



Open Science and Transparency Summary

Document created: 2022-08-05

Manuscript Overview

<i>Manuscript Title</i>	A test manuscript title
<i>Article type</i>	Research article
<i>Submission Form ID</i>	sujug-banom-tugog
<i>First submitted</i>	2022-06-14 14:55
<i>Special Issue (if any)</i>	
<i>Presents empirical data</i>	yes
<i>Type of data used</i>	New, original data
<i>Manuscript has an ethics statement</i>	N/A
<i>Approved by ethics committee</i>	N/A
<i>Comments about ethics</i>	
<i>Registered report</i>	no
<i>Replication</i>	no
<i>Pre-registered</i>	no
<i>Submission form completed up to</i>	Diversity

Transparency and Openness

Reporting Standards

The following questions concern the principle of transparent and full reporting and thus should be implemented by each empirical study.

Questions Relevant for Most Types of Empirical Studies

Does the manuscript fully describe the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication?	yes
Does the manuscript fully describe the measures of interest (e.g., pro-environmental attitude) and their operationalizations (e.g., a questionnaire measuring pro-environmental attitude)?	yes
What type of data and analysis does your submission present?	Mixed (quant. and qual.) data
Other type of analysis (if applicable)	
Other reporting standard used (if applicable)	
If you believe your study should be exempted from a reporting requirement, please explain why	
Other comments about your Methods, Results, or Discussion	

Requirements for Quantitative Data

Does the manuscript fully describe the rationale for the sample size used?	no
Were any observations / participants / data excluded from the analyses?	yes
Does the manuscript report the total number of excluded observations?	yes
Does the manuscript report all data exclusion criteria?	no
Does the manuscript report all levels of all independent variables (e.g., yes experimental groups)?	
Does the manuscript report all levels of all measures / dependent variables that were analysed for this article's target research question?	no
Does the manuscript report estimates related to the reliability of measures (e.g., internal consistency, interrater reliability)?	yes
Does the manuscript report basic descriptive statistics of all variables that are used in the analyses (n, mean, sd) for the total sample and for each subgroup (e.g., cells in experimental designs)?	yes
Does the manuscript report all exact *p* values, effect sizes, and 95% CIs for inferential tests?	no

Requirements for Qualitative Data

Manuscript provides general context (e.g., when / where data were collected)	yes
--	-----

<i>Manuscript provides a rationale for recruiting and selecting participants</i>	yes
<i>Manuscript describes the rationale for decision to halt data collection</i>	yes
<i>Manuscript reports key details about the data collection process (e.g., form of data collection; duration)</i>	yes
<i>Manuscript reports what data audio/visual recording methods, field notes, or transcription processes were used</i>	yes
<i>Manuscript reports key details for the data analysis process (e.g., analytic process, coding strategy)</i>	yes
<i>Manuscript demonstrate that findings are grounded in the evidence (e.g., using quotes or descriptions)</i>	yes

Additional Requirements for Mixed Data

<i>Manuscript describes the type of mixed methods design (e.g., convergent design, explanatory sequential design) using a major reference in the field</i>	N/A
<i>Manuscript provides a rationale for the need to collect and integrate qualitative and quantitative data</i>	no
<i>Methods and Results sections devote separate sections to each analysis (quantitative, qualitative, mixed)</i>	yes
<i>Result section indicates how the qualitative and quantitative results were integrated (e.g., discussion, graphs)</i>	yes
<i>Methods & Results of the manuscript consistently mirror the type of mixed methods design in terms of sequence (i.e., if quantitative or qualitative came first)</i>	yes

Data, Code, and Materials Transparency

The following questions focus on the transparency of the data, methods, and materials involved in the research. The requested information and files should enable any researcher to replicating the research.

Open Data, Materials, and Code

<i>Data used in your research</i>	New, original data
<i>Other:</i>	
<i>Data publicly available</i>	no
<i>Where:</i>	
<i>Why are the data not publicly available (repository)</i>	because
<i>Are all variables, treatment conditions, and observations included that are described in the manuscript</i>	
<i>Codebook included</i>	
<i>Why not documented in a codebook</i>	
<i>Fully described the procedures used to collect, preprocess, clean, or generate the data</i>	yes

<i>All code and software publicly available</i>	yes
<i>Where:</i>	uuu
<i>Why not publicly available:</i>	
<i>Instructions, stimuli, and test materials publicly available</i>	no
<i>Where:</i>	
<i>Why not publicly available:</i>	
<i>Steps others should follow to request access to data / materials not publicly shared</i>	uuuu
<i>Possible to share a subset of the data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared?</i>	maybe
<i>Possible to post data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared into a protected access repository</i>	maybe
<i>Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials</i>	

Citation Standard

All data, programme code / scripts / syntax (e.g., from R, Stata, SPSS), materials, and other methods must be appropriately cited in the text and listed in the reference section. As such, these materials are recognized as original intellectual contributions and afforded recognition through citation.

<i>Are all data, program code and other methods appropriately cited within the text and listed in the reference section?</i>	no
<i>If no, please explain why these things were not cited within the text and/or not listed in the reference section.</i>	not sure
<i>Are all data, code, and methods citations given unique, persistent IDs (e.g. DOI)?</i>	yes
<i>If no, please explain why these items weren't given unique, persistent IDs (e.g., DOI)?</i>	

Diversity

GEP strongly encourages to justify and extensively describe the sample(s) of empirical work, to discuss the generalizability of the insights (culture/context), and strive for diversity when citing previous work. If authors don't follow these guidelines, they should explain why.

<i>We provided a justification for the population we investigated</i>	yes
<i>Why not?</i>	
<i>We provided an extensive sample description (e.g., study country, participants' language)</i>	yes
<i>Why not?</i>	
<i>We discussed the generalisability of our findings and implications in relation to culture and context</i>	yes
<i>Why not?</i>	

We strived for diversity when citing previous work (e.g., gender, underrepresented geographical areas)

N/A

Why not?