

Tidyverse - Dplyr

Joshua Hummell

4/8/2021

Contents

Tidyverse Vignette

```
library(dplyr)
```

Hands down my favorite R package in Tidyverse is Dplyr Dplyr allows for easy data manipulation and, therefore, is highly useful for everyday work!

```
murders <- read.csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/fivethirtyeight/data/master/murder_2016/murder_2016.csv')
```

Select data columns with ease

```
murders %>% select(state)
```

```
##           state
## 1      Maryland
## 2      Illinois
## 3         Texas
## 4         Ohio
## 5          D.C.
## 6      Wisconsin
## 7  Pennsylvania
## 8       Missouri
## 9      Tennessee
## 10      Missouri
## 11      Oklahoma
## 12      Kentucky
## 13      Colorado
## 14     California
## 15         Texas
## 16      New York
## 17      Florida
## 18      Minnesota
## 19      Nebraska
## 20     California
## 21         Alaska
```

22 North Carolina
23 Louisiana
24 New Mexico
25 Colorado
26 Indiana
27 California
28 North Carolina
29 Indiana
30 New Jersey
31 Oklahoma
32 Oregon
33 California
34 Ohio
35 Florida
36 California
37 Colorado
38 Nevada
39 California
40 California
41 Minnesota
42 California
43 North Carolina
44 New Jersey
45 Arizona
46 Texas
47 Virginia
48 California
49 Georgia
50 Nevada
51 Florida
52 North Carolina
53 Kansas
54 Arizona
55 Texas
56 California
57 Ohio
58 California
59 Arizona
60 California
61 California
62 Michigan
63 Washington
64 Texas
65 Arizona
66 Texas
67 Kentucky
68 Tennessee
69 Florida
70 Ohio
71 Hawaii
72 Texas
73 Nebraska
74 Florida
75 California

```
## 76      Alabama
## 77      California
## 78      Texas
## 79      Texas
## 80      Texas
## 81      Pennsylvania
## 82      Massachusetts
## 83      New York
```

easily filter data

```
murders %>%
  filter(city == 'Baltimore')
```

```
##      city      state X2014_murders X2015_murders change
## 1 Baltimore Maryland          211          344    133
```

Easily Aggregate Date

```
state <- murders %>%
  select(state, change) %>%
  group_by(state) %>%
  summarise(state_totals = sum(change)) %>%
  arrange(desc(state_totals))
state
```

```
## # A tibble: 34 x 2
##   state      state_totals
##   <chr>          <int>
## 1 Maryland          133
## 2 Illinois           67
## 3 California         60
## 4 Missouri           60
## 5 D.C.              57
## 6 Ohio              57
## 7 Wisconsin         55
## 8 Colorado           40
## 9 Texas             40
## 10 Oklahoma          37
## # ... with 24 more rows
```

and even join data

```
states_pop <- read.csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/jhumms/DATA607/main/state_populations.csv')
colnames(states_pop) <- tolower(colnames(states_pop))

murders_state <- left_join(state, states_pop, by='state')

murders_state
```

```
## # A tibble: 34 x 5
```

```
##   state      state_totals rank population percent.of.total
##   <chr>          <int> <int>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Maryland      133    19    6045680 1.82%
## 2 Illinois       67     5   12671821 3.86%
## 3 California     60     1   39512223 11.91%
## 4 Missouri       60    18    6137428 1.85%
## 5 D.C.           57    NA         NA <NA>
## 6 Ohio           57     7   11689100 3.52%
## 7 Wisconsin     55    20    5822434 1.75%
## 8 Colorado       40    21    5758736 1.74%
## 9 Texas          40     2   28995881 8.74%
## 10 Oklahoma      37    28    3956971 1.19%
## # ... with 24 more rows
```

and, if that weren't enough, you can even make aggregations across columns very easily!

```
murders_state$population <- as.numeric(murders_state$population)

murders_state %>%
  mutate(murder_rate_by_pop = (state_totals / population) *100) %>%
  arrange(desc(murder_rate_by_pop))
```

```
## # A tibble: 34 x 6
##   state      state_totals rank population percent.of.total murder_rate_by_pop
##   <chr>          <int> <int>      <dbl> <chr>          <dbl>
## 1 Maryland      133    19    6045680 1.82%          0.00220
## 2 Alaska        14    48     731545 0.22%          0.00191
## 3 Missouri       60    18    6137428 1.85%          0.000978
## 4 Wisconsin     55    20    5822434 1.75%          0.000945
## 5 Oklahoma      37    28    3956971 1.19%          0.000935
## 6 Colorado       40    21    5758736 1.74%          0.000695
## 7 New Mexico    13    36    2096829 0.63%          0.000620
## 8 Illinois       67     5   12671821 3.86%          0.000529
## 9 Nebraska       10    37    1934408 0.58%          0.000517
## 10 Ohio          57     7   11689100 3.52%          0.000488
## # ... with 24 more rows
```

Tidyverse Extend

Josh's vignette and his dataset caught my attention. It looks like the `murders` dataset contains 83 observations with 5 variables: City, State, 2014 murder count (`X2014_murders`), 2015 murder count (`X2015_murders`), and the difference between the two years (`change`).

Using `dplyr`'s `glimpse`¹ function, we can take a look at the data as well as their types.

```
glimpse(murders)

## Rows: 83
## Columns: 5
## $ city      <chr> "Baltimore", "Chicago", "Houston", "Cleveland", "Washing~
## $ state     <chr> "Maryland", "Illinois", "Texas", "Ohio", "D.C.", "Wiscon~
```

¹<https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/dplyr/versions/0.3/topics/glimpse>

```
## $ X2014_murders <int> 211, 411, 242, 63, 105, 90, 248, 78, 41, 159, 45, 56, 31~
## $ X2015_murders <int> 344, 478, 303, 120, 162, 145, 280, 109, 72, 188, 73, 81,~
## $ change          <int> 133, 67, 61, 57, 57, 55, 32, 31, 31, 29, 28, 25, 22, 22,~
```

From Josh's work, we can see there are 34 distinct states in `murder` dataset. We can also see the distinct cities listed using dplyr's `distinct`² function

```
murders %>%
  distinct(city)
```

```
##           city
## 1      Baltimore
## 2        Chicago
## 3        Houston
## 4      Cleveland
## 5      Washington
## 6      Milwaukee
## 7    Philadelphia
## 8      Kansas City
## 9      Nashville
## 10     St. Louis
## 11   Oklahoma City
## 12     Louisville
## 13         Denver
## 14   Los Angeles
## 15         Dallas
## 16     New York
## 17      Orlando
## 18    Minneapolis
## 19         Omaha
## 20     Sacramento
## 21      Anchorage
## 22 Charlotte-Mecklenburg
## 23     New Orleans
## 24    Albuquerque
## 25         Aurora
## 26     Fort Wayne
## 27     Long Beach
## 28         Durham
## 29    Indianapolis
## 30         Newark
## 31         Tulsa
## 32         Portland
## 33   San Francisco
## 34    Cincinnati
## 35         Tampa
## 36    Bakersfield
## 37   Colorado Springs
## 38     Las Vegas
## 39         Oakland
## 40     San Diego
## 41      St. Paul
```

²https://dplyr.tidyverse.org/reference/distinct_all.html?q=distinct

```
## 42      Anaheim
## 43      Greensboro
## 44      Jersey City
## 45      Mesa
## 46      Fort Worth
## 47      Virginia Beach
## 48      Irvine
## 49      Atlanta
## 50      Henderson
## 51      Jacksonville
## 52      Raleigh
## 53      Wichita
## 54      Chandler
## 55      Plano
## 56      Stockton
## 57      Toledo
## 58      Chula Vista
## 59      Phoenix
## 60      Riverside
## 61      San Jose
## 62      Detroit
## 63      Seattle
## 64      El Paso
## 65      Tucson
## 66      Arlington
## 67      Lexington
## 68      Memphis
## 69      St. Petersburg
## 70      Columbus
## 71      Honolulu
## 72      Laredo
## 73      Lincoln
## 74      Miami
## 75      Santa Ana
## 76      Mobile
## 77      Fresno
## 78      Austin
## 79      San Antonio
## 80      Corpus Christi
## 81      Pittsburgh
## 82      Boston
## 83      Buffalo
```

Next, suppose you wanted the top 10 cities with the most murders in the year 2015. In order to extract the top 10 cities, we would use the `top_n()`³ function as shown below. Note, if a variable is not specified in the function as we have below with `X2015_murders`, then the `top_n()` function will automatically extract the top `n` specified by the last column in the dataset.

```
murders %>%
  top_n(10, X2015_murders)
```

```
##           city           state X2014_murders X2015_murders change
```

³https://dplyr.tidyverse.org/reference/top_n.html

## 1	Baltimore	Maryland	211	344	133
## 2	Chicago	Illinois	411	478	67
## 3	Houston	Texas	242	303	61
## 4	Washington	D.C.	105	162	57
## 5	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	248	280	32
## 6	St. Louis	Missouri	159	188	29
## 7	Los Angeles	California	260	282	22
## 8	New York	New York	333	352	19
## 9	New Orleans	Louisiana	150	164	14
## 10	Detroit	Michigan	298	295	-3

Lets say now, instead of the top ten, you actually want the bottom 5 cities with the least murders from the year 2014. We can still use the `top_n()` function, the only difference will be is that we will add a minus (-) sign to the input.

```
murders %>%
  top_n(-5, X2014_murders)
```

##	city	state	X2014_murders	X2015_murders	change
## 1	Irvine	California	0	2	2
## 2	Henderson	Nevada	3	4	1
## 3	Chandler	Arizona	1	1	0
## 4	Plano	Texas	4	4	0
## 5	Chula Vista	California	7	6	-1
## 6	Lincoln	Nebraska	7	1	-6

Which cities had the greatest percent change in murder counts? The dataset already comes with a `chnage` column that give us the murders 2015 - murders 2014 value, however we would like to see this in a percentage. We can perform such calculation and add it as a new column using the `mutate()`⁴ function. Note, the `round()`⁵ from baseR is used to round our `percent_change` value to the 2 decimal places by wrapping our percent change function and specifying the two decimal places.

```
murders %>%
  mutate(percent_change = round(((X2015_murders-X2014_murders)/X2014_murders)*100,2))
```

##	city	state	X2014_murders	X2015_murders	change
## 1	Baltimore	Maryland	211	344	133
## 2	Chicago	Illinois	411	478	67
## 3	Houston	Texas	242	303	61
## 4	Cleveland	Ohio	63	120	57
## 5	Washington	D.C.	105	162	57
## 6	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	90	145	55
## 7	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	248	280	32
## 8	Kansas City	Missouri	78	109	31
## 9	Nashville	Tennessee	41	72	31
## 10	St. Louis	Missouri	159	188	29
## 11	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	45	73	28
## 12	Louisville	Kentucky	56	81	25
## 13	Denver	Colorado	31	53	22
## 14	Los Angeles	California	260	282	22

⁴<https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/plyr/versions/1.8.6/topics/mutate>

⁵<https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/base/versions/3.6.2/topics/Round>

## 15	Dallas	Texas	116	136	20
## 16	New York	New York	333	352	19
## 17	Orlando	Florida	15	32	17
## 18	Minneapolis	Minnesota	31	47	16
## 19	Omaha	Nebraska	32	48	16
## 20	Sacramento	California	28	43	15
## 21	Anchorage	Alaska	12	26	14
## 22	Charlotte-Mecklenburg	North Carolina	47	61	14
## 23	New Orleans	Louisiana	150	164	14
## 24	Albuquerque	New Mexico	30	43	13
## 25	Aurora	Colorado	11	24	13
## 26	Fort Wayne	Indiana	12	25	13
## 27	Long Beach	California	23	36	13
## 28	Durham	North Carolina	21	34	13
## 29	Indianapolis	Indiana	136	148	12
## 30	Newark	New Jersey	93	104	11
## 31	Tulsa	Oklahoma	46	55	9
## 32	Portland	Oregon	26	34	8
## 33	San Francisco	California	45	53	8
## 34	Cincinnati	Ohio	60	66	6
## 35	Tampa	Florida	28	34	6
## 36	Bakersfield	California	17	22	5
## 37	Colorado Springs	Colorado	20	25	5
## 38	Las Vegas	Nevada	122	127	5
## 39	Oakland	California	80	85	5
## 40	San Diego	California	32	37	5
## 41	St. Paul	Minnesota	11	16	5
## 42	Anaheim	California	14	18	4
## 43	Greensboro	North Carolina	23	26	3
## 44	Jersey City	New Jersey	24	27	3
## 45	Mesa	Arizona	13	16	3
## 46	Fort Worth	Texas	54	56	2
## 47	Virginia Beach	Virginia	17	19	2
## 48	Irvine	California	0	2	2
## 49	Atlanta	Georgia	93	94	1
## 50	Henderson	Nevada	3	4	1
## 51	Jacksonville	Florida	96	97	1
## 52	Raleigh	North Carolina	16	17	1
## 53	Wichita	Kansas	26	27	1
## 54	Chandler	Arizona	1	1	0
## 55	Plano	Texas	4	4	0
## 56	Stockton	California	49	49	0
## 57	Toledo	Ohio	24	24	0
## 58	Chula Vista	California	7	6	-1
## 59	Phoenix	Arizona	114	112	-2
## 60	Riverside	California	12	10	-2
## 61	San Jose	California	32	30	-2
## 62	Detroit	Michigan	298	295	-3
## 63	Seattle	Washington	26	23	-3
## 64	El Paso	Texas	21	17	-4
## 65	Tucson	Arizona	35	31	-4
## 66	Arlington	Texas	13	8	-5
## 67	Lexington	Kentucky	20	15	-5
## 68	Memphis	Tennessee	140	135	-5

## 69	St. Petersburg	Florida	19	14	-5
## 70	Columbus	Ohio	83	77	-6
## 71	Honolulu	Hawaii	21	15	-6
## 72	Laredo	Texas	14	8	-6
## 73	Lincoln	Nebraska	7	1	-6
## 74	Miami	Florida	81	75	-6
## 75	Santa Ana	California	18	12	-6
## 76	Mobile	Alabama	31	24	-7
## 77	Fresno	California	47	39	-8
## 78	Austin	Texas	32	23	-9
## 79	San Antonio	Texas	103	94	-9
## 80	Corpus Christi	Texas	27	17	-10
## 81	Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	69	57	-12
## 82	Boston	Massachusetts	53	38	-15
## 83	Buffalo	New York	60	41	-19
##	percent_change				
## 1	63.03				
## 2	16.30				
## 3	25.21				
## 4	90.48				
## 5	54.29				
## 6	61.11				
## 7	12.90				
## 8	39.74				
## 9	75.61				
## 10	18.24				
## 11	62.22				
## 12	44.64				
## 13	70.97				
## 14	8.46				
## 15	17.24				
## 16	5.71				
## 17	113.33				
## 18	51.61				
## 19	50.00				
## 20	53.57				
## 21	116.67				
## 22	29.79				
## 23	9.33				
## 24	43.33				
## 25	118.18				
## 26	108.33				
## 27	56.52				
## 28	61.90				
## 29	8.82				
## 30	11.83				
## 31	19.57				
## 32	30.77				
## 33	17.78				
## 34	10.00				
## 35	21.43				
## 36	29.41				
## 37	25.00				
## 38	4.10				

```
## 39      6.25
## 40     15.62
## 41     45.45
## 42     28.57
## 43     13.04
## 44     12.50
## 45     23.08
## 46      3.70
## 47     11.76
## 48      Inf
## 49      1.08
## 50     33.33
## 51      1.04
## 52      6.25
## 53      3.85
## 54      0.00
## 55      0.00
## 56      0.00
## 57      0.00
## 58    -14.29
## 59     -1.75
## 60    -16.67
## 61     -6.25
## 62     -1.01
## 63    -11.54
## 64    -19.05
## 65    -11.43
## 66    -38.46
## 67    -25.00
## 68     -3.57
## 69    -26.32
## 70     -7.23
## 71    -28.57
## 72    -42.86
## 73    -85.71
## 74     -7.41
## 75    -33.33
## 76    -22.58
## 77    -17.02
## 78    -28.12
## 79     -8.74
## 80    -37.04
## 81    -17.39
## 82    -28.30
## 83    -31.67
```

Using our `top_n()` function as well as leveraging the pipe operator multiple times, we can see which top 5 cities had the highest percent increases, and arrange them in descending order using the `arrange()`⁶ function.

```
murders %>%
  mutate(percent_change = round(((X2015_murders-X2014_murders)/X2014_murders)*100,2)) %>%
  top_n(5,percent_change) %>%
  arrange(desc(percent_change))
```

⁶<https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/dplyr/versions/0.7.8/topics/arrange>

##	city	state	X2014_murders	X2015_murders	change	percent_change
## 1	Irvine	California	0	2	2	Inf
## 2	Aurora	Colorado	11	24	13	118.18
## 3	Anchorage	Alaska	12	26	14	116.67
## 4	Orlando	Florida	15	32	17	113.33
## 5	Fort Wayne	Indiana	12	25	13	108.33