

ORDER SHEET
IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

ICA No.544-W-2012

Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation, Islamabad

Vs.

Safdar Hussain Malik & Another

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
---	---	--

27.01.2020	Mr. M. Asif Khan, Advocate for appellant. Nemo for respondents.
------------	--

This appeal is directed against judgment dated 05.08.2010, whereby a petition under Article 199 of the Constitution (W.P. No.525-2005), filed by respondents, was disposed of with direction that the benefit of housing scheme of the Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation be extended to all such employees who are directly or indirectly performing their functions in connection with the affairs of the Federation, Federal Government and Constitutional Institutions and all those persons who have retired after cut-of-date be also considered; it was observed that factum of the application, within the due date, shall also be kept in view by the Housing Foundation.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that pursuant to the direction of the Judge-in-Chambers, a letter has been sent to respondents for providing particulars of their applications in order to discern, whether the case of respondents falls

within the parameters of the policy of Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation and the observations made by the Judge-in-Chambers. It was submitted that since the order passed by the Judge-in-Chambers is being complied with, hence he has instructions to request for disposal of appeal accordingly.

3. As noted above, instant appeal arises out of direction by the Judge-in-Chambers, whereby Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation (FGEHF) was directed to scrutinize the application and check the particulars, especially the fact that whether the application was made within due date. Since the appellant is now complying with the judgment and is also asking the respondents to provide necessary information, hence instant appeal has lost efficacy.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

(GHULAM AZAM QAMBRANI)
JUDGE

(AAMER FAROOQ)
JUDGE

Zawar