

ORDER SHEET.

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Crl. Revision No. 88/2019.

Chan Mehbood

Versus

Malik Iftikhar.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	27.09.2019.	Petitioner in person.

Through this Crl. Revision, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 27.07.2019, passed by learned Sessions Judge (West), Islamabad, whereby application for transfer of case has been turned down.

2. Petitioner in person states that one of his case is pending before the Court of Mr. Jahangir Awan, Additional Sessions Judge (West), Islamabad, whereas other four cases are pending before the Court of Mr. Adnan Khan, Additional Sessions Judge (West) Islamabad i.e. Bhola Vs. Muhammad Banaras and others, Chan Mehbood, etc. Vs. Noor Khan and others, Mst. Phullan Jan, etc. Vs. Mst. Zainab, etc. and Bhola Vs. Muhammad Banaras and others. He further states that Reader Khalid Mehmood of District Judiciary is also resident of Mouza Noon and he has influenced upon the Court.

3. Arguments heard, record perused.

4. From the perusal of record, it has been observed

that petitioner has prayed for transfer of his case titled Malik Iftikhar Ahmed Vs. The State, etc., which is pre-arrest bail application from the Court of Mr. Jahangir Awan, Additional District & Sessions Judge (West), Islamabad to the Court of Mr. Adnan Khan, Additional District & Sessions Judge (West), Islamabad, who is dealing with the civil cases, as such there is no provision of law for consolidation and transfer of case of civil and criminal nature. The allegation leveled by the petitioner is wild in nature and based upon his own apprehension, although he has been time and again confronted as to whether he has any specific grievance against learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, who is dealing with the case, whereby he conceded that he has no objection upon any of the Judicial Officer, rather he has objection upon staff of the Court, therefore, request of transfer of case is not made out as minimum requirement of law has not been raised. Hence, instant Criminal Revision is misconceived and the same is hereby dismissed in limine.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)
JUDGE