

An Instructional Design Case Study for Computer Science Education

Austin Cory Bart, Eli Tilevich, Clifford A. Shaffer, Dennis Kafura
{acbart, tilevich, shaffer, kafura}@vt.edu
Virginia Tech

ABSTRACT

Abstract will go here

Categories and Subject Descriptors

K.3.2 [Computer and Information Science Education]:
Computer Science Education

General Terms

Design, Human Factors, Reliability, Experimentation

Keywords

Instructional Design, Robert Gange, Dick & Carey

1. INTRODUCTION

Instructional Design is the systematic design of effective Learning Experiences. Its history stretches back into the 1940s.

However, there has been few reported cases of its usage in Computer Science Education.

This paper describes a specific, popular Instructional Design model through a Computer Science Education case study.

1.1 Roles

An Instructional Designer is expected to be the advocate for the learner. They are, first and foremost, dedicated to providing an optimal learning experience. The instructional designer may or may not be responsible for actually physically teaching the learners (indeed, some instructional materials are designed without any physical instructor at all).

1.2 Models of Instructional Design

A number of competing models ADDIE AGILE

In this case study, we use the Dick & Carey model of Instructional Design, originally published in 1978 but continually updated and refined since then. Figure 1 gives an overview of this model. The model is meant to be iterative.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Copyright 20XX ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$15.00.

One of the most influential[?] instructional theories is Gangé's Theory of Instruction, a complex Cognitivist model that categorizes different types of learning outcomes (e.g., "Verbal Information" such as reciting the definition of a term, "Attitudinal" such as choosing to not smoke) and breaks down the process of learning into nine coherent steps. Gangé stresses that different learning outcomes require very different instructional implementations of the general instructional model. This instructional theory informs the design of complete Instructional Design models such as the Dick and Carey model [?]. Although different ID models suggest different approaches to creating instructional materials, those materials are all typically patterned after Gangé's instructional events.


2. THE CASE STUDY

In this section, we will describe each phase of the D&C model and our results using the model. We took on the role of instructional designers to create new content for an introductory computing class on "Computational Thinking" for non-Computer Science majors. The students in this course are expected to have no prior programming experience and are taking the course as part of the university's general requirements. They come from many different disciplines, including the arts, sciences, engineering, agriculture, and more. Because the curriculum is largely designed from scratch, there are many opportunities for instructional design.

When reviewing our results, keep in mind that the model is meant to be iterative, and any results we have generated are the result of several iterations. Any work presented is therefore neither completed nor prototypical.

2.1 Identify Instructional Goal

The first step in the Dick & Carey Model is to identify the instructional goal(s) that you want to accomplish. Critically, it does not describe anything about how the instructor will teach the material – only what learners are expected to be able to do at the end of instruction. This goal can come from a variety of sources. The most obvious source is a department- or instructor- mandated curriculum, which may explicitly lay out what is expected of students. Goals can also come from a perceived gap in learners existing ability. In the full Dick & Carey model, it is possible that instruction is not needed. For instance, instead of teaching employees how to use complex software, the user interface of the software could be streamlined instead. Because instructional design can be a very time and energy consuming






Figure 2: The Abridged Skill Analysis Diagram

by their Big O values. Attitudinal Skills can be considered a special form of the other skills: to resolve it, simply consider what skill the behavior in the goal is most akin to.

In this case study, our instructional goal is best classified as an Intellectual Skill. Figure 2 is an abridged version of the Instructional Analysis Diagram created for the goal. The complete diagram is available in the online appendix.

2.3 Conduct Learner and Context Analysis

During the next phase, you will need to identify properties about the learners, the learning context, and the performance context. There are many factors involved in learner and context analysis.

Dick & Carey suggest 8 crucial factors: (1) entry skills, (2) prior knowledge of the topic area, (3) attitudes toward content and potential delivery system, (4) academic motivation, (5) educational and ability levels, (6) general learning preferences, (7) attitudes toward the organization giving the instruction, and (8) group characteristics.

2.4 Write Performance Objectives

For every Writing performance objectives is a simple, but repetitive, phase.

2.5 Develop Assessment Instruments

There are four kinds of assessments.

2.6 Develop Instructional Strategy

As software developers, it is extremely tempting to

2.7 Develop and Select Instructional Materials

Instructional Design Models such as Dick & Carey usually delay the design of instructional materials till the end of the entire process, focusing initially on analysis of the learners, the performance context, the instructional goal, and a host of other critical factors. At that point, a content delivery system is chosen based on its educational affordances and suitability for the learning experience being developed. This delay reflects the typical role of an Instructional Designer as a *consumer* of instructional technology – they survey the available techniques and technology (e.g., traditional lecture, essay writing, websites), and choose the one that fits their need.

2.7.1 Gagné's Instructional Events

- (1) **Gain Attention:** Stimulate the learner and motivate them to engage with the material.
- (2) **Describe Objectives:** Inform the learner will be able to do because of the instruction.
- (3) **Stimulating Recall of Prior Knowledge:** Remind students of their prior knowledge.
- (4) **Present the Material:** Using text, graphics, etc., give the instructional materials.
- (5) **Provide Guidance:** Give instructions on how to use the instructional materials.
- (6) **Elicit Performance:** Have the learners actively apply the new knowledge.
- (7) **Provide Feedback:** Show the learner what they did well and poorly on.
- (8) **Assess Performance:** Evaluate the learner on their performance
- (9) **Enhance Retention and Transfer:** Generalize the instruction by showing similar applications.

Figure 3: Gagné's Nine Events of Instruction

Phase	Student	Pretest	Posttest	Difference
1-1	#1	37.8%	100%	+62.2%
1-1	#2	51.8%	100%	+48.2%
1-1	#3	35.7%	84.6%	+49.1%
SG	#1	21.7%	93.3%	+71.6%
SG	#2	54.6%	100%	+45.4%
SG	#3	64.3%	91%	+26.7%
SG	#4	32.7%	80%	+47.3%
SG	#5	69.4%	91%	+21.6%
	Average	45.9%	92.5%	+46.6%
	StdDev	7.5%	16.6%	16.4%

Figure 4: Student Performance during Formative Evaluation

Gangé's nine events are summarized in Figure 3, taken indirectly from[?]. This model is described as an ordered, one-way sequence; however, in practice, some steps might be repeated and cycled between. For instance, very negative feedback might prompt the learner to return from step 7 to step 6. Modifications of the model have also been discussed in the literature. For example, to adapt for more Constructivist tastes, one could swap steps 4 and 6, so that students have the opportunity to engage with the materials before receiving instruction.

2.8 Conduct Formative Evaluation

2.9 Revise Instruction

2.10 Conduct Summative Evaluation

3. CONCLUSIONS

4. REFERENCES