Form No: HCJD/C-121.

∵ **→** 

## ORDER SHEET

## IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

W.P. No. 3518 of 2017

Fayyaz Ahmed Panhwar

Vs

Capital Development Authority, etc.

S. No. of order/	Date of order/	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	proceedings	1 <del>-</del>

05) <u>11-06-2019</u>. Mr Muhammad Umair Baloch Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr Amir Latif Gill Advocate, for CDA.

Syed Masood Raza Advocate, for respondent no. 3.

The petitioner, through the instant petition, has sought multiple prayers. The prayers are in the nature of seeking a writ of mandamus.

2. The learned Counsel was asked whether before invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the petitioner had approached the competent authority by filing departmental representation. The learned Counsel has stated that several

W.P. No. 3518 of 2017.

representations were filed but they have

2

not been decided as yet. The learned

Counsel has stated that the

representations could not be decided

because the instant petition was pending

before this Court.

3. In view of the above and in order to

meet the ends of justice, the instant

petition is converted into a representation

deemed to be pending before the

competent authority. The latter is

expected to afford an opportunity of

hearing to the parties and then decide the

representation expeditiously, preferably

within ninety days from the date of

receiving a certified copy of this order.

4. The petition accordingly stands

disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Tanveer Ahmed