

Linear Combination vs Common Divisor

Theorem: $\gcd(a,b) = \text{spc}(a,b)$

For example, the greatest common divisor of 52 and 44 is 4.
And 4 is a linear combination of 52 and 44:

$$6 \cdot 52 + (-7) \cdot 44 = 4$$

Furthermore, no linear combination of 52 and 44 is equal to a smaller positive integer.

To prove the theorem, we will prove:

$$\gcd(a,b) \leq \text{spc}(a,b)$$

$$\gcd(a,b) \mid \text{spc}(a,b)$$

$$\text{spc}(a,b) \leq \gcd(a,b)$$

$\text{spc}(a,b)$ is a common divisor of a and b

$GCD \leq SPC$

3. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, then $d \mid sa + tb$ for all s and t .

Proof of (3)

$$d \mid a \Rightarrow a = dk_1$$

$$d \mid b \Rightarrow b = dk_2$$

$$sa + tb = sdk_1 + tdk_2 = d(sk_1 + tk_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow d \mid (sa + tb)$$

$GCD \mid SPC$

Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. By definition, $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.

Let $f = \text{spc}(a, b) = sa + tb$

By (3), $d \mid f$. This implies $d \leq f$. That is $\gcd(a, b) \leq \text{spc}(a, b)$.

SPC \leq GCD

We will prove that $\text{spc}(a,b)$ is actually a common divisor of a and b .

First, show that $\text{spc}(a,b) \mid a$.

1. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\text{spc}(a,b)$ does not divide a .
2. Then, by the Division Theorem,
3.
$$a = q \times \text{spc}(a,b) + r \quad \text{and} \quad \text{spc}(a,b) > r > 0$$
4. Let $\text{spc}(a,b) = sa + tb$.
5. So $r = a - q \times \text{spc}(a,b) = a - q \times (sa + tb) = (1-qs)a + qtb$.
6. Thus r is an integer linear combination of a and b , and $\text{spc}(a,b) > r$.
7. This contradicts the definition of $\text{spc}(a,b)$, and so r must be zero.

Similarly, $\text{spc}(a,b) \mid b$.

So, $\text{spc}(a,b)$ is a common divisor of a and b , thus by definition $\text{spc}(a,b) \leq \text{gcd}(a,b)$.

Extended GCD Algorithm

How can we write $\gcd(a,b)$ as an integer linear combination?

This can be done by extending the Euclidean's algorithm.

Example: $a = 259$, $b = 70$

$$259 = 3 \cdot 70 + 49$$

$$49 = a - 3b$$

$$70 = 1 \cdot 49 + 21$$

$$21 = 70 - 49$$

$$21 = b - (a - 3b) = -a + 4b$$

$$49 = 2 \cdot 21 + 7$$

$$7 = 49 - 2 \cdot 21$$

$$7 = (a - 3b) - 2(-a + 4b) = \underline{3a - 11b}$$

$$21 = 7 \cdot 3 + 0$$

done, $\gcd = 7$

Extended GCD Algorithm

Example: $a = 899$, $b = 493$

$$899 = 1 \cdot 493 + 406 \quad \text{so } 406 = a - b$$

$$\begin{aligned} 493 &= 1 \cdot 406 + 87 & \text{so } 87 &= 493 - 406 \\ & & &= b - (a - b) = -a + 2b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 406 &= 4 \cdot 87 + 58 & \text{so } 58 &= 406 - 4 \cdot 87 \\ & & &= (a - b) - 4(-a + 2b) = 5a - 9b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 87 &= 1 \cdot 58 + 29 & \text{so } 29 &= 87 - 1 \cdot 58 \\ & & &= (-a + 2b) - (5a - 9b) = \underline{-6a + 11b} \end{aligned}$$

$$58 = 2 \cdot 29 + 0 \quad \text{done, gcd} = 29$$

Application of the Theorem

Theorem: $\gcd(a,b) = \text{spc}(a,b)$

Why is this theorem useful?

- (1) we can now “write down” $\gcd(a,b)$ as some concrete equation, (i.e. $\gcd(a,b) = sa+tb$ for some integers s and t), and this allows us to reason about $\gcd(a,b)$ much easier.
- (2) If we can find integers s and t so that $sa+tb=c$, then we can conclude that $\gcd(a,b) \leq c$.
In particular, if $c=1$, then we can conclude that $\gcd(a,b)=1$.

Prime Divisibility

Theorem: $\gcd(a,b) = \text{spc}(a,b)$

Lemma: p prime and $p|a \cdot b$ implies $p|a$ or $p|b$.

pf: say p does not divide a . so $\gcd(p,a)=1$.

So by the **Theorem**, there exist s and t such that

$$sa + tp = 1$$

$$(sa)b + (tp)b = b$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}$

$p|ab$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}$

$p|p$

Hence $p|b$

Cor : If p is prime, and $p|a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_m$ then $p|a_i$ for some i .

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Every integer, $n > 1$, has a *unique* factorization into primes:

$$p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$$

$$p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k = n$$

Example:

$$61394323221 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \cdot 37 \cdot 37 \cdot 53$$

Unique Factorization

Theorem: There is a unique factorization.

proof: suppose, by contradiction,

that there are numbers with two different factorization.

By the well-ordering principle, we choose the **smallest** such $n > 1$:

$$n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_m$$

Since n is smallest, we must have that $p_i \nmid q_j$ all i, j

(Otherwise, we can obtain a smaller counterexample.)

Since $p_1 \mid n = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_m$, so by Cor., $p_1 \mid q_i$ for some i .

Since both $p_1 = q_i$ are prime numbers, we must have $p_1 = q_i$.

contradiction!



Application of the Theorem

Theorem: $\gcd(a,b) = \text{spc}(a,b)$

Lemma. If $\gcd(a,b)=1$ and $\gcd(a,c)=1$, then $\gcd(a,bc)=1$.

By the **Theorem**, there exist s,t,u,v such that

$$sa + tb = 1$$

$$ua + vc = 1$$

Multiplying, we have $(sa + tb)(ua + vc) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow saua + savc + tbua + tbvc = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (sau + svc + tbu)a + (tv)bc = 1$$

By the **Theorem**, since $\text{spc}(a,bc)=1$, we have $\gcd(a,bc)=1$

Two Jug Puzzle

For two jugs with capacity A gallons and B gallons,
is it possible to fill up one jug with exactly c gallons
of waters

This question is not so easy to answer without number theory.

General Solution

Invariant:

Suppose that we have water jugs with capacities B and L .
Then the amount of water in each jug is always an integer linear combination of B and L .

Theorem: $\gcd(a, b) = \text{spc}(a, b)$

Corollary: Every linear combination of a and b is a multiple of $\gcd(a, b)$.

Corollary: The amount of water in each jug is a multiple of $\gcd(a, b)$.

General Solution

Corollary: The amount of water in each jug is a multiple of $\gcd(a,b)$.

Given jug of 3 and jug of 9, is it possible to have exactly 4 gallons in one jug?

NO, because $\gcd(3,9)=3$, and 4 is not a multiple of 3.

Given jug of 21 and jug of 26, is it possible to have exactly 3 gallons in one jug?

$\gcd(21,26)=1$, and 3 is a multiple of 1,
so this possibility has not been ruled out yet.

Theorem. Given water jugs of capacity a and b ,
it is possible to have exactly k gallons in one jug
if and only if k is a multiple of $\gcd(a,b)$.

General Solution

Theorem. Given water jugs of capacity a and b ,
it is possible to have exactly k gallons in one jug
if and only if k is a multiple of $\gcd(a,b)$.

Given jug of 21 and jug of 26, is it possible to have exactly 3 gallons in one jug?

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(21,26) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow 5 \times 21 - 4 \times 26 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow 15 \times 21 - 12 \times 26 &= 3\end{aligned}$$

Repeat 15 times:

1. Fill the 21-gallon jug.
2. Pour all the water in the 21-gallon jug into the 26-gallon jug.
Whenever the 26-gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

General Solution

$$15 \times 21 - 12 \times 26 = 3$$

Repeat 15 times:

1. Fill the 21-gallon jug.
2. Pour all the water in the 21-gallon jug into the 26-gallon jug.
Whenever the 26-gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

1. There must be exactly 3 gallons left after this process.
2. Totally we have filled 15×21 gallons.
3. We pour out some multiple t of 26 gallons.
4. The 26 gallon jug can only hold somewhere between 0 and 26.
5. So t must be equal to 12.
6. And there are exactly 3 gallons left.

General Solution

Given two jugs with capacity A and B with $A < B$, the target is C .

If $\gcd(A, B)$ does not divide C , then it is impossible.

Otherwise, compute $C = sA + tB$.

Repeat s times:

1. Fill the A -gallon jug.
2. Pour all the water in the A -gallon jug into the B -gallon jug.
Whenever the B -gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

The B -gallon jug will be emptied exactly t times.

After that, there will be exactly C gallons in the B -gallon jug.