CS331: Homework #9

Due on April 18, 2013 at $11{:}59\mathrm{pm}$

 $Professor\ Zhang\ 9:00am$

Josh Davis

Part A Prove that $n! \in O(n^n)$.

Proof. To prove that $n! \in O(n^n)$, we will use the definition of big-O which states: For two functions, f and g. Say that f(n) = O(g(n)) if positive integers c and n_0 exist such that for every integer $n \ge n_0$ that $f(n) \le cg(n)$.

Thus we need to find a c and n_0 to satisfy the above condition.

Let f(n) = n! and $g(n) = n^n$. Let c = 1 and $n_0 = 1$, so for all $n \ge n_0$ we have:

$$n! = (n)(n-1)(n-2)\dots(2)(1)$$

$$\leq c(n_n)(n_{n-1})(n_{n-2})\dots(n_2)(n_1)$$

$$= cn^n$$

Since we have satisfied the definition of big-O, we can see that $n! \in O(n^n)$ and thus our proof is complete. \square

Part B Which of the following relations is true and which is false?

1. $n \in O((\lg n)^3)$

False

Linear functions outgrow polylogrithmic asymptotically. No such c or n_0 to satisfy big-O definition.

2. $(\lg n)^3 \in o(n)$

True

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\lg n)^3}{n} = 0$$

3. $n^{\lg n} \in O(2^{n \lg n})$

True

$$n^{\lg n} \le c2^{n \lg n}$$

$$\lg n \lg n \le c(\lg 2)(n \lg n)$$

$$\lg n \lg n \le c(n \lg n)$$

$$\lg n \le cn$$

We can pick c = 1 and $n_0 = 1$, thus it is true.

4. $n^4 \in o(100n^4)$

False

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^4}{100n^4} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100}$$

5. $(\lg n)^n \in O(\sqrt{2^n})$

False

$$n(\lg n)^n \le c\sqrt{2^n}$$

$$n(\lg \lg n) \le \frac{c}{2} \lg(2^n)$$

$$n(\lg \lg n) \le \frac{c}{2}n$$

$$(\lg \lg n) \le \frac{c}{2}$$

Which is clearly false, no constant is less than any function with n.

Prove that any language in P is **polynomial reducible** to any language in P which is not \emptyset or Σ^* .

Proof. To prove that any language in P is polynomial reducible to any other language in P, we will do a proof by construction to construct a polynomial time mapping function f that maps one language to another.

Let there be two languages A and B that are both in P. Since they are in P we know there are two TMs that run in polynomial time. Let these TMs be M_1 and M_2 .

We will now construct a polynomial reducible function where $w \in A \iff f(w) \in B$. Let this function be computed by a TM N which is as follows:

N = "On input $\langle M, w \rangle$ where M is a TM and w a string:

- 1. Construct a new TM, M': M' = " On input w:
 - (a) Compute M_1 on input w to find a value in A
 - (b) Simulate M_2 on newly computed value outputting what M_2 does to find a value in B
- 2. Output $\langle M' \rangle$."

As we can see, since A and B are both in P, we can use the TMs that recognize the languages to reduce A to B.

Note: \emptyset and Σ^* can't be reduced to. If we have a language A that has some w that it accepts and some that it rejects, trying to reduce to \emptyset is impossible because since there exists a $w \in A$, there is no way to map it to \emptyset because the condition $w \in A \iff f(w) \in B$ doesn't hold. The converse is true for Σ^* as well. If $w \notin A$ we can't map it to a value that isn't in Σ^* . Thus we exclude these two languages from our proof. \square

Problem 3

Let $L = \{0^i 1^j : i > j\}$. Show that $L \in TIME(n \lg n)$.

Proof. To show that $L \in TIME(n \lg n)$, we will use a proof by construction to construct a new TM M that only uses $TIME(n \lg n)$ steps to complete.

M = "On input string w:

- 1. Start on the left side of the word, scan left, if there is a 1 before a 0, reject
- 2. Repeat as long as there are 0s still on the tape:
 - (a) Scan across the tape, if there are 0s left and no more 1s left, accept
 - (b) Scan again, crossing off every other 0 and every other 1
- 3. Since there are no more 0s, and we haven't accepted, we know $i \leq j$, thus reject."

Let's analyze the running time of M. First observe that every stage except for the last run in TIME(n). Stage 2 halfs the number of characters in the input every time it repeats thus it runs in $TIME(n) * TIME(\lg n)$. The total running time is then $TIME(n) + TIME(n \lg n)$. This gives us the final running time of $TIME(n \lg n)$.

Problem 4

Prove that Graph isomorphism is in NP. That is

$$GI = \{\langle G, H \rangle : G, H \text{ are isomorphic}\} \in NP$$

Two graphs $G = \langle V_G, E_G \rangle$ and $H = \langle V_H, E_H \rangle$ are isomorphic iff there is a bijection $f : V_G \to V_H$ such that $\langle v, v' \rangle \in E_G$ if and only if $\langle f(v), f(v') \rangle \in E_H$.

Proof. To show that graph isomorphism is in NP, we will construct a verifier that can verify the problem in polynomial time. This proves that a language is in NP because of **Theorem 7.20**.

The verifier V that verifies that a graph is isomorphic is as follows:

V = "On input $\langle \langle G, H \rangle, c \rangle$:

- 1. Check that c is a valid bijection from nodes in $G \to H$
- 2. For every $(v_1, v_2) \in c$:
 - (a) Check that $v_1 \in G$
 - (b) Check that $v_2 \in H$
- 3. If everything passes, accept, otherwise reject."

We can see that given our input, $\langle G, H \rangle$ where n = |G| and m = |H|, we can see that the verifier's time complexity is running in O(m+n) time, or 2n = O(n) because the number of nodes in the graphs must be equal.

Thus we have proven that Graph Isomorphism is in NP.

Prove that Double Satisfaction Problem, defined as

 $SAT2 = \{ \langle \phi \rangle : \phi \text{ is a 3CNF-formula with at least two solutions} \}$

is NP-complete.

Proof. To prove that SAT2 is NP-complete, we will first show that it is in NP and then reduce 3SAT to it. Similar to what is done in **Corollary 7.42** in the textbook.

To show that SAT2 is in NP, we can just create a TM that guesses two assignments to all the variables and then tries them. This TM would be nondeterministic but could run in polynomial time. Thus $SAT2 \in NP$.

Given a $\phi \in 3SAT$, we know that ϕ is a 3cnf-formula that is satisfiable once. Now we can just make a dummy variable say j and add it along with another variable x so that $\phi' = \phi \wedge (j \vee \overline{j} \vee x)$. Thus we can see that for any assignment of j, it is satisfied twice because it was already satisfied once.

This construction is very easily polynomial time. Now we need to show that if $\phi \notin 3SAT$, using our reduction, $\phi' \notin SAT2$.

Given a $\phi \notin 3SAT$, we know that there is no such assignment that satisfies it. Thus when using our reduction, $\phi' = \phi \wedge (j \vee \overline{j} \vee x)$. This will never result in having two satisfactions for it because the previous part is not satisfied.

Thus we have shown that SAT2 is NP-complete and our proof is finished.

Prove that the class P is closed under union and complementation.

Part One Prove that P is closed under union.

Proof. To prove that P is closed under union, we assume that there are two languages L_1 and L_2 with M_1 and M_2 as TMs that decide them.

Assume that M_1 runs in polynominal time, $O(n^x)$ as well as M_2 , $O(n^y)$.

We will construct a new TM M that runs both M_1 and M_2 and show that it still runs in polynomial time.

M = "On input w:

- 1. Run M_1 on w.
- 2. Run M_2 on w.
- 3. If one of the TMs accepted, accept, else reject."

The runtime of M can be determined as $O(n^x) + O(n^y)$. Asymptotically, this is equal to the following: $O(n^z)$ where z = max(x, y).

Thus we can see that P is closed under union.

Part Two Prove that *P* is closed under complementation.

Proof. To prove that P is closed under complementation, we assume that there is a language L with a TM M that decides it.

Assume that M runs in polynominal time, $O(n^x)$.

We will construct a new TM N that runs M and we will show that it still runs in polynomial time.

N = "On input w:

- 1. Run M on w.
- 2. If M accepted, reject, else accept."

The construction of N is such that it decides the complement of the language that M decides. This TM also runs in $O(n^x)$, which means it still runs in polynomial time.

Thus we can see that P is closed under complementation.