## ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

### Honors Thesis

## **DIY Supercube**

Author:
Joseph HALE

Supervisor: Dr. Robert HEINRICHS

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Honors Thesis in Software Engineering

in the

Fulton Schools of Engineering Barrett, The Honors College

## **Declaration of Authorship**

I, Joseph HALE, declare that this thesis titled, "DIY Supercube" and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:			
Date:			

"Thanks to my solid academic training, today I can write hundreds of words on virtually any topic without possessing a shred of information, which is how I got a good job in journalism."

Dave Barry

### ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

## Abstract

Dr. Robert Heinrichs
Barrett, The Honors College

Honors Thesis in Software Engineering

### **DIY Supercube**

by Joseph HALE

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too...

## Acknowledgements

The acknowledgments and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

## **Contents**

D	eclara	tion of	Authorship	iii					
Al	ostrac	et .		vii					
A	knov	vledgei	nents	ix					
1	Intro	roduction							
	1.1	The A	dvent of Smart Cubes	1					
	1.2	Obsta	cles to Adoption	1					
	1.3		se of this Thesis						
		1.3.1		2					
	1.4	Thesis	Overview	2					
2	Back	cgroun	d	3					
	2.1	_	f History of the Rubik's Cube	3					
	2.2		lechanics of the Rubik's Cube	3					
		2.2.1	Algorithm Notation	3					
		2.2.2	The Laws of the Cube	3					
	2.3	Speed	solving	3					
		2.3.1	The World Cube Association	4					
		2.3.2	Competition Regulations	4					
	2.4	The Ri	ise of Smart Cubes	4					
3	State	e of the	e Art	5					
	3.1								
	3.2	Comm	nercial Smartcubes	5					
		3.2.1	Giiker Cube	5					
		3.2.2	Go Cube	5					
		3.2.3	Gans 356i	5					
	3.3	Acade	mia	5					
		3.3.1	Rotary Encoders + Bluetooth	5					
		3.3.2	Sound	5					
			Google's "Data Over Sound" Project	6					
		3.3.3	Computer Vision	6					
			OpenAI	6					
			Various GitHub Projects	6					
		3.3.4	Other Approaches	6					
			RFID						
			Magnetic Resonance						

	3.4	Muscle-Tracking Armband	6 6
4	Prot	ocol Design	7
	4.1	Requirements	7
		4.1.1 Signal to Noise Ratio	7
		4.1.2 Tone Distinctiveness	7
		4.1.3 Ease of Deployment on Consumer Hardware	7
		1 ,	
	4.0	4.1.4 Human Auditory Range	8
	4.2	Absolute Sound Positioning	8
	4.3	Relative Sound Positioning	8
5	Aud	io Decoding Algorithm Design	9
	5.1	Synthetic Audio Generation	9
		5.1.1 Representing the Audio Protocol	9
		5.1.2 Representing the Rubik's Cube	9
		5.1.3 Generating the Audio for an Arbitary Algorithm	9
	5.2	Decoding the Synthetic Audio	9
	J	5.2.1 Examining the Waveform	9
		5.2.2 Conversion to Strength of Individual Frequencies	9
	5.3	Adding Realisitic Noise to the Synthetic Audio	10
	5.4	Decoding the Noisy Synthetic Audio	10
	J.4		10
		5.4.1 Optimizing algorithm parameters	10
6	PCB	Design	11
	6.1	Requirements	11
		6.1.1 Accuracy of Tone Generation	11
		6.1.2 Tone Response to Cube Rotations	11
		6.1.3 Signal-to-Noise Ratio	11
		6.1.4 Prospects of Miniaturization	11
	6.2	Hardware Selection	11
		6.2.1 Minimizing Sound Obstruction	11
	6.3	Prototyping	11
7		uation	13
	7.1	Compatibility with Standard Speedcubes	13
	7.2	Move Tracking Accuracy	13
	7.3	Move Tracking Granularity	13
	7.4	Competition Legality	13
8	Con	clusion	15
-	8.1	Summary	15
	8.2	Limitations	15
	8.3	Ideas for Future Research	15
D.,	. 1.	1	<b>1</b> =
B1	onog	raphy	17

# **List of Figures**

## **List of Tables**

## **List of Abbreviations**

LAH List Abbreviations Here WSF What (it) Stands For

# **Physical Constants**

Speed of Light  $c_0 = 2.99792458 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$  (exact)

xxi

# **List of Symbols**

distance

 $\stackrel{m}{W}(J\,s^{-1})$ P power

angular frequency rad

xxiii

For/Dedicated to/To my...

## Introduction

### 1.1 The Advent of Smart Cubes

Speedsolving, the sport of solving twisty puzzles like the Rubik's Cube as fast as possible, has seen a resurgence of popularity since the early 2000s. Over the past two decades many advances in cube technology have produced ever higher performing puzzles.

Recently, the speedcubing community has seen the entrance of smart cubes, special versions of a Rubik's Cube that can connect to a mobile device over Bluetooth. These smart cubes have sparked a wave of excitement with the vast opportunities they offer for automatic turn tracking, performance analysis, personalized improvement feedback, and networked competition.

### 1.2 Obstacles to Adoption

While a revolutionary idea, smart cubes still face several obstacles to widespread adoption.

- *Cost*: Smart cubes can cost up to eight times as much as a comparable non-smart speedcube. For example, one popular budget speedcube, the Moyu Weilong, costs only \$5, while the cheapest smartcube, the Giiker Cube, starts at \$40. [TODO sources!]. On the higher end, a premium speedcube, like the Gans 356 XS, retails for just over \$60 while a premium smartcube, like the GoCube, retails for over \$100. [TODO Make this a footnote.]
- *Performance*: Existing smart cubes turn slower than comparable non-smart cubes. [TODO source]
- *Reliability*: Many smart cube owners report inability to connect the smart cube to a mobile device and missed/inaccurate turn tracking.
- Regulation: Current competition rules ban the use of electronics during timed solves, thus banning the use of smart cubes. There is no foreseeable change to this rule.

As a result of these obstacles, many speedcubers refrain from purchasing a smart cube, despite expressing significant interest in the opportunities smart cubes offer.

### 1.3 Purpose of this Thesis

The primary goal of this thesis is to create a proof-of-concept for a smart cube design that mitigates the performance and competitive regulatory concerns of existing smartcubes.

### 1.3.1 Design Requirements

Specifically, the smart cube design discussed in this thesis will be assessed against the following criteria:

- 1. *Compatibility with Standard Speedcubes*: The design must be deployable within a standard (non-smart) speedcube.
  - (a) The design must not require permanent modifications to the original speedcube.
  - (b) The design must not significantly impact the turn-speed of the original speed-cube.
- 2. *Move Tracking Accuracy*: The design must be capable of tracking the face turns of a Rubik's Cube with over 99% accuracy.
- 3. *Move Tracking Granularity*: The design must be capable of recording the time spent executing each individual face turn of a Rubik's Cube.
- 4. *Competition Legality*: The design must be competition legal, meaning it results in a cube that either does not violate existing competition rules against the use of electronics or can be easily modified to regain compliance.

#### 1.4 Thesis Overview

TODO give an overview of the rest of the Thesis document.

## Background

TODO Each chapter starts with a paragraph that briefly outlines the purpose of each of the sections.

## 2.1 A Brief History of the Rubik's Cube

In 1974, Erno Rubik, a Hungarian professor of architecture, sought to help his students visualize space in three dimensions. To that end, he created a special cube whose faces could independently rotate around all three physical axes [1]. When he added colored stickers to further aid in visualizing the movements, Mr. Rubik realized he had created a new puzzle. He patented his cube in 1975, [2] and since then over 450 million units have been sold [3], allowing an estimated 1 in 7 humans on earth to try their hand at solving it [4].

Since then, the cube has been the subject of academic research, competition, leisure, and cultural iconography.

### 2.2 The Mechanics of the Rubik's Cube

**TODO** 

### 2.2.1 Algorithm Notation

**TODO** 

#### 2.2.2 The Laws of the Cube

TODO Describe the basic concepts of group theory that stipulate what positions are and aren't legal. It might also be fun to discuss the derivation of the 43 quintillion possible positions on the cube.

## 2.3 Speedsolving

**TODO** 

### 2.3.1 The World Cube Association

TODO

## 2.3.2 Competition Regulations

TODO

## 2.4 The Rise of Smart Cubes

TODO

## State of the Art

### 3.1 Introduction

TODO, be a \*neutral\* presentation of the current state of this technology. Give a big information dump to the reader.

### 3.2 Commercial Smartcubes

TODO, name the major smartcubes on the market and give a high-level overview of their features.

#### 3.2.1 Giiker Cube

TODO Describe the Giiker Cube and its features. Explain the inner workings using the FCC pictures.

#### **3.2.2** Go Cube

TODO Describe the Go Cube and its features. Explain the inner workings using the FCC pictures.

#### 3.2.3 Gans 356i

TODO Describe the Gans 356i Cube and its features. Explain the inner workings using the FCC pictures.

### 3.3 Academia

### 3.3.1 Rotary Encoders + Bluetooth

TODO Discuss the viability of using rotary encoders + bluetooth for making a smart cube. Make sure to discuss the difference between absolute/relative rotary encoders.

### 3.3.2 Sound

TODO discuss the viability of using sound for making a smart cube.

### Google's "Data Over Sound" Project

TODO discuss the Google's "data over sound" project and its applicability to this problem space.

### 3.3.3 Computer Vision

TODO discuss the viability of using sound for making a smart cube.

#### **OpenAI**

TODO discuss OpenAI's rubik's cube hand robot.

#### **Various GitHub Projects**

TODO discuss the various other GitHub projects relates to Rubik's Cube computing.

### 3.3.4 Other Approaches

TODO In this section I'm laying out a structure for discussing several of the options I looked into but ultimately disregarded. Should that go in the State of the Art chapter? or would it fit better in the Evaluation chapter to contrast against the potential of the sound-based implementation?

#### **RFID**

TODO discuss the viability of using RFID for making a smart cube.

#### **Magnetic Resonance**

TODO discuss the viability of using magnetic resonance for making a smart cube.

#### **Muscle-Tracking Armband**

TODO discuss the viability of using a muscle tracking armband for making a smart cube.

## 3.4 Choice of Sound for My Thesis

TODO This section might be large enough to merit its own chapter... TODO Briefly detail the rationale for chosing to pursue a sound-based approach.

## **Protocol Design**

### 4.1 Requirements

Sound is an easily accessible, and therefore noisy medium of communication. As a result, any data transmission protocol must be resilient to the presence of additional noise.

### 4.1.1 Signal to Noise Ratio

Each selected tone must be easily distinguished from background noise in a quiet room.

- Lower frequency tones (e.g. < 100Hz) are more prominent in background noise.
- Analysis based on this criterion should also consider the background noise generated by the rotation of the Rubik's Cube itself.
- Most of the concerns involved in this criteria are solved by increasing the volume of the generated tone.
- Contrast with the WCA regulation. Perhaps a protocol that is weaker in noisy environments is more likely to be permissible.

#### 4.1.2 Tone Distinctiveness

Each selected tone must be easily distinguished from each other tone.

- Our testing with smartphone microphones suggested that tones needed to be separated by at least 100Hz to be uniquely detected from each other.
- We can also exploit the fact that only one of each faces four positions will be active at a time.

#### 4.1.3 Ease of Deployment on Consumer Hardware

Each selected tone must be easily produced by a consumer grade speaker and consumed by a consumer grade microphone.

• A typical smartphone can produce and consume tones in the human auditory range of 10Hz - 20kHz (TODO source).

### 4.1.4 Human Auditory Range

Tone selection shall be biased in favor of tones beyond the typical human auditory range; however, in intial prototypes, this criterion is subordinate to all other criteria.

• Many humans are unable to hear tones above 17kHz (TODO how many? source?)

### 4.2 Absolute Sound Positioning

Each of the Rubik's Cube's six faces has four possible positions, for a total of 24 unique tones required for our protocol.

TODO finish this protocol proposal.

### 4.3 Relative Sound Positioning

This strategy seeks to minimize the number of discrete frequencies required to communicate changes in the cube's state. Since the cube consists of 6 faces, and each face can be turned either clockwise or counterclockwise, one could design a two-tone protocol using only 8 discrete audio frequencies to build the smart cube. The first tone would come from one of six predefined audio bands, one for each face of the cube. The second tone would come from one of two separately predefined audio bands, one for each possible direction of rotation. From this, an audio processing model could be designed to process a sequence of these two-tone pairs and reconstruct the sequence of face rotations by recording the rotated face followed by its direction of rotation.

However this model presents challenges. Take for example, a speedcuber averaging 5 turns per second (common for a 12-15 second solver) with bursts up to 10 TPS. The burst TPS would require the successful transmission of 20 sequential tones within a single second - only 50ms per tone, all in the midst of additional noise from the cube's pieces hitting each other harder at the higher turn speed. And, to cap it all off, since each tone is only ever transmitted once, the audio detection model must achieve 100% tone recognition to be able to accurately reconstruct the originating move sequence. As a result, this model fails to support any sort of error correction that would make it resistant to the common challenges to data transmission through sound.

However this model inherently precludes robust error checking procedures. Each tone that is not accurately detected of the tones are not accurately detected, the data of that particular rotation cannot becomes impossible to entirely reconstruct the executed move sequence

TODO finish discussing this protocol proposal

## **Audio Decoding Algorithm Design**

### 5.1 Synthetic Audio Generation

Prior to investing significant resources in PCB design, we found it prudent to develop a synthetic model of the ideal audio output of the DIY Supercube. This model consists of a synthetic audio generator that, when given a specific move sequence, generates a '.wav' file consisting of the distinct time series tones that the DIY Supercube would theoretically produce. The synthetic data produced by this model then served as the first test cases for the final audio decoding model.

### 5.1.1 Representing the Audio Protocol

TODO pull from Jupyter notebook

### 5.1.2 Representing the Rubik's Cube

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

#### 5.1.3 Generating the Audio for an Arbitary Algorithm

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

TODO show a spectrogram of the generated audio here

## 5.2 Decoding the Synthetic Audio

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

#### 5.2.1 Examining the Waveform

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

### 5.2.2 Conversion to Strength of Individual Frequencies

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

## 5.3 Adding Realisitic Noise to the Synthetic Audio

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

### 5.4 Decoding the Noisy Synthetic Audio

TODO pull from Jupyter Notebook

### 5.4.1 Optimizing algorithm parameters

TODO - Share the strategy for finding the optimal parameters, and the end results, but defer the detailed analysis for the Evaluation.

## **PCB** Design

### 6.1 Requirements

TODO lay out the requirements for the PCB

### 6.1.1 Accuracy of Tone Generation

TODO Describe this requirement

### **6.1.2** Tone Response to Cube Rotations

TODO Describe this requirement

### 6.1.3 Signal-to-Noise Ratio

TODO Describe this requirement TODO Discuss the dampening effect of the plastic enclosure.

### 6.1.4 Prospects of Miniaturization

TODO Describe this requirement

### 6.2 Hardware Selection

TODO outline the process of chosing specific hardware to use for this project

### 6.2.1 Minimizing Sound Obstruction

TODO Discuss the "tupperware" tests -> design of various center caps.

### 6.3 Prototyping

TODO detail the process of building a prototype. Include pictures of the board and the generated spectrograms.

## **Evaluation**

TODO review the core goals outlined in the introduction, and methodically review how well my final prototypes stack up against those goals. This section serves to prove (with all the data) that the approach I've described in the previous chapters actually works.

### 7.1 Compatibility with Standard Speedcubes

TODO discuss how well my design meets this requirement from the Introduction The design must be deployable within a standard (non-smart) speedcube.

- 1. The design must not require permanent modifications to the original speedcube.
- 2. The design must not significantly impact the turn-speed of the original speedcube.

### 7.2 Move Tracking Accuracy

TODO discuss how well my design meets this requirement from the Introduction The design must be capable of tracking the face turns of a Rubik's Cube with over 99% accuracy.

### 7.3 Move Tracking Granularity

TODO discuss how well my design meets this requirement from the Introduction

The design must be capable of recording the time spent executing each individual face turn of a Rubik's Cube.

## 7.4 Competition Legality

TODO discuss how well my design meets this requirement from the Introduction

The design must be competition legal, meaning it results in a cube that either does not violate existing competition rules against the use of electronics or can be easily modified to regain compliance.

## Conclusion

### 8.1 Summary

TODO summarize the goals from the Introduction and broadly describe what techniques were most helpful in reaching them. Also detail a summary of exactly how effective they were.

### 8.2 Limitations

TODO detail the limits to which this research can be more broadly applied. Be clear about the effects various assumptions/decisions have on the reliability of the conclusions of this thesis.

### 8.3 Ideas for Future Research

TODO If I had more time/resources to work on it, what would I do next with this project?

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Rubik's Brand Ltd. About Us. URL: https://www.rubiks.com/en-us/about. (accessed: 10 August 2021).
- [2] Erno Rubik. Rubik's Cube Patent. URL: https://www.hipo.gov.hu/hu/anim/pics/HU-170062.pdf. (accessed: 10 August 2021).
- [3] Joan Verdon. Rubik's Cube And Spin Master: A \$50 Million Deal With Endless Possibilities. URL: https://www.forbes.com/sites/joanverdon/2020/11/15/rubiks-cube-and-spin-master-a-50-million-deal-with-endless-possibilities/. (accessed: 10 August 2021).
- [4] Rubik's Brand Ltd. *The History of the Rubik's Cube.* URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20180908211659/https://www.rubiks.com/about/the-history-of-the-rubiks-cube. (accessed: 10 August 2021).