

Form No: HCJD/C-121.

ORDER SHEET

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

W.P. No. 132 of 2020

Tazeem Akhtar, etc.
Vs
Federation of Pakistan, etc.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
---------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

01) 20-01-2020. Mr G.M. Kashif Gujjar Advocate, for the
petitioners.

The petitioners are aggrieved on account of refusal on part of respondent no. 1 to consider their cases for regularization in light of the principles and law highlighted by this Court in judgment, dated 01-11-2017, passed in W.P. No. 2117/2016 titled "Shagufta Hashmat v. Federation of Pakistan, etc." The said judgment was upheld by a learned Division Bench of this Court vide judgment, dated 21-06-2018, in ICA No. 340/2017, titled "Imran Ahmed and others v. Federation of Pakistan, etc." The aforementioned judgments were upheld by the august Supreme Court vide order, dated 13-03-2019, passed in C.P. No. 2792/2018, titled "Muhammad Irfan Khan v. Federation of Pakistan through Secretary to the

Government of Pakistan M/O Information Technology and Telecom Division, Islamabad and others.” etc.

2. The learned counsel was asked whether before invoking the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court the petitioners had filed respective representations before the competent authority. The learned counsel has referred to representations attached with the petition and has stated that the competent authority has not passed any order.

3. In view of the above, the petition at this stage is pre-mature. However, in order to meet the ends of justice, the competent authority is directed to afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and thereafter decide their pending representation in accordance with law, inter-alia, having regard to the principles and law highlighted in the aforementioned judgments.

4. The petition stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Tanveer Ahmed.