Partial Differential Equations

PDE

Given a domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where d>1, we seek for $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^s$ where $s\in \mathbb{N}_0$, solution of a **stationary PDE** of order k:

$$F(x,t,u,
abla u\dots,
abla^{(k-1)}u,
abla^{(k)}u,g)=0$$

with $g:\Omega o\mathbb{R}^s$ a given function. Or, more explicitely as

$$\mathcal{P}(u,g) \equiv F(x,u,rac{\partial u}{\partial x_1},\ldots,rac{\partial u}{\partial x_d},rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1\partial x_1},\ldots,rac{\partial^{p_1+\cdots+p_d}u}{\partial^{p_1}x_1\,\partial^{p_2}x_2\ldots\partial^{p_d}x_d},g)=0,$$

where $p_1+\cdots+p_d\leq k$.

A non stationary PDE of order k reads: find $u:\Omega imes [0,T] o \mathbb{R}^s$

$$\mathcal{P}(u,g) \equiv F(x,t,u,rac{\partial u}{\partial t},rac{\partial u}{\partial x_1},\ldots,rac{\partial u}{\partial x_d},rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1\partial x_1},\ldots,rac{\partial^{p_0+p_1+\cdots+p_d}u}{\partial^{p_0}t\,\partial^{p_1}x_1\ldots\partial^{p_d}x_d},g)=0,$$

where $p_0 + \cdots + p_d \leq k$.

A classical solution of a PDE is a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega imes [0,T])$ that solves the previous equation.

Definition

If the PDE can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{P}(u,g) = a(x)u + b_0(x)\partial_t u + b_1(x)\partial_{x_1} u + \cdots + b_d(x)\partial_{x_d} u + c_{lpha(2,0,\ldots,0)}\partial_{tt} u + \cdots + \gamma_{lpha(p_0,\ldots,p_d)} rac{\partial^{p_0+\cdots+p_d} u}{\partial^{p_0}t\ \partial^{p_1}x_1\ldots\partial^{p_d}x_d} + \cdots - g = 0,$$

i.e., if the coefficitents of the unknown u and of its derivatives depend only on the independent variables (t,x), then the PDE is **linear**. Else, it is **nonlinear**.

Definitions

Consider a nonlinear PDE of order k

- if the coefficients of the derivatives of order k depend only on the independent variables (t, x), then the PDE is **semilinear**;
- if the coefficients of the derivates of order k depend on the independent variables (t, x) and on the partial derivatives of u of order at most k-1, then the PDE is **quasi-linear**;
- if it's not quasi-linear, its **fully nonlinear**.

Examples

• Reaction-advection-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u = u_{xx} + cu_x + u^2,$$

is semilinear.

• Inviscid Burgers' equation

$$\partial_t u + u u_x = 0,$$

is quasi-linear but not semilinear.

• The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_{xxx} u = 0,$$

is semilinear.

• The Monge-Ampère equation

$$u_{xx}u_{yy} - (u_{xy})^2 = 0$$

is fully nonlinear.

First order linear PDE , a.k.a. transport equation

$$u_t + u_x = 0$$

How do I found a general solution?

Let's try this change of variables

$$(x,t) o (\xi,\eta), \qquad \xi(x,t) = x+t, \, \eta(x,t) = x-t$$

with inverse

$$x=rac{\xi+\eta}{2}, t=rac{\xi-\eta}{2}.$$

I substitute the new variables: $v(\xi,\eta):=u(x(\xi,\eta),t(\xi,\eta))$

$$egin{aligned} u_x &= v_\xi \xi_x + v_\eta \eta_x = v_\xi + v_\eta \ u_t &= v_\xi \xi_t + v_\eta \eta_t = v_\xi - v_\eta \end{aligned}$$

obtaining a new PDE

$$0=u_t+u_x=2v_\xi\Longleftrightarrow v_\xi=0.$$

Implica che $v(\xi,\eta)=f(\eta)$ with $f\in\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Going back to the original variables

$$u(x,t) = v(\xi(x,t), \eta(x,t)) = f(\xi(x,t)) = f(x-t)$$

Characteristic lines

$$u_t + u_x = 0, \qquad u(x,t) = v(\xi(x,t),\eta(x,t)) = f(\xi(x,t)) = f(x-t). \ X_{x_0}(t) = x_0 + t$$

Generalization to different coefficients

$$a(t,x)u_t+b(t,x)u_x+cu(t,x)=g(t,x), (t,x)\in\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2.$$

Well defined (non-singular and \mathcal{C}^1) transformation $(t,x)\Leftrightarrow (\xi,\eta)$, i.e.,

$$\left|rac{\partial(\xi,\eta)}{\partial(t,x)}
ight|:=\left|egin{pmatrix} \xi_t & \xi_x \ \eta_t & \eta_x \end{pmatrix}
ight|=\xi_t\eta_x-\xi_x\eta_t
eq 0.$$

Change of variables: $u_t = v_\xi \xi_t + v_\eta \eta_t, \ u_x = v_\xi \xi_x + v_\eta \eta_x,$ giving

$$(a\xi_t+b\xi_x)v_\xi+(a\eta_t+b\eta_x)v_\eta+cv=g(t(\xi,\eta),x(\xi,\eta))$$

Goal: simplify the previous equation, we choose η such that

$$a\eta_t + b\eta_x = 0,$$

so that we obtain an ODE for every η

$$v_{\xi}+rac{c}{a\xi_{t}+b\xi_{x}}v=rac{g(t(\xi,\eta),x(\xi,\eta))}{a\xi_{t}+b\xi_{x}}.$$

Generalization to different coefficients

To obtain $a\eta_t+b\eta_x=0$, one should notice that, w.l.o.g., we are looking for a curve x(t) such that $\eta(t,x(t))=\eta_0$ constant for every t.

$$0=rac{d\eta(t,x(t))}{dt}=\eta_t+\eta_xrac{\partial x}{\partial t}\Longrightarrowrac{\eta_t}{\eta_x}=-\partial_t x(t)$$

Hence, we have

$$rac{\eta_t}{\eta_x} = -rac{b}{a} \Longleftrightarrow \partial_t x(t) = rac{b}{a}.$$

Integrating this equation, one obtains the curve x(t), leading to the definition of $\eta(t,x)$ solving for the constant η_0 .

Example

$$xu_t - tu_x = 1$$

Example

$$xu_{t} - tu_{x} = 1$$

$$(x\xi_{t} - t\xi_{x})v_{\xi} + (x\eta_{t} - t\eta_{x})v_{\eta} = 1$$

$$(x\eta_{t} - t\eta_{x}) = 0$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{t}{x}$$

$$\int x dx = \int -t dt$$

$$x = \sqrt{\eta_{0}^{2} - t^{2}}$$

$$\eta(t, x) := \sqrt{t^{2} + x^{2}}$$

$$\eta_{t} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^{2} + x^{2}}}, \quad \eta_{x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{t^{2} + x^{2}}},$$

$$\xi(t, x) = \arctan(x/t)$$

$$t = \eta \cos(\xi), \quad x = \eta \sin(\xi),$$

$$(-\eta \sin(\xi) \frac{\eta \sin(\xi)}{\eta^{2}} - \eta \cos(\xi) \frac{\eta \cos(\xi)}{\eta^{2}})v_{\xi} = 1, \quad v = -\xi + f(\eta) \quad u = -\arctan(x/t) + f(x^{2} + t^{2}).$$

Homework

- ullet Solve $u_x-2u_y=0$
- ullet Solve $yu_x-xu_y+uy=xy$

Second order linear PDE in 2D

Consider the PDE on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\mathcal{P}(u,g) = A\partial_{xx}u + Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} + Du_x + Eu_y + Fu - g = 0 \quad orall (x,y) \in \Omega$$

where $u\in\mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ and $A,B,C\in\mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ and they do not vanish simultaneously. Let's **classify** the PDE depending on the *discriminant*

$$\Delta := B^2 - 4AC.$$

Definition

- If $\Delta>0$ the PDE is said to be hyperbolic (at a point (x,y))
- ullet If $\Delta=0$ the PDE is said to be parabolic (at a point (x,y))
- ullet If $\Delta < 0$ the PDE is said to be elliptic (at a point (x,y))

• Hyperbolic example: wave equation

$$\partial_{tt}u - c\partial_{xx}u = 0 \text{ with } c > 0$$

Indeed, $\Delta=4c>0$.

• Parabolic example: heat equation

$$\partial_t u - c \partial_{xx} u = 0 \text{ with } c > 0$$

Indeed, $\Delta=0$.

• Elliptic example: Poisson equation

$$-c\partial_{xx}u - c\partial_{yy}u = -c\Delta u = f \text{ with } c > 0$$

Indeed, $\Delta=-4c^2<0$.

• Changing sign example: Tricomi equation

$$yu_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$

$$\Delta = -4y$$
.

Theorem

The sign of the discriminant Δ is invariant under smooth non-singular transformation of coordinates (i.e. under a change of variables).

Proof 1/2

We focus only on the second order terms as the first order ones do not contribute to the discriminant. Suppose we perform a smooth change of variables $(x,y)\mapsto (\xi,\eta)$, given by a diffeomorphism. Under this transformation, the second-order derivatives transform as follows:

$$egin{align} u_{xx} &= lpha^2 u_{\xi\xi} + 2lphaeta u_{\xi\eta} + eta^2 u_{\eta\eta}, \ u_{xy} &= lpha\gamma u_{\xi\xi} + (lpha\delta + eta\gamma)u_{\xi\eta} + eta\delta u_{\eta\eta}, \ u_{yy} &= \gamma^2 u_{\xi\xi} + 2\gamma\delta u_{\xi\eta} + \delta^2 u_{\eta\eta}, \ \end{aligned}$$

where

$$lpha = rac{\partial x}{\partial \xi}, \quad eta = rac{\partial x}{\partial \eta}, \quad \gamma = rac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}, \quad \delta = rac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}.$$

Proof 2/2

Rewriting the PDE in the new coordinates, the transformed coefficients A', B', C' are given by

$$A' = Alpha^2 + Blpha\gamma + C\gamma^2, \ B' = 2Alphaeta + B(lpha\delta + eta\gamma) + 2C\gamma\delta, \ C' = Aeta^2 + Beta\delta + C\delta^2.$$

Now, computing the transformed discriminant:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta' &= B'^2 - 4A'C' \ &= (2Alphaeta + B(lpha\delta + eta\gamma) + 2C\gamma\delta)^2 \ &- 4(Alpha^2 + Blpha\gamma + C\gamma^2)(Aeta^2 + Beta\delta + C\delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding both terms and simplifying, we find that

$$\Delta' = (B^2 - 4AC)(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^2 = \Delta \det(J)^2,$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation. Since $\det(J)^2 \geq 0$, the sign of Δ remains unchanged. This proves the invariance of the discriminant sign under a change of variables.

Hyperbolic canonical form

Consider the wave equation

$$\partial_{tt}u - c\partial_{xx}u = 0$$

with c>0. We can find a change of variables $(x,t)\mapsto (\xi,\eta)$ such that the PDE simplifies to

$$\partial_{\xi\eta}v=0.$$

The map is defined by

$$\eta = x + t, \quad \xi = x - t.$$

This is the canonical form of a hyperbolic PDE. The general solution is given integrating in ξ and then in η , i.e.,

$$v(\xi,\eta) = \int^{\xi} \int^{\eta} \partial_{wz} v(w,z) \, dz \, dw = \int^{\xi} f(w) dw = F(\xi) + G(\eta),$$

where $\partial_{\xi}F(\xi)=f(\xi)$.

So, the general solution of the wave equation is

$$u(x,t) = F(x-t) + G(x+t).$$

Hyperbolic canonical form: can we always get it?

Consider just the second order terms of the hyperbolic PDE $\Delta=B^2-4AC>0$.

$$A\partial_{xx}u + Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} = 0.$$

We look for a change of variables $(x,y)\mapsto (\xi,\eta)$ such that the PDE simplifies to

$$\partial_{\xi\eta}v=0.$$

The transformation can be applied noting that

$$egin{aligned} u_{xx} &= v_{\xi\xi}(\xi_x)^2 + 2v_{\xi\eta}\xi_x\eta_x + v_{\eta\eta}(\eta_x)^2, \ u_{xy} &= v_{\xi\xi}\xi_x\xi_y + v_{\xi\eta}(\xi_x\eta_y + \xi_y\eta_x) + v_{\eta\eta}\eta_x\eta_y, \ u_{yy} &= v_{\xi\xi}(\xi_y)^2 + 2v_{\xi\eta}\xi_y\eta_y + v_{\eta\eta}(\eta_y)^2, \end{aligned}$$

The transformed PDE reads

$$(A\xi_x^2 + B\xi_x\xi_y + C\xi_y^2)v_{\xi\xi} + (2A\xi_x\eta_y + B(\xi_x\eta_y + \xi_y\eta_x) + 2C\xi_y\eta_x)v_{\xi\eta} + (A\eta_x^2 + B\eta_x\eta_y + C\eta_y^2)v_{\eta\eta} = 0.$$

Computation space

$$(A\xi_x^2 + B\xi_x\xi_y + C\xi_y^2)v_{\xi\xi} + (2A\xi_x\eta_y + B(\xi_x\eta_y + \xi_y\eta_x) + 2C\xi_y\eta_x)v_{\xi\eta} + (A\eta_x^2 + B\eta_x\eta_y + C\eta_y^2)v_{\eta\eta} = 0.$$

We want to find the change of variables such that

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} A\xi_{x}^{2} + B\xi_{x}\xi_{y} + C\xi_{y}^{2} &= 0 \ A\eta_{x}^{2} + B\eta_{x}\eta_{y} + C\eta_{y}^{2} &= 0 \end{aligned}
ight.$$

These are first order PDE, so we are looking for characteristics curves such that $\xi(x,y) = \text{const}$, if we find a curve, for example y(x) such that $\xi(x,y(x)) = \text{const}$, then

$$rac{d\xi}{dx} = rac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} + rac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} rac{dy}{dx} = 0 \Longrightarrow rac{dy}{dx} = -rac{\partial_x \xi}{\partial_y \xi}.$$

From the first PDE, we then get

$$Aigg(rac{dy}{dx}igg)^2-Brac{dy}{dx}+C=0,$$

which is called the characteristic equation for the original PDE. This is quadratic equation in $\frac{dy}{dx}$ with $\Delta=B^2-4AC>0$. The two distinct solutions are

$$rac{dy}{dx} = rac{+B \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}.$$

From this we can get the transformation $(x,y)\mapsto (\xi,\eta)$ as we did in the linear PDE.

Computation space

Example

$$u_{tt} + u_{tx} = 0 \ (\xi_t^2 + \xi_t \xi_x) u_{\xi\xi} + (2\xi_t \eta_t + \xi_t \eta_x + \xi_x \eta_t) u_{\xi\eta} + (\eta_t^2 + \eta_t \eta_x) u_{\eta\eta} = 0$$

The equations for ξ and η are the same equations.

We look for a curve y(x) such that $\xi(x,y(x))=\mathrm{const}$, i.e., $\xi(x,y(x))=x+y(x)=\mathrm{const}$ and that

$$egin{aligned} & \xi_t^2 + \xi_t \xi_x = 0 \ & rac{\xi_t^2}{\xi_x^2} + rac{\xi_t}{\xi_x} = 0 \ & \left(rac{dx}{dt}
ight)^2 - rac{dx}{dt} = 0 \ & rac{dx}{dt} = iggl\{ _1^0 \Longrightarrow x(t) = iggl\{ _{\eta_0 + t}^{\xi_0} \) \ \Longrightarrow & \eta = x - t, \quad \xi = x. \end{aligned}$$

What if we try to do the same with a parabolic PDE?

$$rac{dx}{dt} = -rac{B\pm\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} = -rac{B}{2A}.$$

There is only one characteristic curve. So, choosing $\xi=2Ax+Bt$ and $\eta=x$ we get the canonical form

$$Arac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \xi^2}=0,$$

with the general solution $v(\xi,\eta)=F(\eta)+\xi G(\eta).$

What if we try to do the same with an elliptic PDE?

There is no characteristics that is conserved. But, one can instead eliminate the coefficient of $u_{\xi\eta}$ to obtain the canonical form for the elliptic PDE. Using $\eta=t$ and $\xi=\frac{2Ax-Bt}{\sqrt{\Delta}}$, we get

$$A\left(rac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \xi^2} + rac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \eta^2}
ight) = 0.$$

Existence, uniqueness and well-posedness

For the PDEs above we have found classes of solutions. How can we find unique solutions to specific problems? What should we need to specify?

Definition (Cauchy problem)

Consider a PDE of order k in $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ and let S be a given smooth surface on \mathbb{R}^d . Let also n=n(x) denote the unit normal vector to the surface S at a point $x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_d)\in S$. Suppose that on any point x of the surface S the values of the solution S0 and of all its directional derivatives up to order S1 in the direction of S2 are given, i.e., we are given functions S3. Suppose that on

$$u(x)=f_0(x), ext{ and } rac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x)=f_1(x), ext{ and } rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2}(x)=f_2(x), \ldots, ext{ and } rac{\partial^{k-1} u}{\partial n^{k-1}}(x)=f_{k-1}(x).$$

The **Cauchy problem** consists of finding the unknown function(s) u that satisfy simultaneously the PDE and the conditions above, which are called the **initial conditions** (ICs) and the given functions $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$, will be referred to as the initial data.

According to the role of the ICs they can be called also **boundary conditions** (BCs).

Examples (Cauchy problem for transport equation)

$$egin{cases} u_t+u_x=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2,\ u(0,x)=\sin(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Here, $S = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t = 0\}$.

The general solution of the transport equation is u(x,t)=f(x-t), so that the initial condition reads $f(x)=\sin(x)$, i.e., $u(x,t)=\sin(x-t)$.

Examples (Cauchy problem for wave equation)

$$egin{cases} u_{tt}-u_{xx}=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2,\ u(t,0)=\sin(t), & t\in\mathbb{R},\ u_x(t,0)=0, & t\in\mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

In this case, $S=\{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2:x=0\}$ and $n=(n_t,n_x)=(0,-1)$. The general solution of the wave equation is u(t,x)=f(x-t)+g(x+t), so that the initial (boundary) conditions read $f_0(t)=\sin(t)$ and $f_1(t)=0$, so

$$\begin{cases} f(-t)+g(t)=\sin(t),\\ f'(-t)+g'(t)=0, \end{cases} \Longrightarrow f(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}\sin(-\xi), \quad g(\eta)=\frac{1}{2}\sin(\eta),$$

so,
$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sin(x+t) + \sin(-x+t)).$$

Theorem (Cauchy-Kovalesvskaya Theorem)

Consider a Cauchy problem for a linear PDE, let x^0 be a point of the initial surface S, which is assumed to be analytic (very regular). Suppose that S is not a characteristic surface at the point x^0 . Assume that all the coefficients of the linear PDE, the right-hand side g, and all the initial data $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1}$ are analytic functions on a neighbourhood of the point x^0 . Then, the Cauchy problem has a solution u, defined in the neighbourhood of x^0 . Moreover, the solution u is analytic in a neighbourhood of x^0 and it is unique in the class of analytic functions.

• Assumptions: Regularity

• Outcome: Existence

• Outcome: Uniqueness

• Outcome: Regularity of the solution

• Is this enough? No, the solution might still mis-behave

Well-posedness

Definition

A PDE problem is well-posed if:

- 1. The PDE has a solution
- 2. The solution is unique
- 3. The solution depends continuously on the PDE coefficients and on the problem data (IC/BC)

If the PDE problem is not well-posed, we say it is ill-posed.

Exercise

Show that the solution of the Cauchy problem for the wave equation

$$egin{cases} \partial_{tt}u-\partial_{xx}u=0,\ u(t,0)=f(t),\ u_x(t,0)=g(t) \end{cases}$$

for some known BCs f and g is given by the d'Alembert's formula

$$u(t,x) = rac{1}{2}(f(t-x) + f(t+x)) + rac{1}{2}\int_{t-x}^{t+x} g(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Show that the Cauchy problem is well-posed (skipping the uniqueness). *

Exercise * Dubrovin's notes

1. Find the solution of the Laplace equation on $\Omega = [0,2\pi]$ for various k

$$egin{cases} \partial_{tt}u+\partial_{xx}u=0,\ u(0,x)=0,\ u_t(0,x)=rac{\sin(kx)}{k},\ u(t,0)=u(t,2\pi). \end{cases}$$

Steps:

- $ullet u_k = rac{a_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty [a_n(t)\cos(nx) + b_n(t)\sin(nx)]$
- Substitute in the equation and find the general solution using the method of separation of variables
- $\partial_{tt}a_n(t)=n^2a_n(t)$ for all n with $a_n(0)=0, \partial_ta_n(0)=0$
- $\partial_{tt}b_n(t)=n^2b_n(t)$ for all n with $b_n(0)=0,$ $\partial_tb_n(0)=0$ for n
 eq k, $\partial_tb_k(0)=1/k$.
- $u_k(t,x) = \frac{1}{k^2}\sin(kx)\sinh(kt)$
- 2. Even if $\sup_x |u_k(0,x)| + |\partial_t u_k(0,x)|$ is small, we can find large enough k so that for any time $t_0 > 0 \sup_x |u_k(t_0,x)| + |\partial_t u_k(t_0,x)|$ is large.

Theorem *

Let $u_k(t,x)=\frac{1}{k^2}\sin(kx)\sinh(kt)$. For any positive ε,M,t_0 there exists an integer K such that for any k>K the initial data satisfies $\sup_x|u_k(0,x)|+|\partial_t u_k(0,x)|<\varepsilon$ but the solution at the time t_0 satisfies $\sup_x|u_k(t_0,x)|+|\partial_t u_k(t_0,x)|>M$.

Proof: Choosing an integer K_1 satisfying $K_1>\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ we will have the initial condition inequality for any $k\geq K_1$. In order to obtain a lower estimate of the second form at time t_0 let us first observe that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,2\pi]} (|u_k(x,t)| + |\partial_t u_k(x,t)|) = rac{1}{k^2} \mathrm{sinh}(kt) + rac{1}{k} \mathrm{cosh}(kt) > rac{1}{k^2} e^{kt}$$

where we have used an obvious inequality $\frac{1}{k} > \frac{1}{k^2}$ for k > 1.

The function $y=rac{e^x}{x^2}$ is monotone increasing for x>2 and $\lim_{x o +\infty}rac{e^x}{x^2}=+\infty$.

Hence for any $t_0>0$ there exists x_0 such that $rac{e^x}{x^2}>rac{M}{t_0^2}$ for $x>x_0$.

Let K_2 be a positive integer satisfying $K_2 > \frac{x_0}{t_0}$.

Then for any $k>K_2$

$$rac{e^{kt_0}}{k^2} = t_0^2 rac{e^{kt_0}}{k^2 t_0^2} > t_0^2 rac{e^{x_0}}{x_0^2} > M.$$

Choosing $K = \max(K_1, K_2)$ we complete the proof of the Theorem.

Take home message

Not all boundary conditions are suitable for having a well-posed problem.