

COM1001 Introduction to Software Engineering

Team Project Mark Sheet — Team 31

Category	Mark	Comments
Amount of work completed. <i>What has been achieved in this iteration.</i>	62	A good number of stories implemented, application looks nice, now to need to finish the functionality up.
Organisation and Communication. <i>How organised your team has been — whether all team members have been fully participating and whether work has been distributed evenly. How good team communication has been. (All judged from the report, Git logs, Slack and team supervisory interactions.)</i>	68	Attendance at supervisory meetings has been good, Slack channels have been busy, team look well organised.
Report. <i>The quality of your team report. Does it detail all the requested information, including changes to stories, etc.</i>	72	You detail changes to the stories but don't give reasons why they changed. Everything else is good, Burndown chart should go to the end of iteration 2, however.
Code. <i>The quality and organisation of your code.</i>	68	Code architecture is generally good, but you should push your database interacting code to a library. This will obviate the need for more MiniTests. You've committed your <code>.sqlite</code> file to the repository. This is a binary file and changes will not be tracked by Git. A better alternative is to build the database from SQL commands (e.g., in a text file of SQL commands) managed by Rake. This will also allow you to have different database states which will be useful for testing. Make more use of code comments to say what files are for, and broadly what different functionality is doing.
Deployment. <i>How easy your system was to deploy and get working, from Git checkout to running the application.</i>	62	Deployment went without issues, but only because there was nothing yet of complexity to make it a problem. Consider using Rake and managing your database as part of it, as noted above.
Testing. <i>The quality of your automated tests. Justified levels of coverage. Documentation of manual tests.</i>	80	Very good testing — you're the best team for this. Mature Cucumber test suite. No documentation of any manual testing.
Overall Product. <i>The quality of your overall application as submitted for the first iteration — how usable is it, does it frequently crash or throw errors etc.</i>	62	It looks nice, but I agree with the client's comments summarised below.
Client Satisfaction. <i>The strength of the feedback from your client.</i>	65	The client enjoyed the presentation, which they felt was professionally done. The felt the application prioritised form over function, however.

Each of the above category marks is out of 100. The overall mark is the average of each of the category marks.

Overall Mark: 67.4%

COM1001 Introduction to Software Engineering

Team Project Mark Sheet — Team 31

Category	Mark	Comments
Amount of work completed. <i>What has been achieved in this iteration.</i>	72	A good amount of work completed for the second iteration. Pleasing to see that much of the feedback from iteration 1 has been taken into account.
Organisation and Communication. <i>How organised your team has been — whether all team members have been fully participating and whether work has been distributed evenly. How good team communication has been. (All judged from the report, Git logs, Slack and team supervisory interactions.)</i>	80	Team look very well organised, especially during the Easter vacation.
Report. <i>The quality of your team report. Does it detail all the requested information, including changes to stories, etc.</i>	80	Very good report, everything covered.
Code. <i>The quality and organisation of your code.</i>	80	Very well organised. Some more code comments would have not gone amiss.
Deployment. <i>How easy your system was to deploy and get working, from Git checkout to running the application.</i>	72	Deployed no problems, could have folded everything into a single Rake task (using task dependencies).
Testing. <i>The quality of your automated tests. Justified levels of coverage. Documentation of manual tests.</i>	85	Strong Cucumber test suite, rudimentary MiniTests, good documentation of manual testing. Your team wins the “best testers” award!
Overall Product. <i>The quality of your overall application as submitted for the first iteration — how usable is it, does it frequently crash or throw errors etc.</i>	72	Nice application with attention to UI design, most requirements implemented.
Client Satisfaction. <i>The strength of the feedback from your client.</i>	68	The clients were satisfied, felt the team’s presentation skills need a bit of improvement. Liked the system, although one or two requirements were not implemented.
Video Demo. <i>The quality of your video demonstration.</i>	72	Good video (although sound quality wasn’t always fantastic) covered the main points. Could have discussed testing strategy in more detail — did you just aim for slavish 100% coverage?

Each of the above category marks is out of 100. The overall mark is the average of each of the category marks.

Overall Mark: 0.0%