

ORDER SHEET
ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Civil Revision No. 465 of 2019

Ayub
Versus
Amanat Ali etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(5)	20.08.2020	Mr. Basharat Javed Gondal, Advocate proxy counsel for the petitioner.

OFFICE REPORT

Office has placed instant file before the Court on Judicial side pursuant to the order dated: 09.07.2020, seeking permission to include the name of Barrister Talha Ilyas Sheikh, counsel for CDA/respondent No. 2, in the order dated 20.02.2020 when the main petition was dismissed for non prosecution.

2. The application (C.M No. 508/2020) under section 152 read with 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, filed by the concerned counsel was allowed on 09.07.2020 in the following manner:

"C.M No. 508/2020

This is an application for including the name of applicant as counsel for respondent No. 2 in the order dated 20.02.2020 passed in civil Revision No. 465/2019. For the reasons stated therein same is allowed. Office is directed to include the name of Barrister Talha Ilyas Sheikh as learned counsel for respondent No. 2 in the order dated 20.02.2020 which was not referred inadvertently."

3. I am of the view that, it is not appropriate to amend the order of 20.02.2020, therefore, the said order shall be considered and read in the manner that name of Barrister Talha Ilyas Sheikh, counsel for CDA/respondent No. 2 is included therein.

4. The office report stands disposed of.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET.

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Civil Revision No.465/2019

Ayub
Versus
Amanat Ali

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
------------------------------	----------------------------	---

03.	20.2.2020.	Nemo for petitioner. Mr.Sheraz Ahmed Ranjha, Advocate for respondent No.4.
-----	------------	---

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 contends that Suit for Specific Performance filed by the petitioner has been dismissed vide judgment and decree dated 30.1.2020 passed by Civil Judge Ist Class, Islamabad, therefore, instant Civil Revision has become infructuous.

2. No one has put appearance on behalf of petitioner. The name of the learned counsel for petitioner is also reflected in the cause list. it seems that petitioner is no more interested in the lis.

3. ***Dismissed*** for non prosecution as well as on having become infructuous.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE

M.S.Zaki.