Quadratic Form and Covariance Matrix

Sim, Min Kyu, Ph.D., mksim@seoultech.ac.kr



- I. Notice & Review
- II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix
- III. Covariance matrix & Principal component analysis
- IV. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition

I. Notice & Review •00

I. Notice & Review

- θ can differ, what does it mean?
 - $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

I. Notice & Review 000

- Lin. Reg. reflects reality (
- Small θ
 - Ax and b are
- Lin. Reg. reflects reality (
- Large θ Ax and b are
 - Lin. Reg. reflects reality (
- $\theta = 90^{\circ}$
 - Lin. Reg. reflects reality (
- $Cos \theta =$
 - measures explanatory power in percentage term
 - measures the percentage of variations explained by linear regression
- One can apply cosine law to find \mathbb{R}^2 as well by

$$|\mathbf{b}|^2 = |A\hat{\mathbf{x}}|^2 + |\mathbf{b} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}}|^2 + 2|A\hat{\mathbf{x}}| \cdot |\mathbf{b} - A\hat{\mathbf{x}}| \cdot Cos \ \theta$$

I. Notice & Review	II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix	III. Covariance matrix & Principal component analysis	IV. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition
00•	000000	00000000	000000000

II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix

Motivation

In orthogonal diagonalization at L7.p4-p5, we had

$$A = P \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{7} & & \\ & \sqrt{7} & \\ & & \sqrt{-2} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{7} & & \\ & \sqrt{7} & \\ & & \sqrt{-2} \end{array} \right] P^t$$

Then, it followed

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A & = & P\sqrt{D}\cdot\sqrt{D}P^t \\ & = & (P\sqrt{D})\cdot(P\sqrt{D})^t \end{array}$$

- This makes less sense (depending on the way you look at) since complex numbers are involved.
- If all eigenvalues (here, 7, 7, -2) were positive real numbers, then it will make more sense!

- Definition. A symmetric matrix matrix is called
 - positive definite (pd) if all eigenvalues are positive
 - positive semi-definite (psd) if all eigenvalues are non-negative
 - negative semi-definite (nsd) if all eigenvalues are non-positive
 - negative definite (nd) if all eigenvalues are negative
 - indefinite if signs of eigenvalues are mixed
- What makes us to call 'definitely positive'?
 - Since every eigenvalue is positive
 - If A is pd, then $[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3] \cdot A \cdot [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^t$ is always positive no matter what x_1 x_2 x_3 values are.
 - This is where second degree polynomial and matrix algebra meet!
 - The following polynomial is always positive for nonzero x since all eigenvalues are positive (Check the eigenvalues yourself).

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ 9 & 100 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 1x_1^2 + 18x_1x_2 + 100x_2^2$$

Why is the polynomial positive?

- pd matrix is symmetric, thus orthogonally diagonalizable.
- pd matrix has eigenvalues that are all positive.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{u_1} & \mathbf{u_2} & \mathbf{u_3} \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} - \ \mathbf{u_1} & - \\ - \ \mathbf{u_2} & - \\ - \ \mathbf{u_3} & - \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array} \right]$$

Letting $\mathbf{y} = U^t \mathbf{x}$ gives

$$= \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{array} \right] = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 + \lambda_3 y_3^2$$

- Since all λ_i are positive and y_i are real numbers, the above polynomial is positive.
- Of course, this applies to all classes of the other definite matrices (pd, psd, nd, nsd) as well.

Applications in optimization

- Linear Programming
 - Objective function & constraints → both linear
- Non-Linear Programming
 - Semi-definite programming
 - Objective function & constraints → semi-definite polynomial or linear
 - Some are introduced in our textbook
 - Other non-linear programming
 - Problems in this class are incredibly hard to solve

view II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix III.

II. Covariance matrix & Principal component anal

V. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition

III. Covariance matrix & Principal component analysis

Covariance matrix

- Covariance matrix is a representative example of psd.
 - Covariance matrix is symmetric, thus orthogonally diagonalizable (Theorem 2 in Section 7.1)
 - Covariance matrix is psd, since a variance of linear combination of random variable is always nonnegative. (Related fields include multivariate statistics and portfolio theory)

$$Cov = \Sigma = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Cov(X_1, X_1) & Cov(X_1, X_2) & Cov(X_1, X_3) \\ Cov(X_2, X_1) & Cov(X_2, X_2) & Cov(X_2, X_3) \\ Cov(X_3, X_1) & Cov(X_3, X_2) & Cov(X_3, X_3) \end{array} \right]$$

Orthogonal diagonalization on psd

Since covariance matrix is symmetric, thus being orthogonally diagonalizable, let's do one with a sample covariance matrix S. Assume that eigenvalues are known as: $\lambda_1 = 9, \lambda_2 = 6, \lambda_3 = 3,$

$$S = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 7 \end{array} \right]$$

Principal component analysis (PCA)

From the previous example, we have

$$S = PDP^t = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{u_1} & \mathbf{u_2} & \mathbf{u_3} \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 9 & & \\ & 6 & \\ & & 3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} - & \mathbf{u_1} & - \\ - & \mathbf{u_2} & - \\ - & \mathbf{u_3} & - \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array} \right], \ \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{array} \right], \ \mathbf{u}_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

- When a covariance matrix went throught orthogonal diagonalization, we call u₁, u₂, u₃ as **principal components(PC)** of original data.
- The first PC \mathbf{u}_1 explains $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3}=\frac{9}{9+6+3}=50\%$ of overall variation
- The second PC u₂ explains $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3}=\frac{6}{9+6+3}=33\%$ of overall variation
- The third PC explains 17% of overall variation

- Though the original data had three variables, the first two PCs (\mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2) explains 83% of overall variation.
- The remaining third PC explains only 17% of overall variation
- If ignoring third PC, dimension would be reduced into two, but information loss is only 17%
- PCA is one of dimension reduction techniques and popular these days due to big data with a lot of variables.
- In statistical learning field, PCA is one of unsupervised learning methods.
- (google PCA on mnist if you like)
- Conducting PCA is nothing but doing orthogonal diagonalization on a covariance matrix

iew II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix

III. Covariance matrix & Principal component analysis ○○○○○○○

IV. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition

IV. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition

Cholesky decomposition starts with LU-decomposition

- Another property of pd is the possibility of Cholesky decomposition
- Cholesky decomposition starts with your favorite LU-decomposition

$$S = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 7 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 26/5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 7 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 26/5 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 81/13 \end{array} \right] = U$$

and

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2/5 & 1 \\ 0 & 10/26 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$S = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & & \\ 2/5 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 10/26 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & 2 & 0 \\ & 26/5 & 2 \\ & & 81/13 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice & Review II. Quadratic forms and definite matrix III. Covariance matrix & Principal component analysis IV. pd matrix & Cholesky decomposition ○○○●○○○○○

Cholesky decomposition

- $A = LU = LL^t$, i.e. $U = L^t$.
- \bullet This is possible when A is pd (symmetric with eigenvalues all positive)
- ullet Some analogy for covariance matrix Σ
 - In univariate setting,
 - $\sigma^2 \operatorname{vs} \sigma$
 - (variance) vs (standard deviation)
 - In multivariate setting,
 - Σ vs L (where $\Sigma = LL^t$)
 - (Covariance matrix) vs (Standard deviation matrix)
 - No terminology such as 'Standard deviation matrix', but L is like a standard deviation in multivariate statistics.
- Applications in simulating normal random variable.
 - In univariate setting,
 - $Z \sim N(0,1) \Rightarrow \mu + \sigma Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
 - In multivariate setting,
 - $Z \sim N(0, I) \Rightarrow \mu + LZ \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$,
 - where I is identity matrix and $\Sigma = LL^t$

Do it yourself

$$S = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 9 \\ 9 & 100 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 9 \\ 0 & 19 \end{array} \right] = U$$

Check yourself

- Given symmetric matrix, can you perform orthogonal diagonalization?
- If the symmetric matrix is pd (now this is a legit covariance matrix), then can you interpret the results of orthogonal diagonalization as PCA?
- Understands R^2 in geometric sense
- Able to write ordinary and weighted normal equation.
- Perform Cholesky decomposition to a pd matrix by doing LU and some more treatment afterward?

[&]quot;Optimism is the faith that leads to achievement - Hellen Keller"