

Purpose

Risk management is to identify potential problems before they occur so that risk may be reduced, transferred or illuminated. Security professionals can provide management with a greater insight into risk and the impact they may have on the organization through strategic, operational and recognizing risk areas.

Risk

- Risk is a subjective concept that needs to be viewed and quantified on an individual basis.
- Definitions need to be business specific.
- For many security professionals, an all-hazards approach, which includes the possibility of harm to or loss of people, property, reputation, and/or assets caused by an event, offers a good starting point in defining risk for their organization.

Risk Management Program

- A risk management program is the formal process utilized to quantify, qualify, and mitigate specific concerns an organization may discover or define.
- It is important for the security professional to identify the program in place and understand the approach accepted in a particular company.
- Questions that aid in defining the program include what the assessment process involves and who manages the overall risk program.

Risk Management Process

- Risk programs may apply to the enterprise or to a specific business line.
- An enterprise approach is a concerted effort by various divisions within a company to measure risk across the company.
- Other programs may focus on key business divisions. These programs tend to address well-defined and known risks with singularly focused mitigation strategies.
- The security professional should understand the requirement behind the program and the overall process so he or she can fulfill the objectives of the overall risk review.

Risk Program Components

Although the roles and programs will differ, risk programs have several common components:

- Risk analysis
- Risk assessment and risk rating
- Risk mitigation
- Risk reporting
- Each component is necessary for a successful program.

Risk Analysis

- Risk analysis includes identification of the assets to be protected and the risks to those assets.
- Assets are traditionally viewed as:
 - People (employees/customers, etc.)
 - Facilities (owned/leased properties)
 - Property (sensitive documents/financial instruments/vehicles)
 - Reputation (public perception/client perception)
- Risks to assets must be identified before they can be analyzed.
- Risks should viewed from both the internal (company employees/policies, etc.) and external (natural disasters/competitors, etc.) perspectives. The typical risks to the assets listed previously include:
 - Natural disasters (hurricane/flood/ earthquake)
 - Man-made disasters (fire/workplace violence)
 - Criminal behavior (fraud/embezzlement)
 - Terrorism (international/domestic)

Risk Analysis

- To accurately evaluate risk, a correlation of assets and threats described must be made. The risks should be described in a formal manner and related directly to the asset.
- Each asset should be addressed in context of the risk.
- Potential damage to the asset is linked directly to the specific risk factor that has been analyzed.

Risk Assessment and Risk Rating

- Once the risk analysis is complete, a measurement of the risk must take place.
- The risk assessment validates the risk and measures the likelihood of occurrence and the extent of the impact the risk could have.
- During the assessment additional risks may be identified as gaps in protection or other process flaws are discovered.
- ► The risk assessment will measure the following:
 - Qualification of the risk (whether the risk actually exists)
 - Probability (is likely to occur, very likely, not likely at all)
 - Other risks/vulnerabilities to the asset
 - Knock-on effect (e.g., fire in the facility also damages trucks in loading bays)
 - Total effect of risk (probable loss/total maximum loss)
 - After the risk has been validated, the assessment must then measure the probability of an event occurring.
 - This requires a review of all facts related to the risk and asset to assign a high, medium, or low rating of probability.

Risk Assessment and Risk Rating

- To properly rate probability the following indicators should be reviewed:
 - Previous occurrences (e.g., whether the facility has been prone to fires in the past)
 - Occurrences in the area or business sector (e.g., burglaries in the neighborhood/ protests against like businesses)
 - Activities in the business sector (whether the business is a target based on its product; e.g., animal rights, etc.)
 - Company profile (e.g., whether the company is well known and thus more of a symbolic target)
 - Geography (whether the plant is next to a terrorist target, or likely to be collateral damage to an attack on a neighbor)
 - Risks should be named and probability assigned to those risks.
 - The assessment results should be documented and the risk rated.
 - The risk rating can be a score, such as a point value or a rating of high, medium, or low.
 - This allows the report to be filtered to show risk criticality.

Risk Mitigation

- The security professional may have a role in every component of the risk program or play only a limited role, but he or she will always have a role in the mitigation portion of all plans.
- The mitigation phase is where we review and plan to minimize the probability and effects of the identified risk to our assets.
- The application of risk mitigants should be goal oriented and designed to mitigate the specific risk identified. The better defined the goals the better the results.
- Mitigation strategies should look at more than just physical security methods. Options such as training, a robust security plan, and implementing policy changes are also valid, strong mitigation tools to be considered.
- The goal of risk mitigation is to minimize the potential impact of the identified risk to the point where the concern of the risk is minimal.
- Some level of risk must always be accepted.

Risk Reporting

When reporting risk, the security professional should keep the following in mind:

- The written presentation will "live" longer than the oral presentation.
- Understand the stakeholders to whom you will be reporting.
- Where will this report go?
- Present the facts without exemption; there are many reasons for accepting or ignoring risk. Present the findings and proposed plan, and then allow the decision process to begin.
- Include the security survey and other supporting products utilized to identify the facts.
- There is always a measure of risk acceptance—no plan is absolute.
- Remembering to whom you ultimately report and the scope of your role will help create a true summation of the process. The report and the presentation must be fact driven.
- The report should emphasize the threat, the risk (in real terms) that the threat poses to the organization, the suggested steps to reduce the risk, and a summary that relays the frequency of reevaluation.

The Risk Management Process

Risk management process

- Asset identification and valuation
- Threat definition
- 3. Vulnerability assessment
- 4. Risk analysis
- Protective measures

This is a continuous cycle that must constantly be reevaluated

Assets

- The first step in risk assessment is identification and valuation of assets.
- All three types of assets—tangible, intangible, and mixed— should be considered and incorporated into the risk assessment process.
- Each component of the risk management process must be evaluated. This can be done either qualitatively or quantitatively.

Qualitative analysis:

- An approach which does not use numbers or numeric values to describe the risk components.
 - High, medium, low, critical, negligible, etc.

Quantitative analysis:

- An approach which uses numeric measures to describe the value of assets or the level (severity or probability) of threats, vulnerabilities, impact, or loss events.
 - Simple scale 1-5 based on severity.

Threat

Threats fall into three categories: intentional, natural, and inadvertent.

Intentional threats:

- Adversaries are judged on their capabilities to cause a loss event and their intentions to do so.
- Evaluation of intentional threats is based on identification and study of potential adversaries.

Natural threats:

Rather than adversary capabilities and intentions, natural threats are typically evaluated using historical trends and statistics.

Inadvertent threats:

- Include accidents, errors, and omissions.
- The most overlooked or neglected threats are inadvertent threats.
- People make mistakes, and those mistakes are the most likely things to hurt an organization.
- Inadvertent threats are the most difficult to predict and prepare for.
- ► The best defenses are preparation, education and awareness, and realization that the threat exists.

Vulnerability

- One important difference between a threat and a vulnerability is that a vulnerability is a characteristic of the organization or facility. As such, it is generally something over which the organization can exercise at least some degree of control. Threats, by contrast, are usually *outside* the control of the organization.
- Vulnerabilities are measured in term of observability and exploitability.
- Observability:
 - The ability of an adversary to see and identify a vulnerability.
- **Exploitability:**
 - ► The ability of the adversary to take advantage of the vulnerability once they become aware of it.
- For inadvertent threats, the observability/ exploitability approach is again slightly different. In this case, we measure our vulnerabilities via two questions:
 - 1. Are we aware of the vulnerabilities?
 - 2. Are the particular vulnerabilities subject to relevant inadvertent threats?

Risk Analysis

- The assessor puts all of the information on assets, threats, and vulnerabilities together, and then considers the potential impact or consequences of a loss event.
- Assessments should be performed by a multidisciplinary team of subject matter experts in order to reach credible and justifiable numbers as input to the analysis.
- Need to consider low-probability/high-consequence risks as well as those that are most likely to occur in our workplace.
- Examples of such risks are terrorist attacks and catastrophic workplace violence incidents.

Risk Matrix

| | RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX | Very unlikely to happen | Unlikely to happen | Possibly could happen | Likely to happen | Very likely to happen |
|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| THE SEVERITY OF INJURY/ILLNESS | Catastrophic (e.g fatal) | Moderate | Moderate | High | Critical | Critical |
| | Major Je g Permanent Disability) | Low | Moderate | Moderate | High | Critical |
| | Moderate (e.g Hospitalisation/Short or Long Term Disability) | Low | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | High |
| | Minor (e.g First Aid) | Very Low | Low | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| Contract of the Contract of th | Superficial (e.g. No Treatment Required) | Very Low | Very Low | Low | Low | Moderate |