



Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 311830
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Very Good	There is very good knowledge and understanding of the topic demonstrated in the summative submission. There is a good balance of theory and practice. I would just be a little more analytical of the points you think are absolutely key to the discussion for future presentations. This approach will allow a more consolidated discussion, that will allow you to present in a more evenly paced manner, as opposed to having to pack so much into the discussion, in what is relatively narrow time line.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very Good	Very good emerging criticality in that you do look at some positives and limitations of your chosen topic. The content does tend to be descriptive however for the most part, and so again really focus down on the key points you want to discuss in your topic, and evaluate on a deeper level what the pros and cons of the topic are to develop your critical academic tone of voice further. You do look at both theory and practice however, and this is a positive of the summative.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Very Good	Very good structure and presentation of the power point slides, with visual cohesion, images supporting text, citation and a list of references at the end.
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and	Very Good	You tend to speak quite quickly through the presentation, and so for future presentations of this nature you need to slow your delivery down. Identifying the main contexts for discussion and reflecting deep research on those points will enable a better paced delivery, as opposed to trying to cram



concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?		in too much in the discussion. The presentation is step-wise and logical, but you have to consider if you were presenting to an audience/group of peers you would need your audience to grasp all the nuances of the discussion and a slower delivery would allow this. There was some background noise in your audio and so for future either record in a quiet space or use headphones with a mic to cancel out background noise.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very Good	Very good breadth of research, covering the topic and the discussion. Regular citation occurred to show where your research came from. Just reflect upon all of the comments in this feedback here to support you and take forward for your future summative.
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Very good outcomes• With a very good grade overall• A consistent and holistic approach to this summative		
Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Slow your delivery down• Focus on critical points of the discussion and this will allow you to complete the summative without rushing through• Record in a quiet place to cancel out background noise, or use headphones with a mic to cancel out background noise		