



Open Science and Transparency Summary

Document created: 2022-09-19

Manuscript Overview

Manuscript Title	TEST META-ANALYSIS
Article type	Meta-analysis / systematic review
Submission Form ID	jamif-samam-budok
First submitted	2022-06-15 03:44
Special Issue (if any)	TEST
Presents empirical data	yes
Type of data used	Other
Manuscript has an ethics statement	no
Approved by ethics committee	no
Comments about ethics	Meta-analysis of existing research, no primary data collection
Registered report	no
Replication	no
Pre-registered	no
Submission form completed up to	Diversity

Transparency and Openness

Reporting Standards

The following questions concern the principle of transparent and full reporting and thus should be implemented by each empirical study.

Questions Relevant for Most Types of Empirical Studies

Does the manuscript fully describe the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication? yes

Does the manuscript fully describe the measures of interest (e.g., pro-environmental attitude) and their operationalizations (e.g., a questionnaire measuring pro-environmental attitude)?

N/A

What type of data and analysis does your submission present?

Quantitative data

Other type of analysis (if applicable)

Other reporting standard used (if applicable)

If you believe your study should be exempted from a reporting requirement, please explain why

Other comments about your Methods, Results, or Discussion

Requirements for Quantitative Data

Does the manuscript fully describe the rationale for the sample size used?

no

Were any observations / participants / data excluded from the analyses?

yes

Does the manuscript report the total number of excluded observations?

yes

Does the manuscript report all data exclusion criteria?

yes

Does the manuscript report all levels of all independent variables (e.g., experimental groups)?

yes

Does the manuscript report all levels of all measures / dependent variables that were analysed for this article's target research question?

yes

Does the manuscript report estimates related to the reliability of measures (e.g., internal consistency, interrater reliability)?

yes

Does the manuscript report basic descriptive statistics of all variables that are used in the analyses (n, mean, sd) for the total sample and for each subgroup (e.g., cells in experimental designs)?

yes

Does the manuscript report all exact *p* values, effect sizes, and 95% CIs for inferential tests? yes

Data, Code, and Materials Transparency

The following questions focus on the transparency of the data, methods, and materials involved in the research. The requested information and files should enable any researcher to replicating the research.

Open Data, Materials, and Code	
Data used in your research	Other
Other:	Published results of existing studies and thesis research
Data publicly available	yes
Where:	test
Why are the data not publicly available (repository)	
Are all variables, treatment conditions, and observations included that yes are described in the manuscript	
Codebook included	yes
Why not documented in a codebook	
Fully described the procedures used to collect, preprocess, clean, or generate the data	yes
All code and software publicly available	yes
Where:	test
Why not publicly available:	
Instructions, stimuli, and test materials publicly available	N/A
Where:	
Why not publicly available:	
Steps others should follow to request access to data / materials not publicly shared	
Possible to share a subset of the data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared?	
Possible to post data, code, or other materials that cannot be publicly shared into a protected access repository	
Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials	

Citation Standard

All data, programme code / scripts / syntax (e.g., from R, Stata, SPSS), materials, and other methods must be appropriately cited in the text and listed in the reference section. As such, these materials are recognized as original intellectual contributions and afforded recognition through citation.

Are all data, program code and other methods appropriately cited within the text and listed in the reference section?	yes
If no, please explain why these things were not cited within the text and/or not listed in the reference section.	
Are all data, code, and methods citations given unique, persistent IDs (e.g. DOI)?	N/A
If no, please explain why these items weren't given unique, prersistent IDs (e.g., DOI)?	All contained within manuscript

Diversity

GEP strongly encourages to justify and extensively describe the sample(s) of empirical work, to discuss the generalizability of the insights (culture/context), and strive for diversity when citing previous work. If authors don't follow these guidelines, they should explain why.

<i>We provided a justification for the population we investigated</i>	N/A
<i>Why not?</i>	meta-analysis, not relevant?
<i>We provided an extensive sample description (e.g., study country, participants' language)</i>	N/A
<i>Why not?</i>	No primary data reported
<i>We discussed the generalisability of our findings and implications in relation to culture and context</i>	yes
<i>Why not?</i>	
<i>We strived for diversity when citing previous work (e.g., gender, underrepresented geographical areas)</i>	no
<i>Why not?</i>	