Form No: HCJD/C-121

## **ORDER SHEET.**

IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

## W.P No.2734 of 2019

## The Reader Educational Network (Private) Limited Vs. The Appellate Tribunal Inland Revenue and 2 others

S. No. of	Date of	Order with signature of Judge and
order/	order/	that of parties or counsel where
proceedings	proceedings	necessary.

## 25.07.2019. Mr. Rahat Shaheen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Through the instant writ petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, the petitioner has assailed an order dated 27.06.2018 passed by respondent No.2 / department and seeks direction to respondent No.1 for expeditious disposal of its appeal.

2. The facts leading to filing of the present writ petition are that respondent No.2 passed an order dated 27.06.2018 and created demand of Rs.5,519,879/- in respect of tax year 2016. The said order of respondent No.2 was challenged by the petitioner in appeal and also filed a stay

application alongwith it, before respondent No.1. The referred respondent was pleased to grant stay for 180 days through different orders. The said 180 days have lapsed and the petitioner has filed the instant writ petition apprehending proceedings for recovery by the respondent department in pursuance of order dated 27.06.2018 despite the fact that its appeal is still pending adjudication before respondent No.1.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the impugned notice is illegal, arbitrary and against the law. It was further contended that initiation of recovery proceedings during the pendency petitioner's appeal are against fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution. It was further contended that it is trite law that unless there is adjudication by one independent forum recovery no proceedings can be initiated against the In this behalf learned counsel assessee. placed reliance on the case titled "Z.N. Exporters Pvt. Ltd. V. Collector of Sales Tax" (2003 PTD 1746 Lahore), "Sun-Rise Bottling Company Pvt. Ltd. V. Federation of Pakistan and 4 others" (2006 PTD 535 Lahore), "Dawood Textile Printing Industries Pvt. Ltd. Vs Federation of Pakistan and 4 others" (2009 Tax 344 Lahore). Learned counsel further contended that delay in decision of the appeal is not on part of the petitioner, therefore, recovery proceedings be stopped till the final decision of the petitioner's appeal by respondent No.1.

- 4. The submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner have substance. In this behalf the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case titled "Mehram Ali and others V. Federation of Pakistan and others" (PLD 1998 SC 1445) has specifically held that there should be adjudication of grievance by independent Tribunal and even Lahore High Court in numerous judgments has held that an assessee is entitled to adjudication in respect of his disputed tax liabilities by at independent forum one hierarchy of the respondent department.
- 5. In view of above, the instant petition

Is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal of the petitioner within 60 days and till then no coercive measures shall be adopted against it for recovery of disputed tax liability. The petitioner is directed to appear and argue its case before respondent No.1 without seeking any adjournment as and when the appeal is fixed.

(AAMER FAROOQ)
JUDGE

\*Niqab M \*

Uploaded by IT Department of IHC