **Versus**deration of Pakistan, etc

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	12.5.2022	Mr. Hamza Tarig, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, petitioners are directed to deposit process fee within seven days for summoning of respondent as was directed vide order dated 11.10.2021.

2. Adjourned to 03.10.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Revision No.27/2021

Azra Waseem

#### Versus

SHO PS Koral, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(5)	11.5.2022.	Mr.Mujtaba Zamani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

No one is in attendance on behalf of SHO PS Koral, Islamabad who was directed vide order dated 22.9.2021 to ensure the presence of respondents No.2 & 3 before the Court.

- 2. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No.2 & 3 through SHO PS Koral, Islamabad who shall ensure the presence of said respondents before the Court by all means on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 19.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.9461/2021

Haroon Khan **Versus** Full Bench NIRC, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)		

This is an application under objection whereby office has raised objection which are reflected at Sr.No.4 & 19 of the objection sheet.

2. Perusal of record reveals that main case has already been decided vide order dated 25.1.2022, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objections are sustained and file be consigned to record.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.11758/2021

## Farhat Shamshad

#### **Versus**

Federal Public Service Commission, Islamabad, etc

	S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
_	(01)	11.5.2022.	Nemo.

This is an application under objection whereby office has raised objection which are reflected at Sr.No.8 & 26 of the objection sheet.

2. Perusal of record reveals that main case has already been decided vide order dated 27.8.2021, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objections are sustained and file be consigned to record.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.9460/2021

### Nasir Khan **Versus** Full Bench NIRC, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)		

This is an application under objection whereby office has raised objection which are reflected at Sr.No.4 & 19 of the objection sheet.

2. Perusal of record reveals that main case has already been decided vide order dated 25.1.2022, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objections are sustained and file be consigned to record.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### R.F.A.No.160/2022

Capt.(R) Pervaiz Bashir **Versus** Major ® Asif Ali

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	11.5.2022	Mr.Saad Ahmed Rajpoot, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.170-B-2022

Asif Azad

**Versus** 

The State, etc

		= = == == <b>/</b> = = =
S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

11.5.2022.

Mr.Saad Hassan, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.200/2022.

Through instant C.M, applicant has sought permission to deposit cash surety instead of bail bonds and also reduction of surety amount.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that applicant Asif Azad was allowed ad-interim pre-arrest bail vide order dated 18.2.2022 by this Court which was confirmed vide order dated 30.3.2022 subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.500,000/- with one local surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, however, applicant/petitioner is not permanent resident of district Islamabad nor owned any immovable property, therefore, could not arrange the surety despite his best efforts for compliance of the order of this Court. He further contends that applicant has prayed for reduction of surety and requested for submission of cash surety.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that ad-interim prearrest bail of applicant/Petitioner was confirmed vide order dated 30.3.2022 in Crl.Misc.No.170-B-2022 and despite lapse of about 40 days he could not arrange the surety due to the reason mentioned above, therefore, in peculiar circumstances of this case instant application to the extent of deposit of cash surety is allowed. Applicant/Petitoner is directed to deposit cash surety in the sum of Rs.500,000/- (five lac) before the learned trial Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. Writ Petition No.476/2021

All Pakistan PBC Officers Association, etc. **Versus** 

Secretary to the Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
(07)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Shah Khawar, Advocate for Petitioner.			
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.			
		Muhammad Javed, Admin Manager, PBC.			
		Mr.Abid Mehmood Ch, Section Officer Litigation for			
		respondents No.1 & 2.			

Learned Counsel for the respondents is stated to be busy before another Bench of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. At this stage learned counsel for petitioners contends that proposed action of the Pakistan Broadcasting Corporation Board vide letter dated 19.1.2021 for merger of different units/stations of PBC was made by previous Government, therefore, fresh instructions are required to be sought from the respondent authority as to whether present Government intends to continue implementation of letter dated 19.1.2021 referred The respondent above otherwise. Broadcasting Corporation (PBC) shall submit fresh instructions or convey the decision of the competent authority in this case on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 17.6.2022.

## (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.324/2017

Abdul Qayyum Zia **Versus**Sheikh Ansar Aziz, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(19)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Ali Nawaz Kharal, Advocate for applicant	
		Mr.Iqbal Hassan, Advocate for CDA.	

Learned counsel for CDA in attendance contends that in compliance of order dated 21.9.2016 passed in W.P.No.3234/2016, matter has been placed before the CDA Board in its meeting held on 18.2.2020 for revision in the criteria / method of appointment to the post of Naib Khateeb , Moazzan and khadim and final outcome will be submitted before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Civil Revision No.356/2019**

Muhammad Masroor Khan, etc

#### **Versus**

Saqib Mehmood Khan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
08.	09.5.2022.	Mian Khurram Shahzad, Advocate for Petitioners. Mian Abdul Razzaq, Advocate for Petitioners in C.R.No.372/2019. Mr.Muhammad Asif Khan, Advocate for FGEHA. Mr.Hamza Tariq, Advocate for respondent No.1.

No one has put appearance of behalf of respondent No.1 in connected C.R.No.372/2019 who is subsequent vendee in this case. Let fresh notice be issued to him through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 23.5.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.561-B-2022

Asif Ibrahim *Versus* 

The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties					
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings						
(2)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Zahid	Asf	Chaudhry	&	Mr.Muhammad	Bilal,
		Advocates	for t	he Petitione	r.		
		Mst.Sadia	Sheh	zadi, State (	Couns	el.	
		Complaina	ant in	person.			
		Mr.Amma	d Sha	h, ASI, PS S	hahza	ad Town, Islamaba	ad.

The case has been heard partly. The Investigating Officer is not present. The SHO PS Shahzad Town & Investigating Officer of this case shall appear before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 12.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.562-B-2022

Asif Ibrahim **Versus** 

The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties					
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings						
(2)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Zahid	Asf	Chaudhry	&	Mr.Muhammad	Bilal,
		Advocates	for t	he Petitione	<b>.</b>		
		Mst.Sadia	Sheh	zadi, State (	Couns	el.	
		Complaina	ant in	person.			
		Mr.Ammad Shah, ASI, PS Shahzad Town, Islamabad.					

The case has been heard partly. The Investigating Officer is not present. The SHO PS Shahzad Town & Investigating Officer of this case shall appear before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 12.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.16241/2021

Muhammad Usman, etc **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)	09.5.2022.	Muhammad Latif Saeedi, Advocate for applicant.

Office has raised objection which isreflected at Sr.No.01 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has already been disposed of vide order dated 01.2.2022, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.21631/2021

Ghousia Javaid *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_
(01)	09.5.2022.	Nemo.

Office has raised objections which are reflected at Sr.No.8 (ii) & 19 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has already been disposed of vide order dated 21.12.2021, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objections are sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.16750/2021

Waqar Jamil Malik **Versus** Taimoor Jamil Malik, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	09.5.2022.	Petitioner in person.

•

Office has raised objection which is reflected at Sr.No.32 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has already been decided vide order dated 13.12.2018, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1571/2020**

Syed Wajahat Hussain, etc

#### **Versus**

CDA through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
21.	09.5.2022.	Mr.Sufyan Akram Cheema, Proxy Counsel for applicant.

#### C.M.No.1640/2022.

This is an applications under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of applicant as petitioner in the main writ petition.

- 2. Notice to the other side for reply of instant C.M. Office is directed to fix main case alongwith instant C.M on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 29.6.2022.

#### C.M. No.1641/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.688/2021

Asif Ali

### Versus

Muhammad Akram Qureshi, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties		
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
(7)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Tahir Mehmood Abbasi, Advocate for appellant.		
		Ch.Fayyaz Ahmed Padana, Advocate for respondent		
		No.1.		
		Mr.Haroon ur Rashid & Malik Talat Hussain, Advocates		
		for respondent No.3.		
		Mr.Iqbal Hassan, Advocate for CDA.		
		No one has put appearance on behalf of		
		respondents No.2 & 4. Let fresh notices be issued to		
		respondents No.2 & 4 through registered post A.D and		
		TCS at the cost of appellant.		

2. Adjourned to 13.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3365/2020

Rizwan Hussain **Versus** 

The State, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	09.5.2022.	Mr.Shajar Abbas Hamdani, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.Mehboob Ali, ASI.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.342 dated 25.9.2018 u/s 188 PPC PS Aabpara, Islamabad.

- 2. The Investigating Officer in attendance contends that challan in this case has already been submitted in the Court on 22.11.2020.
- 3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the observation that petitioner may approach the Court of competent jurisdiction at first instance for redressal of his grievances in terms of Sections 249-A Cr.P.C or 265-K Cr.P.C as the case may be and if any such application is filed on behalf of petitioner, the learned trial Court shall decide the same within next four months positively.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.1502/2022

Ishtiaq Ahmad Nasir **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_
(01)	29.4.2022.	Muhammad Ramzan Awan, Advocate for Petitioner.

After arguing the case at some length Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to address the question of maintainability of instant writ petition as effective claim has sought against respondents No.1 & 2, who are situated outside the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

2. Adjourned to 16.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.561-B-2022

Asif Ibrahim

#### Versus

The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	29 4 2022	Muhammad Rilal Advocate for the Petitioner

Notice to the State as well as complainant of the case i.e respondent No.2 for 09.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Civil Revision No.59/2019

Kalsoom Arif etc

#### Versus

The Collector, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(12)	28.4.2022.	Petitioner Muhammad Akhtar in person. Asmat Ullah Khan/respondent No.6 in person.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent No.6 are stated to be busy before other Benches of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on their behalf. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the parties to argue their case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record.

2. Adjourned to 27.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.530/2022

Jumma Khan, etc

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	27.4.2022.	Rana Abid Nazir Khan, Advocate for the Petitioners. Mr.Ashiq Hussain Tarar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr.S.A.Mehmood Khan Sadozai, Advocate for respondent

Sardar Amjad Aslam, Chief Prosecutor, AJK.

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the very outset contends that under the instruction of his clients he intends to withdraw instant writ petition for the time being.

2. **Dismissed** as withdrawn.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.92/2017

### Rakeeb Bibi **Versus**

Sajjad Hussain Shah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
9.	27.4.2022.	Nemo for petitioner. Malik Talat Hussain, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2. Mr.Amir Latif Gill, Advocate for CDA.
		No one has put appearance on behalf of

No one has put appearance on behalf of petitioner. Let notice Pervi be issued to the petitioner as well as her learned counsel. Office shall also inform the learned counsel for petitioner on his telephone number about fixation of this case.

2. Adjourned to **29.4.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.6663/2019

## Muhammad Waheed

#### Versus

M/s Phoenix Security Services Private Limited

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)	27.4.2022.	Nemo.

Office has raised objection which is reflected at Sr.No.32 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main writ petition has already been decided vide order dated 21.5.2018, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.6104/2019

# Amjad Pervaiz **Versus**Labangir Ali Shab, of

Syed Jehangir Ali Shah, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_
(01)	27.4.2022.	Nemo.

Office has raised objection which is reflected at Sr.No.32 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has already been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 03.4.2019, therefore, instant application has become infructuous. Office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Crl.Misc.No.506-B-2022

Muhammad Ikhlaq **Versus**The State, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	27.4.2022	Mr.Asad Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that under the instruction of his client he intends to withdraw instant bail petition without touching the merit of the case.

2. **Dismissed** as withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Objection Case No.2988/2019**

Syed Junaid Arshad **Versus** 

Dr.Jameel, etc

S. No. of Date of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
(01)	27.4.2022	Mr.M.Bilal, Advocate for applicant.	

Office has raised objection which is reflected at Sr.No.37 of the objection sheet.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that instant criminal original has become infructuous, therefore, he does not press the same. Office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1420/2022

Syed Muhammad Mehdi Raza

#### **Versus**

Federal Investigation Agency, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			ties		
1.	22.4.2022.	Raja	Muhammad	Nadeem	Kiani,	Advocates	for
		Petitioner.					

Through instant writ petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to restrain officials of Federal Investigation Agency (FIA), Islamabad from causing illegal harassment to him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner interalia contends that Registrar Cooperative Islamabad vide 19.2.2019 order dated declared the Managing Committee of Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad guilty of doing illegal and irregular practices during their tenure and Circle Registrar was directed to send the subject case to FIA, Islamabad for further forensic audit/inquiry of the documents and determination of amount to be recovered and in view of these direction the officials of FIA harassing the petitioner illegally despite the fact that petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the Managing Committee of Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad and he just signed an agreement of work with the Society. It has further been contended that

action on the part of official of FIA is against the mandate of order dated 19.2.2019 and contrary to law.

- 3. Let pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 23.6.2022.

## C.M. No. 01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.367-B-2022

Muhammad Ali Janjua

### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
05.	20.4.2022.	Mr.Basharat Ullah Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Asad Ullah Raza, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel. Mst.Faryal, SP Investigation, Islamabad. Mr.Zahid Hussain Shah, SHO PS Sihala. Qasim Zia, SI.
		The SP Investigation in attendance is directed to

The SP Investigation in attendance is directed to conclude the investigation of this case personally and submit final conclusion before this Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 25.4.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.910-Q-2022

Arshia Kanwal

Versus

SHO PS Koral, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
<b>03.</b> 22.4.2022.		Mr.Zaheer Ahmed Qadri, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.M.Asif, S.I/I.O.
		Petitioner alongwith his husband Muhammad Imran in
		person.

The I.O in attendance contends that nikahnama of the petitioner with Muhammad Imran has been verified and as per his investigation no abduction is seen, therefore, criminal case registered on the complaint of father of petitioner will not hold field further and cancellation of case FIR No.548 dated 10.3.2022 u/s 365 PPC PS Koral, Islamabad will be recommended in due course of time. The I.O is directed to proceed in accordance with law while considering the statement of petitioner who is *sui juris*, living with her husband and has confirmed this stance before this Court.

2. Instant writ petition stands **DISPOSED OF**, in above terms.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4517/2021

Imtiaz Ali Qureshi *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

10. 22.4.2022. Applicant in person.

### C.M.No.1557/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. Since main case has already been fixed for 11.5.2022, therefore, instant C.M stands disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2337/2020

Saeed ur Rehman *Versus* Full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
0.5	22 4 2022	NA: IZ LIC NI: A AL I C II I	

05. 22.4.2022. Miss.Kashifa Niaz Awan, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.1443/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **06.6.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.510-B-2022

Sardar Wali **Versus**The State at a

The State, etc.

S. No. of Date of		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/ order/		or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings Proceedings			
proceedings	Proceedings		

Notice to the State as well as complainant of the case i.e respondent No.2 for 27.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.506-B-2022

Muhammad Ikhlaq

### **Versus**

The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties		
order/ order/		or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
(1)	22.4.2022.	Mr.Asad Mehmood Oureshi, Advocate for the Petitioner.		

Notice to the State as well as complainant of the case i.e respondent No.2 for 27.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Revision No.56/2019

Amir Riaz Aawan, etc **Versus** Raja Zulqarnain, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
14.	21.4.2022.	Mr.Sheharyar Nawaz Malik, Advocate for Petitioners. Raja Mehtab Afzal, Advocate for respondents No.1. Murtaza Arif Khan, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel. Mr.Nawaz, S.I, PS Koral. Respondents No.1 & 2 in person.			
		Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has			
		concluded his arguments.			
		3. Adjourned to <b>27.4.2022.</b>			

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1410/2022

Khalida Tariq Hamid **Versus** 

District & Sessions Judge, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
743	24 4 2022	M. Claulaus Fauid Oussalei Advanata fan Batitiaus	

(1) 21.4.2022. Mr.Ghulam Farid Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, Petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to the learned trial Court for early conclusion of trial.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that this Court has already passed the direction for early conclusion of trial but despite the direction trial has not yet been concluded and petitioner is facing the agony of trial since long.
- 3. Learned MIT of this Court is directed to requisition the report from the learned trial Court qua progress of the trial of the instant case. Learned trial Court shall also provide time line for early conclusion of the trial.
- 4. Adjourned to 10.5.2022

#### C.M.No.1/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4448/2021

Ch.Farrukh Raza

#### Versus

SP Complaint Cell, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
03.	21.4.2022.	Nemo.

### C.M.No.1528/2022.

This is an applications under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of applicant as respondent in the main writ petition.

2. Notice to the other side for reply of instant C.M for the date already fixed.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.500-B/2022

Muhammad Bilal etc

Versus

The State etc.

S.No. of order/ Proceeding	_	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary.
01	21 / 2022	Mr Zeshan Gohar Advocate for
() (	/ 1 4 / 1 / /	Mr Zesnan Gonar Advocate for

1. 21.4.2022. Mr.Zeshan Gohar, Advocate for Petitioners.

Petitioners in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application, the Petitioners Muhammad Bilal & Asim Ali have prayed for pre-arrest bail in Case FIR No.352 dated 26.2.2022 under Section 365 PPC registered at Police Station Golra, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners have been nominated in this case with malafide and as such they have not committed any offence.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the State as well as respondent No.2 for 26.4.2022.
- 4. In the meanwhile, Petitioners are granted adinterim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- each with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The surety amount may be submitted in cash if so desired. The petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the police as and when required and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.4616/2021**

Muhammad Asad Iqbal, etc

### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
4.	20.4.2022.	Mr.Khalid Ismail, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Mr.Ubaid ur Rehman Zia, Law Officer, NADRA.	

Parawise comments on behalf of respondent NADRA have already been filed. Learned counsel for petitioner intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 09.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.837/2021

Zahoorullah Khan

### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
07	20 4 2022	To be board plant with M.D.No. 2020/2020

**07.** 20.4.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3838/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2961/2021

Attique ur Rehman

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.572/2022

Mehmood Abdul Salam, etc

### Versus

The ICT Cooperative Societies Department, Islamabad, etc

order/ o	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
<b>(3)</b> 2		A Ammar Sehri, Advocate for petitioners. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office. Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Mr.Muhammad Bilal Ibrahim and Ch.Haseeb Muhammad, Advocates for			

All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 11.5.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3838/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.287/2022

Muhammad Siddique

### Versus

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of part or co unsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
04.	20.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3838/2020.	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3838/2020

Ch.Muhammad Tufail, etc

#### **Versus**

The ICT Cooperative Societies Department, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties					
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings						
(12)	20.4.2022.	A Ammar Sehri, Syed Shahbaz Shah, Rana Muhammad Yousaf Siddiqui and Mr.Usman Zakir, Mr.Aftab Alam Yasir, Advocates for petitioners in their respective writ petitions.					
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office.					
		Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for respondents.					
		Mr.Muhammad Bilal Ibrahim and Ch.Haseeb Muhammad, Advocates for respondent No.4.					
		Syed Muhammad Shah, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.3838/2020, W.P.No.491/2021 and in W.P.No.287/2012.					
		Mr.Munir Hussain Bhatti, Advocate for respondents in W.P.No.339, 837, & 2961 of 2021 and W.P.No.287/2022.					
		Ch.Riasat Ali Gondal, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.837/2021.					
		Syed Asad Ali Shah, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.339/2021 and W.P.No.2961/2021.					

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has submitted certified copy of order dated 08.4.2022 passed by Executing Court whereby objections against the award have been dismissed being not maintainable. Parties are directed to place on record certified copy of award which has been made rule of Court and argue their case viz-a-viz maintainability of instant writ petition.

2. Adjourned to 11.5.2022.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3206/2019

Ashraf Masih Matoo **Versus** CDA, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(9)	19.4.2022.	Ch.Muhammad Junaid Akhtar, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Ch.Manzoor Ahmad Kamboh, Advocate for respondents
		No.3 & 4.

Mr.Intizar Hussain Panjutha, Advocate for CDA.

After hearing the parties at length, learned counsel for respondents No. 3 & 4 contends that he has no connection whatsoever with disputed plots No.100-A & 100-B which are subject matter of instant writ petition. Learned counsel for CDA in attendance acknowledges that these two plots were allotted to the petitioner and CDA is ready to hand over the possession of these two plots in accordance with their own policy and law to the eligible allottee/petitioner.

2. In view of above instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the CDA to hand over the subject plots to the petitioner by all means within a period of 07 days after receipt of copy of this order.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

C.M.No.3857/2019 in

Crl.Org.No.160/2012

Ch.Muhammad Gulzar Gujjar *Versus* Amer Ali Ahmed, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

19.4.2022. Nemo for applicant.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the applicant. The name of the learned counsel for the applicant is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that applicant is no more interested in this case, therefore, instant application is *Dismissed* for non-prosecution.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Misc.No.419-B-2022

Khaliq ur Rehman

### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	19.4.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Sohail Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mst.Tahira Hira, A.D-FIA. Mr.Fakhar Abbas, S.I.

The I.O, in attendance contends that complainant could not appear, however, she needs some time to engage a counsel.

2. Adjourned to 25.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1341/2022

Oil & Gas Development Company Limited **Versus** 

Qamar Zaman Khan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	19.4.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 15.3.2022 passed by learned Single Bench of NIRC, Islamabad, whereby respondent No.1 who was dismissed from service has been reinstated into service with all back benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia 2. contends the petitioner assailed the impugned order before Full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad in Appeal No.12 (A)70/2022 but till date Full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad is not available which persuaded the petitioner to file Constitution petition before this Court. It has been argued that respondent No.1 was appointed on work charge basis and his services were terminated on 15.7.2015 on the ground of misconduct but learned Single Bench of NIRC has committed error while endorsing the conduct of respondent No.1 on single ground that a regular enquiry was not conducted at the time of termination of services of respondent No.1 which was not required on work charge basis. It has further been contended that in the previous round of

litigation this Court has passed the direction in W.P.No.1028/2018 vide order dated 10.8.2021 to adjudicate the question of service of grievance notice but said direction has not been complied with in letter and spirit.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to respondent No.1 through registered postA.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to **10.6.2022.**

### C.M. No.1/2022.

Notice. In the meanwhile impugned order dated 15.3.2022 shall remain suspended till the next date of hearing or till the availability of full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad whichever is earlier.

### C.M. No.2/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1197/2022

Haider Abbas Mujahid

#### **Versus**

Learned Additional District Judge, Islamabad, etc

	order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
,	ໍ າ	19 / 2022	Murtaza Zahid Malik Advocato for the Potitioner

**.** 18.4.2022. Murtaza Zahid Malik, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that Petitioner is ready to hand over the possession of the subject premises to respondent No.3/landlord, however, he needs time till 22.4.2022 to vacate the same subject to payment of due rent and clearance of all the utility bills. When confronted learned counsel for respondent No.3 contends that he has no objection, if request of learned counsel for petition is accepted.

2. Adjourned to 25.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2529/2021**

Mehnaz Rashid

#### **Versus**

Force Commander ANF/RD, Islamabad, etc

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
	10 4 2022	My Opingy Inspire Charadhay, Advanta for Datitions

18.4.2022.

Mr.Qaisar Imam Chaudhry, Advocate for Petitioner. Sahibzada Said ul Ameen, SPP ANF.

The Special Prosecutor ANF in attendance has submitted inquiry report in sealed envelope which has been de-sealed in the Court and inquiry report has been placed on record which reveals that certain disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against the delinquent official. It is expected from the respondent department to proceed in accordance with law.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.30/2022

Ghulam Safdar **Versus**Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	18.4.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant. Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.

The Assistant Director Law, EOBI, in attendance has been confronted with the order dated 29.3.2022 passed by Apex Court in C.P.No.6286 to 6295 of 2021 whereby he acknowledges that order passed by this Court has been maintained with certain observations, the copy of the said order has been placed on record. He undertakes that judgment dated 23.12.2020 passed by this Court in W.P.No.4455/2018 shall be complied with in letter and spirit.

- 2. In view of above, the Director Accounts, EOBI, Islamabad is directed to release the pensionary benefits of the applicant before the next date of hearing by all means and submit compliance report before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.4.2022.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.28/2022

# Sajjad Hussain **Versus**

Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	18.4.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant. Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.

The Assistant Director Law, EOBI, in attendance has been confronted with the order dated 29.3.2022 passed by Apex Court in C.P.No.6286 to 6295 of 2021 whereby he acknowledges that order passed by this Court has been maintained with certain observations, the copy of the said order has been placed on record. He undertakes that judgment dated 23.12.2020 passed by this Court in W.P.No.906/2020 shall be complied with in letter and spirit.

- 2. In view of above, the Director Accounts, EOBI, Islamabad is directed to release the pensionary benefits of the applicant before the next date of hearing by all means and submit compliance report before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.4.2022.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.27/2022

Mirza Raees Baig Versus Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	18.4.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant.  Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), FORI

Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBL

The Assistant Director Law, EOBI, in attendance has been confronted with the order dated 29.3.2022 passed by Apex Court in C.P.No.6286 to 6295 of 2021 whereby he acknowledges that order passed by this Court has been maintained with certain observations, the copy of the said order has been placed on record. He undertakes that judgment dated 23.12.2020 passed by this Court in W.P.No.3186/2019 shall be complied with in letter and spirit.

- In view of above, the Director Accounts, EOBI, Islamabad is directed to release the pensionary benefits of the applicant before the next date of hearing by all means and submit compliance report before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- Adjourned to 27.4.2022. 3.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.26/2022

Malik Muhammad Khan *Versus* 

Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
03.	18.4.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant.	
		Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.	

The Assistant Director Law, EOBI, in attendance has been confronted with the order dated 29.3.2022

whereby he acknowledges that order passed by this Court has been maintained with certain observations,

passed by Apex Court in C.P.No.6286 to 6295 of 2021

the copy of the said order has been placed on record.

He undertakes that judgment dated 23.12.2020 passed

by this Court in W.P.No.1538/2020 shall be complied

with in letter and spirit.

- 2. In view of above, the Director Accounts, EOBI, Islamabad is directed to release the pensionary benefits of the applicant before the next date of hearing by all means and submit compliance report before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.25/2022

# Aftab Ahmed **Versus**

Shakeel Ahmed Mangnejo, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
03.	18.4.2022.	Raja Muhammad Rafique Janjua, Advocate for applicant. Abdul Rehman, Assistant Director (Law), EOBI.	

The Assistant Director Law, EOBI, in attendance has been confronted with the order dated 29.3.2022 passed by Apex Court in C.P.No.6286 to 6295 of 2021 whereby he acknowledges that order passed by this Court has been maintained with certain observations, the copy of the said order has been placed on record. He undertakes that judgment dated 23.12.2020 passed by this Court in W.P.No.41/2020 shall be complied with

- 2. In view of above, the Director Accounts, EOBI, Islamabad is directed to release the pensionary benefits of the applicant before the next date of hearing by all means and submit compliance report before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.4.2022.

in letter and spirit.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.188/2019

Rafaqat Hussain Shah Versus Aamir Ahmad Ali, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
13.	18.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.424/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.4025/2019

Azra Batool Kazmi **Versus** 

Ex-Efficio Justice of Peace, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
13.	18.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.424/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.424/2020

Darbar/Khanqa Baba Syed Muqeem Shah Kazmi, etc **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
13.	18.4.2022.	Raja M.Aleem Khan Abbasi, Syed Mujtaba Haider Sherazi and Mst.Azra Batool Kazmi, Advocates for Petitioners in their respective writ petitions. Hafiz M.Anees Usman & Mr.Asif Saeed Mughal, Advocates for Petitioner/Anjuman Darbar-e- Aalia in W.P.No.3557/2002. Mr.Amir Latif Gill, Advocate for CDA. Ch.Abrar Hussain, Advocate for respondent No.8. Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr.Izrar Ali, Advocate for respondent No.9. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Cousnel. Mr.Ghulam Murtaza Haral, S.I PS Shalimar, Islamabad.		

All the parties have concluded their arguments except Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch, Advocate who is appearing on behalf of respondent No.9 and intends to argue his case on the next date of hearing after perusing the report submitted by CDA.

2. Adjourned to 21.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.106/2020

Irum Masood etc *Versus*M/o Information, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
13	13 4 2022	Mr Aftah Rashid Butt Advocate for Petitioners

Mr.Shahid Mehmood Khokhar & Mr.Musharaf Khan,
Advocates for respondents.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

After hearing the parties, it appears that petitioners have raised issue of correction of their seniority viz-a-viz promotion to the post of Special Assistant Grade-III with respondents No.5 to 11 in this writ petition whereby learned counsel for respondents has drawn the attention of this Court towards letter dated 18.6.2013 which show removal of anomalies in regularization process including TORS of the Screening Committee at page 30 of the additional documents whereby Screening Committee has recommended the respondents No.05 to 11 to give their seniority w.e.f 01.7.2012 and at the end of Screening Committee recommendations it has been specifically referred in para vi to convene a meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) without further delay to take up promotion cases across the board, therefore, learned counsel for respondents show record of the Departmental Promotion

Committee (DPC) where the rights of respondents No.5 to 11 have been considered and give effect.

2. Adjourned to 23.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.6/2021

Riffat Perveen **Versus**Amir Ali Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	13.4.2022.	Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, Advocate for applicant.
		Mr.Amir Latif Gill, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for CDA in attendance contends that order dated 26.6.2019 passed by this Court in W.P.No.4908/2018 has been complied with and necessary amendment in allotment letter dated 09.07.1999, issued by CDA has been made.

2. In view of above, instant criminal original has borne fruit, therefore, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.3759/2021**

Muhammad Akram (late) through his legal heirs **Versus** 

Mst.Surriya Sheryar, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	13.4.2022.	Sheikh Junaid Nadeem, Advocate for Petitioner.

Sheikii Junala Nadeem, Advocate for Fetitioner.

Learned counsel for the Petitioners at the very outset contends that main case has already been decided by the learned trial Court on 28.2.2022, therefore, instant writ petition has become infructuous, disposed of accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.491/2021

Khalid Masood

#### Versus

Capital Development Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
7.	13.4.2022.	Mr.Shahzad Kiani, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Syed Muhammad Shah, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

- 2. Office is directed to serve notice upon respondent No.12 for his representation before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 29.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2042/2020

Ahmer Waheed Sadiq, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07.	13.4.2022.	Raja Zubair Hussain Jarral, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen, Advocate for
		respondents No.12 to 21, 23, 24, 26 to 28.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG for respondents
		No.2 to 3.
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, Advocate for respondent
		No.4.
		Hafiz S.A. Rehman and Hafiz Hifz-ur-Rehman Syed,
		Advocates for respondent No.5.
		Mr.M.Amir Naseem, Protector of Employments BE & OE.

After hearing the parties at some length, it appears that appointment of respondent No.5 has already been assailed in previous W.P.No.3132/2013 which was decided by this Court vide judgment dated 10.11.2015 against which ICA No.514/2015 is pending adjudication before Honorable Division Bench-V of this Court and has been fixed for hearing for 26.4.2022.

- 2. In view of above, it is appropriate that instant writ petition may be heard and decided by one and the same Bench in order to avoid conflicting judgments.
- 3. Office is directed to place the instant matter before Honourable Chief Justice for appropriate order.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No127/2020

Umer Ahmed Khan *Versus* Additional District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceeding	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	13.4.2022.	Mr.Shahzad Kiyani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr. Zafar Haider, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.2.

Mr. Imran Ali Malik, Advocate for respondents No.3 & 4.

Pespondents No.5 to 8 have not yet been

Respondents No.5 to 8 have not yet been served. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.5 to 8 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 22.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.583/2018

Wajib Hussain etc

### Versus

Naval Anchorage Housing society, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	12.4.2022	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Mr.Waqar Ahmed, Advocate for respondent No.1.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.2 & 3 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 09.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1029/2021

Malik Zareen Akhtar

#### Versus

Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad through its C.E.O, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.799/2022

Zulfigar Ahmed Malik

#### **Versus**

Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad through its Mayor, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.4141/2021

M/s Creative Electronics and Automation

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4140/2021

M/s Unichem Pharmaceuticals Pakistan Private Limited

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4139/2021

M/s Hygeia Pharmaceuticals

### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.4138/2021

M/s Paramount Pharmaceuticals

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3518/2021

M/s Beverage Plus Private Limited

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3516/2021

M/s Haidri Beverages Private Limited

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	12.4.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2434/2021**

M/s Valor Pharmaceuticals, Islamabad **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				
(5)	12.4.2022.	Mr.Ahmad Shahzad Awan, Advocate for the Petitioner.				
		Barrister Afan Khan for petitioner in W.P.No.1029/2022.				
		Nemo for petitioner in W.P.No.1029/2022.				
		Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch for CDA.				
		M.Atif Khokhar and Mst.Tania Bazai, Advocates for MCI				
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief				
		Commissioner Office.				
		Muhammad Hamza Shafqat, D.C, ICT.				
		Rana Shakeel, Member Finance, CDA.				

The Deputy Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad alongwith his counsel has put appearance and contends that authority will issue revised notices before the next date of hearing. It is further contended that MCI authority is in process of consultation with the Ministry of Interior, Islamabad, in order to resolve other issues highlighted in the judgment passed by this Court in W.P.No.4046/2021.

2. Adjourned to 23.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.36/2022

Muhammad Safiullah Khan

**Versus** 

Muhammad Hamza Shafqat

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
02.	12.4.2022.	Mr.Javed Iqbal Khan Niazi, Advocate for applicant.					
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief					
		Commissioner Office.					
		Muhammad I	Muhammad Hamza Shafqat/respondent in person.				

Learned State Counsel alongwith respondent has put appearance and submitted notification dated 21.10.2021 and contends that Managing Committee of the Society has already been dissolved and Administrator of the Society has been appointed. Copy of the referred notification has been placed on record.

2. In view of above, instant criminal original has borne fruit, therefore, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3500/2019

Shakeel Anwar, etc **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(7)	12.4.2022.	Mr.Khalil ur Rehman Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Mr. Habib Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for PTCL.

Learned counsel for respondent PTCL in attendance contends that parawise comments are ready which shall be filed in the office today, however, copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.359-B-2022

Jehangir Alam **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	12.4.2022.	Mr.Raz Ali Shah, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.Naseer Ahmed, ASI PS Tarnool.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that petitioner is suffering with Covid-19 and produce copy of the medical test which has been handed over to the I.O present in the Court for verification.

2. Adjourned to 26.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1279/2022

Sabiha Laiq

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				ties		
(1)	12.4.2022.	Mr.Saeed Petitioner.	Khurshid	Ahmed,	Proxy	Counsel	for	the

Learned counsel for the petitioner is stated to be busy before Lahore High Court, Rawalpindi Bench and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 13.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

<u>M.S.Zaki.</u>

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Crl.Appeal No.168/2021**

Akhtar Nawaz

#### **Versus**

Ijaz Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	12.4.2022.	Appellant in person.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

No one is in attendance on behalf of SHO PS Sabzi Mandi, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to SHO PS Sabzi Mandi, Islamabad with direction to ensure the presence of respondent No.1 before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.212/2019

Muhammad Ibrahim **Versus**Tahira Jan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
(7)	12.4.2022	Mian Nadeem Aziz Jatala, Advocate for Petitioner.		
		Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondent No.1.		
		Barrister Talha Ilyas Sheikh for CDA.		
		Mr.Shabbir Hussain, husband of respondent No.1 in		
		person.		

After arguing the case at some length both the parties are in agreement to settle the issue outside the Court as husband of respondent No.1 contends that due to multiple litigation initiated by the petitioner in different Courts his family has suffered huge financial loss, therefore, time is required to negotiate the issue.

2. Adjourned to 18.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4242/2021

Yassa Khan

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
(6)	06.6.2022.	Mr.Waheed ur Rehman Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Umer Shahzad, Advocate, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.3. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel. Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.		

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Absolute last opportunity is granted to respondent No.3 to argue his case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record and after hearing the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 10.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1226/2022

Saeed Ullah, etc

#### Versus

Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	rioceeuiigs	
(1)	08.4.2022.	Barrister Umer Aslam for the Petitioner.

> Through this writ petition, the Petitioners have prayed for implementation of order dated 17.5.2021 passed by Federal Land Commission, Islamabad in exercise of its powers under Land Reforms Act, 1977 read with other enabling provisions including the functions delegated to the Commission by law whereby land has been allotted to the Petitioners but said order has not been implemented till date.

> Learned counsel for the Petitioners interalia contends that no justiciable reason has been put forward by respondent department for non implementation of order of Federal Land Commission, Islamabad which itself is denial of petitioners' rights to enjoy their property. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that as per his information above referred order has been assailed before Honorable Lahore High Court, Multan Bench but no restraining order has been passed by the said Court, therefore, order dated 17.5.2021 is required to be implemented in its letter and spirit.

- 3. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioners. Office is directed to seek report from the Land Commission as well as Chief Land Commissioner, Punjab, Lahore qua any pending litigation of the subject matter.
- 4. Adjourned to 01.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### R.F.A.No.161/2022

M/s Travel Access & Tours, Islamabad

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Waqas Khan

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(3)	05.4.2022.	Malik Nazir Ahmed, Advocate for appellant.

### C.M.No.346/2022.

Through instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw R.F.A.No161/2022 with permission to file a fresh, if required. C.M is allowed.

2. R.F.A.No.161/2022 is *dismissed as* withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3389/2021

Muhammad Nawaz **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(05)	08.4.2022.	Hafiz Liagat Manzoor Kamboh, Advocate for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to clear the objection on his newly filed application which has not been fixed today.

2. Adjourned to 15.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.M.No.812/2021 <u>in</u>

**Civil Suit No.131/2011** 

Ashiq Hussain **Versus** 

Central Depository Company, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	08.4.2022.	Mr.Omer Hamid Piracha, Advocate for applicant.

Mr.Omer Hamid Piracha, Advocate for applicant.

Learned counsel for applicant in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

- 2. Let fresh notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant who is directed to deposit process fee within three days.
- Adjourned to 02.6.2022. 2.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.359-B-2022

Jahangir Alam Versus The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

02. 07.4.2022.

Mr.Raz Ali Shah, Proxy Counsel for the petitioner. Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel. Mr.Naseer Ahmed, ASI PS Tarnool.

Learned Proxy Counsel contends that principal counsel for the petitioner is busy before Apex Court and requests for adjournment. He further contends that principal counsel is also unable to appear before the Court on tomorrow, therefore, on his request case is adjourned to **11.4.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.779/2022

Muhammad Ammas Khan

#### **Versus**

Inspector General of Police, ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(03)	07.4.2022.	Sardar Shabbir Hussain, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Mr.Sher Afzal Khan Marwat, Advocate for respondents.	
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.	
		Muhammad Riaz, Inspector Legal.	

Both the counsel have tried to raise their concern about the Bench as well as against each other qua their conduct but this Court restrained them from placing their apprehensions as it will create bad taste between Bar and Bench. While considering the conduct of both the Counsel, it is appropriate that I recuse myself from hearing this case. Learned State Counsel at this point has pointed out that a criminal miscellaneous is pending with Honorable Single Bench-VIII of this Court qua the same parties in same criminal case, therefore, instant matter be placed before Honourable Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1209/2022

Malik Intizar Hussain Khokhar, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	07.4.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen & Mr.Muhammad
		Unanio Dala da Adria atan fan Datition and

Umair Baloch, Advocates for Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the petitioners who are Law Officers duly appointed through notification dated 18.7.2019, issued by Law and Justice Division, Islamabad, are apprehending that a summary has been prepared and processed by the Law Division for withdrawal of their positions though same has not yet been notified by the previous Government.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners ,interalia, contends that impugned action of the respondent authority is illegal, unlawful and arbitrary against the rights of the petitioners and is in violation of law laid down by the Apex Court in a case titled "Khawaja Muhammad Asif Versus Federation of Pakistan, etc (2013 SCMR 1205) wherein it has been held that caretaker Government can only perform day to day affairs and is not legally authorized to terminate services of any person or assumed the charge or any action which is in permanent nature. It is further

contended that Fawad Chaudhry, Ex-Minister of previous Government instructed the Law Division to terminate the services of law officers who are performing their duties till date.

3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondent through special messenger as well as through telephonic mode at the cost of petitioners. The Secretary, Ministry of Law and Justice, Islamabad is directed to depute an officer not below the rank of Additional Secretary to appear in person before the Court alongwith record qua any summary which has been impugned before this Court through instant writ petition who shall also explain the stance and reasons if any for preparing and processing the summary referred above.

4. Adjourned to 08.4.2022.

#### C.M.No.1/2022.

Notice.

#### C.M. No.2/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.946/2022

Sohail Anjum

#### Versus

Anti Narcotics Force, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or
order/	order/	counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02	05 4 2022	My Orean Harrid Divades Advanta for the Datition or

**02.** 05.4.2022. Mr.Omer Hamid Piracha, Advocate for the Petitioner.

As per office report notices issued to the respondents have not yet been received back.

- 2. In view of above, office is directed to call the concerned official of Anti Narcotics Force for his appearance before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 14.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.484/2022

Syed Manzoor Hussain

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	•	Y.
02.	05.4.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Ishtiaq Ahmed Raja, Advocate for the Petitioner.
		Mr.Saad Rasool, Advocae for respondent No.2. Hafiz Muhammad Atif Khokhar, Advocate for MCI. Mr.Imran Khan Niazi, Advocate for respondent No.3.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 & 7.

- 2. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.4 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner whereas respondent No.7 be served through special messenger at the cost of petitioner. Respondents present before the Court are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.
- 3. Adjourned to 23.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.298-B-2022

Shafi Ullah **Versus**The State, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	5.4.2022.	Raja Saqlain, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Muhammad Saqib Bhatti, Advocate for respondent
		No.2.

Miss.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

Mr.Zafar Abbas, S.I.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 13.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.380/2021

Muhammad Jamil Qureshi **Versus** 

Director General, Intelligence Bureau Headquarter, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

5.4.2022. Nemo for petitioner.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The name of the learned counsel for the Petitioner is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that petitioner is no more interested in the *lis*.

2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1154/2022

Syed Ulfat Hussain Shah

#### **Versus**

Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	05.4.2022.	Petitioner in person.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner in person contends that his land measuring 02 kanals and 10 Marlas has been acquired by NHA for motorway project in year 1997 but till date compensation has not been released. He further contends that he approached the office of NHA and Chief Commissioner Office, Islamabad but despite his best efforts not a single penny has been released in his favour. He further contends that he has been verbally informed that land in question is subject matter of proceedings before the Chief Commissioner Court/Board of Revenue and to that extent report dated 12.12.2005 has also been appended but he is unable to approach the revenue authority.

2. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondents through special messenger at the expense of the petitioner. The Chief Commissioner Office, Islamabad shall provide details of Mutation No.4599 & 6759, Khasra No.310, Khewat No.252, Mouza Jhangi Syedan, Islamabad. The NHA authority is also directed to submit a concise report

qua acquisition of land referred above and details of payment if any,

3. Adjourned to **09.6.2022.** 

## C.M. No.1/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.4043/2020

Gulshan Shahzadi, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
	04.4.2022.	Raja Zubair Hussain Jarral, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Shasher Khan, Supdt.Legal, PIMS.
		Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.

Through this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for regularization of their services in the respondent department.

- 2. After arguing the case at some length, learned counsel for the Petitioners feels satisfied if a direction be issued to the respondent authority to place the cases of the petitioners for regularization of their services before the Board of Governors of Medical Teaching Institute, Islamabad while considering the judgment reported as 

  2019 PLC CS Note 19 (Imran Ahmed Versus Federation of Pakistan, etc.). He further contends that petitioners have served almost 10 to 12 years on regular basis and even project in which petitioners are performing their duties has been converted from development to non-development side vide letter dated 20.12.2017, therefore, petitioners are entitled for regularization of their services.
- 3. Learned AAG alongwith officials of PIMS and Ministry of NHSR & C have no objection for placing the

cases of petitioners before Board of Governors of Medical Teaching Institute, Islamabad.

4. In view of above position, instant writ petition stand *disposed of* with the direction to the respondent authority to place the matter of the Petitioners for regularization of their services before the Board of Governors of Medical Teaching Institute, Islamabad who consider the case of petitioners in the light of Imran Ahmed Case referred above and decide the fate of petitioners under the law within a period of three months after receipt of copy of this order.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.19449/2022

Muhammad Shabbir, etc **Versus** Arif Ahmed Khan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)	31.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen, Advocate for applicant.

Office has raised objection which is reflected at Sr.No.33 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has been withdrawn today, even learned counsel for the applicant has not rebutted the office objection, therefore, same is hereby sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.8443/2021

#### Zeshan Hussain etc

#### **Versus**

Deputy Collector/Deputy Commissioner, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	31.3.2022.	Mr.Ghulam Muhammad Khan, Advocate for applicant.

Office has raised objection at Sr.No.7, 17, 26 &

27 of the objection sheet.

2. Since main case has already been disposed of , therefore, learned counsel for the applicant does not press the instant C.M. Office objections are sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

#### <u>ORDER SHEET.</u>

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1450/2021

Zeeshan Hussain, etc

#### **Versus**

The Deputy Collector Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	31.3.2022.	Mr.Ghulam Muhammad Khan, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Raja Hassan Zulqarnain, Advocate on behalf of ICT.

After arguing the case at some length, learned counsel for the Petitioners feels satisfied if instant writ petition is converted into a representation and sent to the Deputy Collector/Deputy Commissioner, Islamabad for adjudication of their rights while considering revenue record as well as demarcation reports of land in terms of Land Revenue Act, 1967 and other enabling provisions of law.

2. Be that as it may, instant writ petition is converted into a representation and office is directed to transmit the same alongwith all its annexures to the Deputy Collector/Deputy Commissioner, Islamabad who shall afford personal hearing to the Petitioners and decide the questions raised in the instant writ petition, in accordance with law who shall also consider the previous order of this Court <u>dated 06.11.2018</u>

<u>passed in W.P.No.1169/2016 titled Muhammad</u>

<u>Abbas Versus the Deputy Collector/Deputy</u>

<u>Commissioner, Islamabad, etc and W.P.No.4633/2016 titled Nasir Mehmood etc</u>

<u>Versus the Deputy Collector/Deputy</u>

**Commissioner, Islamabad, etc** with reference to protect, preserve the property of waqaf Rafa-e-Ama land in Mouza Rawat. However, in case of any adverse order, petitioners may seek appropriate remedy under the law before appropriate forum.

3. Instant writ petition stands <u>disposed of.</u>

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.906/2012**

Anjum Naveed, etc Versus

Managing Director, PTVC, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	31.3.2022.	Petitioner Anjum Naveed in person.

Mr.Qamar Inayat Raja, Advocate for respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to **06.4.2022**. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the petitioners to argue his case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record and after hearing the learned counsel for the respondents.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3163/2021

Maqbool Ahmed, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of Date of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
04	30 3 2022	To be heard alongwith W.P.No. 993/2021	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.1722/2021

Syed Qamar Hussain Rizvi, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	rioceeuiigs		
07.	08 6 2022	To be heard alongwith W.P.No. 993/2021	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.993/2021

Sharafat Ali etc

**Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(6)	30.3.2022.	Mr.Umair Ahmed Zahoor, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners.
		Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Proxy Counsel for respondents.

Both the parties have made request for adjournment. On joint request matter is adjourned to 08.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3560/2019**

Syed Tatheer Hussain Shah

Versus

CDA, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

05. 30.3.2022.

Mr.Raza Ali Shah, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Javed Iqbal Wains, Advocate for CDA.

Perusal of record reveals that respondents have not filed parawise comments despite repeated direction of this Court whereas issue relates to the new electricity connection in the Mouza Shah Allah Ditta which is not acquired by the CDA. Petitioner intends to install electricity connection on his personal property. All the respondents are directed to file their parawise comments before the next date of hearing positively.

2. Adjourned to 11.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.361/2019

Ghulam Asghar Sohu *Versus* ZTBL, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties			
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.			
proceedings	Proceedings				
08.	30.3.2022.	Mr. Abdul Rehman Khan, Advocate for Petitioner.			

Mr.Shafiq ur Rehman Dab, Advocate for respondents.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that he needs some time to further document the petition in order to assist this Court

2. Adjourned to 31.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.07/2019

Zulfiqar Ahmed Khan **Versus** Mehrban, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parti or counsel where necessary.			
· ·	Proceedings	,			

30.3.2022.

Mr.Zahid Ayub Rathore, Advocate for Petitioner. Syed Ali Imad ul Islam, Advocate for respondents.

After arguing the case at some length learned counsel for the Petitioner intends to withdraw instant civil revision.

2. **Dismissed** as withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Crl.Appeal No.390/2019**

Qasim Ali **Versus** 

Malik Muhammad Yousaf, etc.

ord	lo. of er/ ceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	(09)	06.4.2022	Appellant in person.
			Ch.Zubair Iqbal Jatori, Advocate for respondent No.1.
			Respondent No.1, Malik Muhammad Yousaf in person.
			Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
			Mr.Murtaza Haral, SI PS Shalimar, Islamabad.

Respondent No.1 i.e Malik Muhammad Yousaf in attendance contends that he has deposited the amount of Rs.300000/- in favour of the appellant/complainant of the case and as such offence is compoundable in terms of Section 345 Cr.P.C, therefore, at this stage appellant in attendance is directed to receive the amount from the office of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court subject to his verification.

2. In view of above, instant appeal is *disposed*of in the manner stated above. Charge against the respondent No.1 stands concluded. File be consigned to record room.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.785/2022

Kausar jehan, etc **Versus** 

Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	29.3.2022.	Sheikh Muhammad Khizar ur Rashid, Advocate for Petitioners. Mr.Asad Ladha, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.

Respondents are directed to file their parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 13.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.787/2022

Lt.General ® Saeed Qadir **Versus** 

Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		with signatu nsel where r		_	e and th	at of part	ies
2.	29.3.2022.		Muhammad	Khizar	ur	Rashid,	Advocate	for
		Petitioner.						
		Mr.Asad Ladha, Advocate for respondent No.2.						
		Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.						

Respondents are directed to file their parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 13.6.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.785/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.62/2021

Muhammad Asghar Gondal, etc **Versus** Dr.Tariq Banuri, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		with signatunsel where r		_	e and th	at of part	ies
09.	29.3.2022.	Sheikh applicar	Muhammad nts.	Khizar	ur	Rashid,	Advocate	for
		Mr.Asad Ladha, Advocate for respondent No.1 Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.						

Since main writ petition has become infructuos and disposed of accordingly, therefore, instant criminal original has also become infructuous and *disposed of* accordintly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2534/2018

Muhammad Asghar Gondal, etc **Versus** 

Sir Syed Memorial Society, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		with signatunsel where i		_	e and th	at of part	ies
26.	29.3.2022.		Muhammad	Khizar	ur	Rashid,	Advocate	for
		Petitioners.						
		Mr.Asad Ladha, Advocate for respondent No.1.						
		Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.						

After hearing the parties at some length it has been observed that instant writ petition had been filed prior to the new election of the Sir Syed Memorial Society, Islamabad which have now been conducted and notified vide office order dated 22.6.2021 whereby said elections and office order have been challenged separately through W.P.Nos.785 & 787 of 2022 on multiple grounds, therefore, instant writ petition has become infructuous, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.197/2021

Kausar Jehan etc **Versus** 

The Registrar Societies, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					ies	
12.	29.3.2022.		Muhammad	Khizar	ur	Rashid,	Advocate	for
		Petitioners.						
		Mr.Asad Ladha, Advocate for respondent No.2.						
		Mr.Muni	b Ahmed, Sta	ite Coun	sel.	-		

After hearing the parties at some length it has been observed that instant writ petition had been filed prior to the new election of the Sir Syed Memorial Society, Islamabad which have now been conducted and notified vide office order dated 22.6.2021 whereby said elections and office order have been challenged separately through W.P.Nos.785 & 787 of 2022 on multiple grounds, therefore, instant writ petition has become infructuous, therefore, disposed of accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.550/2020

Kalsoom Sadiq

#### **Versus**

Assistant Director HR-II (F) FDE, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	29.3.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.S.M.Rehan, Deputy Director Legal, FDE.

No one is in attendance on behalf of petitioner. Office is directed to issue notice to the petitioner at her official address i.e Islamabad Model College for Girls, Mohra Nagial (F.A), Islamabad. The official present in the Court shall also inform the petitioner to appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 19.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4158/2019

Mumraiz Khan, etc

#### **Versus**

Mst.Zahid Aslam, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings						
05.	29.3.2022.	Syed Muhammad Ali Bokhari, Advocate for petitioner.					
		Mr.Rifaqat Islam Awan, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.					

Learned State Counsel in attendance is directed to produce the record of the subject property and assist this Court on the issue agitated through instant writ petition on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 16.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.730/2021

Muhammad Rasheed

#### Versus

Yasmin Akhtar, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	29.3.2022.	Mst.Zareen Kanwal, Advocate for appellant.
		Mr.Ali Ijaz Buttar, Advocate for CDA. Mr.Munib Ahmed, State Counsel.

All the respondents are in attendance. Learned Counsel for appellant requests for adjournment to prepare her brief.

2. Adjourned to 23.5.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.444/2021

Malik Muhammad Arif (deceased) through legal heirs **Versus** 

Hamid Saeed etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or
order/	order/	counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	28.3.2022.	Ch.Sajid Abdullah Sraa, Advocate for appellant.

Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocates for respondent No.1.

Learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.1, is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 08.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4668/2021

Ghulam Mustafa

#### Versus

Utility Stores Corporation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(3)	07.6.2022.	Mirza Muhammad Afzal, Advocate for Petitioner.

Raja Zamir ur Din, Advocate for respondent No.1.

After hearing the parties, it appears that petitioner who was served recovery notice dated 11.1.2017 for the recovery of Rs.1274696/- whereby he filed departmental appeal against the said recovery notice which was not decided and petitioner approached NIRC, Islamabad who passed a direction on 05.11.2018 as a result thereof departmental appeal of the petitioner was decided in negative. Thereafter petitioner issued a grievance notice dated 23.11.2018 but same was not responded then petitioner approached NIRC, Islamabad through grievance petition which was dismissed by both the Benches on the question of limitation. The entire issue revolves around the question as to whether filing of departmental appeal is to be considered as accrued of cause of action for the purpose of issuance of grievance notice or final order of NIRC is to be considered for the purpose of grievance notice when direction was passed for decision on departmental appeal. Parties are directed to address this question on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 29.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4235/2021

Tariq Mehmood Khan Versus

Vertex International/M/s Skyline Development, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

03. 28.3.2022. Mr.Nazar Iqbal, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 02.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.414/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi

### Versus

Abdul Rasheed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	21.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Yasir Azeem Khan & Mr.Muhammad Azim, Advocates for respondent.

Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant. Learned counsel for appellant is directed to come prepared on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3609/2021

Multi Professional Co-operative Housing Society Versus

Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, , etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	21.3.2022.	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Saqib Bhatti, Advocate for respondent No.4. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, ICT, Islamabad. Mr.Muhammad Muzaffar Khan Lashari, husband of respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4, in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief. He is directed to file concise report in this case before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 11.5.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### W.P. No.2377/2008

Citi Council for Welfare

#### Versus

Capital Development Authority, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
	21.3.2022.	Nemo for petitioner. Mst.Shaista Tabussam Khan Sultanpuri and Mst.Kashifa Niaz Awan, Advocates for CDA. Mr.Bilal, Deputy Director Environment, CDA.

Written request for adjournment has been made on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2921/2019

Raja Tahir Mehmood *Versus* Chief Commissioner, Islamabad, etc

order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
07.	21.3.2022.	Mr.Farooq Sulehria, , Advocates for Petitioner. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, ICT, Islamabad. Ali Javed, Tehsildar. Muhammad Abbas Goraya, Halqa Patwari.
		Learned State counsel in attendance contends
		that in compliance of order dated 21.2.2022, report is

that in compliance of order dated 21.2.2022, report is ready which shall be filed in the office today, however, copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for petitioner who intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties

2. Adjourned to 23.5.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

S. No. of

Date of

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.411/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi

### Versus

Abdul Rasheed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	21.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Yasir Azeem Khan & Mr.Muhammad Azim, Advocates for respondent.

Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant. Learned counsel for appellant is directed to come prepared on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.410/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi

### Versus

Imtiaz Ahmed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	21.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Yasir Azeem Khan & Mr.Muhammad Azim, Advocates for respondent.

Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant. Learned counsel for appellant is directed to come prepared on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.412/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi

### Versus

**Imtiaz Ahmed** 

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	21.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Yasir Azeem Khan & Mr.Muhammad Azim, Advocates for respondent.

Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant. Learned counsel for appellant is directed to come prepared on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.413/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi

### Versus

Zahid Rasheed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	21.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Yasir Azeem Khan & Mr.Muhammad Azim, Advocates
		for respondent.

Office is directed to prepare the paper book at the cost of the appellant. Learned counsel for appellant is directed to come prepared on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.7.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.4616/2021**

Muhammad Asad Iqbal, etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

03. 21.3.2022. Nemo.

### C.M.No.977/2022.

Learned counsel for the applicant is not available.

2. Re-list on 20.4.2022, alongwith main case.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. Writ Petition No.915/2022

### Khan Muhammad ata

#### Khan Muhammad, etc **Versus**

National Database & Registration Authority, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	21.3.2022.	Ch.Muhammad Junaid Akhtar, Advocate for Petitioners.

Through this writ petitioner, the petitioners have assailed the Internal Recruitment and Conversion of Employment Status policy dated 03.2.2022 issued by NADRA authority whereby different categories of employees including regular employees, contract employees, fixed employees, term short term employees, project employees etc have been given process of conversion of their status through a permanent employment by way of test and interview.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *interalia* contends that petitioners are the regular employees of NADRA who were regularized in service in year 2012 after fulfillment of all the codal formalities and as such specific clause has been referred in the policy that such a regular employee after successful completion of the recruitment process has to resign from his present post in order to obtain new position in NADRA. It is further contended that application of this policy is in violation of Section 41 of the NADRA Ordinance, 2002. It has lastly been contended that above policy without the protection of the previous service is contrary to law.

- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondent through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioners.
- 4. Adjourned to 07.6.2022.

### C.M. No.1/2022.

Notice.

### C.M. No.2/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.322-B/2022

Sana Idrees *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	21.3.2022.	Nemo.

Notice to the State as well as complainant of the case i.e respondent No.2.

2. Adjourned to 30.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2077/2021

Kamran Khan

#### Versus

		<i>versus</i>
Mir	nistry of Science	& Technology through its Secretary, Islamabad, etc
S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(4)	17.3.2022.	Hafiz Mazhar Maiken, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Miss.Mehak Ali, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Muhammad Ashraf, Deputy Director Admin for
		respondent No.2.
		Through this writ petition, the petitioner has
		Through this wife petition, the petitioner has
		prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for
		regularization of his services.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was appointed in a project i.e "Provision of Safe Drinking Water" on 03.10.2012 and said project has now been converted from development to non-development side on 25.2.2016 but despite the said conversion, the benefit of regularization in service has not been extended. At this stage learned counsel petitioner has relied upon judgment dated 02.12.2021 rendered by Honourable Division Bench of Sindh High Court in C.P.No.D-2150/2019 titled Khalil ur Rehman etc Versus Federation of *Pakistan, etc* whereby the case of other employees was disposed of with the direction to the competent authority of the respondents to consider the case of the Petitioners for regularization of their services, more particularly in the same analogy as decided by the

Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of <u>Messrs State Oil Company Limited Versus Bakht</u> <u>Siddig etc (2018 SCMR 1181).</u>

3. When confronted learned counsel for respondent No.2 contends that she has no objection if instant writ petition stand disposed of in the light of the judgment rendered by Honourable Division Bench of Sindh High Court referred above.

4. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the respondent department to decide the case of the petitioner for regularization of his services in the light of the judgment dated 02.12.2021 rendered by Honourable Division Bench of Sindh High Court in C.P.No.D-2150/2019 titled Khalil ur Rehman etc Versus Federation of Pakistan, etc.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1320/2021**

Lt.Col.(R) Khurram Hameed Qureshi

Versus

ZTBL, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
09.	17.3.2022.	Syed Asghar Hussain Sabzwari, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Raees Abdul Wahid & Mr.Hafiz ur Rehman, Advocates for respondents. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

As per reports available on record it appears that GHQ, Rawalpindi, has not permitted process server to submit the notice nor they received telephonic call, even no justiciable reason has been extended by GHQ Rawalpindi for not extending information claimed in this case, therefore, this Court has left no other option but to direct the Secretary, Defence, Islamabad to ensure the submission of report from the A.G. Branch, GHQ, Rawalpindi with reference to petitioner/Lt.Col.(R) Khurram Hameed Qureshi, P.A No.26480 (Punjab).

- 2. Learned AAG in attendance shall also ensure the compliance of this order through the Secretary, Defence, Islamabad.
- 3. Adjourned to 16.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)

JUDGE M.S.Zaki.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

R.F.A.No.716/2021

Mst.Parveen Akhtar

**Versus** 

Mehboob Elahi, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	16.3.2022.	Syed Gohar Ali Zaidi, Advocate for appellant.
		Mr.Fahim Arshad Malik, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Sardar Muhammad Tarig Fareed Conang Advocate for

Mr.Fahim Arshad Malik, Advocate for appellant.
Mr.Fahim Arshad Malik, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Sardar Muhammad Tariq Fareed Gopang, Advocate for respondents No.04 to 11.
Respondent No.8 in person.

As per office report notice issued to respondents No.2 & 3 have been received back unserved. When confronted learned counsel for the appellant contends that the addresses of respondents No.2 & 3 are the same which were provided in the learned trial Court and no other address of said respondents is available.

- 2. Learned counsel for appellant shall submit affidavit of facts regarding last known addresses of respondents No.2 & 3 thereafter they will be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the appellant who is directed to deposit process fee within seven days.
- 3. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.12 & 13, therefore, they be served through special messenger at the cost of appellant.
- 4. Adjourned to 05.7.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.405/2022

Mst.Gulnaz Bibi *Versus* NADRA, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

03. 16.3.2022.

Syed Muhammad Umer Sohail, Advocate for petitioner. Mr.Ubaid ur Rehman Zia, Law Officer, NADRA. Mr.Kamran, Sr.Executive NADRA.

Learned law officer, NADRA, in attendance contends that one of the real brother of petitioner has taken a contradictory stance qua his previous stance and stated that petitioner is not his sister. He further contends that such controversy would be resolved by NADRA Central Headquarters and a detailed report will be submitted on the next date of hearing.

- 2. In view of above, NADRA authority is directed to issue notice to the petitioner and call her in their office for verification of her credentials and submit report on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 13.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.765/2022

Syed Muhammad Ali

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	16.3.2022.	Mr.Hassan Rashid Qamar, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, Islamabad. Mst.Khadija, State Counsel. Mr.Akhtar Sheikh, Inspector, FIA. Mr.Muhammad Wasim, ASI, FIA.

Learned State Counsel in attendance contends that he needs some time to file a concise report alongwith record and copy of the same will also be handed over to the learned counsel for Petitioner. It has also been pointed out that case is fixed for hearing for today before the Inquiry Magistrate (Extradition), therefore, Petitioner is directed to appear before the Inquiry Magistrate (Extradition) who shall proceed under the law.

2. Adjourned to 11.4.2022. Ad-interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the petitioner is extended till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.59/2020

Zulfiqar Ahmed

Versus

Shahid Saleem Khan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	16.3.2022.	Nemo for applicant.
		Sardar Haseeb Ahmed, Advocate for respondents.

In compliance of order dated 10.10.2018 passed by this Court in W.P.No.3206/2014, report has been submitted which has been placed on record.

2. In view of above, instant criminal original stands **disposed of.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.40/2021

Shahzada Allauddin Pirakzai, etc

#### **Versus**

Mr.Kamran Ali Afzal, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(6)	16.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.857/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.73/2021

Bahadur Ali Bhurghari, etc

#### **Versus**

Mr.Kamran Ali Afzal, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(6)	16.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Org.No.40/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.857/2021

Shahid Hussain, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.			
(07)	16.3.2022.	Mst.Farzana Rauf, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners. Mr.Khurram Ibrahim Baig, Advocate for NCHD. Dr.Ghulam Haider, Deputy Director Legal, M/o Education.			

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Learned counsel for NCHD in attendance contends that parawise comments are ready which shall be filed in the office today.

2. Adjourned to 24.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Civil Revision No.53/2021

Capital Development Authority

#### Versus

M.R.Electric Concern Private Limited, etc.

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or co unsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(5)	16.3.2022.	Mr.Ghulam Shabbir Akbar, Advocate for Petitioner.	

Written request for adjournment has been filed

on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.1.

2. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.262/2022

Qamar Abbas

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

3.

15.3.2022.

Ch.Umar Hayat Sindhu, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Syed Ansar Hussain, Deputy Director, SBP. Mr.Ubaid ur Rehman Zia, Law Officer, NADRA.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the name of petitioner was previously referred in 4<sup>th</sup> Schedule of Anti Terrorism Act, 1997, however, lateron same was removed from the list of 4th schedule and National Counter Terrorism Authority vide letter dated 11.11.2019 directed all the departments to de-freeze the bank accounts of the Petitioner whereafter petitioner applied for opening of a new bank account in Meezan Bank, G-10 Markaz, Islamabad for transfer of his monthly salary being an employee of a private company but despite clear direction, right of petitioner to have a bank account of his own choice has been denied. At this stage representative of State Bank of Pakistan contends that there is no restriction against opening of a new bank account of the petitioner in any Bank as his name has been removed from the list of 4<sup>th</sup> schedule, however, petitioner is required to fulfill all the codal formalities of the concerned Bank for opening of his new Bank account.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition stands **disposed of** with the observation that Petitioner may approach the concerned Bank for opening of his account and fulfill all the codal formalities required by the Bank.

### (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Criminal Revision No.21/2022**

Muhammad Zeeshan Abbasi

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or		
order/	order/	counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>			
	45.0.000			

**01.** 15.3.2022. Raja Rizwan Abbasi, Advocate for petitioner.

Through this criminal revision, the petitioner has assailed the order 07.3.2022 passed by Additional Sessions Judge-West, Islamabad whereby application filed by petitioner for summoning of Doctor who conducted ossification test of the victim and bringing the record of the maternity leave of the mother of the victim relating to the year 2005 has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *interalia* contends that under the law Petitioner has right to claim or call the witnesses of his own choice in order to dispel the allegations leveled against him in the charge and as such his right could not be curtailed in any manner. It is further contended that ossification test of the victim was conducted on the direction of the learned trial Court in year 2019 and said report is available on record which could not be exhibited as relevant Doctor was not called and if such report is not placed on record it might prejudice the entire case of the petitioner.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to the respondents through registered postA.D and TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 17.3.2022.

#### C.M. No. 01/2022.

Notice.

#### C.M. No. 02/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.286-B/2022

Muhammad Ikhlaq etc

#### Versus

The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties	
order/	hearing	or counsel, where necessary.	
Proceeding	_		
02.	15.3.2022.	Mr.Asad Mehmood Oureshi, Advocate for	

Petitioners. Petitioners in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application, the Petitioners Muhammad Ikhlaq & Shahzad Javed have prayed for pre-arrest bail in Case FIR No.996 dated 29.11.2021 under Sections 302, 324, 34 PPC registered at Police Station Koral, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners have been nominated in this case with malafide and as such they have not committed any offence.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the State as well as respondent No.2 for 29.3.2022.
- 4. In the meanwhile, Petitioners are granted adinterim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- each with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The surety amount may be submitted in cash if so desired. The petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the police as and when required and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.108/2019

Muhammad Ishaq **Versus** Shahbaz Abbasi, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	14.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.103/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Civil Revision No.107/2019

Muhammad Ishaq **Versus** Haq Nawaz, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	14.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.103/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Civil Revision No.106/2019

Muhammad Ishaq **Versus** Rab Nawaz, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	14.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.103/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.105/2019

Muhammad Ishaq **Versus** Mumtaz Hussain

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	14.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.103/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.736/2022

Syed Hassan Mehmood **Versus**Afzal Latif, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
(04)	14.3.2022.	Mr.Mohiuddin Aamir Mughal, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed AAG. Mst.Humera Azam Khan, Joint Secretary, Cabinet Division. Mr.Feroz Khan, Deputy Secretary, Cabinet Division. Mr.Mohsin Ali, S.O, Cabinet Division. Mr.Amjad Hafeez, Deputy Administrator, APO.		
		Syed Kashif Kazmi, Deputy Director, APO.  Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, Islamabad.		

In compliance of order dated 09.3.2022 a concise report has been submitted on behalf of Cabinet Secretary, copy of which has been handed over to the learned counsel for petitioner who intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

- 2. The Cabinet Secretary, Islamabad and other relevant authority are directed to proceed in accordance with law under their own mandate while dealing issue of House No.10, Street No.55, Sector F-7/4, Islamabad or any other abandoned property.
- 3. Adjourned to 25.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4196/2021**

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

	S. No. of Date of		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties		
	order/ order/		or counsel where necessary.		
	proceedings	Proceedings			
•	2.	14.3.2022.	Mr. Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for Petitioner.		

Mr. Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No. 1. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

As per office report notice could not be served upon respondent No.2 due to incomplete address.

- 2. Petitioner is directed to provide complete addresses of respondent No.2 and submit process fee for summoning of said respondent through special messenger.
- 3. Adjourned to 18.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4196/2021**

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**3.** 14.3.2022.

Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Asif Shahbaz Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

As per office report notice have been served upon respondents No.3, 4 & 7, whereas notices issued to respondents No.5 & 8 have been received back unserved due to incomplete addresses. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3, 4, & 7, therefore, they are proceeded ex-parte.

- 2. Petitioner is directed to provide complete addresses of respondent No.5 & 8 and submit process fee for summoning of said respondent through special messenger.
- 3. Adjourned to 18.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4367/2019

Hassan Abbas

#### Versus

Auditor General of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
07.	14.3.2022.	Mr.Riaz Hanif Rahi, Advocate for petitioner. Raja Saif ur Rehman, Advocate for respondents No.0 to 41.		
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed AAG. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, Islamabad.		

Learned counsel for respondents No.7 to 22 in attendance contends that he will argue his case without filing parawise comments, therefore, his right to file parawise comments is closed. The Secretary, Cabinet Division is directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 26.5.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2512/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1840/2019**

Homeopathic Dr.Mashood Aslam Dar

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
12.	14.3.2022.	Mr.Sher Afzal Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Amir Abdullah Abbasi, Advocate for respondent No.2. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.		

Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the very outset contends that Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations & Coordination, Islamabad, has submitted an order dated 07.12.2021 whereby petitioner intends to challenge the said order, therefore, permission may be allowed to amend the writ petition accordingly.

- 2. Petitioner is directed to submit amended writ petition before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 17.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Crl.Misc.No.104-BC-2022

Muhammad Jamal **Versus**The State, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
<b>(05)</b> 10.3.2022		Mr.Zahid Asif Chaudhry, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Ch.Muhammad Aslam, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Respondent No.2/Muhammad Rafaqat in person.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 in attendance contends that he needs some time to prepare his brief. The copy of the bail cancellation petition has been handed over to the learned counsel for respondent No.2.

2. Adjourned to 14.3.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3451/2021**

Babar Shabbir **Versus** Zahra Fatima, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	10.3.2022.	Mr.Kamran Yousaf Kiani, Advocate for Petitioner.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for respondents.

2. Adjourned to **12.5.2022.** To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3433/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3433/2021

Babar Shabbir **Versus**Asia Mehboob, etc

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
04.	10.3.2022.	Mr.Kamran Yousaf Kiani, Advocate for Petitioner.

Written request for adjournment has been filed

on behalf of learned counsel for respondents.

2. Adjourned to **12.5.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.606/2019

## Abdul Sattar

#### Versus

Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
05.	08.12.2021.	Mr.Ehtasham Aslam Khan, Advocate for petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2. Mr.Siraj Ahmed, Deputy Assistant Director, FGEHA.	
		After hearing the parties at length, it appears	
		that it is necessary to hear respondent No.5, however,	
		written request for adjournment has been filed on	
		behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.5.	
		2. Adjourned to <b>13.12.2021.</b>	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3191/2021

Danish Mahmood

#### Versus

CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	10.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Waseem Abro, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mrs.Misbah Gulnar Sharif, Advocate for CDA.

Adjourned to 06.6.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.293/2021

Meraj Nawaz **Versus** Zafar Hussain, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	09.3.2022.	Nemo.

Adjourned to 10.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.237/2022

Wajeeha Samdani

**Versus** 

Capital Development Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	09.3.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Usman, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Intizar Hussain Panjutha, Advocate for CDA.

Perusal of record reveals that written statement has not yet been filed by CDA authority, therefore, CDA authority is directed to file written statement before the learned trial Court as well as before this Court.

2. Adjourned to 09.6.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2096/2021**

Sher Bahadur

Versus

ZTBL, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	09.3.2022.	Mr.Salahuddin Malik, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Muhammad Younas, AVP, ZTBL.

After hearing the parties at some length, it appears that order dated 28.12.2020 passed by respondent authority against the petitioner was assailed by the petitioner through a departmental appeal which is admittedly pending before the President of ZTBL for the last one year who has not yet decided the said appeal, such conduct of President of ZTBL is highly condemnable who is directed to decide the pending appeal of the Petitioner within 10 days through a speaking order while considering the record under the law.

2. Instant writ petition stands *disposed of.* 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1576/2020**

Syed Abu Ahmad Akif **Versus** 

Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
08.	26.7.2021.	Miss.Sehrish Saba Raja, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Adeel Aftab, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.2. Mr.Masood Ahmed, Advocate for respondents No.6 & 7. Mr.Nadeem Hassan, Advocate for respondent No.9. Ch.Muhammad Nawaz, Advocate for respondents No.10 & 11. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Mohsin Pasha, A.D-Law, FGEHA. Mr.Mehmood Khan Lakho, S.O, Estab.Division.	

Learned counsel for Petitioner is stated to be busy before Apex Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to **14.4.2022.** It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3335/2019

Samia Rehman Dogar

### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceeding	Date of order/ s Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	09.3.2022.	Jawad Hassan Dogar, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Amanullah, Law Officer, M/o Ministry of Federal Education.
		Mr.Mohsin Raza, Assistant, Ministry of Federal Education.

As per record notice has been served upon respondent No.2 i.e Ministry of Finance but despite service of notice no one has put appearance from the said Ministry, therefore, office is directed to call Secretary, Ministry of Finance with reference to this case and ask him to depute some responsible officer fully conversant with the facts of the case not below the rank of Deputy Secretary to appear before this Court on the next date of hearing who shall also submit a concise report in this case after approval from the competent authority.

3. Adjourned to 29.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1903/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.322/2021

Saira Rubab Nasir **Versus** 

Nauman Azhar

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

09.3.2022.

To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.321/2021

Fahad Bin Hasnain, etc **Versus** 

Nauman Azhar

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

09.3.2022.

To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.174/2021

Saira Rubab Nasir

#### **Versus**

Arshad Taqi

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.105/2021

Nosher Umar

#### **Versus**

Shaista Zeshan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

09.3.2022.

To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1201/2021

Wali Muhammad, etc **Versus** Federal Government, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_

09.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.987/2021

Nosher Umar

#### Versus

Pakistan Medical Commission, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	00 2 2022	To be beautifully DNs 152/2021

09.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.581/2021

Muhammad Iqbal, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.436/2021

Dr.Habib Ullah Joiya **Versus** 

Pakistan Medical Commission, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	_

09.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4657/2021

Khalid Shabbir, etc

### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09.3.2022.

To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.655/2021

Ameer Zada Khan, etc

Versus

Safdar Hussain Shah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	01.3.2022.	Mehar Muhammad Yar, Advocate for appellants. Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

It has been informed that respondent No.3, has passed away during the pendency of instant matter. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 shall provide list of legal heirs of respondent No.3 to the learned counsel for appellants who shall file amended memo of parties after impleading the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.3 before the next date of hearing.

- 2. Office report reveals that notices were issued to respondents No. 04 to 14, but only respondents No. 13 & 14 have been served, whereas other respondents could not be served due to incomplete addresses.
- 3. In view of above, service upon respondents No.04 to 12, is not possible through normal mode of service, therefore, they be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "Nawaiwaqt" at the expense of the appellant. Appellant is directed to deposit process fee within seven days.
- 4. Adjourned to 07.6.2022. To be heard alongwith R.F.A.No.232/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.232/2021

Shahzada Khan (deceased) through legal heir **Versus**Safdar Hussain Shah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	01.3.2022.	Sardar Salman, Proxy Counsel for appellant.
		Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondents No.1
		to 3.

In compliance of order dated 21.12.2021, notice has been published in the newspaper daily "Nawaiwaqt" dated 10.2.2022 against respondents No.4 to 12, which is available on record appended by the office. Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 12, therefore, they are proceeded ex-parte.

2. Adjourned to 07.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Criminal Appeal No.110/2021

Telegraph and Telephone Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad **Versus** 

Adil Amin Qazi, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	01.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Appeal No.230/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Crl.Appeal No.230/2021**

Basit Masood **Versus**The State, etc

The State, etc		
S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties

order/ proceedings or counsel where necessary.

(6) 01.3.2022. Rao Abdul Raheem & Ch.Yasir, Advocates for appellant/respondent in connected appeal.

Mr.Tarig Mehmood, Proxy Counsel for

Mr.Tariq Mehmood, Proxy Counsel for respondent/appellant in connected appeal.

Mr. Yasir Riaz, Advocate for respondents in connected appeal.

Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.

Learned principal counsel for the respondent is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 28.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.153/2021

Saira Rubab Nasir, etc

#### **Versus**

Federal Government through Secretary, M/o Law and Justice, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
23.	09.3.2022.	Mst.Zainab Janjua, Mst.Sana Taha Gondal, Muhammad Salman Ajaib, Mr.Abdul Rehman Khan, Barrister Haris Azmat, Rana Umar Iqbal, Syed Ahmad Hassan Shah and Mr.Badar Iqbal Chaudhry, Advocates for Petitioners in their respective writ petitions. Mr.Sohaib Shahid, Advocate for respondent No.4. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan & Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocates for PMC.

Learned counsel for the parties have concluded their arguments, however, further assistance is required on behalf of Federation of Pakistan.

2. Adjourned to 10.3.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2320/2021

Arjamand Bilal

#### Versus

D.G, Intelligence Bureau, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
04.	08.3.2022.	Mr.Osama Tariq, , Proxy Counsel for petitioner.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, petitioner is directed to deposit process fee within three days for summoning of respondents.

2. Adjourned to 19.9.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

08.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.897/2021

Ahmad Raza, etc **Versus** 

Pakistan Medical Commission, Islamabad etc

o	. No. of order/ oroceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	1.	07.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

07.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Revision No.48/2021**

Telegraph and Telephone Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad **Versus** 

Chaudhry Masood Ahmad

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	01.3.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Appeal No.230/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.149/2022

Imtiaz Hussain

Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	01.3.2022.	Mr.Tariq Ameer Malik, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.Khalid, ASI PS Secretariat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing the case at some length seeks some time to further assist this Court.

2. Adjourned to 08.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

W.P.No.721/2022 Qadir Buksh Qureshi *Versus* Mst.Aqsa Munawar, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**01.** 01.3.2022. Mr.Shujah Ullah Gondal, Advocate for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that connected Criminal Revision No.190/2022 is pending before Honourable Bench-VIII of this Court, therefore, propriety demands that both the cases are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.546/2022

Saleem Akbar Khan Afridi

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Ilyas, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2).	28.2.2022.	Mr.Bilal Shahid, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mst.Khadija Ali, State Counsel.
		Mr.Akhtar, S.I.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. The I.O in attendance contends that prearrest bail application of the petitioner has already been dismissed by the learned trial Court.

2. Let petitioner is directed to appear in person before the Court on 01.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.299/2022

Muhammad Munir

#### Versus

Raja Shahzad Azeem, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	28.2.2022.	Mr.Ata ul Haque, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Syed Nayyer Hussain Bokhari and Mr.Jaseem Ahmed
		Bhutto, Advocates for respondents.

After hearing the parties at some length it appears that it is necessary to requisition the original record of the learned trial Court. Learned counsel for the respondents contends that further three Civil Suits titled *Noman Hussain Vs Muhammad Ameen Chughtai, Sanam Hussain Vs Muhammad Ameen Chughtai and Mst.Nazia Sarwar Vs Muhammad Ameen Chughtai,* are also pending before the Court of Hyder Shah, learned Civil Judge, Islamabad.

- 2. Office is directed to requisition the original record of all the civil suits one day before only for the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 17.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2179/2021**

Eng.Nazar Ahmed Bhutta

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(3)	28.2.2022.	Mst.Tahir Bukhari, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Ramzan Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Fahim Uddin, A.O for respondents No.1 & 2.	
		Learned AAG in attendance contends that parawise comments will be submitted in the office	

2. Adjourned to 18.4.2022.

today, however, copy of the same has been handed

over to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Civil Revision No.199/2018

Munawar Tauseef *Versus*Abdul Aziz, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
11.	28.2.2022.	M/s.Rakhshanda Azhar and Akseer Ahmad Abbasi, Advocates for Petitioner. Mr.Misbah ul Mustafa, Advocate for respondent No.1. Ch.Shafique Ahmad Ramay, Advocate for CDA.
		After hearing the parties at length, learned
		counsel for CDA is directed to produce the original
		record of the plot in question. Record keeper of the said
		record shall also appear before the Court on the next
		date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.381/2022

Malik Naveed Asghar Awan, etc **Versus** 

FIA through Its Director General, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	25.2.2022.	Mr.Asad Ladha & Malik Ghulam Sabir, Advocates for Petitioners. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG . Rana Shahwaz Ahmad, A.D-FIA.

The report on behalf of FIA has been submitted on record, copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioners. The I.O contends that he is still inquiring the status of complainant as well as Bank official against whom complainant has been filed. After verification from the hand writing expert qua the bank record, will further proceed in the matter.

- 2. In view of above stance of the I.O, he is directed to submit detail of forensic report and inquiry status against bank official on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 22.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.75/2022

Khawaja Manzoor Ahmed Lone **Versus**CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	25.2.2022.	Barrister Sajjad Ahmed Raja for Petitioner.
		Mr.Hasnain Haider Thaheem, Proxy Counsel for CDA.

Learned counsel for CDA is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. The Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad is directed to submit report as was directed vide order dated 02.2.2022 through concerned Assistant Commissioner, who shall visit Ghori Town and provide a complete picture qua water services managed by private individuals i.e respondents No.5 to 8. Similarly, the SHO PS Koral, Islamabad is also directed to submit a precise report in this regard on the next date of hearing. Office shall convey this order to the Chief Commissioner, Chairman CDA as well as SHO PS Koral for compliance.

2. Adjourned to 18.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.667/2022

The State

#### Versus

Learned Additional Sessions Judge-West, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1.	25.2.2022.	Makhdoom Syed Fakhar Imam Ali Shah, State Counsel.

Makhdoom Syed Fakhar Imam Ali Shah, State Counsel. Mr.Qurban Ali, S.I Ps Bhara Kahu, Islamabad.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 20.1.2022 passed by Additional Sessions Judge-West, Islamabad in term of Section 491 Cr.P.C whereby detenue has been recovered from Police Station Secretariat as a result thereof learned trial Court has passed the direction for registration of a criminal case against SHO PS Secretariat as well as payment of costs of Rs.25000/-to be paid to the alleged detenue.

- 2. Learned State counsel *inter-alia* contends that detenue was involved in number of criminal cases of PS Bhara Kahu and he was under arrest in case FIR No.799 dated 16.12.2021 under Section 337A(ii), 506(ii), 440, 511, 148, 149 PPC PS Bhara kahu and due to his own protection he was shifted to PS Secretariat but in the meanwhile the Bailiff has recovered the said detenue and as such Additional Sessions Judge has wrongly appreciated the record. Learned State Counsel has heavily relied upon report /daily diary No.13 dated 19.1.2022 of PS Bhara Kahu and report No.12 dated 19.1.2022 of PS Secretariat and requests for setting aside impugned order.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the SHO PS Bhara Kahu as well as SHO PS Secretariat to appear in person before the Court alongwith original record of above referred case as well as daily diary/roznamcha of the both the police

stations. The I.O of the case shall also appear before the Court.

4. Adjourned to **09.3.2022.** 

#### C.M. No.1/2022.

Notice.

### C.M. No.2/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3500/2019**

Shakeel Anwar etc, etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

06. 25.2.2022. Nemo.

#### C.M.No.704/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **12.4.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4232/2018

Muhammad Yasin Khan, etc *Versus* PTCL, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sign or counsel when			and th	at of part	ies
06.	25.2.2022.	Mr.Muhammad applicant.	Shahid	Kamal	Khan,	Advocate	for

#### C.M.No.698/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **06.4.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.791/2017

Ed-Zubin AG, etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistn, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

13. 25.2.2022. Mr.Nauman Rafiq, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.539/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **04.4.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1978/2011**

Muhammad Waheed Iqbal Butt, etc *Versus* Federal Government, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

13. 25.2.2022.

Mr.Muhammad Majid Bashir, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.686/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **03.3.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.121/2022

**Muhammad Arif** 

#### **Versus**

Fazal Dad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	25.2.2022.	Mr.Shahzad Siddique Alvi, Advocate for appellant.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 20.1.2022, passed by learned Civil Judge Ist Class-West, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 30.5.2022.

#### C.M. No.1/2022.

Notice.

#### C.M. No. 02/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.05/2021

Waseem Rabbani *Versus*Ghulam Abbas Khan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

25.2.2022. Sheikh M.Salman Hassan, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.210/2022.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **08.3.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

04.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. Writ Petition No.627/2022

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Limited **Versus** 

National Industrial Relations Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	23.2.2022.	Barrister Adeel Aftab for petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 04.6.2020 passed by learned Single Bench of NIRC, Islamabad and order dated 27.10.2021 passed by learned full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad whereby respondent No.4 has been reinstated into service with all back benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia 2. contends the respondent No.4 was employed in the petitioner company as Superintendent Billing at Charsada Office of the company where a scuffle took place between the employees and Incharge of the office was seriously injured and after inquiry respondent No.4 was found guilty and was dismissed from service whereafter he filed an appeal against his dismissal which was subsequently dismissed by the department. It is further contended that respondent No.4 although filed grievance notice which was responded as time barred, then respondent No.4 approached the learned Bench of N.I.R.C who allowed grievance petition without adverting time barred grievance notice and said order was maintained by the

learned full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad which is contrary to law.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to respondent No.4 through registered postA.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to **25.5.2022.**

#### C.M. No.1/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Objection Case No.2893/2022**

Abdur Rauf Chaudhry *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01	22.2.2022	Parrictor Pilal Akhar Tarar, Advocate for Potitioner

**01.** 23.2.2022. Barrister Bilal Akbar Tarar, Advocate for Petitioner.

This is an application for placing additional documents on record whereupon office has raised certain objections that are reflected at Sr.No.15 & 37 of the objection sheet that uncertified and dim copies of some pages be replaced and highlighted pages are to be removed.

2. Be that as it may, the objection referred above is overruled for the time being. Office is directed to number the C.M and place before the Court alongwith main case.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.170-B-2022

Asif Azad

Versus

The State

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	23.2.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Misc.No.158/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.158-B-2022

Abdur Rauf Chaudhry *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	23.2.2022.	Barrister Bilal Akbar Tarar, Mr.Ali Asghar Pasha Khan and Mr.Saad Hassan, Advocates for Petitioners in their respective writ petitions. All the Petitioners are in attendance. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Qaiser Masood, Additional Director Law, FIA. Malik Sajid Mehmood, Assistant Director FIA.
		All the accused/petitioners have already joined
		investigation. The I.O present in the Court is directed to
		verify the additional documents and argue his case after

verification of the record.

2. Adjourned to 15.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.159-B-2022

Zafar Iqbal Gondal Versus

The State

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02.	23.2.2022.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Misc.No.158/2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2766/2021**

Dr.Muhammad Tanveer Anwar, etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceeding		of courise where necessary.
06.		Mr.Sohail Akbar Chaudhry, Advocate for petitioners. Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocate for PPRA.

Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocate for PPRA.
Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
Mr.Muhammad Khurshid, Deputy Director Legal, PPRA.
Mr.Husnain Arshad Khokhar for SECP.

Learned AAG in attendance contends that connected W.P.No.3440/2021 has been decided by Honorable Bench-IV of this Court with a similar prayer.

- 2. Office is directed to requisition the record of the above referred writ petition.
- 3. Adjourned to 24.3.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4383/2021

Amir Khan

#### **Versus**

Associated Press of Pakistan Corporation, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings	Dawistan	Mulananaa	Da alain	Variani	A d	£
(03)	22.2.2022.	petitioner	Mehmood Kh		, ,		

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3, in attendance contends that parawise comments are ready which shall be filed in the office today.

2. Adjourned to 24.3.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3609/2021**

Multi Professional Co-operative Housing Society Versus

Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, , etc

S. No. of Da	ate of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties		
order/ ord	der/	or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings Pro	oceedings			
03. 21.2	2.2022.	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan & Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocates for Petitioner. Ch.Abdul Rehman Hur Bajwa, Advocate for respondent No.3. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, ICT, Islamabad. Mr.Muhammad Muzaffar Khan Lashari, husband of respondent No.3.		

After hearing the parties, it appears that plot in question has now been allotted to Mr.Abdul Samad Khan vide allotment letter dated 30.10.2018 who has not been impleaded as respondent in this case.

- 2. In view of above, Petitioner is directed to implead Mr.Abdul Samad Khan as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.
- 3. Let fresh notice be issued to newly impleaded respondent No. 4, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3609/2021**

Multi Professional Co-operative Housing Society Versus

Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, , etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties		
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
03.	21.2.2022.	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan & Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocates for Petitioner. Ch.Abdul Rehman Hur Bajwa, Advocate for respondent No.3. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, ICT, Islamabad.		
		Mr.Muhammad Muzaffar Khan Lashari, husband of respondent No.3.		

After hearing the parties, it appears that plot in question has now been allotted to Mr.Abdul Samad Khan vide allotment letter dated 30.10.2018 who has not been impleaded as respondent in this case.

- 2. In view of above, Petitioner is directed to implead Mr.Abdul Samad Khan as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.
- 3. Let fresh notice be issued to newly impleaded respondent No. 4, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.949/2020

Islamabad Comforts Private Limited **Versus** 

Capital Development Authority through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
16.	21.2.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1249/2021.

21.2.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1249/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1249/2021

M/s Capital Associates, Islamabad **Versus** 

Federal Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings 06.

Date of order/
Proceedings 21.2.2022.

## Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.

Mr.Muhammad Saqib Bhatti & Mr.Umer Ijaz Gillani, Advocates for petitioners in their respective writ petitions.

Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Ch.M.Yaqoob, Advocate for Cantonment Board.

Mr. Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.

Mr. Aamir Latif Gill, Mr. Atif Rahim Burki, and Mr. Hasnain Haider Thaheem, Advocates for CDA.

Mr. Yawar Hussain Rana, S.O, Ministry of Interior, Islamabad.

The order passed by this Court has not yet been complied with by the relevant Ministries as the question of overlapping jurisdiction of CDA and Rawalpindi Cantonment Board has not yet been considered by the Federal Cabinet, therefore, Secretary, Federal Cabinet is directed to submit final outcome of the question raised in the instant writ petition on the basis of reports submitted by Ministry of Interior as well as Ministry of Defence. At this stage learned AAG contends that Ministry of Defence has not yet submitted its report before the relevant officer for onward placement before the Federal Cabinet.

2. In view of above, Secretary, Defence is directed to submit detailed report after collaboration with

Ministry of Interior before the Federal Cabinet to decide the issue and in case if matter is not decided by the Federal Cabinet, the Secretary, Federal Cabinet shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

3. Adjourned to 21.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.2840/2020

Souban Nazir

**Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(7)	21.2.2022.	Raja Inaam Amin Minhas, Advocate for petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Cdr.Hamid Hayat, Assistant, Judge Advocate General, Naval Headquarter, Islamabad.
		Cdr.Hamid Hayat, Assistant, Judge Advocate
		General, Naval Headquarter, Islamabad in attendance
		and submits Pakistan Navy Ordinance, 1961 as well as
		relevant Rules and Regulations. Learned counsel for the
		petitioner seeks some time to go through the same in

2. Adjourned to 31.3.2022.

order to assist this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### W.P.No.4114/2021

Kamran Khan, etc **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ or counsel where necessary.  Proceedings	
05.	21.2.2022.	Mr.Umer Ijaz Gillani & Pir Khizar Hayat, Advocates for Petitioners. Mr.Muhammad Akram Shaheen, Advocate for CDA. Mr.Ejaz Ahmed, Director Urban Planning CDA.

The relevant record has not been placed on record by CDA as was directed vide order dated 12.1.2022. Learned counsel for CDA is directed to place on file relevant record through C.M with an advance coy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 31.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### <u>ORDER SHEET.</u>

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4193/2021**

Rashid Mehmood

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

	S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
• •			Mr.Sajid Abbas Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.		
			Mr.Shah Nawaz Khan Mehsood, ADMG (FS) for respondent No.4.		
			Mr.Tanveer Haider, A.D (Courts) for Estate Office.		
			Mr.Abdul Saboor Supdt. M/o Housing and Works. Mst.Sumaira Shaheen/respondent No.5 in person.		

Learned AAG alongwith officials of Ministry of Housing & Works, Islamabad as well as Estate Office states that allotment of Petitioner has been cancelled qua subject house i.e house No.295-B, G-6/1-2, Islamabad and he has been allotted alternate house No.54/6-B, G-9/2, Islamabad and immediate possession can be given to the petitioner.

- 2. In view of above, learned counsel for petitioner has been confronted regarding maintainability of instant writ petition qua house No.295-B, G-6/1-2, Islamabad which is under retention of respondent No.5 i.e Mst.Sumaira Shaheen though said house has not been allotted to her in any manner which fact has been acknowledged by officials of Ministry of Housing & Works, Islamabad as well as Estate Office.
- 3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands *disposed of* with the direction to respondents No.1 & 2 to hand over the possession of the newly allotted house to the petitioner today and allotment case of respondent No.5 i.e Mst.Sumaira Shaheen be placed before Secretary, Housing and Works, Islamabad for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Criminal Appeal No.78/2019

Muhammad Tariq Mahsood

#### Versus

Syed Hassam-ud-Din Shah, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(9)	17.2.2022.	Mr.Majid Ali, Advocate for Petitioner. Sheikh Faisal Ejaz, Advocate for respondent No.1. Raja Ikram Amin Minhas and Mr.Aamir Zar Bhatti, Advocates for respondent No.2. Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel. Mr.Alamgir, S.I. Mr.Adnan, ASI.
		Respondents No.1 & 2 in person.

Respondents No.1 & 2 in attendance are directed to furnish surety in the sum of Rs.20000/- (Twenty Thousand) each to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The respondents No.1 & 2 may deposit the surety amount in cash. They are further directed to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 11.4.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Crl.Org.No.169/2021

Taimour Ahmed **Versus**Amer Ali Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.			
(04)	17.2.2022.	Mr.Kashif Ali Malik, Advocate for applicant. Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for respondents.			

Learned counsel for the applicant at the very outset contends that under the instruction of his client he does not press the instant criminal original.

2. Dismissed as not pressed.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Crl.Org.No.168/2021

Sajid Mehmood **Versus** Amer Ali Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings				
(04)	17.2.2022.	Mr.Kashif Ali Malik, Advocate for applicant. Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for respondents.			

Learned counsel for the applicant at the very outset contends that under the instruction of his client he does not press the instant criminal original.

2. Dismissed as not pressed.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4296/2021**

Qamar Ahmad Waraich

#### **Versus**

D.G. Immigration and Passport, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(02)	17.2.2022.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Imtiaz Hussain Hashmi, Legal Supdt. for respondents
		No.1 & 2.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, however, learned AAG alongwith official of respondents No.1 & 2 has put appearance and seeks some time to file report in this case.

- 2. Let notice be issued to respondent No.3, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner. Petitioner is directed to deposit process fee within 07 days.
- 3. Adjourned to 21.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4008/2021

Weatherford Oil Tools Middle East Limited

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Azam, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/					
(4)	04.2.2022.	Barrister Khush Bakht for Petitioner.				
		Mr.Ahmed Bashir & Mr.Aziz ur Rehman Farooqi,				
		Advocates for respondents.				

Learned counsel for Petitioner requests for an adjournment. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to argue his case failing which matter shall be decided after hearing the learned counsel for respondents and as per available record.

2. Adjourned to 21.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4006/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2929/2021**

Dr.Saad Anwar etc *Versus*Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	Proceedings						
04.		Mr.Abid Farooq Awan, proxy counsel for petitioners and petitioner in C.M.No.4014/2021. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Adeel Riaz, Manager Legal for respondent No.3. Mr.Saad Khan, Assistant Manager, Litigation for respondent No.2. Mr.Farooq Shah, Legal Officer for respondent No.4.					

All the respondents are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing except who have already filed comments in this case.

2. Adjourned to 17.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Crl.Misc.No.38/2022

Shahid Imran

#### Versus

The State, etc

	S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
<b>(03)</b> 14.2.2022.		14.2.2022.	Mr.Shuja Ullah Gondal, Proxy Counsel for petitioner.			
			Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.			
			Mr.Fakhar Abbas, S.I, PS Lohi Bher, Islamabad.			

Learned proxy counsel contends that parties are in process of reconciliation and requests for some time to finalize the same.

2. Adjourned to 24.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.482/2022

Haji Adam **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of pa or counsel where necessary.				f par	arties
(1)	14.2.2022.	Mr.Shahid Petitioner.	Mehmood	Khokhar,	Advocate	for	the

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the minutes of the meeting of PEMRA dated 06.12.2021 and office order dated 31.12.2021 and 17.1.2022 whereby nomenclature of certain posts have been revised and redesignated in violation of law and number of employees of PEMRA have been illegally promoted on the newly created posts.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that PEMRA is not legally authorized to create any post without due approval of Ministry of Finance and other concerned departments of the Government of Pakistan and as such entire exercise conducted by PEMRA in its 167<sup>th</sup> meeting is illegal and contrary to law.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 11.5.2022.

#### C.M.No.01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M.No.02/2022.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.442/2022**

Ch.Waseem Afzal

#### Versus

Chaudhry Shehzaib Shamim, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	10.2.2022.	Mr.Rizwan Shabbir Kayani, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has called in question order dated 17.11.2021, passed by Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Islamabad whereby application u/s 22-A (6) Cr.P.C filed by respondent No.1 has been allowed and SSP Operation, Islamabad has been directed to entrust the investigation of case FIR No.457/2021 PS Sihala, Islamabad to some official of any other Circle.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner interalia contends that impugned order has been passed in a mechanical fashion without issuance of notice to the complainant/petitioner and as such petitioner has been condemned unheard.
- 3. Ouestion raised needs consideration. notices be issued to respondents No.1 to 5, through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 18.2.2022.

### C.M.No.01/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### C.M.No.02/2022.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4403/2021

Abdul Jabbar

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
2.	10.2.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Binyamin, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Muhammad Irfan, S.O, Establishment Division. Syed Aftab Hussain, AAO-CGA. Muhammad Ali Imran Khokhar, CAO-GSP, Quetta. Abdul Sattar, A.O-CAO, GSP.		
		All the respondents have filed parawise		
		comments except respondent No.7 who is directed to		
		file parawise comments before the next date of hearing.		
		2. Adjourned to 21.4.2022.		

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# Writ Petition No.4211/2021

Ghulam Mustafa, etc

#### **Versus**

Learned Civil Judge 1<sup>st</sup> Class (West), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings			ignature o here nece	_	e and t	hat of part	ties
1.	10.2.2022.	Mr.Fazal	ur	Rehman	Khan	Niazi,	Advocate	for
		Petitioner	s.					

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.02 to 23. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.2 to 23 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 08.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2453/2021

Engineer Shankar Lal, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	10.2.2022.	Nemo for Petitioners. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Syed Muhammad Ibrahim, Law Officer, Pak PWD. Muhammad Abdullah, A.D-Legal, FPSC.
		Learned AAG alongwith officials of respondents
		contend that they need some more time to file parawise
		comments.
		2. Adjourned to 19.4.2022.

Adjourned to 19.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4043/2020

Gulshan Shahzadi, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	10.2.2022.	Raja Zubair Hussain Jarral, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.
		Mr.Shamsher Khan, Supdt.Admin, PIMS.

Learned AAG contends that status of Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, hospital has been changed into Medical Teaching Institute and as such Institution has now been run in the command of Board of Governors who are not party in this writ petition.

- 2. In view of above, Petitioners are directed to implead the Medical Teaching Institute/Board of Governors as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.
- 3. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No. 5 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioners. Learned AAG is directed to file parawise comments on behalf of newly impleaded respondent No.5.
- 4. Adjourned to 04.4.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4042/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4042/2020

Raja Abdullah Akhtar, etc

**Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	10.2.2022.	Raja Zubair Hussain Jarral, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C.
		Mr.Shamsher Khan, Supdt.Admin, PIMS.

Learned AAG contends that status of Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, hospital has been changed into Medical Teaching Institute and as such Institution has now been run in the command of Board of Governors who are not party in this writ petition.

- 2. In view of above, Petitioners are directed to implead the Medical Teaching Institute/Board of Governors as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.
- 3. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No. 5 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioners. Learned AAG is directed to file parawise comments on behalf of newly impleaded respondent No.5.
- 4. Adjourned to 04.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2042/2020

Ahmer Waheed Sadiq, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	10.2.2022.	Raja Zubair Hussain Jarral, Advocate for Petitioners. Mirza Waqas Qayyum, Advocate for respondents No.12 to 28 except respondents No.22 & 25. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG for respondents No.1 to 3. Mr.M.Amir Naseem, Protector of Employments BE & OE.

Perusal of record reveals that private respondents whose appointments have been challenged before this Court have not yet filed their parawise comments despite clear direction of this Court, therefore, absolute last opportunity is granted to the said respondents for filing of their parawise comments failing which Director H.R shall take appropriate action against the officials under the law.

2. Adjourned to 13.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Crl.Appeal No.30/2021**

Alamgir Khan **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	10.2.2022.	Mr.Sami Ullah Wazir, Advocate for appellant.
		Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.
		Mr.Sadheer Abbasi, ASI, CIA Police, Islamabad.

The I.O in attendance contends that all the respondents have not been located on their last known addresses and even some of the respondents have already been declared Proclaimed Offenders in different other cases.

- 2. In view of above, SHO Police Station, CIA, Islamabad is directed to comply with the order dated 04.10.2021 passed by this Court and may also proceed for suspension of CNIC of respondents No.02 to 07 from NADRA.
- 3. Adjourned to 07.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Rev.No.11/2021

Ch.Muhammad Usman

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
4.	10.2.2022.	Rana Rashid Javed, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocate for DRAP.
		Hafiz Bilal Bin Akbar, A.D-Legal, DRAP.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 07.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4675/2021**

**Ghulam Abbas** 

#### Versus

OGDCL through its Managing Director, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig or counsel wh		_	e and t	hat of par	ties
03.	10.2.2022	Mr.Muhammad applicant.	Shahid	Kamal	Khan,	Advocate	for

## C.M. No.473/2022.

This is an application for rectification/modification of order dated 01.2.2022 passed by this Court in C.M.No.348/2022 which was filed in the instant writ petition whereby inadvertently it has been observed that C.M has been filed to issue direction to respondent No.1 to decide the pending representation of the applicant within sixty days whereas in C.M.No.348/2022, the prayer was that till the final decision of the captioned writ petition one post of Manager Internal Audit may be kept vacant.

2. In view of above, instant C.M is **allowed** and the observation recorded in first portion of the order be read as referred above.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1999/2021**

Nawabzada Khawaja Muhammad Khan Hoti **Versus** 

Federal Land Commission, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	09.2.2022.	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan, Advocate for Petitioner.

#### C.M.No.450/2022.

Learned counsel for the applicant contends that despite restraining order dated 08.6.2021 passed by this Court to the extent of suspension of the impugned order dated 10.12.2019 passed by Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad as well as the execution notice but despite whereof Member Federal Commission, Islamabad, has commenced proceedings on the solitary statement of the learned counsel representing respondent No.6; extension in the injunctive order has been made due to the fact that after passing of restraining order matter could not be taken up for hearing again, as such, Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad has no authority to allow the Deputy Land Commissioner, Mardan to proceed in execution application.

2. Be that as it may, it is observed that order dated 08.6.2021 is still in field and order dated 10.12.2019 impugned before this Court is suspended till date.

- 3. Perusal of record reveals that respondent is being represented by Kousar Ali Shah, Advocate but he has never put appearance before this Court nor filed any application for joining the proceedings, therefore, in such scenario fresh notices be issued to the respondents through Member Federal Land Commission, Islamabad as well as Deputy Land Commissioner, Mardan who shall made service of notices upon respondents.
- 4. Adjourned to 16.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Org.No.06/2022

Ramiz Raja

**Versus** 

Ubaid-ud-Din, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	09.2.2022.	Ch. Nasir Abbas Minhas, Advocate for applicant.
		Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Yasir Khan, Supdt.Estate Office.
		Muhammad Javed, A.D, Admin, IESCO.
		Qurban Ali Lund, Assistant Coordinator, M/o Energy.

Learned counsel for respondent IESCO in attendance contends that judgment dated 17.3.2020 passed by this Court in W.P.No.2913/2019 has been complied with and possession of the house has been handed over to the applicant as per his entitlement.

2. In view of above, instant criminal original has borne fruit, therefore, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.162/2022

Muzammil Yaseen

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	09.2.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Tayyib, Advocate for the Petitioner. Barrister Umer Aslam Khan, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Respondents are directed to file parawise
		comments before the next date of hearing with an
		advance copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Adjourned to 05.4.2022.

2.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2824/2021

Syed Mazhar Ali Shah **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	09.2.2022.	Mr.Sana Ullah Khan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Shahid Abdullah, Director Plant Protection.

Learned AAG alongwith official of respondents contend that they need some more time for filing of parawise comments. They further contend that respondent No.3 i.e Asim Iqbal has resigned from his position, as such instant writ petition has become infructuous. Learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner requests for adjournment due to non-availability of principal counsel. Allowed.

2. Adjourned to 11.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3277-2021**

Azam Khan, etc

#### **Versus**

Registrar Cooperative Societies, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
5.	09.2.2022.	Syed Yasir Hussain Shah, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocate for CDA.
		•
		Ch.Haseeb Muhammad & Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch,
		Advocates for CDA.

Petitioners are directed to argue maintainability of instant writ petition.

2. Adjourned to 02.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.491/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3838/2020

Ch.Muhammad Tufail, etc

#### **Versus**

The ICT Cooperative Societies Department, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(10)	09.2.2022.	A Ammar Sehri, Advocate for petitioner.  Mst.Shireen Imran, Advocate for Petitioner in W.P.No.287/2022.  Syed Shahbaz Shah, Advocate for petitioner in
		W.P.No.339/2020 & W.P.No.2960/2020. Barrister Kamran Shaban Bhatti, for respondent No.3. Mr.Muhammad Bilal Ibrahim and Ch.Haseeb Muhammad, Advocates for respondent No.4. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office.
		Mr.Zakhruf Fida Malik, Assistant Commissioner/Administrator, FECHS.
		Syed Muhammad Shah, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.3838/2020, W.P.No.491/2021 and in W.P.No.287/2012.
		Mr.Munir Hussain Bhatti, Advocate for respondent in W.P.No.3277/2021 and W.P.No.287/2022.
		Ch.Riasat Ali Gondal, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.837/2021.
		Syed Asad Ali Shah, Advocate for CDA in W.P.No.339/2021 and W.P.No.2961/2021.

After hearing the parties, it appears that National Assembly Employees Co-operative Housing Society and Federal Employees Co-operatives Housing Society are involved in civil litigation which has now been settled through an Award and execution is pending in the Civil Court. It has further been contended that as and when Executing Court executes the decree all the issues including possession of plots claimed by the petitioners will be settled. Office is directed to requisition the record of the executing Court for perusal of this Court.

2. Adjourned to 21.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

# JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3715/2020

I.B Employees Cooperative Housing Society

#### **Versus**

Civil Judge Ist Class, Islamabad, etc

Mr. Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel.

order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
05.	09.2.2022.	Ch.Usman Bashir, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Syeda Rida-e-Batool, Advocate for CDA.
		Mr.Munir Hussain Bhatti, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

As per office report notice has been served upon respondents No.2, whereas notices issued to respondent No.3 to 6 & 10 have been received unserved due to incomplete addresses. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No2, therefore, he is proceeded ex-parte.

- 2. Learned counsel for petitioner shall submit affidavit of facts regarding last known addresses of respondents No.3 to 6, & 10 thereafter they will be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the petitioner. Petitioner is directed to deposit process fee within seven days.
- 3. Adjourned to 28.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

# JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. R.F.A.No.457/2021

National Insurance Company Limited

#### **Versus**

OGDCL, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	09.2.2022.	Mr.Rizwan Shabbir Kayani, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Sajid ur Rehman Mashwani, Advocate for respondent No.1.

As per office report notices have been served upon respondents No.2 & 3, however, no one has put appearance on their behalf, therefore, they be served through learned trial Court seized with the matter.

2. Adjourned to 16.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# **Crl.Appeal No.146/2021**

Muhammad Khan

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Ali Jan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	09.2.2021.	Ch.Usama Tariq, Proxy Counsel for appellant. Khawaja Sajjad Ahmed, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel. Respondent No.1, is present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is stated to be busy before Apex Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 15.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# **Crl.Appeal No.115/2021**

Muhammad Naveed etc

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
07.	09.2.2021.	Ch.Usama Tariq, Proxy Counsel for appellants. Mr.Muhammad Bilal Siddique, Advocate for respondent No.2. Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.		

Learned counsel for the appellants is stated to be busy before Apex Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

# JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# R.F.A.No.823/2021

Faisal Hayat

## Versus

HR & Admin Directorate, IESCO, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
3.	09.2.2022.	Mr.Ghulam Muhammad Khan, Proxy Counsel fo appellant.			
		Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.			

Learned counsel for appellant is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 18.4.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.291/2021 Moazzam Javed Khokhar **Versus** Muhammad Ashraf

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	11.1.2022.	Raja Muqsit Nawaz Khan, Advocate for applicant.
		NA CL L LAI' A ' L LAITDO T L L L

Mr.Shahzad Ali, Assistant, NIRC, Islamabad.

The representative of respondent has submitted compliance report dated 24.12.2021 whereby Mr.Asif Ali, Assistant Private Secretary of NIRC has been appointed as Election Officer/Authorized Officer with direction to issue schedule of election and proceedings for conducting the elections of APPC Employees Union. However, till date said authorized officer has not issued election schedule, therefore, Registrar Trade Union, NIRC, Islamabad is directed to place election schedule before the Court on the next of hearing after seeking instructions from the said election officer/authorized officer.

2. Adjourned to 31.1.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

# Writ Petition No.4148/2021

Dr.Raza Hussain

### **Versus**

Civil Judge 1<sup>st</sup> Class-West, Islamabad , etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
03.	10.1.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Mohsin Bhatti, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Binyameen Abbasi, Advocate for respondents No.2.			
		No one has put appearance on behalf of			
		respondents No.3. Let respondent No.3 be served			
		through learned Senior Civil Judge, Faisalabad.			
		2. Adjourned to 07.2.2022.			

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.4294/2021

The National Council for Tibb

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
02.	10.1.2022.	Mr.Sher Afzal Khan Marwat, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.	
		Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C. Dr.Sabeen Afzal, in person.	

Learned AAG in attendance contends that parawise comments are in process of vetting and same will be filed before the next date of hearing. Respondent No.2 i.e Dr.Sabeen Afzal, in attendance contends that she is no more holding the charge of Director in the Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations & Coordination, Islamabad. In view of her statement, she is no more required to appear before this Court in the instant case.

2. Adjourned to 28.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.3033/2021

National Horticultural Society of Pakistan

#### **Versus**

Ikram ul Haq, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings				
(6)	10.1.2022.	Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawad, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.Idrees Ashraf, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 9. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner, Office, Islamabad.			

Respondents are directed to file reply of instant writ petition before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 07.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# Writ Petition No.4032/2021

Mohsin Ali Khan Versus

Federal Ombudsman Secretariat for Protection against Harassment of Women at the Work Place.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
05. 2	1.12.2021.		Ali, Advocate for Petitioner. Ali Khan, Proxy Counsel for respondent
			Learned counsel for respondent No.2, is
		not available	e and request for adjournment has been
		made on his	behalf.
		2.	Adjourned to 04.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4676/2021**

Saeed Ahmed

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties	
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
743	24 42 2024	D CAACL II AL I C D III	

(1) 31.12.2021. Dr.G.M.Chaudhry, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed as under:-

- a) That the procedure of Annual Performance Appraisal, 2008, (BELL CURVE METHODOLOGY) provided in instruction Circular No.38/2009, dated 06.4.2009 (Annex-F) and the promotion policy 2013, 2014 & 2014 (Annex-E) circulated vide President Office Circular No.07/2015 dated 03.6.2015 (Annex-E) based thereon, being contradictory to provisions of Rule 21 of the National Bank of Pakistan (Staff) Service Rules, 1973, be declared as exploitative, ultra vires, illegal and conditions imposed through it as coram non judice and be set aside ab-initio in the interest of justice.
- b) That the respondent No.2 and 3 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion as the officer Grade-I (OG-I) and be promoted being eligible when juniors of the petitioner were prompted under the provisions of Rule 21 of the National Bank of Pakistan (Staff) Service Rules, 1973, on basis of seniority-cum-fitness and also to pay arrears of salary and allowances.
- c) That the Respondent No.2 and 3 may also be restrained from taking any adverse action or victimizing the petitioner due to filing of the instant petition by means of transfers or postings at far flung stations to compel the petitioner to withdraw the instant petition or surrender his legal rights, etc.
- d) That the cost of the constrained litigation for protection of legal and fundamental rights of the

petitioner also be awarded in terms of section 35(1)(i) & (ii) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (V of 1908) which is a mandatory cost in such circumstances in the interest of justice.

Any other favourable relief may also graciously be granted to the petitioner as may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner interalia contends that despite eligibility of the petitioner he has not been promoted in terms of National Bank of Pakistan (Staff) Service Rules, 1973 rather respondent Bank has introduced Annual Performance Appraisal, 2008 vide Circular No.38/2009 dated 06.4.2009 which is in conflict with Rule 21 and other Rules of the National Bank of Pakistan (Staff) Service Rules, 1973. When confronted learned counsel for the petitioner conceded that no such order of non-promotion of petitioner has been passed in writing till date. He further contends that in such scenario petitioner has filed different representations and appeals to the respondent Bank and its President for his promotion but same have not yet been answered in any manner.
- 3. Keeping in view of above contentions, raised by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, instant writ petition is converted into a representation/appeal and office is directed to transmit the same alongwith all its annexures to the President of National Bank of Pakistan who shall decide the questions raised in the instant writ petition, in accordance with law within a period of 60 days after the receipt of copy of this order.
- 4. Instant writ petition stands **disposed of.**

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No. 3614/2021**

Akhtar Zaman Bhatti, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	11.10.2021	Dr. G. M. Chaudhary, Advocate for petitioners.

Dr. G. M. Chaudhary, Advocate for petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the petitioners have challenged the vires of the National Bank of Pakistan Staff Service Rules, 2021, which have been promulgated in violation of the Section 32(2)(xxviii) of the National Bank of Pakistan Ordinance, 1949 r/w Articles 97, 98 & 99 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, *1973*.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners inter-alia contends that the respondent Bank/NBP has notified the said Rules in violation of the law, which could not be given protection nor the rights of the petitioners could be dealt under those Rules.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents for 01.11.2021, through Registered Post A.D. and TCS, at the expense of the petitioners. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3008/2021.
- 5. As the *vires* of the Rules have been challenged in this case, therefore, it is necessary to issue notice to the Attorney General for Pakistan in terms of Order XXVII-A CPC, in order to get his assistance.

# C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

# C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice.

# C.M. No. 03/2021.

Notice.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. Writ Petition No.4675/2021

Ghulam Abbas *Versus* OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig	•	_	e and t	hat of par	ties
(01)	31.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad petitioner.	Shahid	Kamal	Khan,	Advocate	for

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 28.12.2021 passed by Managing Director/CEO of respondent company whereby respondent No.3 has been promoted as Manager – Internal Audit (EG-VII) w.e.f 01.12.2021.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter-alia* contends the respondent authority has not attended the legal requirements of the company Rules for consideration of promotion and other issues and impugned order has been passed in a mechanical fashion in order to deprive the petitioner from his lawful rights.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner with the direction to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for Petitioner. Respondent No.1, shall also submit details alongwith summary of the promotion of respondent No.3 with the parawise comments.

4. Adjourned to **25.2.2022.** 

C.M. No.1/2021.

Notice.

C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice.

C.M. No. 03/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. Writ Petition No.4668/2021

# Ghulam Mustafa

#### Versus

Utility Stores Corporation of Pakistan, Private Limited, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	31.12.2021.	Mirza Muhammad Afzal, Advocate for petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 02.6.2021 passed by learned Single Bench of NIRC, Islamabad and order dated 20.10.2021 passed by learned full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad whereby grievance notice and appeal filed by the petitioner have been dismissed respectively.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter-alia* contends the petitioner has been non-suited on hyper technical ground; that recovery notice dated 11.1.2017 was served upon the petitioner against which departmental appeal was filed on 27.1.2017 which was decided on 05.11.2018 thereafter grievance notice was served on 23.11.2018 but all these aspects have not been looked into by both the forums below and impugned orders have been passed in whimsical manner which are against the norms of justice and are liable to be set-aside.
- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to the respondents through registered postA.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to **28.3.2022.**

# C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

# C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## R.F.A.No.540/2021

Petrosin CNG Private Limited

#### **Versus**

OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
procedings	1 10000011190	
(2)	31.12.2021.	Mr.Atta Ullah Hakim Kundi, Advocate for applicant.

## C.M.No.1350/2021.

This is an application for suspension of impugned order dated 17.6.2021 passed by the learned trial Court.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the applicants.
- 3. Adjourned to 13.1.2022.
- 4. Office is directed to also fix main case on the above said date.

## C.M.No.1351/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.1355-B/2021

Nadeem Iqbal

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	31.12.2021.	Mr.Zia ur Rehman, Advocate for petitioner. Complainant Ibrahim Abdullah in person.

# C.M.No.515/2021.

Learned counsel for the applicant contends that parties have entered into a compromise and complainant of the case has submitted an affidavit of compromise in this regard, therefore, petitioner may be allowed post arrest bail.

2. Perusal of record reveals that main case has already been fixed for 05.1.2022 before any available Bench, however, in the interest of justice, instant C.M, is *allowed* and office is directed to fix main case for today i.e 31.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Writ Petition No.4661/2021**

M/s Maverick Petrogas Private Limited, etc

#### **Versus**

OGRA, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
_	20 12 2021	Mat Assas Harrid Advanta for Datitions

L. 30.12.2021. Mst.Asma Hamid, Advocate for Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 11.3.2020 passed by respondent authority whereby CNG marketing licence granted to petitioner No.1 has been cancelled/revoked.

Learned counsel for the petitioners interalia contends that petitioner No.1, has transferred its rights to Petitioner No.2 qua CNG marketing licence allocated in district Sheikhupura and after receiving such application respondent authority was reluctant to transfer the rights of petitioner No.1 to petitioner No.2 rather initiated proceedings for cancellation of license of petitioner No.1; that petitioner No.1 initially assailed all the actions of the respondent authority before Civil Court, Sheikhupura, however, said proceedings have been withdrawn from the Civil Court and instant petition has been filed under the law. It has further been contended that Petitioner was neither heard nor was given any opportunity of hearing to explain its position by the respondent authority before passing the impugned order which tantamounts to violation of

# Writ Petition No.4661/2021

-2-

Petitioner's right to a fair trial enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Pakistan.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice
   be issued to the respondents through registered post
   A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioners.
- 4. Re-list on **03.1.2022** before any available Bench.

## C.M. No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

# C.M. No.02/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# enunciate

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3023/2019

Shehryar Ali Taseer, etc

#### Versus

The Chief Commissioner, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(7)	29.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Umer Qureshi & Mr.Osama Shahid Khawaja, Advocates for petitioners/applicants. Mr.Aamir Rehman Mughal, Advocates for respondent No.3. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel. Mr.Muhammad Ibrar, decree holder in person.	

## C.M.No.4290/2021.

Through this application, applicant/petitioner has prayed for release of surety/performance bond submitted in this Court in compliance of order dated 06.09.2019 passed by this Court in W.P.No.3023/2019 whereby petitioner Shehryar Ali Taseer assailed the order dated 13.9.2018 passed by Authority under Payment of Wages Act, 1936 on the complaint of respondent No.3 i.e Muhammad Ibrar against present applicant as well as his company i.e Media Times Limited

2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that impugned order dated 13.9.2018 has been assailed before this Court in the captioned writ petition which was partly allowed vide order dated 10.9.2020 and said decision was maintained upto the Apex Court; that after passing the said order, press machinery was auctioned

in compliance of original order passed by authority under Payment of Wages Act, 1936 and amount of Rs.31 lacs was deposited by the auction purchaser in the Court account which has now been released in favour of Muhammad Ibrar decree holder, in addition to said amount applicant has also submitted pay order amounting to Rs.5 lacs in favour of Muhammad Ibrar which has also been released in favour of decree holder whereas remaining amount is yet to be paid to the decree holder though applicant is ready to pay the said amount subject to release of surety/performance bond whereafter applicant would be able to hand over the remaining amount of Rs.34 lacs in order to satisfy the order passed by the Wages Authority under payment of Wages Act.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has also drawn attention of this Court towards settlement agreement dated 20.10.2021 executed between Media Times and Muhammad Ibrar decree holder whereby it was agreed between the parties in terms of clause 2 that Rs.36 lacs has already been paid to the decree holder which fact has not been denied by respondent side, however, remaining Rs.34 lacs are yet to be paid to the decree holder, as such applicant has no objection on release of said amount i.e Rs.34 lacs in favour of Muhammad Ibrar and requests that Deputy Registrar of this Court be directed to release remaining amount in favour of Muhammad Ibrar (decree holder) whereas

C.M.No.4290/2021 in W.P.No.3023/2019.

-3-

remaining amount Rs.1.6 million be released in favour of

applicant/Shehryar Ali Taseer.

4. Be that as it may, instant application

stands *disposed of* with the direction to the Deputy

Registrar Judicial of this Court to issue necessary

direction to the Silk Bank for release of

surety/performance bond to the extent of Rupees 1.6

million in favour of applicant and Rupees 3.4 million in

favour of respondent No.3/Muhammad Ibrar s/o

Muhammad Sakhawat (CNIC No.6110125420951)

whereby relevant bank shall transfer the said amount

accordingly to the relevant parties after due verification.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

(04) 29.12.2021. Mr.Muhammad Umer Qureshi & Mr.Ossama Shahid Khawaja, Advocates for Petitioner. Mr.Aamir Rehman Mughal, Advocate for respondent. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner, Office, Islamabad. Respondent No.8/Mr.Awais Munir in person.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Misc.No.1355-B/2021

Nadeem Iqbal

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	29.12.2021.	Mr.Zia ur Rehman, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mr.Shakir Javaid, Advocate for complainant.
		Complainant in person.

Complainant in attendance contends that he is still in process for reconciliation with the accused and requests for some time to finalize the same.

2. Re-list on 05.1.2022 before any available Bench.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.1332-B/2021

Raja Adeel Masood

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	·

**04.** 29.12.2021. Mr.Shahid Mehmood Langrial, Advocate for Petitioner.

Ch.Fayyaz Hussain, AAG.

Mr. Ayaz Khan, Additional Director, FIA.

Mian Irfan, S.I/I.O, FIA.

Mr.Ijlal Raees, S.I

Learned AAG at the very outset contends that connected matter i.e *Crl.Misc.No.1345-B/2021* is pending before Honourable Bench-V of this Court and It is appropriate that all the three bail petitions are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter as well as *Crl.Misc.No.1333-B/2021* before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4283/2021

Xianjiang Beixin

#### Versus

Ghazanfar Abbas, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(3)	28.12.2021.	Mr.Nasir Saleem, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Fiaz Ahmed Anjum Jandran, Advocate for respondent
		No.1.

Learned counsel for Petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Same was the position on the last date of hearing. Last opportunity is granted to the Petitioner to argue his case on the next date of hearing failing which matter shall be decided as per available record and after hearing the learned counsel for respondents.

2. Adjourned to 26.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

# Crl.Misc.No.1347-B/2021

Hamza Ali

### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.									
(03)	28.12.2021.	Mr.Afzaa Mr.Muha complair Mst.Sadi Mr.Basha	nmmad nant. a Shehza	Za adi, S	ıfar	Khokl	har, A	petition		for	
			Both the parties request for adjournment.								
		2.	Re-list	on	06.1.2	2022	before	any	avail	able	
		Bench.									

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.1340-B/2021

Abdul Rehman, etc

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(03)	28.12.2021.	Mr.Shahid Shabbir, Advocate for the Petitioner. Raja Gul Nawaz, Advocate for complainant. Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel. Mr.Asif Ali, S.I, PS Lohi Bher, Islamabad.

During the course of arguments, it appears that alleged pay order (bhatta extortion) which has been transferred from complainant's side in the name of accused has not yet been verified by the Investigating Officer. The I.O present in the Court is directed to verify the same before the next date of hearing.

2. Re-list on 05.1.2022 before any available Bench.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4616/2021**

Muhammad Asad Igbal, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
	20 12 2021	Mulkhalid Tanadil Advanta for Datition and

**1.** 28.12.2021. Mr.Khalid Ismail, Advocate for Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioners have assailed the letter dated 22.12.2021 whereby promotion Board has been constituted for promotion of employees on different positions including employees who are regular or on contract.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *interalia* contends that promotion criteria has been issued by NADRA authority which only covers regular employees and as such contractual employees have no right to promotion, whereas respondent authority has also initiated process of promotion of contractual employees which is illegal as no provision exists under Rules and promotion policy which allows the contractual employees to be considered for promotion in higher ranks.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioners.
- 3. Adjourned to 24.4.2022.

#### C.M. No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### C.M. No.02/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.816/2021

Muhammad Jamil

Versus

Utility Stores Corporation, Pvt. Ltd, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	28.12.2021.	Mirza Muhammad Afzal, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Nasir Saleem, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1, in attendance contends that he needs some time to file parawise comments and prepare the brief.

2. Adjourned to 29.12.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.885/2021

Nazir Ahmed

#### Versus

Ashfaq Ahmed Janjua, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	28.12.2021.	Mr.Muzammil Din Chaudhry, Advocate for appellant.

Mr.Muzammil Din Chaudhry, Advocate for appellant.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 30.10.2021, passed by learned Civil Judge Ist Class-West, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 24.5.2022. To be heard alongwith R.F.A.No.884/2021.

#### C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### C.M. No.2/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1829/2021**

Mukhtar Ahmad Sajid **Versus**OGDCL, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	27.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shahid Kamal Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Umair Majeed Malik & Sardar Haseeb Iftikhar Ahmed, Advocates for respondent.

Learned counsel for respondent OGDCL after seeking instruction from the respondent department contends that representation of the petitioner is still pending with the competent authority on the subject issue and respondent department is ready to decide the same in accordance with law.

- 2. In view of above, learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that Petitioner will be satisfied if a direction be issued to the concerned authority for adjudication of his pending representation as early as possible.
- 3. Be that as it may, instant writ petition stands *disposed of* with the direction to the respondent authority to decide the pending representation of the Petitioner strictly in accordance with law within a period of 60 days after the receipt of order of this Court and compliance report shall be submitted before this Court for perusal in Chambers.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2835/2020

Ghulam Abbas *Versus* OGDCL, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
11.	27.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shahid Kamal Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Umair Majeed Malik & Sardar Haseeb Iftikhar Ahmed, Advocates for respondent.

Learned counsel for respondent OGDCL after seeking instruction from the respondent department contends that representation of the petitioner is still pending with the competent authority on the subject issue and respondent department is ready to decide the same in accordance with law.

- 2. In view of above, learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that Petitioner will be satisfied if a direction be issued to the concerned authority for adjudication of his pending representation as early as possible.
- 3. Be that as it may, instant writ petition stands **disposed of** with the direction to the respondent authority to decide the pending representation of the Petitioner strictly in accordance with law within a period of 60 days after the receipt of order of this Court and compliance report shall be submitted before this Court for perusal in Chambers.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1829/2021**

Mukhtar Ahmad Sajid **Versus** OGDCL, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	21.12.2021.	Hafiz Ejaz Mehboob, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Umair Majeed Malik and Sardar Haseeb Ahmed,
		Advocates for respondents.

After arguing the case at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Petitioner has also filed representation against the impugned seniority list but same has not yet been answered in any manner. When confronted learned counsel for respondents requests for some time to seek instruction qua pendency of representation.

2. Adjourned to **27.12.2021.** To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2835/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1059/2021

Muhammad Zaheer Butt

#### Versus

Muhammad Islam, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
9.	07.6.2022.	Petitioner in person.
		Malik Muhammad Zubair, Advocates for respondents
		No.1,3,4 & 5.
		Malik Imran Feroze, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Respondents No.1 to 5 in person.
		Mr.Arif, ASI.

After hearing the parties it is necessary to procure the attendance of respondents No.1 to 4 on each and every date of hearing, they are directed to submit surety bonds in the sum of Rs.20,000/-each to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. Respondents No.1 to 4 are directed to appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

- 2. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 07.6.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1534/2021

Saira Rubab Nasir, etc

#### **Versus**

Federal Government, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**10.** 27.12.2021. Miss.Zainab Janjua, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.5357/2021.

Through this C.M, applicant has prayed for fixation of main case and other connected matters on the ground that respondent PMC despite seeking a sinedie adjournment at its own, now passing adverse orders against the applicant and other employees of PMC. It is further contended that respondent PMC has initiated two separate Compulsory Golden schemes i.e Handshake Scheme as well as Voluntarily **Separation Scheme** which have been imposed upon the applicant as well as other employees without their own consent despite the fact that restraining order has been passed by this Court.

2. In view of above contentions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant, it is appropriate to fix the main case on **10.1.2022** alongwith all other connected matters subject to issuance of notice to all the parties. Instant C.M, stands <u>disposed of.</u>

#### C.M.No.5358/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.1332-B/2021

Raja Adeel Masood

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	27.12.2021.	Mr.Shahid Mehmood Langrial, Advocate for petitioner. Mr.Attig ur Rehman, AAG.

The Additional Director FIA as well as Investigating Officer of case FIR No.18 dated 30.3.2021 PS FIA, Islamabad shall appear in person before the Court and shall explain their position for their absence before the court despite notice by this Court.

2. Adjourned to 29.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4583/2021**

Farid ud Din

#### **Versus**

Capital Development Authority, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
•	Proceedings	•
- 1	24 12 2021	Hafiz Hifz ur Dohman Svad Advacate for Detitioner

**1.** 24.12.2021. Hafiz Hifz ur Rehman Syed, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to the respondent authority to decide his pending application dated 25.10.2021 for employment of his son whereby he is going to be retired on 10.1.2022 on attaining the age of superannuation; that in terms of CDA Employees (Service) Regulations, 1992, the petitioner's son has to be given preference and accommodated in the recruitment process within 20% quota.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 3. Adjourned to 03.3.2022.

#### C.M. No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4580/2016

Mumtaz Ali **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
	24 12 2021	Name of the state

**18.** 24.12.2021. N

Nemo for petitioner.

Mr. Habib Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for PTCL.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has already withdrawn his power of attorney on the last date of hearing. It seems that petitioner is no more interested in the *lis*.

2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Objection Case No.13442/2021

Mushtaq Ahmed Khan

#### Versus

Moammar Qadafi, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	-
(1)	24.12.2021.	Mr.Riafat Mehmood, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.1228/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant seeks some more time to remove office objections. For the reasons stated therein same is allowed and 07 days more time is granted to the applicant for removal of office objections.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3857/2020

Ikram ul Haq etc **Versus** 

A.C (City), ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	19.3.2021.	Mr.Ali Murad Baloch, Advocate for applicants.

#### C.M.No.1317/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.M.No.5354/2001

<u>In</u>

C.M.No.1164/2019

In

Writ Petition No.4558/2018

Mukhtar Ali Khan *Versus* CEO, M/s Ocean Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

08. 24.12.2021. Muhammad Arif Khawaja, Advocate for applicant.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of C.M.No.1164/2019. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix C.M.No.1164/2019 on **08.02.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.875/2021

Malih Qamar Zaman

#### Versus

Sardar Muhammad Latif Khan Khosa

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	24.12.2021.	Nemo.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 27.11.2021, passed by learned Senior Civil Judge-I-West, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondent through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 19.5.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org. No.266/2021.

Bilal Bin Habib etc **Versus** 

Amir Ullah Marwat, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	23.12.2021.	Raja Iftikhar Ahmad, Advocate for applicants. Mr.Noman Amin Farooqi, Advocate for applicant in
		Crl.Org.No.110/2021. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.S.A.Mahmood Khan Saddozai, Advocate for
		respondents. Mr.Abdul Jalil, Deputy Secretary, Finance Division. Dr.Agha Ghulam Haider, Deputy Director Legal, Ministry of Federal Education. Mr.Muhammad Irfan, S.O, Establishment Division. Mr.Ishtiaq Ahmed, S.O, Finance Division.

Learned AAG alongwith learned counsel for NCHD in attendance contends that in compliance of judgment dated 04.2.2020 passed by this Court, MS Wing and Finance Division has agreed to confirm 35 posts, and passed direction for adjustment of remaining 14 posts are yet to be adjusted under their own scheme.

2. Adjourned to 26.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Crl.Org.No.110/2021

Siraj Ahmad

#### Versus

Hassan Baig, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07.	23.12.2021.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Org.No.266/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.1302-B/2021

Aurangzeb Masih

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	23.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Zafar Khokhar, Advocate for petitioner. Mst.Saira Khalid Rajput, Advocate for complainant.
		Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.
		Mr.Khalid Awan, S.I.

Learned counsel for complainant in attendance contends that she has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, she needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

2. Adjourned to 28.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.1319-B/2021

Sudais

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	23.12.2021.	Ch.Wajid Hussain, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Ishtiaq Ahmad, Advocate for complainant.
		Mst.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.
		Mr.M.Afzal, ASI PS Koral, Islamabad.

The Investigating Officer in attendance contends that medical report of the victim is appended with the challan. He is directed to produce copy of medical report of the victim on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 30.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.581/2021

Muhammad Iqbal, etc **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	23.12.2021.	Mr.Badar Iqbal Chaudhry, Advocate for applicants.

### C.M.No.5324/2021.

This is an application for interim relief.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents for reply of instant application.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.1.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.153/2021.

#### C.M. No.5325/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4545/2021

Zia Shahid Malik

#### **Versus**

Director General, Co-operative Societies, Islamabad etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	_

**1.** 22.12.2021. Raja Muhammad Nadeem Kiani, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 07.12.2021 passed by Additional Commissioner/Director Admin, ICT, Islamabad exercising the powers of Secretary Cooperatives whereby 1122 voters have been included in the voter list for upcoming election of the Federal Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that impugned order has not been passed in accordance with law; that while passing the ignored the fact that the 1122 persons have not fulfill the criteria of voter members as envisage under Cooperative Societies Act, 1925 and Election Rules 2019 which specifically defined concept of voters and members election of the managing committee of the IB Co-operative Housing Society, Islamabad is going to be held on 15.2.2021 and there are more than eight thousand registered voters entitled to cast their votes and for this purpose one polling station has been established at Islamabad and all the voters have been directed to reach Islamabad in order to cast their votes. It has further been contended

that establishment of a single polling station will amount to denial of right of vote to different voters which is clear violation of principal of free and fair election.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to the respondents through registered postA.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 08.2.2021.

#### C.M. No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2274/2021

M/s Noha Global Services Private Limited

#### **Versus**

Justice of Peace, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		signature where ne	e of Judge a cessary.	ind that of	part	ties
(1)	25.6.2021.	Mr.Sajid Petitioner	Rehman	Mashwani,	Advocate	for	the

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has called in question order dated 03.6.2021 passed by Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Islamabad whereby application u/s 22-A/B Cr.PC filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace misconstrued the facts and dismissed the application on whimsical grounds despite the fact that cheque was issued by respondent No.4 which was dishonoured on its presentation before the concerned Bank.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notices be issued to respondent No.4, through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 10.8.2021.

#### C.M.No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.537/2021

Jabir Hussain

#### Versus

Muhammad Naeem Khan Ghauri, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	22.12.2021.	Raja Nisar ul Haq Abbasi, Proxy Counsel for appellant. Mr.Ali Waqas, Advocate for respondent No.8 to 10.

As per office report notice has been served upon respondents No.1 to 6 whereas notice issued to respondent No.7 has been received unserved. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6, therefore, they are proceeded ex-parte. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.7 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of appellant.

2. Adjourned to 30.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.137/2021

Mirza Arsalan Baig, etc

**Versus** 

Muhammad Shahbaz Jameel

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	21.12.2021.	Rana Umar Iqbal, Advocate for applicant.
		Mr.Jameel Hussain Qureshi, Advocate for respondent.
		Mr.Muhammad Younas, AVP, ZTBL.

Learned counsel for respondents has placed on record compliance report dated 17.12.2021 whereby representation filed by the applicant has been dismissed by the Board of Directors, ZTBL, in its 96<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01.12.2021.

In view of above, instant criminal original stands 2. disposed of.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.4334/2021

Haseeb Haider **Versus** 

Tariq Javed, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	21.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shahzad Siddiq, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Shabbir Sheikh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 contends that respondent is ready to extend time for vacation of subject premises till 30.4.2022 as requested by petitioner subject to deposit of previous rent, if any, as well as current rent till 30.4.2022 which shall be paid through Court.

2. Adjourned to 14.1.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4228/2021

Ghousia Javaid *Versus*The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	(
order/	order/	C
proceedings	Proceedings	

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.

**2.** 21.12.2021.

Mr.Zahid Asif Ch & Mr.Muhammad Bilal, Advocates for Petitioner.

Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.

Mr.Zamir ul Hassan, ASI PS Ramna, Islamabad.

Mr.Riaz, ASI, PS Civil Lines, Bahawalpur.

Through instant writ petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to restrain officials of Police Station Ramna, Islamabad as well as SHO PS Civil Lines, Bahawalpur from causing illegal harassment to her and her family members due to the complaint of her husband Asad Imran against whom she has filed suit for dissolution of marriage.

2. In compliance of order of this Court Mr.Riaz, ASI, PS Civil Lines, Bahawalpur, has put appearance and contends that police officials are not harassing the petitioner and her family members rather they are investigating the case FIR No.772 dated 08.10.2021 under Section 365-B PPC PS Civil Lines, Bahawalpur which has been registered on the complaint of husband of petitioner. When confronted learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is ready to record her statement before the police. Respondent Police officials present in the Court have assured this Court

that they will not harass the petitioner or her family members and only act in accordance with law.

3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the police official of PS Civil Lines Bahawalpur to record the statement of petitioner Mst.Ghousia Javaid and proceed with the matter in accordance with law and not to harass the petitioner or her family members through any illegal means.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.355/2020

Aftab Ahmed **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	21.12.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

21.12.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.354/2020

Asif Ali Channa **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07.	21.12.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)

**JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.353/2020

Muhammad Ejaz **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07.	21.12.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.351/2020

Mudassar Saeed **Versus** Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**08.** 21.12.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.1033/2020

Ishtiaq Ahmed **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(05)	21.12.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S.Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Naseem Ahmed Shah, Proxy Counsel for respondents. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for respondent/Pakistan
Railways is not available due to demise in the family and
requests for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 02.3.2022.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4063/2021

Ghazala Nagiana Ommaya

#### **Versus**

Imran Khan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
4.	21.12.2021.	Mr.Abdur Rashid Awan, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Barrister Syed Masood Raza, for respondent No.1.

#### C.M. No.5299/2021.

This is an application for placing additional documents on record. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions. The status of the documents will be considered at the time of final arguments.

#### Main Case.

Case has been heard at length, however, learned counsel for the petitioner needs some time to seek fresh instructions from his client qua status of documents and questions raised in the instant writ petition.

2. Adjourned to 11.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. C.M.No.01/2018 In C.S.No.05/2016

#### **Hussan Jan**

#### **Versus**

Allah Ditta, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(35)	21.12.2021.	Mr.Asif Nazir, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for ICT.
		Mr.Ashfaq Ahmed, Patwari, Mouza Tarlali Kalan, Tehsil
		and district Islamabad.

Written request for adjournment has been made on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.4.

- 2. Halqa Patwari, Mouza Tarlali Kalan, Islamabad in attendance is directed to submit written report on the basis of record qua land measuring 679 Kanals and 16 Marlas situated in Mouza Tarlali Kalan, Tehsil and district Islamabad in Khasra No.493 as claimed by decree holder, as same based on total *Meezan* of the *Qataat* or otherwise.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Objection Case No.21409/2021

Muhammad Shahzaib **Versus** Dilfraz Khan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
(01)	21.12.2021.	Nemo.

 $(\Omega T)$ 

Office has raised objection to the filing of instant petition which is reflected at Sr.No.25 of the objection sheet i.e to file the petition at proper forum.

2. Perusal of record reveals that effective claim is against respondents No.1 & 2, who are situated outside the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. No one has put appearance to rebut the office objection, therefore, office objection is sustained. File be consigned to record room.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.816/2021

Muhammad Jamil

#### Versus

Utility Stores Corporation Pvt.Ltd, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig		dge and that of parties y.
(02)	21.12.2021	Mirza Muhamma	ad Afzal, Advoc	ate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.5305/2021.

This is an application seeking interim relief in pending C.M.No.02/2021.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.
- 3. Office is directed to fix main writ petition alongwith instant C.M, on 28.12.2021.

#### C.M. No.5306/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4009/2020

Mirza Farooq Azeemi *Versus* Ch.Fazal Hussain, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/		gnature of Judge and that of parties here necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings		
07. 2	0.12.2021.	Raja Muhan Petitioner.	nmad Aftab Ahmed, Proxy Counsel for
		Mr.Amer Wa	qas Ch, Advocate for respondent No.1.
			Learned counsel for the Petitioner is not
		available and	d request for adjournment has been made
		on his behalf	
		2.	Adjourned to 26.1.2022

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2284/2020

Muhammad Mahboob Shahid, etc

#### **Versus**

PIMS through Executive Director, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Learned counsel for Petitioners is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 16.2.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4310/2021**

Samreen Atif

#### **Versus**

Senior Superintendent of Police, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	17.12.2021.	Sayed Wahaj Hassan Taqvi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Zahid Ali Khan, Advocate for respondents. Petitioner Samreen Atif and her husband Muhammad Atif in person. Miss.Sadia Shahzadi, State Counsel. Mr.Sajid Cheema, DSP Legal. Mr.Adeel Shaukat, S.I/SHO PS I-9, Islamabad.

Through instant writ petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to restrain officials of Police Station I-9, Islamabad from causing illegal harassment due to a private dispute of her husband with one Adnan Malik.

2. In compliance of order of this Court Mr.Sajid Cheema, DSP Legal alongwith SHO PS I-9, Islamabad have put appearance and contend that police officials are not harassing the petitioner and her family rather they are investigating the case FIR No.849 dated 18.11.2021 under Section 489-F PPC PS I-9, Islamabad which has been registered against the husband of petitioner. When confronted learned counsel for the petitioner contends that husband of petitioner is on pre-arrest bail which is pending before the competent Court of jurisdiction and is fixed for 20.12.2021.

However, respondent Police officials have assured this Court that they will only act in accordance with law.

3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the police officials of PS I-9, Islamabad to act in accordance with law and not to harass the petitioner and her family members through illegal means.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2566/2019

Sajjad Hussain

#### Versus

Additional District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**11.** 17.12.2021. Rao Sabir Hussain, Advocate for Petitioner.

As per office report notice has been served upon respondent No.3 through learned Senior Civil Judge, Sargodha but no one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.3, therefore, respondent No.3 namely Rubab Khan is proceeded ex-parte.

2. Adjourned to 29.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2431/2018

Raja Zahoor Ahmed

#### Versus

Muhammad Sagheer, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
18.	17.12.2021.	Syed Asghar Hussain Sabzwari, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Abdur Rashid Awan, Advocate for respondent No.1. Syed Masood Hussain & Mehraj Tareen, Advocates for CDA. Abdul Razzaq Bhatti, applicant in person.

Learned counsels for CDA in attendance are directed to file reply in all the cases separately alongwith record.

2. Adjourned to 21.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Objection Case No.20598/2021

### The State **Versus**

Muhammad Nasreen Nawaz Chaudhary, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
(01)	17.12.2021.	Nemo.

Office has raised number of objections mentioned on the objection sheet.

2. No one has put appearance in order to rebut the office objections, therefore, office objections are sustained. Petitioner is directed to remove the office objections within 07 days.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4495/2021**

Wajid Gul **Versus** 

Islamabad Electric Supply Company, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

(1) 17.12.2021. Mr.Asif Tamboli, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for release of his pensionary benefits.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *interalia* contends that Petitioner was retired from his service on 25.2.2016 on attaining the age of superannuation whereby petitioner served in respondent department about 08 years but despite lapse of more than four years his pensionary benefits have not yet been released.
- 3. Let pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 14.3.2022.

#### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4486/2021

Roman Khan

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	17 12 2021	Raia Rizwan Abhasi Advocate for Petitioner

Raja Rizwan Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 & 3 for initiation of action against respondent No.2 i.e Pak Suzuki Motors, Karachi who has issued 91 vehicles on the name of petitioner without his knowledge and request.

- 2. Question raised needs consideration. Let notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner.
- Adjourned to 13.1.2022. 3.

#### C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4302/2018

Aqeel Fayyaz, etc Versus

Project Director, Public Sector Development Program, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings Proceedings		
08.	17.12.2021.	Muhammad Qaboor Khattak, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.5271/2021.

Through instant application, applicants seek early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **28.01.2022**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicants.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3925/2020

Malik Qaiser Safdar, etc *Versus* CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
08.	14.12.2021.	Ch.Azmatullah, Advocate for applicant.

Ch.Azmatullah, Advocate for applicant.

Mr.Kashif Ahmed Khan, Advocate for respondents.

#### C.M.No.3968/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant seeks restoration of captioned writ petition which was dismissed for non prosecution vide order dated 15.9.2021. When confronted learned counsel for respondents contends that he has been instructed not to concede the claim of applicant.

2. Perusal of record reveals that application for restoration of writ petition is within time which is supported by an affidavit, hence propriety demands that instant matter should be heard and decided on merit rather than technicalities, therefore, instant C.M, is allowed and writ petition is restored to its original number. The main case has been taken up today.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Crl.Appeal No.82/2021**

Muhammad Ashraf etc **Versus** The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
08.	14.12.2021.	Raja Muhammad Farooq, Advocate for appellants.  Sved Shehbaz Shah, State Counsel.

Learned State Counsel undertakes to argue this appeal on the next date of hearing.

3. Adjourned to 24.12.202.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3110/2017

Muhammad Kashif Azeem Versus

Secretary, M/o Information & Broadcasting, etc,

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

14. 14.12.2021.

Hafiz Mazhar Maiken, Advocate for Petitioner. Ch.Muhammad Nawaz, Advocate for APP. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for Petitioner is directed to argue maintainability of instant writ petition on the next date of hearing by all means.

2. Adjourned to 22.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.R.No.501/2019

Ghulam Farid Khan **Versus** Muhammad Akbar Awan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
09.	14.12.2021.	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.456/2019.

14.12.2021. To be heard alongwith C.R.No.456/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.339/2021

Jamil ur Rehman, etc **Versus** Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**07.** 13.12.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.491/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.456/2019

Afzal Mustafa, etc *Versus* Muhammad Akbar Awan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09.

14.12.2021.

Mr. Abdur Rashid Awan, Advocate for Petitioners. Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for respondents.

On joint request matter is adjourned to

13.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.R.No.32/2016

Karamat Masih **Versus** Matloob Hussain Shah

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	-

14.12.2021. To be heard alongwith C.R.No.454/2015.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.308/2020

Mst.Ghulam Zohra *Versus* Saharam Ali, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07. 1	3.12.2021.	Ch.Tanweer Akhtar, Advocate for appellant. Muhammad Abdul Wase, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mst.Afshan Aziz Abbasi, Advocate for legal heirs of respondents No.21 & 23.
		Parties have addressed their arguments,
		however, Ex.P5 is primafacie missing from the record.
		Parties are directed to assist this Court on the basis of
		exhibited documents on the next date of hearing.
		2. Adjourned to 22.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3167/2021

Cantonment Board Rawalpindi through its CEO **Versus** 

Election Commission of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	21.2.2022.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1249/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.370/2021

Qadeer Ahmed

#### Versus

Capital Development Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
4.	13.12.2021.	Mst.Afshan Aziz Abbasi, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Syeda Rida-e-Batool, Advocate for CDA.
		Mr.Munir Hussain Bhatti, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel.

As per office report notices could not be served upon respondents No.6, 7, 9 & 10 due to incomplete addresses. Learned counsel for petitioner shall submit affidavit of facts regarding last known addresses of respondents No.6, 7, 9, & 10 thereafter they will be served through substituted mode by way of publication in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 02.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3738/2020

Muhammad Ayub **Versus** Chairman, NADRA, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(07)	13.12.2021	Raja Muhammad Mubashir, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Umer Ali Khan & Mr.Ubaid ur Rehman, Law Officer, NADRA. Mr.

Learned law officer, NADRA, in attendance contends that credentials of CNIC of petitioner are in process of verification and final outcome will be submitted before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 20.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

FAO No.239/2019

Zulfiqar Ahmed etc **Versus** Mumtaz Ali, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	-

10.12.2021. Raja Iftikhar Ahmed, Advocate for appellants. Mr.Fahad Khan Tareen, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the appellants at the very outset contends that under the instruction of his clients he intends to withdraw instant appeal.

2. **Dismissed** as withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4240/2021

Jahanzeb Shah

#### **Versus**

Senior Civil Judge/Executing Judge (West), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**2.** 10.12.2021.

Petitioner in person.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Muhammad Amjad, SPS, Wafaqi Mohtasib.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.3 to 5, through special messenger at the cost of Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 10.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl. Misc. No.1327-B/2021

Sikandar Hayat

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	10.12.2021.	Mr.Akhtar Mehmood, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Petitioner Sikandar Hayat in person.

Bahauddin, Punjab.

Through the instant criminal miscellaneous application, petitioner Sikandar Hayat has prayed for transitory/protective bail in case FIR No.759 dated 09.12.2021, under Sections 3, 6, 7 of The Price Control and Prevention of Profiteering and Hoarding Act, 1977, Police Station Khuthiala Sheikhan, District Mandi

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that Petitioner apprehends his arrest at the hands of local police while approaching the competent Court of jurisdiction at district Mandi Bahauddin, Punjab.
- 3. In view of above, petitioner is granted to protective/transitory bail to approach the concerned Court till 20.12.2021 subject to deposit of Rs.5,000/-(Rupees Five Thousand only) in cash to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. This order will cease to have its effect after 20.12.2021. However, Petitioner would be at liberty to withdraw the surety amount after production of certified copy of the order passed by the Court of competent jurisdiction.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl. Misc. No.760-B/2021

Syed Fida Hussain Shah

#### Versus

The State

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	27.7.2021.	Malik Nasir Abbas Awan, Advocate for Petitioner.
		D COLUMN COLUMN

Petitioner Syed Fida Hussain Shah in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application Petitioner Syed Fida Hussain Shah has prayed for transitory bail in case FIR No.147 dated 18.3.2019, offence under section 489-F PPC Police Station Aram Bagh, Karachi.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that Petitioner apprehends his arrest at the hands of local police while approaching the Court of competent jurisdiction at Karachi.
- 3. In view of above, petitioner is granted transitory bail to approach the concerned Court till 10.8.2021 subject to furnishing surety in the sum of Rs.30000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The Petitioner may deposit the cash surety. This order will cease to have its effect after 10.8.2021. However, Petitioner would be at liberty to get release the surety amount subject to production of certified copy of the order passed by the Court of competent jurisdiction.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4486/2019

Muhammad Iqbal **Versus** 

Regional Head/Director, EOBI, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
6.	07.7.2021.	Raja Petitio	Muhammad oner.	Rafique	Janjua,	Advocate	for
		Mr.Khizar Hayat Khan, Advocate for respondents. Mr.Abdul Rehman, A.D-Legal, EOBI.					

Learned counsel for the respondents contends that connected writ petition No.41/2020 titled Aftab Ahmed Versus Adjudicating Authority-III, EOBI, etc alongwith nine other petitions were decided by this Court which has been assailed before Honourable Division Bench of this Court and as such till the decision of said Intra Court Appeal, instant writ petition as well as connected writ petitions may not be decided.

2. Adjourned to 09.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for respondents in their respective writ petitions.

### **ORDER SHEET.**

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.267/2021

Muhammad Musa Khan **Versus**Farzana Altaf Shah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
(04)	09.12.2021.	Mr.Shaukat, brother of Petitioner in person. Ch.Waqas Zamir, Advocate for respondent No.3. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Mr.Sami Ullah Khokhar, Stenotypist, for respondent No.1.					
		Mr.Ashiq-e-Rasool, Sr.Manager Admin, Facto Cement.					

The Director General, Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency shall visit the site personally and submit a report as to whether order dated 26.11.2019 passed in W.P.No.4488/2014 on the recommendations given by the Agency, have been complied with or otherwise. In case of non compliance the Director General shall explain in report qua the action taken by the Agency against its own recommendations.

2. Adjourned to 21.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.2087/2020

Zawer Petroleum Corporation Private Limited **Versus**Civil Judge Ist Class-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	09.12.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.

Mr.Hamza son of respondent No.2 in person.

Mr.Hamza son of respondent No.2 in attendance contends that he needs some time to engage a counsel. Let notice pervi be issued to the petitioner as well as his learned counsel.

2. Adjourned to 23.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.471/2021

Jammu & Kashmir Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad *Versus* 

Muhammad Ismail Baloch, etc

S. No. of Date of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			
02	00 12 2021	To be board plant with W.D.No. 1464/2021		

**02.** 09.12.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1464/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2945/2019

Iqbal Ahmed Ansari

### **Versus**

Nawab, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				
04.	09.12.2021.	Syed Babar Hussain, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Intizar Hussain Panjutha, Advocate for CDA. Mr.Zubair Aslam, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mehr Muhammad Bakhsh, Advocate for respondent No.3.				

Learned counsel for petitioner is stated to be busy before Apex Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 08.3.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1076/2019**

Imran Zareef, etc

### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				
8.	09.12.2021.	Sayyed Tanvir Sohail, Advocate for Petitioners. Mr.Shahid Mehmood Khokhar, Advocate for respondents. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.				

Learned counsel for the Petitioners seeks some time to argue the case in the light of judgment reported

# as <u>2019 PLC CS Note 19 (Imran Ahmed Versus</u> <u>Federation of Pakistan, etc).</u>

2. Adjourned to 21.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.615/2021

Umm-e-Kalsoom

### **Versus**

Board of Trustee, EOBI, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				ties	
(02)	09.12.2021	Raja Petitio		Rafique	janjua,	Advocate	for
		Mr.Ta	riq Bilal, Advoc				
		Mr.Ab	dul Rehman, <i>P</i>	A.D-Legal,	EOBI.		

Parawise comments on behalf of respondents have been filed. Learned counsel for petitioner intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 28.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Civil Revision No.20/2021

Muhammad Kaiser Satti

#### **Versus**

Hasina Rafique Satti, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(6)	09.12.2021.	Mr.Zohaib Abbas Butt, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Tahir Mehmood Abbasi, Advocate for respondents.
		Learned counsel for petitioner is not available

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.4195/2021

Islamabad Electricity Supply Company Limited **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President's Secretariat (Public), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	08.12.2021.	Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Muhammad Amjad, SPS, Wafaqi Mohtasib.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.3. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.3, through special messenger at the cost of Petitioner.

Mr.Imran, husband of respondent No.4 in person.

2. Adjourned to 14.3.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4175/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2999/2021**

Allah Rakha Saghir *Versus*Vice Chancellor, AIOU through its Registrar, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04. 08.12.2021.

Petitioner in person.

Mr.Izrar Ali, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mr.Fazal-e-Rabbi, Deputy Registrar (Legal) AIOU. Dr.Agha Ghulam Haider, representative of respondent No.2.

Parawise comments have already been filed on behalf of respondents, however, copy of the same has been handed over to the Petitioner in the Court. Petitioner intends to peruse the same and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 17.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1794/2021**

Muhammad Tahir

#### **Versus**

NADRA through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	08.12.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Akhtar Anjum, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Syed Junaid Jaffar, Law Officer, NADRA.
		Mr. Yasir Khan, Assistant Director, NADRA

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of instant writ petition departmental appeal of the petitioner has been decided by the respondent authority, therefore, he intends to amend his petition. Request is allowed.

- 2. Learned counsel for Petitioner is directed to file amended writ petition within 07 days. Respondents are directed to file comments accordingly.
- 3. Adjourned to 01.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1184/2021

Muhammad Rafique

### Versus

Director General FIA Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	08.12.2021.	Mr.Tariq Bilal, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Aaliya Zareen Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner in
		W.P.No.1778/2021.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Qaiser Masood, Additional Director Law, FIA.
		Malik Khizar Hayat, Additional Director Law, FIA.
		Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.
		Malik Sikandar Hayat, Deputy Director FIA. Malik Sajid Awan, A.D-FIA.
		Fidilit Sujia Awari, A.D. L.A.

Learned counsel for the parties have partly addressed their arguments.

2. Adjourned to 21.12.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

<u>C.M.No.209/2019</u> <u>In</u>

### F.A.O No.115/2016

Abid Farooq

### **Versus**

Hammad Raza Khan

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(17)	08.12.2021.	Ch.Zubair Iqbal, Proxy Counsel for applicant.

Learned counsel for the applicant is not available and request for adjournment has been made

on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 20.6.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3182/2021**

Khuram Ghaznavi *Versus* 

The President of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	07.12.2021.	Mr.Saeed Ahmed Zaidi, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Abdul Rehman, S.O, Establishment Division. Sajid Cheema, Inspector Legal.

The official of Establishment Division in attendance contends that minutes of the CSB have been notified by the Prime Minister Office and competent authority has already conveyed the promotion orders, however, reasons for supersession and deferment have not yet been conveyed to the official concerned, the same will be submitted before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 18.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.2623/2021

Muhammad Saeed

#### Versus

SHO PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(7)	07.12.2021.	Mr.Qaisar Imam Chaudhry & Mr.Shuja Ullah Gondal,
		Advocates for Petitioner.
		Sardar Jahanzaib Khan, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Rana Zulfiqar Ali, SPP ANF.

Adjourned to 11.1.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2529/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2200/2021

Mursaleen Shah

### **Versus**

Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
4.	07.12.2021.	Mr.Hamad Arif Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.Obaid ur Rehman Zia, Law Officer, NADRA.

The Law Officer of NADRA in attendance contends that instant writ petition is not maintainable as prayer made by Petitioner in the instant writ petition has already been settled in the light of order dated 29.7.2021 whereby departmental appeal filed by the Petitioner has been dismissed. The copy of order dated 29.7.2021 has been placed on record.

2. Keeping in view the above statement, of learned Counsel for respondents, instant writ petition has become infructuous, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1780/2021**

Umer Ikhlas Sheikh

### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(3)	07.12.2021.	Mr.Bilal Shahid, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Dr.Agha Ghulam Haider, Deputy Director Legal, M/o Education.

Learned AAG in attendance contends that parawise comments are ready which shall be filed in the office today.

2. Adjourned to 07.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2627/2021

Mst.Nighat Yasmin

#### Versus

Annie Fasahat Shamim, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	es
4.	07.12.2021.	Mr.Sajjad Haider Malik, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi & Shahid Munir, Advocates respondents No.1 & 2.	for

Learned counsel for petitioner contends that respondent No.8 is unnecessary party who was General Manager of Gillani Housing Corporation at that time and now he has no relation with Gillani Housing Corporation and no active relief has been claimed against him, therefore, his name be deleted from the array of parties. Order accordingly.

- 2. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.5, 6, 7 & 9. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.5, 6, 7 & 9 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner. Notice Pervi be issued to respondents No.2 to 4 as well as their learned counsel.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.1.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2600/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1571/2020

Syed Wajahat Hussain, etc

#### **Versus**

CDA through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	or country.
(19)	07.12.2021.	Mr.Adil Aziz Qazi & Mr.Salman Yousaf Khan, Advocates
		for Petitioners.
		Mr.Azhar Yousaf, Advocate for Gillani Housing.
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief
		Commissioner Office, Islamabad.
		Ch.Riasat Ali Gondal & Mr.Tahir Hussain Anchan,
		Advocates for CDA.
		Mr.Gul Hussain Jadoon, Advocate for respondent No.1 in
		W.P.No.4265/2021.
		Mr.Faraz Malik, Director (Housing Societies), CDA.
		Ghulam Murtaza Chandio, Naib Tehsildar, ICT,
		Islamabad.
		Malik Babar, Patwari.

Learned Counsel for CDA alongwith Director Housing Societies, CDA have submitted latest satellite images of boundary of Jaddah Town which has been placed on record. When confronted qua different owners within that boundary limit of Jaddah Town. The Naib Tehsildar in attendance contends that he will verify this aspect after placing Musawi in order to determine the co-sharer if any co-sharer found in the subject land shall be notified separately and their objections would be decided separately after verification of revenue record.

- 2. The Naib Tehsildar in attendance is directed to submit report to that effect qua co-owner within this notified land of Jaddah Town after verification of record.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1751/2021**

Naeem Nazir

#### Versus

Vice Chief of Air Staff (Pakistan Air Force), Air Headquarters, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

(1) 06.12.2021. Mr.Umar Farooq Awan, Advocate for petitioner.

Through this writ petition, Petitioner has assailed his removal of service from Pakistan Air Force. Petitoner has also challenged the vires of PAF Act, 1953 and PAF Act Rules 1957 as well as Air Force Order 39-1 dated 21.3.2004.

- 2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner interalia contends that Petitioner was neither heard nor was given any opportunity of hearing to explain his position by the authority before passing the impugned order dated 29.7.2019 which tantamounts to violation of Petitioner's right to a fair trial enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Pakistan. It is further contended that impugned order has been passed in violation of Pakistan Air Force Act, 1953 as well as Pakistan Air Force Rules, 1957. It has further been contended that the act of removal from service of the Petitioner based on malafide, hence liable to be set-aside. It has further been contended that petitioner filed representation against his dismissal order which has finally been decided and his case has been approved for Ex-gratia pension by the competent authority. Petitoner has also challenged the vires of PAF Act, 1953 and PAF Act Rules 1957 as well as Air Force Order 39-1 dated 21.3.2004 which have been applied by respondent authority in its legislation spirit.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of

the petitioner. On Court's call Sqn.Ldr.Nadeem Hussain, ADLS, PAF & Flt.Lt.Zeeshan, ADLS, PAF have put appearance who were present in the Court in some connected writ petitions and have accepted the notice on behalf of respondent No.1, therefore, requirement of issuance of notice to respondent No.1 is waived of. The copy of the petition has been handed over to the officials of respondent No.1, who are directed to file parawise comments before the next date of hearing. Similarly, on Court's call learned AAG has also put appearance and has accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.2 who is directed to file concise statement before the next date of hearing after seeking instruction from the competent authority, therefore, requirement of issuance of notice to respondent No.2, is also waived of

- 5. As the *vires* of the Rules have been challenged in this case, therefore, it is necessary to issue notice to the Attorney General for Pakistan in terms of Order XXVII-A CPC, in order to get his assistance.
- 6. Adjourned to 17.1.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2705/2019.

#### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Notice.

#### C.M. No. 02/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2705/2019**

Naeem Akhtar

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings	or couriser where necessary.	
(9)	06.12.2021.	Mr.Umar Farooq Awan, Mr.Muhammad Arif Jan &	
		Mr.Waheed Akhtar, Advocates for Petitioners in their	
		respective writ petitions.	
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.	
		Sqn.Ldr.Nadeem Hussaiin, ADLS, PAF.	
		Flt.Lt.Zeeshan, ADLS, PAF.	

Learned AAG alongwith officials of PAF in attendance are directed to submit a tabulated document qua allegations and procedure adopted against each petitioner in all the connected writ petitions for understanding of this Court.

2. Adjourned to **17.1.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3493/2021**

Fasihuddin Ahmed

#### **Versus**

Nabiha Naz Ahmed, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(3)	06.12.2021.	Malik M. Asif, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr. Nasir Mehmood, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf, however, he has submitted copy of deposited challan of maintenance allowance of the minor for the month of October and November, 2021, which have been placed on record.

2. Adjourned to 31.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3023/2021

Al Safa Golden Co.(Private Limited)

#### Versus

Learned District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	27.8.2021.	Mr.M.Shoaib Razzaq, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 07.4.2021 passed by learned Rent Controller (West), Islamabad and order dated 05.5.2021 passed by learned Additional District Judge (West), Islamabad whereby eviction petition filed by Petitioner has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner interalia 2. contends that Petitioner being landlord of the property leased out the same to respondent No.2, for a period of 25 years with specific terms and conditions vide agreement dated 28.3.2013 but respondent No.2 has not complied with the terms and conditions of said lease agreement, therefore, petitioner filed eviction petition but same was dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction under IRRO 2001 vide order dated 25.11.2017. It is further contended that lateron petitioner filed second eviction petition before the learned Rent Controller on new cause of action but while deciding the same learned Rent Controller failed to appreciate the legal principles and

facts of the case and dismissed the petition on whimsical grounds.

- 3. Let notice be issued to respondent No.2 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.

## C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

**Writ Petition No.1279/2021** 

Amjad Mehmood **Versus**CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	06.12.2021.	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Miss.Umber Bashir, Advocate for CDA.
		Mr.Naubhar Ali, Advocate for respondents in
		Crl.Org.No.101/2021.

Learned counsel for CDA contends that matter was placed before 11<sup>th</sup> Board Meeting of the CDA which was held on 25.11.2021, however, minutes of meeting are under process, as soon as the same are approved by the Board Members, the same shall be placed before the Court, therefore, requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 14.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### FAO No.129/2019

Airways Media Private Limtied **Versus PEMRA** 

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	06.12.2021.	Mr.Ehtasham Aslam Khan, Advocate for appellant. Syed Safeer Hussain Shah & Mr.Muhammad Jalal Haider, Advocates for PEMRA. Mr.Mohsin Dogar, DGM-Legal, PEMRA.
		Both the parties have partly addressed their
		arguments. The respondent PEMRA is directed to justify
		its action as to whether fine imposed upon the

Adjourned to **22.12.2021.** 2.

under Section 26 of PEMRA Ordinance, 2002.

appellant is justiciable without adopting procedure

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

M.S.Zaki.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Criminal Revision No.84/2021

### The State through FIA Versus

Asif Azad, etc

order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
02.	03.12.2021.	Muhammad Ishaq Hinjra, Special Prosecutor FIA. Mr.Kaleem Ullah Tarar, A.D-Legal, FIA.
		Mr.S.A.Mehmood Khan Sadozai, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Mr.Muhammad Asghar Pasha, Advocate for respondent No.3.
		Ch.Zafar Ali Warriach, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Learned counsels for respondents No.3, 6, 7 & 9 are in attendance contend that have recently been engaged in this case, therefore, they need some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties

- 2. The Investigating Officer is directed to ensure the service upon respondents No.1, 2, 4, 5 & 8 at his own end. Fresh notices should be served through SHO PS FIA in the manner provided under the law.
- 2. Adjourned to 20.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

S. No. of

Date of

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.1223-B-2021

Agha Jan etc **Versus** 

The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**03.** 03.12.2021. Ch.Usama Tariq, Proxy Counsel for Petitioners. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Petitioners in person.

Learned counsel for Petitioners is not available and request of adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 10.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Appeal No.37/2020**

Ghulam Hussain

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	03.12.2021.	Appellant in person. Mr.Gul Hussain Jadoon, Advocate for respondent No.2. Syed Shehbaz Shah, State Counsel. Pervez Khan, ASI/I.O. Respondent No.2 in person.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has concluded his arguments.

- 2. Let notice be issued to Muhammad Riaz Ranjha, traffic sergeant who prepared site plan in case FIR No.216 dated 27.12.2011 u/s 279, 427 PPC PS Secretariat, Islamabad through SHO PS Secretariat, Islamabad who shall ensure presence of said official before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 08.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.4171/2021

Mst.Rubina Ehtasham

#### Versus

Mst.Fatima Ali, etc

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
02.	03 12 2021	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4168/2021

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

W.P.No.4168/2021

Mst.Robina Ehtasham

Versus

Mst.Fatima Ali, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	03.12.2021.	Mst.Raheem Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Waqas Malik, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 at the very outset contends that connected *W.P.No.3339/2020*and *W.P.No.4090/2021* are pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court. It is appropriate that all the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition alongwith connected *W.P/No.4171/2021* before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### W.P.No.4267/2021

Zeenia Satti

#### **Versus**

Learned Senior Civil Judge-I-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**02.** 03.12.2021. Petitioner Zeenia Satti in person.

It has been notices that connected *W.P.No.843/2021 titled Abdul Karim Khan Niazi Versus Ms.Zeenia Satti, etc* is pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court, therefore, it is appropriate that both the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### W.P.No.4267/2021

Zeenia Satti

#### Versus

Learned Senior Civil Judge-I-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	•

**02.** 30.11.2021. Petitioner Zeenia Satti in person.

W.P.No.843/2021 titled Abdul Karim Khan Niazi
Versus Ms.Zeenia Satti, etc is pending before
Honourable Bench-II of this Court. It is appropriate that
both the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by
the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3298/2021

Syed Shakir Hussain Shah

#### **Versus**

The Registrar Cooperative Housing Societies (ICT), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	02.12.2021.	Syed Faizan Ali Gardazi Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for Chief Commissioner Office, ICT, Islamabad.

Learned counsel for respondents has submitted a detailed order dated 30.11.2021 passed by the Deputy Registrar Cooperative Housing Societies (ICT), Islamabad whereby execution petition alongwith record has now been sent to the Registrar for carrying out the execution himself or appoint a Recovery Officer under Rule 39 of Islamabad Cooperative Societies Rules, 2018.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he will be satisfied if a direction be issued to the Registrar Cooperative Housing Societies (ICT), Islamabad to complete execution procedure in accordance with law as early as possible.
- 3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the Registrar Cooperative Housing Societies (ICT), Islamabad to complete the execution process within next three months after the receipt of copy of this order under intimation to this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.1079-BC-2021

Nouman Baseer **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	02.12.2021.	Nemo for petitioner.
		Mr.Muhammad Bilal, Advocate for respondents No.2 &
		3.
		Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel.
		Darya Khan, ASI.
		Respondents No.2 & 3 in person.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The name of the learned counsel for the petitioner is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that petitioner is no more interested in the *lis*.

- 2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.
- 3. The I.O in attendance contends that challan in this case has already been submitted in the Court. The learned trial Court seized with the matter is directed to conclude the trial of this case within next 08 months under intimation to this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Crl.Appeal No.47/2021**

State Life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Ali Hashmi, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	01.12.2021.	Mr.Ahmed Bashir and Mr.Aziz ur Rehman Farooqi, Advocates for appellant. Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel. Ch.Muhammad Arshad/complainant in person. Mr.Yasir ASI.

Through this appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment dated 12.3.2020 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Section-30, Islamabad (west) whereby respondents No.1 has been acquitted in case FIR No.600 dated 26.12.2014 u/s 489-F PPC PS Kohsar, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that learned trial Court has not appreciated the evidence in its true perspective and impugned judgment has been passed in whimsical manner by ignoring material evidence available on record.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to respondents No.1 through SHO PS Kohsar, Islamabad who shall ensure presence of respondent No.1 before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 5. Adjourned to 15.2.2022.

#### C.M. No.1/2021.

Notice.

## C.M. No.2/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.11998/2021

Naila Anwar *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(01)	01.12.2021.	Nemo.

Office has raised objection at Sr.No.8, 15 & 37 of the objection sheet.

2. No one has put appearance in order to rebut the office objections, therefore, office objections are sustained. Petitioner is directed to remove the office objections within 07 days.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2841/2019

Muhammad Rasheed Versus IESCO through its Chairman, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09. 01.12.2021.

Petitioner in person.

Mr. Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for IESCO.

Written request for adjournment has been made on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 02.12.2021. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2297/2013

Allah Bux Versus

National Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	01.12.2021.	Mr.Danish Aftab, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Majid Khan, A.D (Legal) M/o NHSR & C. Dr.Adnan Riaz, Deputy Director Admin, NIRM. Respondent No.4/Saba Habib in person.

Dr.Adnan Riaz, Deputy Director (Admin), NIRM, in attendance has submitted copy of letter dated 12.11.2021 whereby they have transmitted the entire situation qua appointment of respondent No.4 i.e Mst.Saba Habib to the Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations and Coordination, Islamabad, copy of which has been placed on record. He further contends that no reply has been received from the said Ministry, however, Executive Director, NIRM as well as Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations and Coordination, Islamabad are directed to reconsider entire situation before the next date of hearing and submit a final outcome before the Court on the next date of hearing.

- 2. Respondent No.4, in attendance requests for some time to engage a counsel. Absolute last opportunity is granted to the parties to argue their case failing which matter shall be decided as per available record.
- 3. Adjourned to 12.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

FAO No.109/2019

Zahid Waheed Butt **Versus** Rehana Rafique, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**04.** 01.12.2021. Nemo.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the appellant. The name of the learned counsel for the appellant is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that appellant is no more interested in the *lis*.

- 2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that Civil Suit titled Zahid Waheed Butt Versus Mst.Rehana Rafique, etc was filed on 23.7.2016 and same is still pending. The learned trial Court seized with the matter is directed to conclude the trial of said suit on or before 31.03.2022 under intimation to this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2297/2020

Muhammad Faisal Versus

Chief Commissioner, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

09. 01.12.2021.

Muhammad Abdul Wase, Advocate for Petitioner. Abdul Kamran Butt and Raja Zulqarnain, Advocates for respondents.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner has raised the question qua the authority exercised by the Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad in two notifications of even date i.e 08.5.2019 whereby Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad order that all appeals and revision petitions etc in revenue cases of ICT will be heard and disposed of by the Director General/Member (Judicial), Board of Revenue ICT with immediate effect and till further orders whereas in second notification Chief Commissioner appointed Director General of this office, as Member (Judicial) Board of Revenue, Islamabad Capital Territory with immediate effect.

- 2. In view of above referred two notifications Chief Commissioner, ICT, Islamabad has to explain his position under what authority of law he could appoint Director General of Chief Commissioner Office as Member (Judicial) Board of Revenue, ICT, Islamabad.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.856-BC-2021

Zubair Khan

**Versus** 

Sohrab Rasheed etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	01.12.2021.	Mr.Messam Raza, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Akhtar Hussain, Advocate for respondent No.1. Respondent No.1 in person. Syed Shahbaz Shah, State Counsel. Mr.Asif Khan, S.I.

Learned counsel for Petitioner is not available and request of adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3451/2021**

Babar Shabbir **Versus** Zahra Fatima, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	30.11.2021.	Mr.Kamran Yousaf Kiani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr Ahmad Ahdul Dafa Advacata for respondents

Mr.Ahmed Abdul Rafe, Advocate for respondents.

Learned counsel for respondents in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

2. Adjourned to 12.1.2022. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3433/2021.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2534/2018

Muhammad Asghar Gondal, etc

### Versus

Sir Syed Memorial Society, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	rioceeuiiigs	
23.	30 11 2021	To be heard alongwith W.P.No. 197/2021

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3233/2020

Muhammad Luqman

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
procedungs	i rocccamgo	
02	30 11 2021	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1597/2020

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1597/2020

Wali Rehman **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parti or counsel where necessary.	
(04)	30.11.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Faisal Bin Khurshid, Advocate for respondents.	

Due to paucity of time matter is adjourned to 16.12.2021 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.4500/2019

Abdul Aziz

### Versus

MD/CEO OGDCL, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(8)	30.11.2021.	Petitioner in person.	
		Mr. Mushtaq Hussain Bhatti & Malik Javid Iqbal Wains,	
		Advocates for respondents.	
		Mr.Faheem Gull, Law Officer, OGDCL.	
		Mr.Shehzad Safdar, ED (HR) OGDCL.	

Petitioner in attendance contends that his counsel is not available and requests for adjournment.

- 2. Learned counsel for respondents contends that petitioner has been retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation and as such instant writ petition has become infructuous.
- 3. Adjourned to 10.12.2021 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.749/2021

Muhammad Razzaq

**Versus** 

CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	30.11.2021.	Mian Muhammad Umer Riaz, Advocate for appellant. Mst.Sajid Malik, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for the appellant has placed on record deposited receipts of rent till October, 2021.

- 2. Learned counsel for CDA in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, she needs some time to prepare the brief. The relevant Director of CDA who is dealing the affairs of nursery is directed to appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 27.1.2022. Interim relief already granted vide order dated 22.11.2021 shall continue till the next date of hearing.
- 4. The record of the learned trial Court be requisitioned.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Crl.Appeal No.49/2020**

Col (R) Syed Tahawwur Ali *Versus* The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(03)	30.11.2021.	Mr.Sajid Muhammad Durrani, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Sajjad Haider Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2. Syed Shehbaz Shah, State Counsel. Respondent No.2 in person.	

Learned Counsel for the appellant is busy before another Bench of this Court. Due to paucity of time matter is adjourned to 02.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Appeal No.407/2019**

Mehmood **Versus**Azhar Hussain, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
06.	30.11.2021.	Mian Nadeem Aziz Jatala, Advocate for appellant.	
		Syed Shehbaz Shah, State Counsel.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1. Let notice Pervi be issued to respondent No.1 as well as his learned counsel for **01.3.2022.** 

2. Adjourned to 01.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Criminal Appeal No.359/2019

Abdul Hameed Nasir **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
04.	30.11.2021.	Mr.Fareed Khan Jadoon & Mr.Shehzad Khan, Advocates for appellants.			
		Mr.Zahid Farooq Cheema, Advocate for respondent No.2.			
		Syed Shehbaz Shah, State Counsel. Respondent No.2 in person. Mr.Nauman, ASI.			
		Learned counsel for respondent No.2 in			
		attendance contends that he has recently been engaged			
		in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power			

2. Adjourned to 21.2.2022.

of attorney and prepare the brief.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.689/2021

Shehzada Muhammad Ali

#### Versus

Anser Mehmood Chughtai, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	29.11.2021	Miss.Ambreen Abbasi, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.4977/2021.

Instant C.M is for passing appropriate order in pending C.M.No.01/2021 which was filed for suspension of impugned order dated 13.1.2021.

- 2. Let notice be issued to respondent No.1, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant. Notice may also be served through learned trial Court seized with the matter.
- 3. Office is directed to fix main writ petition alongwith instant C.M, on 13.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.3288/2021

Muhammad Rafique Bhatti **Versus** 

Additional District Judge-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	25.11.2021.	Muhammad Zeshan Babar, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Shakir Javed, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 17.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### FAO No.184/2019

M/s Lucky Plastic Industries Private Limited

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.					
(07)	25.11.2021.	Mr.Muhammad	Masood	Anwar,	Proxy	Counsel	for
		Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad respondent No.3 Mr.Wagas Amir,	3.		,		for

Learned counsel for petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Today is the 7<sup>th</sup> date of hearing and yet another request for adjournment has been made from the appellant side, despite of the fact that case has been adjourned for four times due to non availability of appellant's counsel.

2. It seems that appellant is least interested in the matter, therefore, case is adjourned to 14.06.2022, with direction to the appellant's side to appear and argue the case, failing which, matter shall be decided as per available record.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2362/2021

National Bank of Pakistan

#### **Versus**

The Full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>						
(03)	21.10.2021.	Malik	Muhammad	Siddique	Awan,	Advocate	for
. ,		Petitio	ner.		,		
		Mr.Mu	hammad Uma	ir Baloch, <i>i</i>	Advocate	for respon	dent
		No.3.		,		'	

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 contends that at present full Bench of NIRC is available and Petitioner has already filed appeal before full Bench of NIRC which is pending.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the Petitioner to approach the full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad for redressal of his grievance, if so advised.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1992/2021

Ahsan Nawaz **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	21.10.2021.	Dr.G.M.Chaudhry, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Danish Aftab, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 contends that parawise comments are ready which shall be filed in the office today, however, copy of the comments has been handed over to the learned counsel for Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 24.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3645/2019

Muhammad Shahid Shahzad **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	20.10.2021.	Malik Babar Hameed, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Let notice Pervi be issued to respondents No.2

to 4, as well as their learned counsel for 16.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.1608/2018

Suleman Mehboob

**Versus** 

ZTBL, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
14.	20.10.2021.	Mr.Mudassar Ikram Ch, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Manzoor Hussain, Advocate for HEC.
		Mr.Qaiser Masood, Additional Director Law, FIA.
		Mr.Muhammad Younas, AVP, ZTBL.

The Additional Director Law, FIA, in attendance contends that so for no complaint has been filed by HEC against the respondent University for issuance of degree to non accredited campus.

- 2. In view of position stated at bar the Chairman, Higher Education Commission, Islamabad is directed to appoint senior officer of HEC not below the rank of Director to appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing to explain the position qua Preston Institute of Management Sciences, Karachi whether the said Institution could establish any other campus in Pakistan beyond territorial jurisdiction of Karachi and any student if obtain any qualification from the other campus (affiliated) is legal which could be acknowledged or verified by HEC and what action so far has been taken against such campus.
- 2. Adjourned to 19.1.2022.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2064/2021

M/s Media Times Limited

#### **Versus**

The Authority under payment of Wages Act, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(5)	20.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Umer Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Aamir Rehman Mughal, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Mr.Osama Shahid Khawaja, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that petitioner has entered into a compromise with respondent No.2 i.e Muhammad Ibrar, through the settlement agreement dated 20.10.2021 executed between the parties which has been placed on record. He further contends that in the light of said settlement agreement instant writ petition may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn.

2. Order accordingly. Instant writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.273/2019

Managing Director, Haidri Beverages Private Limited *Versus*Niaz Abbas

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings	·	
06.	20.10.2021.	Mr.Umer Hanif Khichi, Advocate for Petitioner.	

Learned counsel for petitioner requests for adjournment to prepare his brief.

2. Adjourned to 15.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.325/2021

Tayyeba Khatoon, etc

### Versus

CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	20.10.2021.	Mr.Abdur Rashid Awan, Advocate for appellants.
		Mr.Amir Latif Mughal, Advocate for respondents No.1 &
		2.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.3, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of appellant. Appellant is directed to provide correct and complete address of respondents No.3. The record of the learned trial Court be requisitioned.

2. Adjourned to 18.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.282/2020

Ghulam Hussain **Versus** Noor Abdullah

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig or counsel wh	•		_	that of par	ties
04.	20.10.2021.	Ch.Muhammad appellant. Nemo for respor		Ali	Gujjar,	Advocate	for

Let notice Pervi be issued to the respondents as well as his learned counsel for **12.1.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.1068-B/2021

Shazia **Versus** 

The State etc.

S.No. of order/		Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary.
Proceedin	g		
02.	20.1	0.2021.	Mr.Shahid Shabbir, Advocate for Petitioner. Petitioner Shazia in person. Ch.Naeem Ali Gujjar, Advocate for complainant. Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel. Mr.Fakhar Abbas, SI.

Learned counsel for the complainant in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief. Petitioner is directed to join investigation today.

2. Adjourned to 28.10.2021 before any available Bench.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.1002-B/2021

Muhammad Hameed Janjua, etc

#### **Versus**

The State etc.

S.No. of order/	Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge, and that of part or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		
03.	20.10.2021	. Mr.Mudassar Hussain Malik, Advocate for Petitioners. Petitioners Muhammad Hameed Janjua & Jahanzaib Hameed in person. Qazi Khalil ur Rehman, Advocate for complainant. Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel. Mr.Zulfiqar Ali, ASI.

Learned counsel for the complainant in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

2. Adjourned to 27.10.2021 before any available Bench.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.137/2021

Mirza Arsalan Baig, etc

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Shehbaz Jameel

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	18.10.2021.	Rana Umar Iqbal, Advocate for applicants. Mr.Jameel Hussain Qureshi Advocate for respondent ZTBL.
		Mr.Muhammad Younas, AVP, ZTBL.

Learned counsel for the respondent ZTBL alongwith representative of the department contends that special committee has considered all the issues including the case of the applicants recommendations have been concluded to be placed before the Board of Director of ZTBL, however, learned counsel is not in a position to give time frame in how many days Board will decided this matter as no date of upcoming Board meeting has been fixed as yet. It is made clear that in case final outcome of the Board has not been placed on record before the next date of hearing, the Secretary Board shall appear in person on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 21.12.2021.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2353/2021**

Syed Muhammad Sibtain Sherazi

#### Versus

Islamabad Capital Territory through its Chief Commissioner, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.						
1.	18.10.2021.	Syed Petition	•	Haider	Sherazi,	Advocate	for	the
		Syeda Rida-e-Batool, State Counsel. Mr.Asghar, SI PS Karachi Company.						

The police official in attendance contends that petitioner has now been shifted from the territorial jurisdiction of PS Karachi Company to PS Bani Gala, Islamabad.

- 2. The SHO PS Bani Gala Islamabad is directed to submit a report to the extent of petitioner Syed Muhammad Sibtain Sherazi son of Syed Muhammad Hassnain Sherazi, Imam, Jamia Masjid Malot Sayyadan, near Park View City, Islamabad qua any criminal case registered against the petitioner alongwith reasons due to which his name has been placed in the 4<sup>th</sup> Schedule under section 11EE of the Anti-Terrorist Act, 1997.
- 3. Adjourned to 24.11.2021.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Crl.Appeal No.107/2021**

Ameer Nawas Khan

#### Versus

Khalid Mahmood

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	14.10.2021.	Mr.Zulfiqar Abbas Naqvi & Mr.Mudassar Malik, Advocates
		for appellant.
		Appellant in person.
		Ch.Hafeez Ullah Yaqub, Advocate for respondent.
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.

Adjourned to 24.11.2021 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2053/2021

Ramna Rafique *Versus* Additional District Judge-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	14.10.2021.	Syed Mujtaba Haider Sherazi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Rizwan Faiz Muhammad & Barrister Afzal Khan Shinwari, Advocates for respondent No.2.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 requests

for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 16.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2386/2020

Muhammad Aqeel Ishfaq *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	14.10.2021.	Mr.Ishtiaq Ahmed Cheema, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned AAG in attendance contends that he needs some time for filing of comments.

2. Adjourned to 19.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.272/2019

Javed Iqbal

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Saleem, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(7)	14.10.2021.	Mr.Afrasiab Ahmed Rana, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Intizar Hussain Panjutha, Advocate for respondent CDA. Respondents Muhammad Saleem and Bashir Ahmed in person.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

- 2. Perusal of record reveals that learned counsel for the petitioner is not appearing from the last many dates of hearing and case has been adjourned for one reason or the other due to his absence, such conduct disentitled the Petitioner for benefit of status quo order granted by this Court vide order dated 05.7.2019, therefore, injunctive order stands re-called on the next date of hearing automatically if petitioner fails to argue the case.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.3.2022. To be heard alongwith Civil Revision No.257/2019.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Civil Revision No.257/2019**

Bashir Ahmed **Versus** 

Javed Iqbal, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(7)	14.10.2021.	Petitioner Bashir Ahmed in person.
		Mr.Rizwan Shabbir Kiani, Advocate for CDA.

Petitioner in attendance contends that his counsel is indisposed and requests for adjournment.

- 2. Perusal of record reveals that learned counsel for the petitioner is not appearing from the last many dates of hearing and case has been adjourned for one reason or the other due to his absence, such conduct disentitled the Petitioner for benefit of status quo order granted by this Court vide order dated 01.7.2019, therefore, injunctive order stands re-called on the next date of hearing automatically if petitioner fails to argue the case.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.62/2019

Imtiaz Ahmed Versus Parveen Arif, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

10. 14.10.2021.

Mr. Musharaf Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

#### C.M.No.762/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant has prayed for requisition of record of the respondent DHA qua suit plot on the ground that respondent DHA shall provide information as to whether suit plot has been further alienated or otherwise.

- 2. Be that as it may, notice be issued to respondent No.6 i.e Secretary, DHA, Islamabad through special messenger at the cost of applicant who shall submit the record of suit plot which was allotted vide allotment letter No.M-2447/2003/3268 dated 18.9.2003, issued by Secretary, Islamabad Old Citizen Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad which has not been converted into DHA.
- 3. Adjourned to 09.12.2021.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.427/2021

Multi Professional Cooperative Housing Society

#### **Versus**

Lasani Marble, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	14.10.2021.	Mr.Qasim Sarfraz, Proxy Counsel for appellant. Mr.Umber Bashir, Advocate for respondent No.3.

As per office report notices could not be served upon respondents No.1 & 2 due to incomplete addresses. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.1 & 2 through special messenger at the cost of appellant who is directed to point out respondents No.1 & 2 and extend his cooperation for service of said respondents.

2. Adjourned to 30.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2437/2021

Muhammad Usman etc

**Versus** 

CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	13.10.2021	Mr.Muhammad Latif Saeedi, Advocate for petitioner.
		Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawad, Advocate for CDA.

Learned Counsel for CDA contends that he needs some time to file parawise comments.

2. Adjourned to 01.2.2022.

### C.M.No.2/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile CDA Authority shall not demolish the built up property of the Petitioners till the next date of hearing as compensation has not yet been paid by the CDA to the Petitioners.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2219/2021**

Farrukh Siddiqi etc *Versus* CDA, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	13.10.2021.	Mr.Zafar Kundi, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Malik Qamar Afzal, Advocate for respondent No.4.
		Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawad, Advocate for CDA.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Respondents present before the Court are directed to file their parawise comments before the next date of hearing. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.3, through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of petitioner.

5. Adjourned to 23.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2186/2021

Syed Hassan Gillani

#### Versus

Intellectual Property Organization of Pakistan, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(02)	13.10.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.

Learned AAG in attendance contends that

he needs some time for filing of comments.

2. Adjourned to 23.2.2022.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

R.F.A.No.345/2021

Zia-ur-Rehman

Versus

Shafqat Naseer

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	13.10.2021.	Mr.Asif Ali Tamboli, Advocate for appellant. Respondent in person.

The record of the learned trial Court be requisitioned.

2. Adjourned to 24.2.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.147/2020

Digital Research Labs Private Limited *Versus*Faisal Habib Tanoli.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	13.10.2021.	Mr.Imran Khan Jadoon, Advocate for appellant.
		Mr.Muahmmad Jehangir Khan, Advocate for respondent.
		Mr.Zahoor Elahi, representative of Bank Alfalah.

The representative of Bank Alfalah in attendance contends that concerned official is on leave due to which verification report as was directed vide order dated 22.9.2021 could not be submitted, however, he ensures that verification report will be submitted on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 21.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Crl.Revision No.30/2019

Aurangzeb *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(05)	13.10.2021.	Mr.Saad Ahmed Rajpoot, Proxy counsel for Petitioner. Petitioner in person.  Mr.Said Ahmas Khan, Adveste for respondent
		Mr.Sajid Abbas Khan, Advocate for respondent.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner is busy before another Bench of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.2.2022. To be heard alongwith Crl.Rev.No.26/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.317/2021

# Aurangzeb **Versus**

Muhammad Rizwan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	13.10.2021.	Mr.Saad Ahmed Rajpoot, Proxy counsel for appellant. Appellant in person. Mr.Sajid Abbas Khan, Advocate for respondents.

Learned Counsel for the appellant is busy before another Bench of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.2.2022. To be heard alongwith Crl.Rev.No.26/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.65/2018

Aurangzeb **Versus** Muhammad Rizwan

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	13.10.2021.	Mr.Saad Ahmed Rajpoot, Proxy counsel for appellant. Appellant in person. Mr.Sajid Abbas Khan, Advocate for respondents.

Learned Counsel for the appellant is busy before another Bench of this Court and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.2.2022. To be heard alongwith Crl.Rev.No.26/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Appeal No.193/2015

Ejaz Ahmed Ch **Versus** Habib Ullah Zahid, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(11)	13.10.2021.	Mr.Ahmed Ejaz Yousaf, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Abdul Khaliq Thind, Advocate for respondent No.1. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG. Aun Abbas, SI.
		Respondent No.1/Habib Ullah Zahid in person.

Learned counsel for the appellant has addressed his arguments against the acquittal of respondent No.1 in case FIR No.29 dated 09.4.2014 under Sections 462-E, 406 , 109 PPC PS FIA/CC Rawalpindi.

- 2. On the other hand learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted copy of order dated 11.4.2016 whereby OGRA Authority after determination of entire matter accepted the consumer complaint and set-aside the inspection report and given a detailed view whereby tampering charges have been set-aside. The copy of said order has been placed on record.
- 3. Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to place anything on rec ord where the decision of OGRA Authority dated 11.4.2016 has been set-aside.
- 4. Adjourned to 22.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Org.No.254/2021

Arsalan Tahir, etc *Versus* Ilyas Khan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

Miss.Saeeda Mirbaz, Advocate for applicant.
Mr.Abdul Wahid Qureshi, Advocate for respondents.
Mr.Arif Chaudhry, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for CDA.
Mr.Zukhraf Fida Malik, A.C Rural/Administrator.

Report has been filed on behalf of Administrator, Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, which has been placed on record.

2. Adjourned to 01.2.2022 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2096/2021**

Sher Bahadur *Versus* ZTBL through its President, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	12.10.2021.	Mr.Usman Ahmed Ranjha, Advocate for respondents. Mr.Muhammad Younas, AVP, ZTBL.

Written request for adjournment has been made on behalf of learned counsel for Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 03.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.1473/2021

Muhammad Rasheed Versus Mst.Yasmin Akhtar, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04. 12.10.2021. Nemo.

Written request for adjournment has been filed

on behalf of learned counsel for Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 02.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2398/2016

Rana Muhammad Saleem Khan, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
22.	12.10.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rahim Bhatti & Mr.Qaiser Rahim Bhatti,			
		Advocates for Petitioners.			
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.			
		Ch.M.Tariq Ali, Director Legal.			
		Muhammad Umer Khan, S.O, Establishment Division.			

Learned counsel for the petitioners has partly addressed his arguments.

2. Adjourned to 13.10.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.07/2019

Zulfiqar Ahmed Khan **Versus** Mehran, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of part or counsel where necessary.	
<b>06.</b> 12.10.2021.		Mr.Zahid Ayub Rathore, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Qazi Fiaz Ahmed, Proxy Counsel for respondents 3-A to 3-E.	

In compliance of order dated 15.2.2021, notice has been published in the newspaper daily "PAKISTAN" dated 17.9.2021 which is available on record appended by the office. Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of respondents, therefore, respondents are proceeded exparte except respondents No.3-A to 3-E.

2. Adjourned to 14.3.2021 for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.344/2021

Irshad Ahmed **Versus**Muhammad Nazir, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	12 10 2021	Ch Abdul Bohman Hur Bajua Advocate for appellant

(02) 12.10.2021. Ch.Abdul Rehman Hur Bajwa, Advocate for appellant.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, appellant is directed to deposit process fee within three days, for summoning of respondents through registered post A.D and TCS.

2. Adjourned to 07.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Criminal Revision No.07/2021**

Muhammad Rauf Aslam **Versus** 

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				
10.	12.10.2021.	Muhammad Shahzad Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner.				
		Petitioner in person.				
		Mr.Jan responder	Muhammad nt/complainant.	Khan,	Advocate	for
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.				

Learned counsel for the petitioner has partly addressed his arguments.

2. Adjourned to 25.11.2021 for remaining arguments. Record of the learned trial Court as well as appellate Court be requisitioned.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Criminal Appeal No.151/2020**

Muhammad Shahzad **Versus**The State

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
11.	12.10.2021.	Ch. Haseeb Muhammad, Advocate for appellant.	
		Mr.Umer Ali Satti, Advocate for respondent/complainant. Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.	

Learned counsel for the appellant has partly addressed his arguments while going through the evidence of Investigating Officer.

2. Adjourned to 17.11.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.52/2021

Farrukh Hussain **Versus** CDA, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	11.10.2021	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

Through this civil revision, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 05.10.2021, passed Additional District Judge-VII (West), Islamabad whereby injunctive order dated 05.12.2020 passed by the learned Court has been declared infructuous while trial considering the analogy provided in order XXXIX Rule 2-B CPC which has been incorporated under the law by virtue of amendment dated 31.7.1994 by Act XIV of 1994 which is in order to curb the mischief to prolong the proceedings in the main case by a party obtaining relief in terms of grant of temporary injunction without any definite time limitation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that after the amendment known as Civil Procedure Code (Amendment Act, 2020), Section 141 CPC provides a different concept dealing with proposition in hand in order to curb the mischief of prolonged temporary injunction without any definite time limit, a separate file has to be maintained qua interlocutory applications which will be entertained and adjudicated upon by separate Court which has nothing to do with the

case where main civil trial is being adjudicated, resultantly there is no question of delay. It has further been contended that re-calling of injunctive order dated 05.12.2020 could only be made by trial Court after considering ground raised by respondent side under Order XXXIX Rule 2 CPC on merit which is not the case in hand and as such order passed by the first appellate Court is contrary to the legal grounds.

- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D, TCS as well as through the learned trial Court seized with the matter, at the expense of the petitioner.
- 5. Adjourned to 15.12.2021.

### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile order dated 05.12.2020 passed by the learned trial Court shall remain operative till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.51/2021

Muhammad Ashraf Shahbaz **Versus** CDA, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	11.10.2021	Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

Through this civil revision, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 05.10.2021, passed Additional District Judge-VII (West), Islamabad whereby injunctive order dated 05.12.2020 passed by the learned Court has been declared infructuous while trial considering the analogy provided in order XXXIX Rule 2-B CPC which has been incorporated under the law by virtue of amendment dated 31.7.1994 by Act XIV of 1994 which is in order to curb the mischief to prolong the proceedings in the main case by a party obtaining relief in terms of grant of temporary injunction without any definite time limitation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that after the amendment known as Civil Procedure Code (Amendment Act, 2020), Section 141 CPC provides a different concept dealing with proposition in hand in order to curb the mischief of prolonged temporary injunction without any definite time limit, a separate file has to be maintained qua interlocutory applications which will be entertained and adjudicated upon by separate Court which has nothing to do with the

case where main civil trial is being adjudicated, resultantly there is no question of delay. It has further been contended that re-calling of injunctive order dated 05.12.2020 could only be made by trial Court after considering ground raised by respondent side under Order XXXIX Rule 2 CPC on merit which is not the case in hand and as such order passed by the first appellate Court is contrary to the legal grounds.

- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D, TCS as well as through the learned trial Court seized with the matter, at the expense of the petitioner.
- 5. Adjourned to 15.12.2021.

### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile order dated 05.12.2020 passed by the learned trial Court shall remain operative till the next date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Crl.Appeal No.59/2020**

Babar Ejaz

#### **Versus**

Abid Khan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties o	
order/	order/	counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
03.	11.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Ashfaq Shahid, Advocate for appellant.	
		Mr.Jameel Fayyaz Rajpoot, State Counsel.	

Learned counsel for the appellant in attendance contends that he needs some time to prepare the brief and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 14.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No. 3614/2021**

Akhtar Zaman Bhatti, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(01)	11.10.2021	Dr. G. M. Chaudhary, Advocate for petitioners.	

Through this writ petition, the petitioners have challenged the vires of the National Bank of Pakistan Staff Service Rules, 2021, which have been promulgated in violation of the Section 32(2)(xxviii) of the National Bank of Pakistan Ordinance, 1949 r/w Articles 97, 98 & 99 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, *1973*.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners inter-alia contends that the respondent Bank/NBP has notified the said Rules in violation of the law, which could not be given protection nor the rights of the petitioners could be dealt under those Rules.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents for 01.11.2021, through Registered Post A.D. and TCS, at the expense of the petitioners. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3008/2021.
- 5. As the *vires* of the Rules have been challenged in this case, therefore, it is necessary to issue notice to

the Attorney General for Pakistan in terms of Order XXVII-A CPC, in order to get his assistance.

### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M. No. 02/2021.

Notice.

### C.M. No. 03/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1089/2018

Hummayun Mushtaq *Versus* CDA, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
07.	06.10.2021.	Mr.Ahmed Nawaz Bhatti, Proxy Counsel for petitioner.

Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Bhatti, Proxy Counsel for petitioner. Barrister Rehan Seerat for CDA.

Learned principal counsel for the petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 30.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.449/2019

Muzammil Aijaz Siddiqui

#### Versus

Adil Shah, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	06.10.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.

Nemo for Pedidoner.

Mr.Ahmed Nawaz Bhatii, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the Petitioner. The name of the learned counsel for the Petitioner is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that Petitioner is no more interested in the *lis*.

2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution. However, it is expected from the learned trial Court to decide all the pending matters arising out of the same parties within a period next six months under intimation to this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.412/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi **Versus**Imtiaz Ahmed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	06.10.2021.	Mr. Zahid Hussain Sultani. Advocate for appellant.

2021. Mr. Zahid Hussain Sultanı, Advocate for appellant.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondent due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, appellant is directed to deposit process fee within three days, for summoning of respondent through registered post A.D and TCS.

2. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.411/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi **Versus**Abdul Rasheed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	06 10 2021	Mr Zahid Hussain Sultani Advocate for appellant

06.10.2021. Mr.Zahid Hussain Sultani, Advocate for appellant.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondent due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, appellant is directed to deposit process fee within three days, for summoning of respondent through registered post A.D and TCS.

2. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.410/2021

Abdul Shakoor Qureshi **Versus** Imtiaz Ahmed

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	06 10 2021	Mr. Zahid Hussain Sultani. Advocate for appellant.

Mr. Zahid Hussain Sultani, Advocate for appellant.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondent due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, appellant is directed to deposit process fee within three days, for summoning of respondent through registered post A.D and TCS.

2. Adjourned to 21.3.2022.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.142/2021

Col (R) Saleh Naiser Gilani **Versus** 

M/s Warid Telecom Private Limited

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	06.10.2021.	Mr.Aftab Rasheed, Advocate for applicant.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents. Let fresh notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS at the cost of applicant. Office shall submit the service report of the office of TCS in this case before the next date of hearing. The record of the learned trial Court be requisitioned.

2. Adjourned to 21.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### R.F.A.No.482/2021

Imdad Ullah Khan **Versus**Pervez Ahmed etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	06.10.2021.	Mr.Ashiq Hussain & Ch.Muhammad Aslam Warriach, Advocates for appellant. Mr.Muhammad Arif Khan, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 6. Ch.Aziz ur Rehman Zia, Advocate for CDA. Mr.Yasir Mehmood respondent No.7(vi) in person.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 6 in attendance contends that he needs some time to file power of attorney. Similarly respondent Yasir Mehmood in attendance contends that he needs some time to engage a counsel.

2. Adjourned to 24.3.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2663/2021

Ismat Ullah Khan

**Versus**Ministry of Interior, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
5.	05.10.2021.	Mr.Sami Ullah Wazir, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.	
		Mr.Iftikhar Ali, ASPO, ISB, GPO.	
		Mr.Altaf Khan, A.D-Legal, Immigration & Passports.	

Learned AAG alongwith officials of respondents contend that they need some time for filing of parawise comments. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 & 3. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.1 & 3 through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 07.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1225/2021

Shagufta Parveen **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(02)	05.10.2021.	Mr.Qaiser Abbas Gondal, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.	

Learned AAG in attendance contends that parawise comments on behalf of respondents No.1 & 4 have already been filed. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.2 & 3 through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 03.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.1116/2021

#### **Nusrat Nawaz**

#### **Versus**

Office of the Advocate General for ICT, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.					
03.	05.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Petitioner.	Anwar	Mughal,	Proxy	Counsel	for
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel, Chief Commissioner Office, ICT.				ner	

Learned counsel for petitioner is stated to be indisposed and request for adjournment has been made on her behalf. Learned State Counsel contends that parawise comments are in process of vetting and same will be filed before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 19.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.466/2021

Muhammad Hafeez Abbasi

### Versus

President National Bank of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
5.	05.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Anwar Mughal, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Ali Nawaz Kharal, Advocate for respondents.

Learned counsel for respondents contends that parawise comments are in process of vetting and same will be filed in due course of time with an advance copy to the learned counsel for Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 17.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.4423/2019

Dr.Farah Mazhar

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	05.10.2021.	Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr. Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocates for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Learned AAG in attendance contends that Assistant Director Legal, Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations & Coordination, Islamabad, is not available and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 29.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3322/2019

M/s United Industries Limited etc

#### **Versus**

SECP, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.	
06.	05.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Afzal Siddiqui, Advocate for Petitioners.	
		Mr.M.Usama Rauf, vice Mr.Ashtar Ausaf Ali, Advocate.	
		Barrister Minaal Tariq for SECP.	
		Mr.Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.	
		Mr.Sikandar Bashir Mohmand, Advocate for respondent.	

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of Mr.Ashtar Ausaf Ali, learned counsel for Petitioners.

- 2. It has been informed that respondent No.6 i.e Begum Perveen Hameed Muggo has passed away during the pendency of instant matter. Learned counsel for respondent No.6 shall provide list of legal heirs of respondent No.6 to the learned counsel for Petitioners who shall file amended memo of parties after impleading the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.6 before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.12.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3321/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3321/2019**

Jehangir Muggo, etc *Versus* SECP, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings			
05.	05.10.2021.	Mr.Sikandar Bashir Mohmand, Advocate for petitioners. Barrister Minaal Tariq, Advocate for SECP. Mr.Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Mr.Muhammad Afzal Siddiqui, Advocate for respondents No.7 & 8. M.Usama Rauf, Proxy Counsel for respondent No.7.		

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of Mr.Ashtar Ausaf Ali, learned counsel for respondent No.7.

- 2. It has been informed that respondent No.6 i.e Begum Perveen Hameed Muggo has passed away during the pendency of instant matter. Learned counsel for respondent No.6 shall provide list of legal heirs of respondent No.6 to the learned counsel for Petitioners who shall file amended memo of parties after impleading the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.6 before the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.S.A.No.08/2019

Ishaq Anjum

**Versus** 

Bashir Ahmed, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.		
proceedings	Proceedings			

05.10.2021.

Sardar Shaukat Hayat Khan, Advocate for appellant. Mr. Hasnain Haider Thaheem, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for the appellant at the very outset contends that after considering the law on proposition appellant is ready to deposit amount of rupee one million through pay order in the name of respondent No.1 as was directed by Additional District Judge-West, Islamabad vide order dated 15.6.2019 which has been impugned before this Court.

2. In view of above, instant R.S.A stands *disposed* of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### R.F.A.No.364/2021

Javaid Aslam Shaikh

#### **Versus**

Ch.Muhammad Arif, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>		
03.	04.10.2021.	To be heard alongwith R.F.A.No.358/2021.	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.358/2021

Javed Aslam Shaikh

#### **Versus**

Amir Shahzad

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	04.10.2021.	Mr.Rizwan Shabbir Kayani, Advocate for the appellant. Mr.Muhammad Anwar Dar, Advocate for respondent.

As per office report connected W.P.No.

Learned counsel for the respondents at the very outset contends that connected *R.F.No.361/2021* is pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court. It is appropriate that both the R.F.A are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.330/2021

Waqas Zafar Sheikh etc

#### **Versus**

Shabbir Ahmed Abbasi, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	04.10.2021.	Mr.Shakir Javed, Advocate for appellants.
		Mr.Imran Shabbir in person.

The son of respondent No.1 in attendance contends that their learned counsel is not available and requesta for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to **08.2.2022.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3518/2021

M/s Beverage Plus Private Limited **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	04.10.2021.	Mr.Ahmad Shahzad Awan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the property tax bill dated 30.8.2021, issued by Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad has not yet complied with the direction passed by this Court vide order dated 23.12.2020 in W.P.No.4298/2019 whereby a proper procedure after inviting objections from the general public before fixation of the property tax has been directed and previous notification for recovery of property tax was set-aside. It is further contended that in terms of Islamabad Capital Territory, Local Government Act, 2015 objections have not been invited before imposing any tax and as such impugned property tax bill is illegal and unlawful and is liable to be set-aside.
- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice
   be issued to the respondents through registered post
   A.D and TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

4. Adjourned to 11.10.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2434/2021.

### C.M.No.01/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile impugned tax bill dated 30.8.2021 is suspended till the next date of hearing.

### C.M.No.02/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.3512/2021**

Shafique Ahmed **Versus**The State, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
/4\	04 10 2021	M. Ali Ilianoia Dietti Adamete Con Detition an

(1) 04.10.2021. Mr.Ali Hussain Bhatti, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, Petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to respondent No.3 i.e Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Police Station Kohsar, Islamabad to conclude the trial of case FIR No.231 dated 19.4.2014 u/s 489-F PPC PS Kohsar, Islamabad as early as possible.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that despite lapse of about 07 years trial of the above referred case has not yet been concluded and petitioner is facing the agony of trial since long.
- 3. Learned MIT of this Court is directed to requisition the report from the learned trial Court qua progress of the trial of the instant case. Learned trial Court shall also provide time line for early conclusion of the trial.
- 4. Adjourned to 15.11.2021.

#### C.M.No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE Learned MIT of this Court is directed to requisition the report from the learned trial Court qua progress of the trial of the instant case. Office shall also requisition the original record of the learned trial Court.

2. Adjourned to 23.9.2021.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.645/2020

Mst.Naseem *Versus*Secretary, M/o Railways, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04.10.2021.

Mr. Haider Ali, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No. 4063/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw W.P.No.645/2020. C.M is allowed.

2. W.P.No.645/2020 is *dismissed as* withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.637/2020**

Naseem Akhtar *Versus*Secretary, M/o Railways, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04.10.2021.

Mr. Haider Ali, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No. 4067/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw W.P.No.637/2020. C.M is allowed.

2. W.P.No.637/2020 is *dismissed as* withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.338/2020

Shabana Iftikhar *Versus*Secretary, M/o Railways, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04.10.2021.

Mr. Haider Ali, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No. 4066/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw W.P.No.338/2020. C.M is allowed.

2. W.P.No.338/2020 is *dismissed as* withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.573/2021

Muhammad Azeem etc

#### Versus

Muhammad Younus, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	04.10.2021.	Mr.Zulfigar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for appellants.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellants have assailed the judgment and decree dated 04.9.2021, passed by learned Civil Judge Ist Class-East, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellants. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 08.3.2021.

### C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M. No.2/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile status quo shall be maintained only to the extent of plot No.N-326/5 situated at RCCCHC, Islamabad subject to deposit of cash amount of rupee one million with the Deputy Registrar Judicial of this Court within 30 days.

## (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3415/2021

Nadia Bibi

#### Versus

Learned Additional Sessions Judge (East), Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	01.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Furqan Shabbir, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.
		Mr.Amir Ali Soomro, A.S.I.

Learned State Counsel alongwith police official in attendance contends that he needs some time to submit report in this case and requests for a short adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 18.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2681/2021**

Ahmad Aqeel

#### **Versus**

Capital Development Authority, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	01.10.2021.	Miss.Zainab Janjua, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.Amir Latif Gill, Advocate for CDA.

Learned counsel for CDA contends that matter

has now been fixed before Deputy Commissioner, CDA, Islamabad for 08.10.2021 and matter will be decided on the said date subject to availability of petitioner's counsel before the relevant authority.

- 2. Both the learned counsel are directed to appear before the competent authority i.e Deputy Commissioner, CDA, Islamabad on the next date of hearing and argue their case by all means. The CDA authority is directed to submit possession report before the Deputy Commissioner, CDA on the next date of hearing. The petitioner is also directed to submit affidavit to the effect that he is not in possession of any piece of land in the area which shall be considered at before the the adjudication time of Deputy Commissioner, CDA, Islamabad.
- 3. Adjourned to 12.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3155/2021

Raja Muhammad Riazal

#### Versus

Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	01.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Ilyas Siddiqi, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.Jalil Abbasi, Advocate for respondents. Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel. Mr.Zafar Iqbal, ASI.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 29.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.971-B/2021

Ayaz Ali Shah **Versus** 

The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties
order/	hearing	or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		

02. 01.10.2021.

Mr.Mehtab Afzal, Advocate for Petitioner.

Petitioner in person.

Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.

Mr. Ashiq ASI PS Bani Gala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has partly addressed his arguments.

2. Adjourned to 08.10.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.972-B/2021

Syed Tafseer Haider etc

### Versus

The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties
order/	hearing	or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		,
0.2	01 10 2021	Mr. Muhammad, Zafar, Khakhar, Advocate for

03. 01.10.2021.

Mr.Muhammad Zafar Khokhar, Advocate for

Petitioners.

Petitioners Syed Tafseer Haider & Ghulam Raza

in person.

Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.

Mr. Ashiq ASI PS Bani Gala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has partly addressed his arguments.

2. Adjourned to 08.10.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.571/2021

Abdur Rauf, etc

#### **Versus**

Land Acquisition Collector, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	01.10.2021.	Mr.Adil Aziz Qazi, Advocate for appellants.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 29.6.2021, passed by learned Senior Civil Judge-III (East), Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellants. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.764/2021

Dr.Faaiz Ali Syed

#### Versus

The State

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	01.10.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.

Instant post arrest bail application has been filed by the Petitioner from jail through Superintendent District Jail, Lahore.

- 2. Notice to the State for 07.10.2021.
- 3. <u>Barrister Syeda Jugnoo Kazmi (0334-5507945)</u> is hereby appointed as counsel for the petitioner at State expense. The office is directed to provide copies of relevant documents to the appointed learned counsel. A copy of this order be sent to the petitioner through the concerned Superintendent Jail.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2019/2021

Kabeer Ahmed etc

#### Versus

Assistant Director Admin of Federal Employees Benevolent & Group Insurance Funds, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	30.9.2021.	Raja Inaam Amin Minhas, Advocate for Petitioners. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Ghulam Murtaza, A.D (Admin) for respondents.

Learned counsel for the Petitioners has pointed out that ICA No.235/2021 is pending before Honorable Division Bench-II of this Court and has been fixed for hearing on 15.11.2021, arising out of the initial show cause notices which were challenged before this Court through writ petition which was dismissed by learned Judge in Chamber. He further contends that present petitioners have been terminated from service, however, in the previous round of litigation learned Judge in Chamber has declared the petitioners as a civil servants and as such until such controversy is resolved, instant writ petition cannot be adjudicated.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition before the Honourable Chief Justice for placing the same before the Honourable Division Bench-II alongwith ICA No.235/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2558/2021

Syed Azhar Hussain Shah

### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3322/2021

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Ltd

**Versus** 

OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceeding		
S	S	

(2) 30.9.2021. Mr.Ahmed Ejaz Yousaf, Advocate for petitioner.

**(4)** 30.9.2021. Mr.Sher Afzal Khan, Advocate for petitioner.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz

Ali, AAG.

Mr.Sajid Cheema, Inspector

Legal.

Muhammad Arfan, S.O, Establishment Division.

This jail appeal is pending since 2016. Barrister Shahzad Akbar is hereby appointed as counsel for the appellant at State expense. The office is therefore, directed to provide copies of relevant documents to the appointed learned counsel. A copy of this order is also directed to be sent to the appellant through the concerned Superintendent prison.

(M OHSI N AKHT AR KAYA NI)

JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2890/2019

Mansoor Yousaf etc

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
09.	30.9.2021.	Mr.Ubaid ur Rehman, Law Officer, NADRA.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Sajid Cheema, Inspector Legal.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 05.10.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.311/2020

CDA

#### Versus

M/s Shakil Express Pvt. Ltd

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
10.	30.9.2021.	Mr.Oasim Sarfraz, Proxy Counsel for respondents.

Written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant.

2. Adjourned to 18.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.570/2021

Sardar Muhammad Habib Khan

#### Versus

Malik Usman, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				ties
(1)	30.9.2021.	Mr.Ishtiaq appellant.	Ahmad	Muhammadzai,	Advocate	for

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 30.6.2021, passed by learned Civil Judge Is Class (West), Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.2.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.M.No.351/2021

in

Crl.Misc.No.537-B/2021

Qaiser

Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with sig or counsel who		_	that of par	ties
	30.9.2021.		Shahzad	Siddiqui,	Advocate	for
		applicant/petitioner.				

Through the instant C.M, applicant seeks incorporation of Section 411 PPC in bail granting order dated 20.8.2021 passed by this Court in Crl.Misc.No.817-B/2021.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that applicant Qaiser was granted post arrest bail in case FIR No.369 dated 30.2.2021 under Section 392 PPC PS Industrial Area, Islamabad but inadvertently Section 411 PPC was not referred in his bail petition and due to this reason applicant could not be released from the Jail.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that applicant was granted post arrest bail u/s 392 PPC whereby the offence u/s 411 PPC was not referred by the applicant inadvertently in the post arrest bail application, therefore, propriety demands that applicant/petitioner may be granted bail also in offence u/s 411 PPC and same section may be read in the bail granting order dated 20.8.2021.
- 4. On Court's call learned State Counsel has put appearance and has been confronted qua the position of case who conceded the claim of the applicant and raised no objection on the acceptance of the instant application.
- 5. In view of above, instant C.M, is allowed. The

bail granting order dated 20.8.2021 stands amended whereby applicant/petitioner is also granted post arrest bail in offence u/s 411 PPC at the same surety.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3447/2021

Javed Iqbal

**Versus**Muhammad Imran, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**(01)** 28.9.2021. Ch.Qaiser Imam, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has prayed for recovery of his daughter namely Ghousia Javed aged about 29 years who has allegedly been abducted by respondents No.1 to 3 alongwith some unknown persons within the territorial jurisdiction of Police Station Ramna, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *interalia* contends that petitioner being father has lodged FIR No.646 dated 14.9.2021 u/s 365-B PPC PS Ramna, Islamabad, for abduction of his daughter but despite that the SHO PS Ramna has not recovered her daughter.
- 3. The SHO PS Ramna, Islamabad shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.

### C.M.No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions. Disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

without personal observations made by Members of CSB other than PERs  $\&\ TERs.$ 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2276/2021**

Muhammad Maaz

#### Versus

Government of Pakistan, etc

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties

order/ proceedings	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
(2)	27.9.2021.	Mr.Ashfaq Ahmad Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Rana Zain Tahir, Special Prosecutor NAB.
		The Special Prosecutor NAB in attendance
		contends that he needs some time to submit details qua
		the amount so recovered in the Modarba Scam and final
		outcome of the Court of law in the reference.

2. Adjourned 23.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

Date of

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2566/2020

Rasheed Ahmed

#### **Versus**

Cooperative Societies Department, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	27.9.2021.	Petitioner in person.
		Mr.Shehryar Nawaz Malik, Advocate for respondents No.4.
		Mr. Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel, Chief Commissioner

Office, ICT.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 in attendance contends that the Secretary of the Society is under suspension, however, as per his instruction Society is ready to hand over the possession of the plot to the petitioner subject to payment of remaining dues and other codal formalities. Learned counsel has also raised the question of maintainability of instant writ petition on the ground that land of the Society is situated in district Rawalpindi and Petitioner has an alternate remedy u/s 54-A of the Cooperative Societies Act, 1925. At this stage learned State Counsel contends that position of Circle Registrar is vacant due to the demise of the then Circle Registrar and new officer has not yet taken over the charge.

- 2. Be that as it may, the Deputy Registrar shall appear in person on the next date of hearing and shall submit the details of all those Societies which are registered in Islamabad and their territorial limits situate in other districts.
- 2. Adjourned to 12.10.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.954-B-2021

Noor Ullah

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	27.9.2021.	Mr.Sadir Ali Ayaz, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Ghulam Farid Chaudhry, Advocate for complainant.
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.
		Mr.Raees, ASI.

Learned counsel for the complainant has pointed out that the petitioner has filed second post arrest bail application without disclosing his earlier post arrest bail application which has been dismissed vide order dated 01.9.2021 passed in Crl.Misc.No.836-B-2021 and as such it is a fraud apparent on record. However, when learned counsel for petitioner has been confronted whereupon he contends that he was not aware about the first bail application of the petitioner nor he has been informed by the Petitioner, therefore, he intends to withdraw instant bail application.

- 2. In view of above, instant bail application is **dismissed as withdrawn** having not been pressed on the ground that first bail application has already been dismissed on merit and second bail application is not permissible on the same ground.
- 3. In view of above, learned trial Court seized with the matter is directed to conclude the trial of this case within next six months after the receipt of copy of this order.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.908-B-2021

Hafiz Atta ur Rehman

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
02.	27.9.2021.	Raja Muhammad Shafqat Khan Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Hassan Javed, Advocate for respondent No.2.
		Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.
		Inspector Shafqat Mehmood, Incharge Investigation Saddar Circle.
		Learned counsel for the Petitioner has concluded
		his arguments.

2. Adjourned to **28.9.2021.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Misc.No.942-B-2021

**Muhammad Adaris** 

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	27.9.2021.	Mr.Adil Aziz Qazi, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Hassan Javed, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel. Inspector Shafqat Mehmood, Incharge Investigation Saddar Circle.
		Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that he needs some time to further document the petition.

2. Adjourned to **28.9.2021.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.3393/2021**

Nighat Laeeq Chaudhry

#### Versus

Mukhtar Abbas, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	24.9.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shehzad Siddiq, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 07.7.2021, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-West, Islamabad whereby complaint filed by the petitioner u/s 3/4 of Illegal Dispossession Act, 2005 has been dismissed.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner interalia contends that petitioner's complaint has been dismissed on the ground that Special Attorney under his attorney was not authorized to file the complaint under Illegal Dispossession Act on behalf of the petitioner. It is further contended that initially petition under section 3 of the Illegal Dispossession Act has not only been admitted to regular hearing but also petitioner's evidence was recorded through video link from U.K. whereby the Special Attorney has been confirmed. It has further been contended that petitioner has been nonsuited on hyper technical ground. It has lastly been contended that learned trial Court has wrongly interpreted Special Power of Attorney and passed the impugned order which is contrary to law.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to respondents No.1 & 2 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner. The SHO PS Ramna, Islamabad shall ensure the presence of respondent No.1 before the Court on the next date of hearing.

4. Adjourned to 11.11.2021.

## C.M.No.02/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3308/2020

Amir Sohail Anjum *Versus* Pakistan Bar Council, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

07. 24.9.2021. Applicant in person.

#### C.M.No.3915/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **23.11.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.4135/2019**

Shakil Ahmed etc

Versus

The Secretary, Establishment Division, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

03. 24.9.2021. Applicant Shakil Ahmed in person.

#### C.M.No.3919/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **09.11.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### FAO No.101/2020

M/s Labbaik Private Limited *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

09. 24.9.2021. Mr.Qaiser imam Ch, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.901/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **11.11.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.406-B-2021

Muhammad Adnan Faisal Saim, etc *Versus* The State, etc

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
1.	17.8.2021.	Malik Fayyaz Hussain Khokhar, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M. No.313/2021.

•

### C.M. No.314/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.229/2021

Khurram Ghaznavi *Versus*Tarig Naimi, etc.

Tariq Najmi, etc

0	. No. of rder/ roceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	2.	23.9.2021.	Mr.Sanaullah Khan, Advocate for applicant.
			Mr. Mehmood Khan Lakho, S.O, Establishment Division.
			Mr. Muhammad Zahid, Assisstant Supdt. for respondent

No.6.

The Section Officer, Establishment Division in attendance contends that order dated 17.5.2021 passed by this Court in W.P.No.1597/2021 has been complied with and representation of the applicant has been decided. The copy of the order has been placed on record and same has also been communicated to the applicant.

2. In view of above, instant criminal original stands disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Crl.Org.No.73/2021

Bahadur Ali Bhurghari, etc

#### **Versus**

Mr.Kamran Ali Afzal, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or co unsel where necessary.
(3)	23.9.2021.	Raja Saif ur Rehman, Advocate for applicants. Mr.S.A.Mahmood Khan Saddozai, Advocate for respondents.
		Dr.Agha Ghulam Haider, Deputy Director Legal, M/o Education. Mr.Muhammad Irfan, S.O, Establishment Division.
		Learned counsel for respondents needs some
		time for filing of parawise comments.
		2. Adjourned to 06.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## **Criminal Appeal No.98/2020**

Dr.Saeed Anwar Shah **Versus** Israr Gul, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	23.9.2021.	Raja Muhammad Farooq, Advocate for appellant.
(00)	23.3.2021.	.,
		Mr.Owais ul Islam, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Respondent No.1 in person.
		Miss.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.

Learned counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment to prepare his brief.

2. Adjourned to 27.1.2022.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Misc.No.926-B-2021

Shamim Bibi

## Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.				
2.	23.9.2021.	Ch.Ali Abbas, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Rao Muhammad Sarfraz, Advocate for respondent No.2. Miss.Sadia Shehzadi, State Counsel.				
		Learned counsel for Petitioner is stated to be				
		indisposed and request for adjournment has been made				
		on his behalf.				

2. Adjourned to **01.10.2021.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Civil Revision No.247/2019

Sher Muhammad

### **Versus**

Mst.Kausar Parveen, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		with signatunsel where i		f Judge and ssary.	that	of parties
08.	23.9.2021.	Syed Javed Akbar, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Khurram Mehmood Qureshi, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mr.Abdul Khalid, Advocate for respondent No.4.					
		Learned counsel for the petitioner has partly					
		addressed his arguments.					
		2.	Adjourned	to	13.10.2021	for	remaining
		argumer	nts.				

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Revision No.28/2021

Kamran Aslam

### Versus

SHO PS Koral, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	22.9.2021.	Mr.Mujtaba Zamani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mst.Tania Bazai, State Counsel.

No one has put appearance of behalf of respondents.

- 2. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No.2 & 3 through SHO PS Koral, Islamabad who shall ensure the presence of said respondents before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 4. Adjourned to 24.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org. No.266/2021.

Bilal Bin Habib etc **Versus** Amir Ullah Marwat, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	22.0.2021	Daia Iftikhar Ahmad Advocato for applicant

(1) 22.9.2021. Raja Iftikhar Ahmad, Advocate for applicant.

Through this Criminal Original, the applicants have prayed for initiation of Contempt of Court Proceedings against the respondents for non-compliance of judgment dated 04.2.2020, passed by this Court in W.P.No.4593/2018.

- 2. Let notice (not contempt) be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the applicants. The Section Officer, Establishment Division shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing and shall submit compliance report of the judgment referred above.
- 3. Adjourned to 01.10.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3351/2021

## Muhammad Mubashir **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan through Secretary Defence, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	22.9.2021.	Petitioner Muhammad Mubashir in person.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to the respondent authority to reinstate him in service with all back benefits.

2. Petitioner in person contends that he joined Pakistan Air Force in 2004 and since his appointment he has been performing his duty with zeal & zest. He further contends that during his service he was booked in case FIR No.544 dated 25.7.2014 under Sections 302, 324, 452, 34 PPC PS Mirpur, Abbotabad and remained in jail about five years and during his incarceration he was discharged from his service vide order dated 22.8.2015. He further contends that he was acquitted honorably from the charge by the Honourable Peshawar High Court, Abbotabad Bench vide order dated 05.5.2021 and after his acquittal he applied for reinstatement in service but his request has been turned down by the competent authority vide order dated 5.6.2020. It has lastly been contended that it is the policy of the respondent department if any person involved in an offence and has

## Writ Petition No.3351/2021

-2-

been honorably acquitted he will have to be reinstated and paid all arrears etc irrespective of the nature of offence in which he was involved.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice
   be issued to the respondents through registered post
   A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 11.11.2021.

## C.M.No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.395/2021

Mst.Aqeela Begum

### **Versus**

**Capital Development Authority** 

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	22.9.2021.	Syed Abbas Haider Shah, Proxy Counsel for appellant.

Learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of appellant contends that connected *R.F.A.No.371/2021* is also pending before another Bench of this Court. It is appropriate that both the appeals are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Criminal Appeal No.127/2019

Muhammad Hanif Qureshi

#### Versus

Muhammad Ayub, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	21.9.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Daniya, Proxy Counsel for appellant.
		Appellant Muhammad Hanif Qureshi in person.
		Mr.Mudassar Abbas, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr.Fahad Ali, State Counsel.

Through this appeal, the appellant has assailed the order dated 30.3.2019 passed by Judicial Magistrate, Section 30 (West) Islamabad whereby respondent No.1 Muhammad Ayub was acquitted u/s 249-A Cr.P.C in case FIR No.185 dated 21.7.2017 u/s 408, 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 PPC PS Shalimar, Islamabad.

Respondent No.1 Muhammad Ayub in person.

- 2. Though a written request for adjournment has been filed on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant, but the appellant has put appearance in person and contends that compromise has been affected between the parties and he intends to withdraw instant criminal appeal. This aspect has not been denied by respondent No.1 present before this Court. The settlement agreement dated 20.9.2021 executed between the parties has been placed on record as Mark 'A'. The signatures and thumb impressions of the appellant and respondent No.1, have been obtained on the margin of order sheet.
- 2. In view of above, instant criminal appeal stands *dismissed* as withdrawn.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.288/2020

Shakil Express Private Limited

Versus

CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	30.9.2021.	Appellant Usman Nisar in person.

Mr.M.Furqan Shabbir, Advocate for respondent.

Appellant Usman Nisar in attendance contends that matter has been settled between the parties and as such disputed amount has been paid to the respondent/decree holder. This aspect has not been denied by the learned counsel for the respondent.

2. In view of the above statements recorded by the parties instant appeal stands *disposed of.* 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3746/2020

Nisar Hussain Malik

Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

17.8.2021. Mr.Muhammad Asif Khan, Advocate for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner after arguing the case at some length contends that he will be satisfied, if a direction be issued to the learned trial Court seized with the matter for early conclusion of the trial.

2. In view of above instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the learned trial Court seized with the matter to conclude the trial of the pending civil suit within two months by all means after the receipt of order of this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.133/2021

Ismat Hashmi etc

### **Versus**

Pakistan Medical Commission, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(6)	21.9.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman Khan, Advocate for Petitioners.	
		Mr.Taimoor Aslam Khan, Advocate for PMC.	

The case is ripe for final arguments. With the consent of the parties matter is adjourned to **08.10.2021** for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2553/2021

Gulfraz Khan etc

### Versus

Chief Commissioner, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
<b>03.</b> 21.9.2021.		Mr.Adil Aziz Qazi, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Mr. Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for ICT, Islamabad.	

Learned State Counsel needs some time for filing of compliance report as was directed by this Court vide order dated 09.8.2021.

2. Adjourned to 22.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Writ Petition No.2993/2021**

Abbas Mustafa Kassam

Versus

Judge Family Court, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
14.	21.9.2021.	Malik Omair Saleem, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Barrister M.Saad Buttar for respondents No.2 & 3.	

Learned counsel for the petitioner needs some time in order to seek fresh instructions from his client in order to settle this issue as mother of minor has apprehension that minor might be removed from the territorial jurisdiction of this Court during visitation,

2. Adjourned to 23.9.2021.

therefore, protective order is required.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.47/2019

Muhammad Riaz

#### **Versus**

Akseer Ahmad

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(6)	21.9.2021.	Mr.M.Mohsin Ahmad, Proxy Counsel for appellant.	
		Mian M.Arshad Javed, Advocate for respondent.	

Learned counsel for the appellant is not available and request for adjournment has been made

available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Respondent shall proceed with the execution petition on the basis of judgment and decree dated 13.2.2019 subject matter of instant appeal as no restraining order has been passed by this Court nor impugned judgment and decree has been suspended by this Court.

2. Adjourned to 19.1.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Criminal Appeal No.89/2019**

Asghar Ali Fiaz *Versus*The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(05)	21.9.2021.	Abdul Rauf Qureshi, Advocate for appellant. Mr.Naveed Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mr.Fahad Ali, State Counsel.	

Respondent No.2 has not appeared. Let notice be issued to respondent No.2 through SHO PS Ramna, Islamabad for his appearance before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 07.12.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Misc.No.917-B/2021

Waqas Rafiq **Versus** 

The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties
order/	hearing	or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		
03.	21.9.2021.	Mr. Mohsin Bashir Meo, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel.
Respondent No.2/ Asim Khan in person.
Mian Imran, S.I.

Respondent No.2, in attendance contends that he needs some time to engage a counsel.

2. Adjourned to 29.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.902-B-2021

Mehmood Ahmed **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	20.9.2021.	Raja Fakhar Ajaz, Advocate for Petitioner. Syeda Rida-e-Batool, State Counsel. Muhammad Rafaqat ASI.

Learned counsel for complainant in attendance contends that he has recently been engaged in this case, therefore, he needs some time to file power of attorney and prepare the brief.

2. Adjourned to 28.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## **Writ Petition No.3269/2021**

Shamas Khan

#### Versus

Air Marshal ® Arshad Mahmood Malik, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	20.9.2021.	Syed Zaheer Hussain Shah, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed Circular No.04/2021 dated 07.9.2021 whereby petitioner who was appointed as Provincial Secretary, PIA Boy Scouts Association for a period of two years has been removed from his position.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent authority has violated procedure provided in Pakistan Boy Scouts Association Policy Organization Rules, 2013 and as such petitioner has not been given due opportunity of hearing before passing the impugned order and as such his rights have been decided and settled in violation of Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 06.10.2021.

### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Notice.

## C.M. No. 02/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3292/2021

Amjad Mehmood, etc **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	20.9.2021.	Mr. Nasir Mehmood Oureshi, Advocate for Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for early decision of their departmental appeals which were filed against the order dated 26.3.2021 before the departmental authority.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that respondent authority be directed to decide the departmental appeal of the petitioners expeditiously.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D. and TCS at the cost of Petitioners.
- 4. Adjourned to 10.11.2021.

### C.M. No. 01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3240/2021

Niaz Muhammad etc

#### **Versus**

Inspector General of Police, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	,

## C.M. No.3833/2021.

This is an application for rectification of order dated 15.9.2021 passed by this Court in captioned writ petition whereby inadvertently notice has been issued to SHO PS Industrial Area who is not party in this case whereas SHO PS Sabzi Mandi as well as ASP/SDPO Industrial Area, Islamabad are concerned official to whom notice was to be issued.

2. In view of above, instant C.M is **allowed** and order dated 15.9.2021 is modified to the extent that the SHO PS Sabzi Mandi, Islamabad shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.

==

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET. ORDER SHEET.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl. Misc. No.776-B-2021

Raheel Ahmed, etc

### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(6)	20.9.2021.	Mr.Zohaib Hassan Gondal, State Counsel. Mr.Abrar, S.I.

Adjourned to 21.9.2021. To be heard alongwith Crl.Misc.No.658/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.536/2021

Moharam Ali

#### Versus

Basharat Miskeen deceased through legal heirs, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	20.9.2021.	Raja Faisal Younas, Advocate for appellant.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 31.7.2021, passed by learned Civil Judge-East, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 20.12.2021.

## C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## R.F.A.No.535/2021

Moharam Ali

#### Versus

Muhammad Sarwar deceased through legal heirs, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	20.9.2021.	Raja Faisal Younas, Advocate for appellant.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 31.7.2021, passed by learned Civil Judge-East, Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Office is directed to requisition the record of the learned trial Court.
- 3. Adjourned to 20.12.2021.

## C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.952-BC-2021

Malik Muhammad Shafique Awan

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Hameed, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	20.9.2021.	Mr.Sohail Akram, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this criminal miscellaneous application, petitioner has prayed for cancellation of post arrest bail granted to respondent No.1 vide order dated 19.7.2021 passed by Additional Sessions Judge-West, Islamabad in case FIR No.115 dated 05.5.2021 u/s 420, 468, 471 PPC PS Margallah Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.1 committed forgery which is on record and learned Additional Sessions Judge has not considered this fact while allowing his post arrest bail application which resulted in serious miscarriage of justice.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to respondent No.1 through SHO PS Margallah, Islamabad for his presence before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 4. Adjourned to 05.10.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl. Misc. No.948-B-2021

Attiya Bibi

. Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(1)	20.9.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Furgan Shabbir, Advocate for Petitioner.

Notice to the State as well as respondents No.2

for 21.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **OGRA APPLICATION NO.03/2021**

Sui Northern Gas Pipelines Ltd

#### **Versus**

OGRA, etc

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(2)	16.0.2021	MD D M Mudaccir Ighal Advocate for applicant

(2) 16.9.2021. MR.R.M.Mudassir Iqbal, Advocate for applicant.

Through this application, the applicant has assailed the order dated 03.9.2018 passed by OGRA whereby late payment surcharge has been waived by respondent No.1 for the entire period the case remained under adjudication before it.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that under the Gas Sales Contract it is the duty of the consumer to pay the gas bills within time and in case he fails to discharge his obligation, late payment surcharge shall be levied by the applicant. It has further been contended that no fault has been attributed to the applicant, therefore, impugned order is contrary to law and is liable to be set-aside.
- 3. Let notice be issued to respondent No.2 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.
- 4. Adjourned to 22.11.2021.

## C.M. No.1/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

### C.M.No.2/2021.

Notice.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

d to the State as well as complainant of the case for 02.8.2021.

4. In the meanwhile, petitioner is granted adinterim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The Petitioner may deposit the cash surety who is also directed to join the investigation and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2638/2021**

Muhammad Nawaz **Versus** 

Senior Special Magistrate, CDA, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
01.	26.7.2021.	Qazi Jahanzaib Gul Qureshi, Advocate for applicant.	

Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the very outset conceded that proceedings of adjudication of his vehicles is pending with the Senior Special Magistrate, CDA, Islamabad and all the connected matters have already been referred to the same Court.

2. Be that as it may, instant writ petition stands disposed of with the direction to the Petitioner to approach the Senior Special Magistrate, CDA, Islamabad for redressal of his grievances who shall decide the same in accordance with law.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3658/2020

Syed Wamiq Abid Bukhari **Versus** District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of Date of		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
04.	23.7.2021.	Mr.Shafqat Abbas Tarar, Advocate for applicant.

Mr.Shafqat Abbas Tarar, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.3157/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on 11.8.2021, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

Grievance of the Petitioners is that he was posted as Divisional Superintendent, Postal Services, vide order dated 18.2.2013 and assumed the charge on 21.2.2013 but without any lawful justification and utter disregard of the dictum laid down by Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan in Anita Turab's case reported as PLD 2013 S.C 195, he has been transferred vide impugned order dated 9.10.2013.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2633/2021

Basit Khan

#### **Versus**

Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1.	23.7.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shoaib Shaheen & Mr.Ali Nawaz Kharal, Advocates for Petitioner.
		Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has
		assailed his posting/transfer order dated 09.7.2021
		passed by respondent authority whereby petitioner who
		is Deputy Director Law has been posted/transferred as
		Deputy Director Quetta Project in the same organization.
		2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner <i>interalia</i>
		contends that Petitioner is working as Deputy Director
		Law, therefore, he could not be posted against his
		cadre; that there is no sanctioned post of Deputy
		Director Law at Quetta but without any lawful
		justification and utter disregard of the dictum laid down
		by Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan in <u>Anita</u>
		Turab's case reported as PLD 2013 S.C 195,
		petitioner has been transferred vide impugned order
		against his cadre post. It has further been contended
		that impugned order is based on malafide and as such it
		can be reviewed in terms of Article 199 of the
		Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973

and has placed reliance on case law reported as PLD

# 2021 SC 01 (Justice Qazi Faez Isa etc Vs. The President of Pakistan etc).

- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notice be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D, TCS as well as through telephonic mode at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. The Director General, Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, Islamabad shall appoint a responsible officer not below the rank of Director who shall appear before this Court and shall assist the Court on the issue raised through instant writ petition.
- 5. Adjourned to 26.7.2021.

#### C.M. No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2352/2021

Asif Ameen

## Versus

Muhammad Yousaf Khan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2)	09.7.2021.	Mr.Atif Majeed Sheikh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1 through the learned trial Court seized with the matter at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 16.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2095/2021

Mazullah Khan

#### **Versus**

Ministry of Energy & Power Division through its Secretary, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	09.7.2021.	Mr.Arif Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Nasim Ahmed Shah, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr.Nasim Ahmed Shah, Advocate for respondent No.2 Mr.Muhammad Asif Khan, Advocate for IESCO.

Learned counsel for the respondent IESCO contends that he needs some time for filing of comments. He further contends that IESCO is ready to provide electricity connection subject to issuance of NOC by CDA. Perusal of record reveals that CDA is not party in this case, therefore, Petitioner is directed to implead the CDA as respondent in this case. Needful has been done in the Court.

- 2. Let fresh notices be issued to respondents No.4 & 5 through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner. Petitioner is directed to provide address of respondent No.4 in the office for purpose of service.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.8.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2474/2021**

Rafia Sajjad

#### **Versus**

National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with sig or counsel who		_	and that o	f par	ties
1.	09.7.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Petitioner.	Kamal	Hassan,	Advocate	for	the

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 30.3.2021 passed by Director Academics, National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad whereby petitioner's admission in Mphill leading to Ph.D English Linguistic has been regretted on the ground of non verification of amendment within prescribed time limit.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that petitioner under the supervision of her Supervisor completed her thesis work and deposited the same well within the time limit but respondents notified certain amendment with the specific time line which was though completed but respondents have issued impugned letter without considering the fact that thesis has already been approved. It has further been contended that time limit under HEC policy of 2016 is not applicable to the previous programme Mphill leading to Ph.D, therefore, impugned letter is contrary to the law.

- Question raised needs consideration. Let noticebe issued to the respondents through registered postA.D and TCS at the cost of Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 04.10.2021.
- 5. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2320/2021.

## C.M. No.02/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2468/2021

Tanveer Ahmad

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Asghar Malik, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	09.7.2021.	Mr.Qazi Adil Aziz & Mr.Zopash Khan, Advocates for the Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Asahar Malik/respondent No.1 in person.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has assailed the order dated 22.12.2020 and 15.6.2021 passed by learned Rent Controller (West), Islamabad as well as learned Additional District Judge (West), Islamabad whereby eviction petition filed by respondent No.1 was allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the very outset contends that he has deposited the rent for the month of July, 2021, however, requests that one month more time may be granted to vacate the premises i.e till 31.8.2021 subject to deposit of rent for the month of August, 2021. The requests made by learned counsel for Petitioner is reasonable, therefore, Petitioner is directed to hand over the vacant possession of the subject premises on or before 31.8.2021 to the landlord subject to deposit of rent and if he fails to deposit the

rent for the month of August, 2021 on or before 05.8.2021, the eviction shall continue.

3. Instant writ petition stands *disposed of.* 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1278/2021**

Syeda Razia Sultana **Versus** IG Police, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	09.7.2021.	Mr. Manzoor Ahmed Rehmani, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Aamir Abbas, SI PS Shams Colony, Islamabad.
		Mr.Ejaz Gujjar, ASI PS Shams Colony, Islamabad.

The Investigating Officer of case FIR No.180 dated 16.10.2020 u/s 365-B PPC PS Shams Colony, Islamabad in attendance contends that alleged abductee has been recovered and was produced before the Illaqa Magistrate for recording of her statement u/s 164 Cr.PC, however, learned Magistrate sent her to Dar-ul-Aman and she shall be produced again before the Illaqa Magistrate for recording her evidence.

- 2. The Investigating Officer is directed to produce certified copy of the statement u/s 164 Cr.P.C of the alleged abductee before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 12.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org. No.176/2021.

Syed Azhar Abbas Jafri **Versus** Imran Ahad

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	09.7.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Mudassar Khalid Abbasi, Advocate for applicant.

Through this Criminal Original, the applicant has prayed for initiation of Contempt of Court Proceedings against the respondent for non-compliance of order dated 18.2.2021, passed by this Court in W.P.No.2823/2020.

- 2. Let notice (not contempt) be issued to the respondent through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the applicant.
- 3. Adjourned to 11.8.2021.
- 4. Office is directed to also fix main writ petition for the said date.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Crl.Org.No.43/2021

Syed Asim Ali Shah Versus

Lt.Gen.(R) Mian Muhammad Hilal Hussain, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
02	00.7.2021	Name

03. 09.7.2021. Nemo.

### C.M.No.3012/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **04.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2472/2021

Mst.Nighat Yasmin

#### Versus

Ministry of National Health Services, Regulation and Coordination, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
` <u>-</u>		

09.7.2021. Mr.Ghulam Muhammad Khan, Advocate for Petitioner.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of direction to the respondents to decide her pending appeal dated 26.11.2016 addressed to the Deputy Executive Director, SZABMU/PIMS, Islamabad whereby she requested for her promotion in BPS-19 as she is going to be retired on 01.8.2021 on attaining the age of superannuation.

2. Be that as it may, instant writ petition stands *disposed of* with the direction to the respondents to decide the pending appeal of the petitioner within next 60 days after the receipt of copy of order of this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.753/2021

Muhammad Zia Sarwar *Versus* Syndicate IST, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

05. 09.7.2021. Mirza Waqas Qayyum, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.2974/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **03.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3905/2020

Rizwan Ullah Khalidi *Versus* Additional Sessions Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

04. 09.7.2021. Mr.Gul Hussain Jadoon, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.2982/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **03.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.795/2020

Umer Shahzad Versus Cabinet Division through its Secretary, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

10. 09.7.2021. Applicant in person.

### C.M.No.2983/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **11.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.780/2020

Irfan Ullah, etc *Versus* OGDCL, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	09.7.2021.	Mr.Tariq Muhammad Khan Marwat, Advocate for applicants.

## C.M.No.2971/2021.

Through instant application, applicanta seek early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **09.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicants.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.4009/2019

State Bank of Pakistan **Versus** N.I.R.C, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
08.	09.7.2021.	Mirza Wagas Qayyum, Advocate for applicant.

Mirza Waqas Qayyum, Advocate for applicant. 09.7.2021.

### C.M.No.2996/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on 16.8.2021, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

Office is directed to also fix main case for the 2. said date.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.3034/2019

Ghulam Mustafa Shakir **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/ Proceedings	or counsel where necessary.
procedurigo	i rocccumgo	
08.	09.7.2021.	Mirza Waqas Qayyum, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.2978/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on 11.8.2021, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.617/2019

Muhammad Amjad Mehmood **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
16.	09.7.2021.	Ms.Shireen Imran, Advocate for applicant.

09.7.2021. Ms. Shireen Imran, Advocate for applicant.

### C.M.No.2984/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on 12.8.2021, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD

### Crl.Misc.No.690-B/2021

Muhammad Kamran VERSUS The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of
order/	hearing	parties or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		

04. 09.7.2021. Mr.Zahid Riaz Ch, Advocate for Petitioner. Petitioner Muhammad Kamran in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application, the Petitioner has prayed for pre-arrest bail in Case FIR No.21 dated 22.1.2021 under Sections 337-H(ii), 427, 506(ii) PPC registered at Police Station Nilore, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been nominated in this case with malafide and as such he has not committed any offence.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the State as well respondent No.2 for 16.7.2021.
- 4. In the meanwhile, petitioner is granted ad-interim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The Petitioner may deposit the cash surety who is also directed to join the investigation and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1462/2021

Arsalan Tahir, etc

#### Versus

CDA, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(05)	07.7.2021.	Ms.Saeeda Mirbaz, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for CDA.
		Malik Talat Hussain, Advocate for respondent No.3.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.
		Mr.Qaiser Masood, Additional Director FIA.
		Mr.Tabish Javed, SI/I.O FIA/CCC.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioners have assailed the order dated 09.4.2021, issued by Deputy Director Building Control-II, CDA whereby a direction was issued to the SHO PS Lohi Bher, Islamabad for registration of an FIR against the Petitioners for breaking the seal of building constructed on plot No.Cp-2, Block-H, situated in Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Soan Garden Islamabad.

2. After hearing the parties at length it is an admitted position emerges on record that the layout plan and NOC of the Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad, has been cancelled by CDA and this position has been confirmed by CDA as well as by FIA official who has conducted forensic audit of the said society on the direction of the Apex Court. As per FIA report following illegalities have been observed:-

## Writ Petition No.1462/2021

-2-

affiliated land without transfer of ownership.  Purchase of land at exorbitant rates for Soan Garden Phase-II at Fatehjang.  Developments and related matters  Development agreements executed without following PPRA Rules. Record not provided.  LOP & NOC cancelled by CDA due to violations of LOP at massive scale.  Amenities are not in place as per LOP.  Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.		T =	T
related matters.  affiliated land without transfer of ownership.  Purchase of land at exorbitant rates for Soan Garden Phase-II at Fatehjang.  2. Developments and related matters  Development agreements executed without following PPRA Rules. Record not provided.  3. Layout plan (LOP) & LOP & NOC cancelled by CDA due to violations of LOP at massive scale.  Amenities are not in place as per LOP.  Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA	S.#	Subject Area	
Garden Phase-II at Fatehjang.  2. Developments and related matters  Development agreements executed without following PPRA Rules. Record not provided.  3. Layout plan (LOP) & LOP & NOC cancelled by CDA due to violations of LOP at massive scale.  Amenities are not in place as per LOP.  Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  Already various cases are registered at FIA	1.		·
related matters  following PPRA Rules. Record not provided.  LOP & NOC cancelled by CDA due to violations of LOP at massive scale.  Amenities are not in place as per LOP.  Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  Accounting controls & records.  Any other special  Already various cases are registered at FIA			
related matters.  LOP at massive scale.  Amenities are not in place as per LOP.  Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  Any other special  Already various cases are registered at FIA	2.	-	
Development of H Block without approval of LOP/NOC.  Penalties not paid to CDA.  4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  Already various cases are registered at FIA	3.		LOP & NOC cancelled by CDA due to violations of LOP at massive scale.
LOP/NOC. Penalties not paid to CDA.  4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  8. Any other special  Already various cases are registered at FIA			Amenities are not in place as per LOP.
4. Plot and related matters.  FIR 01/17 registered regarding creation of 198 plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  CDA rules & laws not followed.  CDA rules & records.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  Already various cases are registered at FIA			
matters.  plots reducing sizes of thousands of plots.  21 residential plots converted into commercial plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations.  Yes  8. Any other special  Already various cases are registered at FIA			Penalties not paid to CDA.
plots.  23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.  FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA	4.		
FIR No.02/17-hundreds of plots allotted with transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA			
transfer of ownership of land.  5. General compliance with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA			23 residential plots were subdivided into 69 plots.
with applicable laws and other guidelines.  6. Accounting controls & records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA			
records.  7. Pending litigations. Yes  8. Any other special Already various cases are registered at FIA	5.	with applicable laws	CDA rules & laws not followed.
Any other special	6.	_	
	7.	Pending litigations.	Yes
	8.		, ,

2. Keeping in view the above position learned counsel for respondent society has been confronted who conceded that layout plan and NOC of the society has been cancelled but transfer, sale and purchase of

### Writ Petition No.1462/2021

.3<sub>-</sub>

plots of the society and construction are still going on.

- 3. In such scenario to save the public at large, this Court is inclined to pass restraining order to the management of Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad as well as to the CDA not to transfer any plot in the society even after receiving transfer fee nor CDA is allowed to receive any application qua the approval of construction on site plan or any other activity enumerated under their law till the restoration of the layout plant and NOC of the respective society. The Capital Development Authority is further directed to resolve the issues relating to layout plan and NOC of the respective society at the first instance and after clearance they may deal the individuals residents of the society under the law. In the meanwhile no further construction is permitted in the Society and if the same is carried out the same shall be at their own risk and cost. It is expected from the CDA and Civilian Employees Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad that issue of the LOP and NOC shall be resolved at the earliest preferably before the next date of hearing.
- 4. Adjourned to 13.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.687/2020

Zarina Shaheen, etc

#### **Versus**

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(12)	07.7.2021.	Mr.Murtaza Waheed, Advocate for the Petitioner.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that all the petitioners are family members of Noor Muhammad who was a clerk at the Embassy of Pakistan, Washington DC, USA and served as Clerk to Defence Attaché and did not return to Pakistan rather he was declared deserted from Pakistan Army after completion of his tenure, even his family members including petitioners did not return to Pakistan. He further contends that as per his information the Noor Muhammad has not yet been convicted by any Court of law or relevant authority of Ministry of Defence, therefore, present petitioners could not be denied from issuance of their passports as they had applied online for issuance of their passports on 09.6.2011 in USA but their applications have not been answered by the concerned authority.

2. In view of above position learned AAG in attendance contends that it is appropriate to call a report from Ministry of Defence qua recent updates against Noor Muhammad deserter from Pakistan Army, Ministry of Defence.

- 3. Let notice be issued to respondent No.3 whereby concerned official of Ministry of Defence shall submit a concise report qua the status of Noor Muhammad whether he has been convicted under any offence by any authority.
- 4. Adjourned to 11.8.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.831/2020

Touqeer Shaukat Abbasi, etc. **Versus** 

National Bank of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with or counsel	_	_	and tha	at of part	ies
(06)	07.7.2021.	Mr.Khurram Petitioners. Mr.Sohail Nav		,	,		

Learned counsel for Petitioners is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 27.10.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.3985/2020

Muhammad Zahoor

#### Versus

Adjudicating Authority, EOBI, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	07.7.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4486/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.129/2020

Muhammad Nazir Khan

#### Versus

Regional Head, EOBI, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
08.	07.7.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4486/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.810/2018

Muhammad Arif

#### Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
03.	07.7.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4486/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.677/2021

Hamayun Iqbal Shami

#### Versus

Director General, Central Directorate of National Saving Centre, Islamabad

S. No. of order/	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
3.	07.7.2021.	Petitioner in person.

To be heard alongwith W.P.No.4258/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.1691/2021

Muhammad Hanif

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Akhtar Khan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(1)	07.7.2021.	Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed Awan, Proxy Counsel for appellants.	

Office has raised objections reflected at serial No.8, 15, 17, 37, 38 of the objection sheet.

2. Learned proxy counsel for the appellants undertakes to remove the office objections within 07 days. The office objections are *sustained*.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

W.P. No.2441/2021 Naveed Akhtar

#### Versus

Judge Family Court-West, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(1)	07.7.2021.	Rana M.Irshad Khan, Advocate for petitioner.	

Through this writ petition, Petitioner has assailed the interim order dated 23.6.2021, passed by Judge Family Court-West, Islamabad whereby Rs.15000/- has been fixed as interim maintenance allowance of respondent No.1.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner after arguing the case at some length when confronted regarding maintainability of the instant writ petition against interim order, learned counsel for Petitioner contends that petitioner feels satisfied, if direction is issued to the learned trial Court seized with the matter to conclude the trial of the pending suit for recovery of maintenance allowance as early as possible.
- 3. In view of above, instant writ petition stands **disposed of** with the direction to the learned trial Court seized with the matter to conclude the trial of the pending suit for recovery of maintenance allowance within a period of two months after the receipt of order of this Court.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

## Writ Petition No.2437/2021

Muhammad Usman etc **Versus** CDA, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	07 7 2021	Mr.Muhammad Latif Saeedi. Advocate for Petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing the case at some length seeks some more time to further assist this Court.

2. Adjourned to 09.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2439/2021**

Shahid Javed

#### **Versus**

SHO PS Koral, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	of courise where necessary.

01. 07.7.2021. Mr.Muhammad Shahzad Qureshi, Advocate for Petitioner.

After arguing the case at some length, learned counsel for Petitioner seeks some time to further document the petition for the assistance of this Court.

2. Adjourned to 02.8.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Crl.Misc.No.627/2021

Arshad Mehmood

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	07.7.2021.	Raja Inaam Amin Minhas, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr.M.Sohail Khan, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 7.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 7, in attendance contends that he needs some time to seek instructions from his clients.

2. Adjourned to 16.7.2021.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.603-BC-2021

Shakeel Ahmed **Versus** Abdul Waris, etc

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(4)	06.7.2021.	To be heard alongwith Crl.Misc.No.568-B/2021.	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.568-B-2021

Aasim Riaz

#### Versus

The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	06.7.2021.	Mr.Zahid Asif Ch & Mr.Muhammad Bilal, Advocates for petitioner Aasim Riaz. Qari Muhammad Nazeef, Advocate for accused Abdul Waris. Mst.Farah Naz Awan, Advocate for respondents No.2. Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel. Abdul Waris in person. Mr.Zafar, S.I PS Sihala, Islamabad.

During the course of arguments, the Investigating Officer has conceded that mobile phones of accused Aasim Riaz as well as Abdul Waris were taken into possession and same were transmitted to the FIA experts for verification of the allegations made by the alleged abductee/victim namely Atya Gull.

- 2. In view of above, I.O present in the Court is directed to submit forensic report of the mobiles on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 14.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.5121/2014

Zulfiqar Ahmed Majhiana, etc

#### Versus

Ministry of Finance, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(31)	06.7.2021.	Ch.Imtiaz Ahmed, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Mr.Usman Ahmed Ranjha, Advocate for respondent.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmad, AAG.
		Mst.Tahira Rashid, Law Officer, ZTBL.

Learned counsel for the parties have argued their case to the extent of C.M.No.3195/2018 for amendment in pleadings as well as impleadment of parties as respondents who have recently been promoted by the respondent Bank. At this stage, the learned Law officer of the respondent Bank contends that Apex Court has recently decided certain issues of ZTBL through judgment which shall be placed on record before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 28.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Objection Case No.10500/2021

# Irshad Ahmed **Versus**Muhammad Nazir, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	05.7.2021.	Ch Abdul Rehman Hur Baiwa, Advocate for appellant

Office has raised objections at Sr.No.37 of the objection sheet that re-visit prayer clause.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant undertakes to remove the office objection. Office objections is sustained. Appellant is directed to remove the office objection within 03 days.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### OBJECTION CASE NO.8785/2021.

This is an application under objection having multifarious prayers in terms of order XXXIX Rule 2(3) Read with Section 151 CPC on account of disobedience, contempt and breach of order dated 12.2.2021.

- 2. Office has raised objection at Sr.No.22 of the objection sheet that separate applications/petitions be filed for each relief.
- 3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that he will address the arguments on the basis of order passed by this Court, therefore, objections raised by the office is ought to be considered on judicial side.
- 4. Be that as it may, the objection referred at Sr.No.22 is overruled for the time being, therefore, office is directed to number the C.M and place before the Court alongwith main case.

#### Main Case.

Adjourned to 29.6.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

C.M.No.251/2021

in

Crl.Misc.No.537-B/2021

Nayyar Iqbal

**Versus** 

The State, etc

S. No. of order/ 02.

Date of order/ proceedings Proceedings 05.7.2021.

Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.

Mr. Yasir Ali Jawad, Advocate for applicant/petitioner. Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel. Mr. Tanveer, S.I PS Shahzad Town, Islamabad.

Through the instant C.M, applicant seeks incorporation of Section 337-F(ii) & 337-F(v) PPC in bail granting order dated 23.6.2021 passed by this Court in Crl.Misc.No.537-B/2021.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that applicant Nayyar Iqbal was granted post arrest bail in case FIR No.44 dated 20.2.2021 under Sections 324, 109, 34 PPC PS Shahzad Town, Islamabad inadvertently Section 337-F(ii) & 337-F(v) PPC were not referred in his bail petition and due to this reason applicant could not be released from the Jail.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that applicant was granted post arrest bail u/s 324/109/34 PPC whereby the offence u/s 337-F(ii) and 337-F(v) PPC were not referred by the applicant inadvertently in the post arrest bail application, therefore, propriety demands that applicant/petitioner may be granted bail also in offence u/s 337-F(ii) and 337-F(v) PPC and same sections may be read in the bail granting order dated 23.6.2021.
- Learned State Counsel has been confronted qua the position of case who conceded the claim of the applicant and raised no objection on the acceptance of the instant application.
- In view of above, instant C.M, is allowed. The bail granting order dated 23.6.2021 stands amended

whereby applicant/petitioner is also granted post arrest bail in offence u/s 337-F(ii) and 337-F(v) PPC at the same surety.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1426/2020

Farooq Omer Khan, etc **Versus** National Police Bureau, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
08.	05.7.2021.	Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch, Advocate for applicant.

## C.M.No.2876/2021.

This is an application for suspension of advertisement dated 26.6.2021 published in daily "**The News".** Learned counsel for the applicants contends that posts occupied by the applicants/petitioners for the last more than 10 years have been advertised.

- 2. Notice to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicants. if applicants/petitioners apply for those advertised posts their rights which are yet to be settled through instant writ petition shall not be prejudiced till the final decision of instant writ petition.
- 3. Office is directed to fix main case alongwith instant C.M, on **05.8.2021.**

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Org.No.52/2021

Aftab Ahmed etc

#### Versus

Farah Hameed Khan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2)	02.7.2021.	Mr.Sajid ur Rehman Mashwani, Advocate for applicant.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Sohail Aijaz, Deputy Director Legal, M/o Federal
		Education & Professional Training, Islamabad.
		Mr. Yasir Shabbir, A.D (Legal) for respondent No.2.

The officials of respondents alongwith AAG in attendance contend that direction passed by this Court in W.P.No.1214/2019 vide order dated 19.8.2020 shall be placed before the upcoming 25<sup>th</sup> meeting of the National Training Board and matter has been referred at Sr.No.2 of agenda items. He further contends that Board meeting will be held within next two months.

- 2. In view of above stance taken by the respondent side it is expected that issue will be decided in the upcoming meeting of the Bard failing which Director General, National Training Bureau, Islamabad shall appear in person before the Court on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 13.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.2215/2021

Raja Muhammad Nazak **Versus** 

Superintendent of Police PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
Raja R Raja M Abdul Islama		Mr.Muhammad Ilyas Siddiqui, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Rizwan Abbasi, Advocate for respondents. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Abdul Jabbar Khan, Inspector PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad. Muhammad Hanif, SI PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad.
		The Police officials present in the Court are
		directed to file concise report in this case before the
		next date of hearing.
		3. Adjourned to 16.7.2021. To be heard alongwith
		W.P.No.1419/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.2216/2021**

Raja Muhammad Riazal, etc **Versus** 

Federal Government, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	02.7.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Ilyas Siddiqui, Advocate for Petitioner. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG. Abdul Jabbar Khan, Inspector PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad. Muhammad Hanif, SI PS Bhara Kahu, Islamabad.
		Grievance of the Petitioners is that they are
		being by the officials of police department.
		2. The Police officials present in the Court are
		directed to file concise report in this case before the
		next date of hearing.
		3. Adjourned to 16.7.2021. To be heard alongwith
		W.P.No.1419/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## Writ Petition No.2281/2021

Capital Development Authority

Versus

Fazal-e-Wahab, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	01.7.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Shafqat Hussain Chaudhry, Advocate for Petitioner.
		No one has put appearance on behalf of
		respondent No.1. Let fresh notice be issued to
		respondent No.1 through registered post A.D and TCS
		at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to **14.9.2021.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2236/2021

Zulfiqar Ali, etc **Versus** Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	01.7.2021.	Mr.Nabeel Javed Kahloon, Advocate for Petitioners.

#### C.M.No.2822/2021.

Learned counsel for the applicant contends that inadvertently wrong addresses of respondents No.2 to 5 have been mentioned in the main writ petition whereas correct addresses of respondents No.2 to 5 are mentioned in the instant C.M, therefore, notices be served upon the respondents No.2 to 5 at the addresses mentioned in the instant C.M.

2. Be that as it may, instant application is allowed. Applicants are directed to file amended memo of the parties with new addresses and notices shall be served on the said addresses through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicants.

#### Main Case.

Adjourned to 13.9.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Writ Petition No.2177/2021

Sohail Anwar Khan **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(02)	01.7.2021.	Mr.Asfandyar Khan, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Ch.Zaki Rizwan, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.
		Mr.Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel.
		Muhammad Akram, AETO, Islamabad.

It has been contended that record of the disputed vehicle has been taken away by FIA Crime Circle, Islamabad in a pending inquiry.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the Director FIA Crime Circle, Islamabad through special messenger who shall ensure the production of original record of the inquiry relating to vehicle No..ALZ-570, Model No.570/2016 before the Court on the next date of hearing. Office shall also intimate the Director FIA, Crime Circle Islamabad on his official telephone number.
- 3. Adjourned to 16.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.2330/2021**

Maqsood Iqbal Nasir **Versus** 

Muhammad Afzal etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	02.7.2021.	Mr.Imran Shaukat Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner. Petitioner in person.

The petitioner alongwith his counsel has submitted receipt of bank challan amounting to Rs.3,77,000/- as monthly rent from July 2020 to July 2021 of the subject premises which has been placed on record.

2. In view of the deposited challan of the rent, let notice be issued to respondent No.1 through registered post A.D, TCS as well as through learned Rent Controller, Islamabad seized with the matter for **06.7.2021.** 

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1820/2021**

Nadia Asghar

#### **Versus**

National Defence University, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
2.	30.6.2021.	Rana Abid Nazir Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Babar Bilal, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2. Dr.Saqib Anwar Siddiqui, Assistant Registrar, National Defence University, Islamabad.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 in attendance contends that he needs some time for filing of comments.

2. Adjourned to 11.8.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.2722/2020

Javeria Maqbool **Versus** CDA, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	30.6.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Asif Gujjar, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch, Proxy Counsel for respondents.

The case has partly been argued on behalf of Petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 09.7.2021 for remaining arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.200/2021

Muhammad Kaiser Satti **Versus** Hasina Rafique Satti

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(4)	30.6.2021.	Raja Faheem Ahmed Minhas, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr Tahir Mahmaad Ahhasi Advasata for rospondent

Mr. Tahir Mehmood Abbasi, Advocate for respondent.

Learned counsel for Petitioner contends that respondent has passed away during the pendency of instant civil revision and he will file amended memo of parties after impleading the legal heirs of deceased respondent and requests for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 14.9.2021.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Misc.No.535-BC-2021

Khawar Abbasi **Versus**Rehan Noor, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	29.6.2021.	Raja M.Shafqat Khan Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner. Sardar Jehanzeb Khan, Advocate for respondent No.1. Respondent No.1 in person. Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel.

The SHO PS Sabzi Mandi, Islamabad shall ensure the presence of Investigating Officer alongwith record before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 05.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Crl.Misc.No.514-BC-2021

Khawar Abbasi **Versus** Bagh Hussain Khan, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	29.6.2021.	Raja M.Shafqat Khan Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner. Sardar Jehanzeb Khan, Advocate for respondent No.1.
		Respondent No.1 in person.
		Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel.

The SHO PS Sabzi Mandi, Islamabad shall ensure the presence of Investigating Officer alongwith record before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 05.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Criminal Appeal No.47/2021**

State Life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan **Versus** 

Muhammad Ali Hashmi, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(2)	29.6.2021.	Muhammad Masood Anwar, Proxy Counsel for appellant.

Learned counsel for the appellant is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 08.11.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD Crl.Misc.No.639/2021

Col ® Muhammad Azhar VERSUS The State

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of
order/	hearing	parties or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		

05. 29.6.2021. Raja Inaam Ameen Minhas, Advocate for Petitioner. Petitioner Muhammad Azhar in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application, the Petitioner has prayed for pre-arrest bail in Case FIR No.04 dated 30.4.2020 under Sections 109, 201, 409, 420, 468, 471, 477-A PPC read with section 5(2)47 PCA & 04 AMLA, 2010. registered at Police Station FIA/CCC, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been nominated in this case with malafide and as such he has not committed any offence.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the State for 02.7.2021.
- 4. In the meanwhile, petitioner is granted ad-interim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The Petitioner may deposit the cash surety who is also directed to join the investigation and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.319/2021

Sabz Ali Khan **Versus** Muhammad Razzaq, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	29.6.2021.	Miss.Ambreen Anwer, Advocate for appellant.

> Learned counsel for the appellant at the very outset contends that connected R.F.A.No.320/2021 is also pending before another Bench of this Court. It is appropriate that both the appeals are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench.

> 2. Office is directed to place the instant matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

> > (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE**

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### R.F.A.No.404/2020

Mst.Fahmeeda Abdul Karim

#### Versus

Muhammad Yousaf

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(6)	29.6.2021.	Appellant Fahmeeda Abdul Karim in person. Respondent Muhammad Yousaf in person.

Mst.Surriya Mariam, Advocate at the very outset contends that she intends to withdraw her power of attorney on behalf of respondent. Request is allowed.

- 2. Parties are directed to argue maintainability of instant appeal as well as other legal questions on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 11.8.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.92/2021

Muhammad Yousaf

#### Versus

Judge Family Court, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(6)	29.6.2021.	To be heard alongwith R.F.A.No.404/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.486/2021

Mst.Fahmida Abdul Karim

#### Versus

Muhammad Yousaf, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(5)	29.6.2021.	To be heard alongwith R.F.A.No.404/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)

**JUDGE** 

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3857/2020

Ikram ul Haq etc **Versus** A.C City, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	28.6.2021.	Mr.Ali Murad Baloch, Advocate for Petitioners.
		Mr. Moneeb Ahmed, State Counsel for respondent No.1.

As per office report notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, Petitioners are directed to deposit process fee within seven days, for summoning of respondents through registered post A.D and TCS.

2. Adjourned to 13.09.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3522/2019

Overseas Pakistani Foundation **Versus** 

Director (Legal) President Secretariat, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	28.6.2021	Syed Shahbaz Shah, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Respondent No.3 Muhammad Javed Khan in person.

Respondent No.3 Muhammad Javed Khan in person. Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. Petitioner is directed to submit its written submissions before the next date of hearing for final adjudication of the matter. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

2. Adjourned to 28.7.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Rev.No.17/2021

Muhammad Bilal Tariq **Versus**The State, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	28.6.2021.	Ch.Imtiaz Ahmed, Advocate for Petitioner. Petitioner in person. Mr.Ahmed Zahoor Mughal, Advocate for respondent No.2. Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel. Mr.Sarfraz Ahmad, S.I.

After hearing the parties at length, it appears that bail cancellation order dated 22.3.2021 passed by Additional Sessions Judge-East, Islamabad in case FIR No.287 dated 04.9.2019 u/s 406/34 PPC PS Lohi Bheir, Islamabad has been passed only one ground that *the* conduct of the respondents indicate that they have intentionally absented themselves and their non appearance amounts to misusing the concession of bail. Furthermore, they are also playing hide and seek in investigation about recovery of vehicle which is admittedly in possession of one of them. This aspect demonstrate that case has not been adjudicated on merit except on the ground of technicalities, therefore, propriety demands that instant matter may be heard and decided on merit, therefore, impugned order dated 22.3.2021 is hereby set-aside only to the extent of petitioner Muhammad Bilal Tariq and matter is

Crl.Rev.No.17/2021

-2-

remanded back to the Court seized with the matter with the direction to decide the same afresh after hearing the parties and pass a speaking order in accordance with law. The bail cancellation application shall be deemed to be pending before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-East, Islamabad seized with the matter and Petitioner Muhammad Bilal Tariq shall appear before the Court on 05.7.2021 and learned Additional Sessions Judge conclude the said matter and announced decision

Instant Criminal Revision stands disposed of.

in open Court in the presence of the present petitioner.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Criminal Revision No.95/2019**

Said Ghulam Toori **Versus** Muhammad Iqbal Khan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.

**09.** 28.6.2021. To be heard alongwith Crl.Appeal No.239/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### **Writ Petition No.2274/2021**

M/s Noha Global Services Private Limited

#### **Versus**

Justice of Peace, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		signature where ne	e of Judge a cessary.	nd that of	par	ties
(1)	25.6.2021.	Mr.Sajid Petitioner	Rehman	Mashwani,	Advocate	for	the

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has called in question order dated 03.6.2021 passed by Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Islamabad whereby application u/s 22-A/B Cr.PC filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner *interalia* contends that learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace misconstrued the facts and dismissed the application on whimsical grounds despite the fact that cheque was issued by respondent No.4 which was dishonoured on its presentation before the concerned Bank.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration. Let notices be issued to respondent No.4, through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 10.8.2021.

#### C.M.No.01/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.476/2021

All Pakistan PBC Officers Association, etc *Versus*Secretary to Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

05. 25.6.2021. Nemo.

#### C.M.No.2564/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **11.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2668/2020

Mansoor Ali *Versus*Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

05. 25.6.2021. Nemo.

#### C.M.No.2735/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **09.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1804/2020**

Muhammad Kamran, etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

05. 25.6.2021. Nemo.

#### C.M.No.2719/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **04.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2403/2019

Haider Ali Butt

Versus

Board of Directors of OGDL, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

05. 25.6.2021. Nemo.

#### C.M.No.2591/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **05.8.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.956/2019

Muhammad Ramzan etc *Versus* Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

33. 25.6.2021. Mr.Asim Waqar, Advocate for applicant.

#### C.M.No.2708/2021.

Through instant application, applicant seeks early hearing of main case. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed. Office is directed to fix main case on **13.7.2021**, after issuance of notice to the other side through registered post A.D and TCS at the cost of applicant.

#### C.M.Nos.2705, 2706, 2707 of 2021.

These are applications for deletion of names of applicants from the array of Petitioners. Applicants Syed Muhammad Arish Hussain Zaidi, Mohsin Ahmed and Abdul Ghaffar intend to delete their names from the array of Petitioners of W.P.No.956/2019. Instant C.Ms are allowed.

2. The names of applicants Syed Muhammad Arish Hussain Zaidi, Mohsin Ahmed and Abdul Ghaffar are deleted from the array of Petitioners in Writ Petition No.956/2019 and Petition to their extent is dismissed as withdrawn. Office is directed to make necessary amendment in the array of Petitioners.

## ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD Crl.Misc.No.623/2021

Awais Hussain VERSUS

The State etc.

S.No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge, and that of
order/	hearing	parties or counsel, where necessary.
Proceeding		

06. 25.6.2021. Raja Nisar ul Haq Abbasi & Mr.Umar Hayat, Advocates for Petitioner.

Petitioner Awais Hussain in person.

Through this Criminal Miscellaneous application, the Petitioner has prayed for pre-arrest bail in Case FIR No.77 dated 30.3.2021 under Sections 337-A(ii), 34 PPC registered at Police Station Nilore, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been nominated in this case with malafide and as such he has not committed any offence.
- 3. Let notice be issued to the State as well respondent No.2 for 02.7.2021.
- 4. In the meanwhile, petitioner is granted ad-interim pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before this Court on each and every date of hearing.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2937/2019

Sundas Majeed, etc

#### **Versus**

Secretary, Ministry of Education, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(8)	24.6.2021.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3535/2016.	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

#### (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Crl.Misc.No.109/2021

Kamran Hussain Baig **Versus**The State, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	18.2.2021.	Ch.Usama Tariq, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.
		Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel.
		Mr.Muhammad Munir, S.I.

Learned counsel for Petitioner is not available and request for adjournment has been made on his behalf. It has been informed that pre-arrest bail is still pending with the Additional Sessions Judge, Islamabad and same will be argued on the date already fixed.

- 2. The learned MIT of this Court shall also requisition the report as to whether pre-arrest bail has been decided or otherwise.
- 3. Adjourned to 05.3.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3368/2020

Sanghol Engineering Services Private Limited **Versus** 

Capital Development Authority, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	17.2.2021.	Mian Sahir Sadla, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Khalid Zaman, Advocate for respondent No.3.
		Mr.Muhammad Khurshid, Deputy Director Legal, PPRA.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 contends that he needs some time for filing of comments.

- 2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that connected W.P.No.3369/2020 has not been fixed alongwith instant writ petition. Office is directed to fix W.P.No.3369/2020 alongwith instant writ petition on the next date of hearing.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.5.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Civil Revision No.272/2019

Javed Iqbal **Versus**Muhammad Saleem, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
06.	04.3.2021	To be heard alongwith C.R.No.257/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3205/2020

Fazal Rahim Khan **Versus** 

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	17.2.2021	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2097/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.354/2020

Asif Ali Channa

#### Versus

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	17.2.2021	Mr. Abdul Rehman S. Alvi, Advocate for petitioner.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for up-gradation of his post i.e. Communication Security Assistant/Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15 w.e.f. 17.03.1999.

- 2. Learned counsel for petitioner inter-alia contends that similarly placed employees working in other ministries/departments have already up-graded to BS-15, but the respondents denied to up-grade the post of Communication Security Assistant/ Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15, which is a discrimination and sheer violation of fundamental rights guaranteed under Article 25 and 27 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D. and TCS, at the expense of the petitioner.
- 5. Adjourned to 03.6.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.353/2020**

Muhammad Ejaz

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	17.2.2021	Mr. Abdul Rehman S. Alvi, Advocate for petitioner.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for up-gradation of his post i.e. Communication Security Assistant/Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15 w.e.f. 17.03.1999.

- 2. Learned counsel for petitioner *inter-alia* contends that similarly placed employees working in other ministries/departments have already been up-graded to BS-15, but the respondents denied to up-grade the post of Communication Security Assistant/ Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15, which is a discrimination and sheer violation of fundamental rights guaranteed under Article 25 and 27 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D. and TCS, at the expense of the petitioner.
- 5. Adjourned to 03.6.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

#### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.351/2020

Mudassar Saeed

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(07)	17.2.2021	Mr. Abdul Rehman S. Alvi, Advocate for petitioner.

Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for up-gradation of his post i.e. Communication Security Assistant/Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15 w.e.f. 17.03.1999.

- 2. Learned counsel for petitioner inter-alia contends that similarly placed employees working in ministries/departments have already up-graded to BS-15, but the respondents denied to up-grade the post of Communication Security Assistant/ Cypher Assistant from BS -11 to BS-15, which is a discrimination and sheer violation of fundamental rights guaranteed under Article 25 and 27 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.
- 3. Question raised needs consideration.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents through Registered Post A.D. and TCS, at the expense of the petitioner.
- 5. Adjourned to 03.6.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.1033/2020

Ishtiaq Ahmed **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	17.2.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S.Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 & 4 despite the fact that Mr.Khurram Ibrahim Baig, Advocate has represented the said respondents on the last date of hearing i.e 14.12.2020 and sought time for submission of parawise comments but said undertaking has not yet been complied with.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the Secretary Railways & General Manager, Pakistan Railways, Headquarter, Lahore through registered post A.D, TCS as well as on telephonic mode at the cost of Petitioner whereby Secretary Railways shall appoint responsible officer not below the rank of Additional Secretary to appear before this Court alongwith parawise comments on the next date of hearing to assist this Court on the issue agitated through instant writ petition.
- 3. Adjourned to 03.6.2021.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.1033/2020

Ishtiaq Ahmed **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	17.2.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S.Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Barrister Muhammad Mumtaz Ali, AAG.

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 & 4 despite the fact that Mr.Khurram Ibrahim Baig, Advocate has represented the said respondents on the last date of hearing i.e 14.12.2020 and sought time for submission of parawise comments but said undertaking has not yet been complied with.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the Secretary Railways & General Manager, Pakistan Railways, Headquarter, Lahore through registered post A.D, TCS as well as on telephonic mode at the cost of Petitioner whereby Secretary Railways shall appoint responsible officer not below the rank of Additional Secretary to appear before this Court alongwith parawise comments on the next date of hearing to assist this Court on the issue agitated through instant writ petition.
- 3. Adjourned to 03.6.2021.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### **Writ Petition No.1984/2020**

Raees Abdul Wahid **Versus** 

Inspector General of Police, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(05)	17.2.2021.	Petitioner in person. Mr.Farhan Nazir Kiani, Advocate for respondent No.3. Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel. Mr.Shah Nazar Khan, S.I PS Margallah, Islamabad.	

The Investigating Officer in attendance contends that challan has already been submitted in the Court and trial is in progress, therefore, instant writ petition is not competent. Petitioner is directed to approach the trial Court for redressal of his grievance, if any. Instant writ petition stands *disposed of*.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.353/2020

Muhammad Ejaz **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of partion or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings Proceedings			
(05)	26.1.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S.Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner.	

Since connected W.P.No.1033/2020 is also pending before this Court and has been fixed for **17.2.2021**, therefore, instant matter may also be fixed for the said date.

2. Adjourned to 17.2.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.351/2020

Muddassar Saeed **Versus** 

Government of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(06)	26.1.2021.	Mr.Abdul Rehman S.Alvi, Advocate for Petitioner.

Since connected W.P.No.1033/2020 is also pending before this Court and has been fixed for **17.2.2021**, therefore, instant matter may also be fixed for the said date.

2. Adjourned to 17.2.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1033/2020.

MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.864/2020

Syed Aftab Alam

#### Versus

OGDCL through its Managing Director, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(06)	16.2.2021	Mr.Muhammad Amin Farooqi & Mr.Yasser Rahim Bhatti, Advocates for Petitioner. Mr.Muhammad Saqib Bhatti, Advocate for applicant /respondents.	

### C.M. No.751/2021.

This is an application for placing additional documents on record. For the reasons stated therein, same is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions. The status of the documents will be considered at the time of final arguments.

### C.M. Nos.752/2021.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

#### Main Case.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner is directed to argue his case on the next date of hearing whereby learned counsel for the respondents is directed to submit complete original record regarding promotion of the Petitioner for final adjudication of the matter.

2. Adjourned to 22.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

C.M.No.903/2019 In Writ Petition No.563/2018

Haider Ali Daud Khan **Versus**Judge Family Court, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
14	16 2 2021	Mr Muhammad Amin Faroogi Advocate for applicant

Mr.Muhammad Amin Farooqi, Advocate for applicant.

Learned counsel for applicant requests for an adjournment in order to seek fresh instructions from his client.

2. Adjourned to 12.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.370/2021

Qadeer Ahmed **Versus** 

CDA through its Chairman, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(02)	16.2.2021.	Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner.	
		Syeda Rida-e-Batool, Advocate for CDA.	

Through this writ petition, the Petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents for compliance of letter dated 14.9.2018 in its true spirits whereby layout plan of Jinnah Garden, Phase-1 has been cancelled by the CDA, however, despite that respondents No.04 to 10 have started development works without approval of CDA and plot allotted to the Petitioner has been changed.

- 2. Question raised needs consideration. Let notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner. At this stage Syeda Rida-e-Batool, Advocate, has accepted the notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, therefore, requirement of issuance of notice only to respondents No.1 to 3 is waived of.
- 3. It has been informed that connected **W.P.No.491/2021 titled Khalid Masood Versus CDA, etc** is also pending before this Court in which notices have been issued for 05.4.2021.
- 4. Adjourned to 05.4.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.491/2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)

JUDGE M.S.Zaki.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No.2410/2020

Civil Society of Pakistan **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

16.2.2021. Nemo for Petitioner.

Case has repeatedly been called but no one has put appearance on behalf of the Petitioner. The same was the position on the last date of hearing i.e 12.11.2020. The name of the learned counsel for the Petitioner is also reflected in the cause list. It seems that Petitioner is no more interested in the *lis*.

2. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### R.F.A.No.378/2020

Iftikhar Hussain Shah

#### Versus

Muhammad Shakeel

S. No. of order/proceedings		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(1)	16 2 2021	Cardar Angar Ighal Khan Advacata for appallant

(1) 16.2.2021. Sardar Anzar Iqbal Khan, Advocate for appellant.

Through this Regular First Appeal, appellant has assailed the judgment and decree dated 31.10.2020, passed by learned Civil Judge (East), Islamabad.

- 2. Let notice be issued to the respondent through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the appellant. Record of the learned trial Court be requisitioned.
- 3. Adjourned to 21.6.2021.

### C.M.No.01/2020.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Civil Revision No.230/2019

Muhammad Munir etc

#### **Versus**

Muhammad Ramzan etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of partie or counsel where necessary.	
07.	16.2.2021.	Raja Faisal Younas, Advocate for applicant.	

### C.M.No.173/2021.

Through instant C.M, applicants seek permission to file written arguments. Instant C.M, is *allowed.* Applicants may file written arguments on or before the next date of hearing i.e 19.2.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3097/2020

Capital Development Authority through its Chairman **Versus**Sajjad Mehmood, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
03.	15.2.2021	Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for Petitioner. Hafiz Ali Asghar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

With the consent of the parties matter is adjourned to 09.3.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3091/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3093/2020

Capital Development Authority through its Chairman **Versus**Imran, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	15.2.2021	Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for Petitioner. Hafiz Ali Asghar, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

With the consent of the parties matter is adjourned to 09.3.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3091/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3092/2020

Capital Development Authority through its Chairman **Versus**Ejaz Mehmood, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	15.2.2021	Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for Petitioner. Hafiz Ali Asghar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

With the consent of the parties matter is adjourned to 09.3.2021. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3091/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### W.P. No.3091/2020

Capital Development Authority through its Chairman

**Versus** 

Waheed Akhtar, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(3)	15.2.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Nazir Jawwad, Advocate for petitioner. Hafiz Ali Asghar, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 5.

With the consent of the parties matter is adjourned to 09.3.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.261/2020

Parvaiz Akhtar Bhatti

#### **Versus**

Amir Manzoor, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
04.	12.2.2021.	Mr.Ali Nawaz Kharal, Advocate for applicant.
		Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr. Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocates
		respondents.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

A detailed report for payment of pensionary benefits of PTV retired employees has been submitted and the name of applicant is at Serial No.275. Record further demonstrate that an amount of Rs.399,112/- on account of payment of monthly pension for the period from 18.1.2020 to 31.12.2020 have been paid to the Petitioner, however, at this stage applicant contends that his monthly pension for the month of February, 2021 has not yet been paid.

- 2. Learned counsel for the respondents contends that monthly pension of the applicant will be released forthwith, however, other pensionary benefits will be released subject to availability of funds. Respondents are directed to submit proposed plan as to how due payment of all the retired employees of PTVC will be released in due course of time.
- 3. Adjourned to 02.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.3782/2020

Samina Shaheen

**Versus** 

Ministry of Interior, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(03)	12.2.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Mr.Obaid ur Rehman Zia, Law Officer, NADRA.

No one has put appearance on behalf of D.G-FIA as well as Secretary, Ministry of Interior, Islamabad as was directed vide order dated 15.1.2021. The office shall inform telephonically to the D.G-FIA as well as Secretary, Ministry of Interior, Islamabad to depute some responsible officer who shall appear in person before this Court to assist as to whether any person could be detained after completion of his sentence.

2. Adjourned to 02.3.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2808/2020

Omar Farooq **Versus** 

Competition Commission of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(04)	15.2.2021.	Barrister Faisal Khan & Barrister ShayyanQaiser for Petitioner.  Mr.Kashif Ali Malik, Advocate for respondents No.1 & 2.

Learned counsel for the parties have partly addressed their arguments, however, question of maintainability of instant writ petition is required some further assistance.

2. Adjourned to 19.2.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.4446/2019

Dr.Sheikh Muhammad Iqbal **Versus**Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	12.2.2021.	Mr.Ashfaq Hussain Shah, Proxy Counsel for Petitioner. Mr.Hassan Idrees Mufti, Advocate for respondent No.3. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.
		Learned counsel for the Petitioner is stated to
		be suffering with Covid-19 and request for
		adjournment has been made on his behalf.

2. Adjourned to 14.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3050/2019

Pak Qatar Family Takaful Limited **Versus** 

The President of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
05.	12.2.2021.	Mr.Adam Hassan Malik, Advocate for Petitioner. Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr.Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocates respondents No.2 & 3. Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Adjourned to 03.3.2021 for remaining arguments. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.3049/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.3049/2019

Pak Qatar Family Fakaful Limited **Versus** 

The President of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
07.	12.2.2021.	Mr.Adnam Hassan Malik, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Hafiz Arfat Ahmed Ch & Mr. Tariq Zaman Ch, Advocates
		respondents No.2 & 3.
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner has concluded his arguments, however, during the course of arguments it has been observed that Petitioner Company offered an amount of Rs.2,457,954.96 & Rs.740,206.68 to respondents No.2 & 3 respectively, therefore, Petitioner Company is directed to prepare the pay orders of said amount so that admitted amount be released in favour of respondents No.2 & 3 on the next date of hearing and rest of the case shall also be decided on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 03.3.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

#### <u>ORDER SHEET.</u>

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.551/2021

Amir Mujahid Khan etc **Versus** 

Registrar, Co-operative Societies, ICT, Islamabad etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
2.	12.2.2021.	Qazi Ghulam Dastgir, Advocate for Petitioners.

Through this writ petition, the Petitioners seek issuance of direction to the respondents to establish more polling stations in all the capitals of the other Provinces in order to facilitate the voters of IBECHS to cast their votes in their respective Provinces.

- 2. When confronted whether **Petitioners** approached Election Commissioner of the IB Cooperative Housing Society, Islamabad, they contend that several requests have been made which are available on the file of this case from page 56 to 159 but the requests of Petitioners have not yet been considered. Thereafter Petitioners have been confronted whether Petitioners have approached the Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Islamabad they candidly conceded that they have not approached the Circle Registrar, Co-operative Societies, ICT, Islamabad for redressal of their grievances.
- 3. Be that as it may, instant writ petition is converted into a representation and office is directed to transmit the same alongwith all its annexures to

Writ Petition No.551/2021

-2-

respondent No.1 i.e Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Islamabad who shall afford personal hearing to the Petitioners and decide the questions raised in the instant writ petition, in accordance with law today. If this matter has not been heard today, the same shall be taken up tomorrow. The office shall inform the Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Islamabad on telephone to remain in his office, so that necessary

orders may be passed within the prescribed time.

Disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Criminal Appeal No.349/2019

The State **Versus** Shazia Younas

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
nuccodings	Ducasadinas	
proceedings	Proceedings	

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) **JUDGE** 

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.542/2020

Shell Pakistan Limited **Versus** 

Learned Single Member, N.I.R.C, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2)	12.10.2020.	To be heard alongwith W.P.No.2093/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Writ Petition No.2012/2020

Tatheer Fatima Raja

#### **Versus**

Pakistan Medical & Dental Council, Islamabad, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(2)	06.10.2020.	Mr.Tariq Mehmood, Advocate for Petitioner.
		Mr.Amjad Hameed Ghauri, Advocate for respondent
		No.2.
		Mr.Nosher Umer, Law Officer, PMC.

Representative of respondents No.1 & 2 are in attendance who are directed to file comments before the next date of hearing. No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.3. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.3 through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

- 2. Law Officer of PMC contends that Petitioner has filed another representation before respondent No.1 and competent authority is in process to review the same.
- 3. Adjourned to 09.11.2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl.Org.No.136/2017

Asim Fawad Mirza etc

### **Versus**

Tariq Mehmood Khan, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
proceedings	Proceedings		
(16)	06.10.2020.	Mr.Muhammad Abdul Rafeh, Advocate for Petitioners.	
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.	
		Mr.Muhammad Abdullah, A.D (Legal), FPSC.	
		Mr.Nadeem Arshad, S.O, Estab.Div.	
		Malik Shifa Ullah, A.D (Legal) for respondents No.1 & 2.	

Representative of FPSC contends that they are in process of issuance of schedule of examination and final outcome will be submitted before this Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 09.3.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

Diary No.2098/2020 In **Civil Revision No.193/2013** 

Muhammad Nasrullah

Versus

Muhammad Ibrahim, etc

S. No. of order/		Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	•

05.10.2020. Nemo.

Office objection has not been removed, therefore, file be consigned to record room.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Diary No.13823/2019**

Inspector General of Police, Islamabad, etc. **Versus** 

Pakistan Broadcasting Corporation, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings		with signatu nsel where n		_	hat of part	ies
(2)	05.10.2020.	Sardar	Muhammad	Yaqoob	Mastoi,	Advocate	for
		appellar	nt.				

Office has raised objection at Sr.No.25 of the objection sheet that pecuniary value of suit is Rs.24,00,000/- therefore, this appeal is to be filed at the proper forum in terms of pecuniary jurisdiction.

- 2. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that his appeal has been returned by the learned Addl.District Judge-VII (West), Islamabad vide order dated 24.6.2019. The said order has been perused which has been passed with reference to a legal question in terms of Section 9 of Specific Relief Act, 1877 which has nothing to do with the pecuniary jurisdiction.
- 3. In view of above, office objection is sustained.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

### Writ Petition No.696/2020

Mst.Anum Sohail

### **Versus**

Additional District Judge, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
3.	05.10.2020.	Miss.Zille Huma Khan, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr.Naveed Akhtar Joyia, Advocate for respondent No.3.
		Petitioner has not appended the complete record
		and detail of payment of paid rent. Learned counsel for
		the petitioner seeks some time to further document the
		petition for the assistance of this Court.

2.

Adjourned to 18.11.2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### Objection Case No.13175/2020

Malik Imran Mehmood **Versus** 

SDO, IESCO, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	09.11.2020.	Applicant in person.

### C.M.No.3586/2020.

This is an application for extension of time for removing the office objections. Application is allowed. Seven days more time is granted to the applicant for removing the office objections.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.ZAKI.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Civil Revision No.74/2019

Ch.Muhammad Zubair etc **Versus** Muhammad Afzal

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
08.	05.10.2020.	Raja Siaf ur Rehman, Advocate for applicant. Respondent Muhammad Afzal in person.	

### C.M.No.2429/2020.

Through the instant C.M, applicant intends to withdraw the main Civil Revision on the basis of compromise deed dated 04.4.2020 available on record.

C.M is allowed. Respondent in person confirms the stance taken by the applicant.

2. <u>Civil Revision No.74/2019 is dismissed as</u> <u>withdrawn.</u>

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2482/2020

K-Electric Ltd **Versus** 

Registrar Trade Union, N.I.R.C, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
02.	01.10.2020	Mr.Umer Abdullah, Advocate for the Petitioner.	
		Mirza Waqas Qayyum & Syed Saif ur Rehman Bukhari,	
		Advocates for respondent No.2.	
		Mr.Affan Ehsan, Advocate for respondent No.3.	
		Mr.Ikhlaq Khan, M.D, KESC Labour Union, Karachi.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 to 4. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No.1 to 4 through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 09.12.2020. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1717/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2602/2020

Employees Power League K-Electric Ltd **Versus**K-Electric Limited

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
02.	01.10.2020	Mr.Muhammad Munir Paracha & Mr.Nauman M	1unir
		Paracha, Advocates for the Petitioner.	
		Mr.Shahid Anwar Bajwa, Advocate for respondent N	o.1.
		Mr.Ikhlaq Khan, M.D, KESC Labour Union, Karachi.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondents No.2, 4 & 5. Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No.2, 4 & 5 through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 09.12.2020. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1717/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.2283/2020

K-Electric Ltd **Versus** 

Registrar Trade Union, N.I.R.C, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
02.	01.10.2020	Mr.Umer Abdullah, Advocate for the Petitioner.	
		Mirza Waqas Qayyum & Syed Saif ur Rehman Bukhari,	
		Advocates for respondent No.2.	
		Mr.Affan Ehsan, Advocate for respondent No.3.	
		Mr.Ikhlaq Khan, M.D, KESC Labour Union, Karachi.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.4. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.4 through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 09.12.2020. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1717/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### **Writ Petition No.1829/2020**

K-Electric Ltd

#### Versus

Karachi Electric Supply Company Labour Union, Karachi, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
02.	01.10.2020	Mr.Shahid Anwar Bajwa, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mirza Waqas Qayyum & Syed Saif ur Rehman Bukhari, Advocates for respondent No.1 Mr.Ikhlaq Khan, M.D, KESC Labour Union, Karachi.

Adjourned to 09.12.2020. To be heard alongwith W.P.No.1717/2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1717/2020

K-Electric Ltd **Versus** 

Employees Power League K-Electric Ltd, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
02.	01.10.2020	Mr.Shahid Anwar Bajwa, Advocate for the Petitioner.	
		Mr.Affan Ehsan, Advocate for respondent No.1.	
		Mirza Waqas Qayyum & Syed Saif ur Rehman Bukhari,	
		Advocates for respondent No.2.	
		Mr.Ikhlaq Khan, M.D, KESC Labour Union, Karachi.	

No one has put appearance on behalf of respondent No.5. Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.5 through registered post A.D & TCS at the expense of the Petitioner.

2. Adjourned to 09.12.2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

### IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.907/2020

Muhammad Idrees

#### **Versus**

Vice Chancellor, NUML, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
(1)	01 10 2020	Malik Khurram Pachir Drawy Councel for natitioner

(1) 01.10.2020. Malik Khurram Bashir, Proxy Counsel for petitioner.

As per office report notices could not be served upon respondents due to non deposit of process fee, therefore, petitioner is directed to deposit expense for summoning of respondents through registered post A.D and TCS before the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 02.12.2020.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

- 3. In order to consider the contentions of the learned counsel for petitioner it is necessary to requisition the record of the learned trial Court whereafter question of maintainability and leave to appeal will be considered.
- 4. Since connected W.P.No.2688/2020 is also pending before this Court and has been fixed for **03.11.2020**, therefore, instant matter may also be fixed for the said date.

with permission to file afresh, if required.

2. In view of the statement by learned counsel for the petitioner instant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Petitioner may agitate the matter again, if so advised.

#### **ORDER SHEET.**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### FAO No.19/2019

M/s Shifa International Hospital, Islamabad *Versus*Shamshad Mangat, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or
order/	order/	counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
	10 1 2021	Mr. Zahaan Dashin Angani Advasata fan annallant

**5.** 19.1.2021. Mr.Zaheer Bashir Ansari, Advocate for appellant. Nemo for respondents.

As per office report notice could not be served upon respondents on the given addresses whereas learned counsel for appellant has already submitted affidavit of facts regarding the last known addresses of respondents.

- 2. In view of above, service upon respondents is not possible through normal mode of service, therefore, they be served through substituted mode of service i.e through proclamation in the daily "PAKISTAN" at the expense of the appellant. Appellant is directed to deposit process fee within seven days.
- 3. Adjourned to 06.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

The Secretary, Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations and Coordination, Islamabad, shall depute an officer not below the rank of Additional Secretary who shall appear before this Court on the next date of hearing and shall assist this Court as to how and under what authority of law the impugned office memorandum dated 25.11.2021, has been issued.

### C.M. No.2/2021.

Notice. In the meanwhile impugned office memorandum dated 25.11.2021 is suspended till the next date of hearing, however, examinations and other functions conducted by National Council for Tibb would be subject to final decision of this writ petition.

Respondent No.2 i.e D.G-Admin (IESCO), Islamabad shall depute some responsible officer fully conversant with the facts of the case to appear before this Court on the next date of hearing and submit reasons for non issuance of pensionary benefits of the Petitioner.

Notice may also be served through learned trial Court seized with the matter.

As no relief has been sought against respondents No.3 to 6, therefore, there is no need to issue notices to the said respondents.

2. Adjourned to 03.3.2022.

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1420/2020

Ahmed Magsood **Versus** The State etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
01.	21.5.2020.	Mr.Imran Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr.Imran Abbasi, Advocate for Petitioner. 21.5.2020.

> Through this writ petitioner, petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.90 dated 29.4.2020 under section 406 PPC Police Station Margallah, Islamabad.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner interalia contends that no offence is made out as per the contents of FIR. It has further been contended that this is a civil dispute which has been converted into a criminal case which is liable to be quashed.
- Question raised needs consideration. Let notice 3. be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to 19.6.2020.

#### C.M. No.2/2020.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

> (MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI) JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.

## ORDER SHEET.

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### W.P.No.4017/2021

Muhammad Tariq

#### Versus

DHA through its Administrator, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties
order/	order/	or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	

**02.** 16.2.2022. Raja Abid Hu

Raja Abid Hussain Janjua, Advocate for Petitioner. Barrister Gohar Ali Khan for respondent No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 to 3, at the very outset contends that *W.P.No.2225/2020*, *No.4017*, *4046*, *4181* and *4156* of *2021*, on the same subject are pending before different Benches of this Court, therefore, it is appropriate that all the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by one and the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

### **Writ Petition No.1978/2021**

Syed Musharraf Ali Zaidi

#### Versus

Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, Islamabad, etc

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.	
(05)	01.10.2021.	Mr.Muhammad Zunair Seemab, Advocate for Petitioner.	
		Raja Muhammad Aftab Ahmed, AAG.	
		Mr.Mohsin Pasha, A.D-Legal, FGEHA.	

In compliance of order dated 13.8.2021, the representative of respondents has handed over certified true copy of allotment of plot No.8, street No.28, Sector G-13/2, Islamabad to the learned counsel for petitioner.

2. In view of above, instant writ petition has borne fruit, therefore, *disposed of* accordingly.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

have considered respondent No.4 as a workman although he was not a workman rather he has been assigned a duty which falls within the concept of employer. It has further been contended that during the course of hearing right of cross examination was closed for both the parties and impugned order was passed on the basis of affidavit submitted by respondent No.4 and on the basis of available record and said order was maintained by the learned full Bench of NIRC, Islamabad which is contrary to law.

When confronted learned counsel for appellant contends that last known addresses which have been available are same and as such said respondents were also proceeded ex-parte in the trial Court.

Instant writ petitions stand *disposed of* in the light of 44<sup>th</sup> meeting of PARC Board of Governors and it is expected from the respondent department that claims of the petitions shall be settled within next 06 months.

Registrar Cooperative Societies, ICT, Islamabad with the direction to decide the same and pass a speaking order as early as possible.

### ORDER SHEET.

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. <u>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.</u>

#### Crl.Revision No.08/2015

Muhammad Zubair

#### **Versus**

The State, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	
(12)	31.3.2021.	Nemo for Petitioner.
		Mr.Sadaqat Ali Jehangir, State Counsel.
		Malik Mumtaz Ahmed, Advocate for complainant.
		Complainant Mian Muhammad Ayub in person.

The case has repeatedly been called but neither Petitioner nor his learned counsel has put appearance. Let non-bailable warrant of arrest of Petitioner be issued which shall be executed through SHO Police Station Kohsar, Islamabad. Notice be also issued to the surety of the Petitioner. The suspension of impugned sentence order dated **16.2.2015** is hereby re-called. The SHO PS Kohsar, Islamabad shall arrest the Petitioner and produce before the Court on the next date of hearing.

2. Adjourned to 02.4.2021.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### Writ Petition No.1833/2022

Amer Waseem Khan

#### **Versus**

Government of Pakistan through Secretary, Establishment Division, etc.

S. No. of order/proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(01)	24.5.2022.	Petitioner in person.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the notification dated 19.5.2021 whereby respondent No.3 i.e Babar Miraj Shami, has been appointed as Chief Executive Officer, Pakistan Stone Development Company, Islamabad.

- Petitioner in person contends that respondent 2. No.3 did not fulfill the requisite criteria/qualification and experience for the post of Chief Executive Officer but all these facts have been ignored by the appointing authority and respondent No.3 has been appointed as Chief Executive Officer in violation of prescribed rules procedure which has resulted and into infringement of accrued valuable rights of the Petitioner and other similarly placed persons. He further submits that appointment of Respondent No.3, is the worst example of favoritism and nepotism.
- 3. Let pre-admission notices be issued to the respondents through registered post A.D and TCS at the expense of the petitioner.
- 4. Adjourned to **01.7.2022.**

#### C.M. No.2/2022.

Exemption sought for is allowed subject to all just and legal exceptions.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to address the question of maintainability of instant criminal revision specially when his criminal complaint has already been dismissed by the trial Court.

2. Adjourned to 26.5.2022.

### **ORDER SHEET.**

# IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

#### W.P.No.4493/2021 Habib ul Rahman

Versus

Federation of Pakistan, etc

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	<b>Proceedings</b>	

**01.** 17.12.2021. Mr.Asif Ali Tamboli, Advocate for Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that connected *W.P.No.3892/2016* and some other cases are pending before Honourable Bench-II of this Court and next date of hearing is 25.1.2022. It is appropriate that all the writ petitions are consolidated and decided by the same learned Bench to avoid conflicting judgments.

2. Office is directed to place the instant writ petition before the Hon'ble Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

- 2. Perusal of record reveals that learned counsel for the petitioner is not appearing from the last many dates of hearing and case has been adjourned for one reason or the other due to his absence, such conduct disentitled the Petitioner for benefit of status quo order granted by this Court vide order dated 05.7.2019, therefore, injunctive order stands re-called on the next date of hearing automatically if petitioner fails to argue the case.
- 3. Adjourned to 31.3.2022. To be heard alongwith Civil Revision No.257/2019.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE