

ORDER SHEET.
IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

W.P No. 4516 of 2021

Mst. Nargis Noureen, etc
VS
ADJ, etc

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
---	---	--

22.02.2022.

Mr. Muhammad Saeed Khan Niazi, Advocate
for Petitioners.
Mr. Tufail Shahzad, Advocate for Respondents.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that both the learned Courts below have only considered the financial status of the father in violation of the latest judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The learned counsel has further submitted that financial strength of Respondent No. 3/father is visible from the amounts paid by him as interim maintenance. In this regard, learned counsel for the Petitioner has drawn attention of this Court towards the diary sheets available on page Nos. 58 to 67 which show the detail of the amounts paid by Respondent No. 3 to the Petitioners. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner is

unable to explain why different amounts were being paid by the father/Respondent No. 3, each time.

2. As per learned counsel for the Petitioner the varying amount represent arrears of the interim maintenance. However, he is unable to point out the order by way of which the interim maintenance was fixed, therefore, it cannot be ascertained whether such amounts represent arrears or not. Learned counsel for Petitioner is directed to come better prepared to assist this Court so that precious time of the Court is not wasted.

3. Relist.

(SAMAN RAFAT IMTIAZ)
JUDGE

