topic	question	participant 1	participant 2	participant 3	participant 4	participant 5	participant 6	participant 7	participant 9
total workshop evaluation	14) I particularly enjoyed:	- nice working atmosphere - motivation for more work in open science - getting to know all the OSci resources	open, nice atmosphere nice trainer	data management inspiration of what can be done in open science	- to know more deeply what open science means and how to do it - to learn how to do sheets that are reproducible and easily understandable to other researchers - to discover new platforms, programms and [?] that can be helpful to practice open science	nice atmosphere		good composition of lecture and tutorials	presenting whole spectrum of topics, comments [?] and tools. I took from the workshop many ideas that are worthy of developing for my work in the future
	15) The following aspects could be improved:	a little more info about <u>code</u> organization in addition to data	explain what the single parts of the workshop are good for, still don't know why creating a homepage is related to open science	too hard for me to follow: I didn't use the software a lot before & I did not always get what we were doing & what it is good for	I think it could be very nice to start the workshop talking about the importance of open science to the scientific community and also for the society		an example and rushed other times far too fast through an explanation	structure of the topics, better introduction on Git: What is it and what is it for? Was for me not clear after day 1.	I think the level in practical exercises was set quite high, especially the second day. Many attendees of the workshop were getting lost in the technicalities and the time could have been used better, so that majority, not minority would benefit.
	16) Other topics I would be interested in:		- what format should my data have, when I upload them - should I publish preprints? Why? - why and how do I preregister my projects	to go through the whole process starting with platforms providing pre- registration options & not starting with data publishing> or making this clear in the announcement of the workshop				- application of open science - meta analysis via open science	more hands-on experience with simple tasks in modern OA tools. In a step-by-step manner
	17) Further comments:		- workshop was focused on R (specific software) too strongly - didn't want to learn how to plot in R, wanted to learn about open science - didn't want to create a homepage - there are still a lot of open questions concerning open science				I expected from this workshop to structure my knowledge about open science and replicability. I learned a lot and I am quite overwhelmed now.	task using "R" was too complex for people with no experiences in "R"	

Comment: 8 out of 9 participants answered these questions