

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD**  
**W.P. NO. 3562/2020**  
**MOL PAKISTAN OIL AND GAS COMPANY.**  
**VERSUS**  
**THE ISLAMABAD CAPITAL TERRITORY**  
**ADMINISTRATION, ETC.**

| S. N. of order/<br>proceedings | Date Or<br>Order Of<br>Proceedings | Order with signatures of judge, and that of parties<br>or counsel, where necessary. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1                              | 2                                  | 3   |

19.07.2022      Mr. M. Hamzah, Advocate for Applicant/Petitioner.  
Rana Imran Farooq, A.A.G.

**C.M.No. 1984/2022:**

Through the instant Application, the Applicant/Petitioner seeks correction in Judgment dated 15.02.2022 passed in W.P. No. 3562/2020, whereby while allowing the said petition, in paragraph 1 of the Judgment the demand for professional tax has inadvertently been referred to as Rs. 90,000/- whereas the Applicant had challenged two challans of Rs. 90,000/- each aggregating to Rs. 180,000/- and in paragraphs 25 and 26 of the Judgment reference to the Impugned Challan is also made as Rs. 90,000/-.

2. Despite service of notice no one is in attendance on behalf of Respondents No. 1 to 4. The learned A.A.G. in attendance and has no objection if instant C.M. is allowed to the extent that corrections are as per the contents of the petition.

3. The contention of the Applicant/Petitioner is found to be correct. Since, it is a clerical mistake, therefore, request of the learned counsel is allowed. Consequently:-

(i) reference to challan dated 10.08.2020 in paragraph 1 of the Judgment dated 28.02.2022 shall be read as two challans dated 10.08.2020;

(ii) wherever the word “Impugned Challan” is mentioned in the Judgment it shall be read as the “Impugned Challans”; and

(iii) the amount of Rs. 90,000/- mentioned in paragraphs 1, 25 & 26 shall be followed with the word “each”.

CM stands disposed of.

**(SAMAN RAFAT IMTIAZ)**  
**JUDGE**