# Predicting Property Prices: A Machine Learning Approach

Albina Cako, BSc York University, Certificate in Machine Learning
Colin Green, BSc York University, Certificate in Machine Learning
Lucy Zhang, BSc York University, Certificate in Machine Learning
Sean X. Zhang, MSc York University, Certificate in Machine Learning

The project focus on building a machine learning model for predicting house price in Toronto. Although House Price Index (HPI) is commonly used for estimating the changes in housing price but it is not perfect for individual housing price prediction due to high correlation of housing price and other factors such as house location, income distribution, area, and etc. This project evaluates four different model and chooses the best one for deployment with ShinyApp. The app created for both buyer and seller to have an estimated house price due the location and different attributes of the house, and help users to make decisions.

Keywords: house prices, machine learning, caret, shiny

#### Introduction

Purchasing a house is a big life decision for every individual and needs a considerable amount of research. Everyone has different purpose of buying houses, someone would prefer by the house at the best rate for living now, someone would buy houses for future investment. Selling the houses is also very important and needs to do research and decide what is the best leasing price. Commonly, people will ask advise from various websites, real estate agents or realtors before purchasing or leasing; However, due to the trend towards big data, house pricing prediction can be done by using machine learning strategies base on large amount of data from previous years more correctly. House Price Index (HPI) can measure the price changes of residential housing as a percentage change, In Canada the new Housing Price Index is calculated monthly by Statistics Canada. HPI is useful but because it is a rough indicator calculated from all transactions, it is inefficient for predicting a specific house with its attributes. The purpose of this project is to create an app for both buyers and sellers can easily check the predicted list price or final price based on the attributes of the house such as locations, square foot, number of bedrooms, etc.

The House Pricing Prediction app is created for estimate the house price for both buyer and seller based on different factors such as total Sqft, house locations, etc. The deployment was constructed using ShinyApp. An user friendly app for both buyer and seller, with simple click of factors users will get an estimated housing price. The app can be used for individual buyers who want to know the final price of the houses they are interested or for individual sellers to know what is the best listing price. The app uses regression model for prediction, which was trained by the data set of Toronto housing price. The housing price is strongly correlated with other factors, for increasing the model accuracy decreasing errors, it is important to try different factors and combinations. This project will comprehensively validate four different models: decision tree, random forest, K nearest neighbors, and gradient boosting machine. This report will go through data analysis, modeling implementation and provide an optimistic result for housing price prediction.

## *Objective*

The objective of this project was to evaluate the application of several machine learning algorithms to predict house prices in the City of Toronto. We also deployed an R Shiny app to aid property buyers and sellers in predicting prices based on selected features.

## Methodology

## Data Preprocessing

The housing dataset, originally shared on Github[1], was extracted from Zoocasa.com in 2019. The dataset contains all completed property sales in the city of Toronto within a 1-year span between 2018-2019. We then performed several data exploration and cleaning steps to prepare this data for modeling.

#### Missingness

We assessed the dataset for missing values, as missing data often introduce bias and reduce accuracy in machine learning models[??? and Quality of Life outcomes 2019]. Thus, missing values ought to be either imputed or removed before data modeling. We then determined whether missing data was Missing Completely at Random (MCAR), Missing at Random (MAR), or Missing Not at Random (MNAR). Should the data be MCAR, then it is acceptable to simply remove each observation that is missing, as doing so would not introduce bias to the remaining observations. However, if there was a correlation between missingness and other data features, then imputation must be performed[???]. Missingness correlation was assessed using the missing\_compare() function from the finalfit library, which applies the Kruskal Wallis correlation test for numerical variables and Chi-squared correlation test for categorical variables to determine correlation[@ finalfit]. Using the MICE package in R, we then applied the following imputation methods: 1) simple, which imputes a value from a simple random sample from the rest of the data; 2) mean, which imputes the average of all observations; 3) random forest, which applies a random forest algorithm; and 4) CART, which imputes by classification and regression trees. The distribution of the imputed data were evaluated with a density plot and an imputed dataset was chosen based on best fit[@ mice function].

#### Assessing Parametric Fit

Outliers were visualized with the boxplot() function. Data were considered outliers if they were less than Q1 - 1.5 X Inter-Quartile Range and greater Q3 + 1.5 X Inter-Quartile Range. Normality of the distribution of variables were visualized with density plots. A correlogram with Pearson's coefficient determined collinearity. Linear relationship between outcome variable and predictors was tested via scatterplots.

#### Data Curation

The following variables were removed as they did not have any data utility or were not easily parseable (i.e. free text): title, description, mls, type, full\_link, full\_address. A numeric 'bedrooms' column was created by combining bedrooms\_ag and bedrooms\_bg. We also removed district\_code and city\_district. Both were categorical variables with number of factors = 140; keeping these would significantly increase model training time[@ scientific reports prashant kumar]. We

also did not consider longitude and latitude, as including these variables in training sets would have required geocoding and district clustering; complexities which were outside our scope for this application. Mean\_district\_income was left as an approximation of the effect of districts on property price. After consultation with a real-estate expert, we decreased the number of property types by generalizing types to: Townhouse, Condo, Detached, Semi-Detached, and Plex. Thus, the predictors chosen were:

Table 1: Predictor variables

Label	Description
sqft	numeric
beds	numeric
bathrooms	numeric
parking	numeric
mean_district_income	numeric
type	categorical

We chose final\_price as the target variable. While the dataset also contained a list\_price variable. rather than training two models to predict on both list and final price, the predicted list price was instead approximated by a linear equation between list and final price from the original dataset.

## Modeling

The data contained a mix of categorical and numerical variables. These variables did not satisfy the many requirements of parametric models, such as variable independence, normally distributed data, and linear relationship with outcome[@ Casson, Robert J]. Thus, several non-parametric models were used instead. We trained four different models using k-fold cross validation. The models were then tuned using various grid searches to improve the accuracy. The final model was then chosen based on three accuracy metrics: Root Mean-Squared Error (RMSE), Pearson correlation ( $R^2$ ), and Mean Average Error (MAE).

Table 2: Non-parametric Models Used

Model	Description	Tuning parameters
Decision Tree	Decision trees repeatedly partition data at specific nodes until the data at the bottom of each branch (known as a leaf) is as homogenous as possible. The model increases in complexity with each additional partition and subsequently becomes more accurate.	cp (complexity)
Random Forest	Random Forest Model Random Forests are an ensemble learning method for classification and regression. This method will construct a multitude of decision trees and output the mean/average prediction problem for regression or the classes for classification problem. The algorithm can control the number of variable available for splitting at each tree or the number of trees to get a higher accuracy.	ntree, mtry

Model	Description	Tuning parameters
Boost- ing	Gradient Boosting Machines (gbm) begin with creating a preliminary 'weak learner' decision tree, then sequentially grows more trees that aim to reduce the error of the last one. The algorithm optimizes the loss function by minimizing the residuals at each iteration (difference between predicted and actual value).	n.trees, shrinkage, interaction.depth, n.minobssinnode
XGBoost	XGBoost uses ensemble learning, which is a systematic solution that combines the predictive power of multiple learners. It outputs a single model that gives the combined output from many models. This allows the opportunity to not rely on the results of a single machine learning model. In this particular model, the trees are built sequentially, such that the next tree focuses on reducing the errors of the previous tree.	nrounds, max_depth, eta, gamma, colsample_bytree, min_child_weight, subsample

 $<sup>1.\</sup> Spirin, S.\ (2020).\ Slavaspirin/toron to-housing-price-prediction\ Available\ at:\ https://github.\ com/slavaspirin/Toron to-housing-price-prediction\ [Accessed\ October\ 26,\ 2020].$