DTS204TC Coursework Explanation

Overview

- Two Tasks
- Individual work
- 100%
- Last 2 years average mark: around 64

• Resit is difficult

		5504			
Tool 1		\mathcal{E}_{∞}			
Task 1		-50			
	✓	5			
• 60%	orld Ranking				
		-01,			
 Dataset: Badminton W 	orld Ranking				
	A	5 . c	D	Е	F
 Data Range: Top 20 1 	ranking player_name	jorsey_name	country	tournament	points
2	1 Viktor Axelsen	AXELSEN	Denmark	15	101355
3	2 Anthony Sinisuka Ginting	GINTING	Indonesia	19	86611
4	3 Shi Feng Li	LI	China	23	81948
5	4 Jonatan Christie	CHRISTIE	Indonesia	18	81871
6	5 Koda Naraoka	NARAOKA	Japan	23	80915
7	6 Kunlayut Vitidsarn	VITIDSARN	Thailand	18	79778
8	TYD OI SHO	SHI	China	21	76874
	8 H. S Prannoy	PRANNOY	India	19	76037
10	9 Kean Yew Loh	LOH	Singapore	23	71062
11	10 Anders Antonsen	ANTONSEN	Denmark	19	66610
	Zii Jia Lee	LEE	Malaysia	23	66496
	12 Kenta Nishimoto	NISHIMOTO	Japan	25	61757
14	13 Tien Chen Chou	CHOU	Chinese Taipei	25	60867
	14 Guang Zu Lu	LU	China	21	60850
	15 Tze Yong Ng	NG	Malaysia	24	59940
	16 Kanta Tsuneyama	TSUNEYAMA	Japan	23	59721
18 19 20	17 Lakshya Sen	SEN	India	21	57851
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18 Hong Yang Weng 19 Cheuk Yiu Lee	WENG	China Kong China	20 25	56440 55934
20 21	20 Ka Long Angus Ng	LEE NG	Hong Kong China Hong Kong China	24	54522
21	20 Ra Long Angus Ng	IIII	Chinasa Tainai	24	40220

Task 1

What are you going to present in the visualization?

- Number of players from each country/region

- Sum of points of each country/region

- (interaction) Highest ranking of each country region

(interaction) Names and corresponding points of players from each country

/region

1 ra 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2 3 4 5	player_name Viktor Axelsen Anthony Sinisuka Ginting Shi Feng Li Jonatan Garistie	iorsey_name AXELSEN GINTING	country Denmark Indonesia	tournament po 15 19	101355
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2 3 4 5	Anthony Sinisuka Ginting Shi Feng Li		Indonesia		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	3 4 5	Shi Feng Li	GINTING		19	00011
5 6 7 8 9	4 5					86611
6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5	Jonatan Christie		China	23	81948
7 8 9			CHRISTIE	Indonesia	18	81871
9 10		Kodai Naraoka	NARAOKA	Japan	23	80915
9 10	6	Kunlavut Vitidsam	VITIDSARN	Thailand	18	79778
10	7	Yy Qi Shi	SHI	China	21	76874
		H. S. Prannoy	PRANNOY	India	19	76037
11	9	Kean Yew Lob	LOH	Singapore	23	71062
		Anders Antonsen	ANTONSEN	Denmark	19	66610
12		Zii Jia Lee	LEE	Malaysia	23	66496
13		Kenta Nishimoto	NISHIMOTO	Japan	25	61757
14		Tien Chen Chou	CHOU	Chinese Taipei	25	60867
		Guang Zu Lu	LU	China	21	60850
16	15	Tze Yoyig Ng	NG	Malaysia	24	59940
17	16	Kanta Tsuneyama	TSUNEYAMA	Japan	23	59721
18	17	Lakshya Sen	SEN	India	21	57851
	18	Hong Yang Weng	WENG	China	20	56440
20	19	Cheuk Yiu Lee	LEE	Hong Kong China	25	55934
21		Ka Long Angus Ng	NG	Hong Kong China	24	54522

- - It's *not* a task that I give you the example and you re-provide it by D3.
- Why is no example provided in the task 1?3.
 It's not a task that I give you the example are you need to design the visualization design by programming sign → Lect. - You need to design the visualization by wurself and then complete your

 - Programming → Lecture 2

Task 2

- Analyze and compare 2 existing data visualization from the same data source
- Please do click the links in the coursework paper since you may need to experience the interaction and animation.
- The empty form is provided. What you need to do is to fill in the form based on your own understanding.

• Lecture D1

Task 2

- On Week 12 Monday Lecture, Dr. Hua Zhen will take Task 2 from last 2 years' coursework as examples for the case study material.
- We hope everyone will actively participate in the discussion. You are also welcome to ask questions at that time.