



Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Network for Traffic Prediction: Benchmark and Solution

FUXIAN LI, JIE FENG, and HUAN YAN, Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
GUANGYIN JIN, College of Systems Engineering, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, China

FAN YANG and FUNING SUN, Tencent Inc., Beijing, China

DEPENG JIN and YONG LI, Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Traffic prediction is the cornerstone of intelligent transportation system. Accurate traffic forecasting is essential for the applications of smart cities, i.e., intelligent traffic management and urban planning. Although various methods are proposed for spatio-temporal modeling, they ignore the dynamic characteristics of correlations among locations on road network. Meanwhile, most Recurrent Neural Network based works are not efficient enough due to their recurrent operations. Additionally, there is a severe lack of fair comparison among different methods on the same datasets. To address the above challenges, in this article, we propose a novel traffic prediction framework, named Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Network (DGCRN). In DGCRN, hyper-networks are designed to leverage and extract dynamic characteristics from node attributes, while the parameters of dynamic filters are generated at each time step. We filter the node embeddings and then use them to generate dynamic graph, which is integrated with pre-defined static graph. As far as we know, we are first to employ a generation method to model fine topology of dynamic graph at each time step. Furthermore, to enhance efficiency and performance, we employ a training strategy for DGCRN by restricting the iteration number of decoder during forward and backward propagation. Finally, a reproducible standardized benchmark and a brand new representative traffic dataset are opened for fair comparison and further research. Extensive experiments on three datasets demonstrate that our model outperforms 15 baselines consistently. Source codes are available at <https://github.com/tsinghua-fib-lab/Traffic-Benchmark>.

CCS Concepts: • **Information systems** → **Spatial-temporal systems**

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Traffic prediction, dynamic graph construction, traffic benchmark

This work was supported in part by The National Key Research and Development Program of China under grant 2020YFB2104005, the National Nature Science Foundation of China under U20B2060, U1936217, 61971267, 61972223. Authors' addresses: F. Li, J. Feng, H. Yan (corresponding author), D. Jin, and Y. Li, Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist), Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; emails: lifx19@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, fengj12ee@hotmail.com, yanhuhan@tsinghua.edu.cn, {jjindp, liyong07}@tsinghua.edu.cn; G. Jin, College of Systems Engineering, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China; email: jinguangyin18@nudt.edu.cn; F. Yang and F. Sun, Tencent Inc., Beijing 100080, China; emails: {wendellyyang, funingsun}@tencent.com.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

1556-4681/2023/02-ART9 \$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3532611>

ACM Reference format:

Fuxian Li, Jie Feng, Huan Yan, Guangyin Jin, Fan Yang, Funing Sun, Depeng Jin, and Yong Li. 2023. Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Network for Traffic Prediction: Benchmark and Solution. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data.* 17, 1, Article 9 (February 2023), 21 pages.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3532611>

1 INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of urbanization, transportation system in the city is under great pressure when facing growing populations and vehicles. Fortunately, advances in data intelligence and urban computing have made it possible to collect massive amounts of traffic data and conduct analysis. The traffic data can be crowd flow data or traffic speed data, which not only is an important indicator reflecting the state of transportation system, but also is used for prediction tasks of future traffic conditions. Among these tasks, traffic prediction acts as the cornerstone of **intelligent transportation system (ITS)** with the aim of understanding and developing an optimal transportation system and minimal traffic congestions. By predicting future traffic, it provides reference for urban planning and traffic management, so as to reduce congestion and improve traffic efficiency, and provide early warning for public safety emergency management. Accurate traffic prediction can also help travelers plan and change their routes, thus improving their quality of life.

Traffic prediction originates from single variant time series forecasting with many traditional statistic-based methods, e.g., **history average (HA)**, **vector auto-regression (VAR)**, **support vector regression (SVR)**, and **auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA)**. However, most of these methods need to satisfy the stationarity assumption for each time series. Besides, there are not many parameters in these methods, most of which need to be designed by experts rather than mined from data. Thus, traditional methods are restricted by their ability for capturing spatio-temporal dependency.

In recent years, deep learning technology has broken new ground for many areas like computer vision and natural language processing. Researchers also have made efforts to design deep learning models for traffic prediction before achieving great improvements compared with traditional methods, where the difficulties of traffic prediction mainly fall in two aspects:

- **Capturing spatio-temporal correlations.** Different nodes on the traffic network have complex spatial and temporal correlations. In real world, the traffic condition constantly changes with time and space, leading to the complex and highly dynamic spatio-temporal correlations. First, similar traffic conditions tend to happen on nearby regions or nearby positions of the road network, bringing about the spatial dependencies. Early researchers split an area into grids with the same sizes and get grid-based data, which is then fed into CNNs [17, 32, 33, 35] for capturing spatial dependencies. However, CNN is not able to deal with non-Euclidean data, which can be tackled by **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)** [4, 15, 18, 19, 28, 30, 31, 34, 38].

Second, the traffic condition on one position has the mixture of non-linear change patterns and periodicity, resulting in the temporal dependencies. **Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)** is powerful to capture sequential correlations with relatively higher time consuming [1, 4, 6–8, 15, 18, 28, 37]. CNN can also be used to capture temporal correlations [9, 12, 23, 29, 30, 34] efficiently at the expense of flexibility due to its implicit temporal modeling, which makes the time steps invisible.

Third, the interactions among different positions are dynamic, which are called the spatio-temporal correlations and need to be properly captured to get a better prediction. DCRNN [15] is the first work to model both spatial and temporal dependencies with GNN

and RNN, respectively. However, the efficiency of DCRNN is limited due to the recurrent operations of RNN. STGCN [34] alternatively employs CNN rather than RNN to capture temporal correlations, thus improving the model efficiency at the expense of some performance. GMAN [38] captures spatio-temporal correlations with self-attention mechanism [26], which is effective to capture global dependency but not good at short-range prediction. However, since traffic data shows strong dynamic correlations in the spatial and temporal dimension, it is crucial to capture dynamic and non-linear spatio-temporal correlations for accurate traffic prediction. Thus, the dynamic spatio-temporal dependencies still remain to be considered and modeled carefully, which is a potential way for achieving better model expressiveness.

- **Using geographical attributes.** It is challenging to establish the correlation between the geographical attributes (such as the road network topology) and the predicted attributes (such as the traffic speed), which requires the prediction method to make full use of the external geographical features. Most prior GNN-based works use road network distance or connectivity among nodes (sensors or road segments) to calculate the weights of edges [4, 6–9, 12, 15, 18, 19, 23, 28, 30, 31, 34, 37, 38]. There are also some pre-defined graphs calculated based on other geographical attributes such as **Point of Interest (POI** [7]), free-flow reachability [6], and so on. Moreover, the self-adaptive graph is proposed to preserve hidden spatial dependencies [1, 28–30, 36], where the adjacency matrix is essentially generated and learned by model itself. Nevertheless, both the pre-defined and adaptive adjacency matrices are static without explicitly modeling the time-varying traffic graph topology. Thus, it is tough for the static graph to adjust itself dynamically. Furthermore, it is also important to make joint use of static graph and dynamic graph for comprehensively modeling the inter-node correlations.

In all, there are still some challenges of traffic prediction remaining to be solved, and we summarize them as follows:

- The dynamic spatio-temporal correlations should be fully extracted. Besides, the dynamic characteristics of traffic graph topology need to be further modeled and fused with the pre-defined static traffic graph.
- Although widely used in time series prediction, the training speed of RNN and its variants are restricted by the inner recurrent operations, which blocks the applications of architectures like sequence-to-sequence in traffic prediction task.
- With the rapid growth of traffic prediction field, the number of proposed models and traffic datasets is increasing. However, these models are evaluated on different datasets rather than a uniform benchmark dataset. Thus, it is tricky to make a fair comparison among different proposed methods, which impedes the development of this field.

In this work, we propose a hyper-network to generate dynamic adjacency matrix step by step adaptively, where the message passing of dynamic node features improve the effectiveness of graph generation. Then, we integrate the static graph and dynamic graph together in graph convolution module, which improve the performance significantly. To improve the efficiency of the seq2seq architecture, we employ a general training strategy for RNNs. For more challenging prediction task, we publish a representative congestion-related traffic dataset. Finally, We propose an open-source benchmark based on 3 public datasets and 15 baselines for fair comparison and further research.

The main contributions of our article are summarized as follows:

- We propose a GNN and RNN based model, where the dynamic adjacency matrix is designed to be generated from a hyper-network step by step synchronize with the iteration of RNN. The dynamic graph is incorporated with the pre-defined graph and skip connection to

describe the dynamic characteristics of road networks more effectively, enhancing the performance of prediction.

- We employ a general training method for RNN based models, which not only improves performance effectively and efficiently, but also sharply decreases training time consumption, in order to overcome the shortcomings of RNNs in efficiency and resource occupancy.
- We conduct extensive experiments based on three publicly available datasets to demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed model on the traffic prediction task. Compared with 15 baselines in benchmark, results demonstrate that our model can reduce the error of prediction significantly and achieve state-of-the-art prediction accuracy.
- We publish a new real-life congestion-related dataset¹ and conduct sufficient comparative experiments on three public datasets to get fair comparison results for various complex models and derive a reproducible standardized benchmark. The benchmark is composed of comparative prediction performance of 15 representative methods on 3 datasets, which can provide reference value for researchers to facilitate further research on related problems.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. We first review the related works and propose a comprehensive classification in Section 2. Then, the traffic prediction problem is formulated in Section 3. Motivated by the challenges, we introduce the details of our solutions in Section 4. After that, we evaluate our model by three real-world traffic datasets and derive the traffic benchmark in Section 5, where the ablation studies and parameter studies are conducted. Finally, we conclude our article in Section 6.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

In traffic prediction, utilizing prior geo-information is of great importance. Since grid-based data [17, 32, 33, 35] has natural limit on representing complex spatial topology, non-Euclidean pre-defined graphs are introduced, including distance-based graph [4, 15, 18, 19, 28, 30, 31, 34, 38], binary graph [6–9, 12, 23, 37], multi-view graphs [7], adaptive graphs [1, 28–30, 36], and so on. Besides the aforementioned spatial topology construction, spatio-temporal dependency modeling is also core of traffic prediction. CNN [17, 32, 33, 35], GNN [1, 4, 6–9, 12, 15, 18, 23, 28–30, 34, 36, 37], and attention mechanism [4, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 19, 31, 38] have been widely used to capture spatial dependency. Meanwhile, most works employ RNNs [1, 4, 6–8, 15, 18, 28, 37], TCNs [9, 12, 23, 29, 30, 34], and attention [8, 9, 16, 19, 20, 28, 31, 32, 37, 38] to model temporal dependency.

Additionally, as traffic conditions are highly susceptible to external environment, most traffic prediction works introduce external features such as weather [35], POI [7, 17], time of day [4, 8, 15, 17–19, 28, 30–35, 38], and day of week [17, 35] into the model to enhance performance.

Based on the above analysis, we classify the related works based on four parts: spatial topology construction, spatial dependency modeling, temporal dependency modeling, and external features, as shown in Table 1.

- **Spatial topology construction.** Traditional methods have no need to construct the spatial topology in advance. Convolutional neural network needs grid-based graph, where the spatial topology construction method is to partition a map into $H \times W$ equal size grids, where H, W represent the height and width of the grid-based map, respectively [17, 18, 32, 33, 35]. Furthermore, GNNs break the restrictions and can be used for non-Euclidean data which is more general and flexible to describe the real-world road networks. The original graph construction method is to calculate the similarity between pairs of nodes by a distance metric with thresholded Gaussian kernel function and get a weighted adjacency matrix

¹The source code and dataset are available at <https://github.com/tsinghua-fib-lab/Traffic-Benchmark>.

Table 1. Model Classification

Model	Spatial topology construction	Spatial dependency	Temporal dependency	External features
DCRNN [15]	Distance-based graph	GCN	GRU	Time
AGC-Seq2Seq [37]	Binary graph	GCN	GRU + Attention	None
TGC-LSTM [6]	Binary graph + Others	GCN	LSTM	None
ST-MGCN [7]	Binary graph + Others	GCN	RNN	POI + Road network structure
ST-MetaNet [18]	Distance-based graph	Meta-GAT	Meta-GRU	Time + Road network structure
MRA-BGCN [4]	Distance-based graph + Others	GCN + Attention	GRU	Time
DGCN [8]	Binary graph	GCN + Attention	LSTM + Attention	Time
AGCRN [1]	Adaptive graph	GCN	GRU	Time
STGNN [28]	Adaptive graph + Distance-based graph	GCN	GRU + Transformer	Time
GMAN [38]	Distance-based graph	Graph embedding + Attention	Embedding + Attention	Time
ST-GRAT [19]	Distance-based graph	Graph embedding + Attention	Embedding + Attention	Time
STTNs [31]	Distance-based graph	Transformer	Transformer	Time
STGCN [34]	Distance-based graph	GCN	CNN	Time
ASTGCN [9]	Binary graph	GCN + Attention	CNN + Attention	Time
STSGCN [23]	Binary graph	GCN	GCN	Time
LSGCN [12]	Binary graph	GCN + Attention	CNN	Time
Graph WaveNet [30]	Adaptive graph + Distance-based graph	GCN	CNN	Time
SLCNN [36]	Adaptive graph	GCN	CNN	Time
MTGNN [29]	Adaptive graph	GCN	CNN	Time
ST-ResNet [35]	Grid-based graph	CNN	CNN	Time + Weather
DeepSTN+ [17]	Grid-based graph	CNN	CNN	Time + POI
DMVST-Net [33]	Grid-based graph + Others	CNN + Graph embedding	RNN	Time + Weather
STDN [32]	Grid-based graph	CNN	RNN + Attention	Time
DARNN [20]	None	None	RNN + Attention	None
GeoMAN [16]	None	Attention	RNN + Attention	Time + Weather + POI

[4, 15, 18, 19, 28, 30, 31, 34, 38] or just use the connectivity to derive a simple binary adjacency matrix [6–9, 12, 23, 37]. Some works also build graphs from multiple views, like POI similarity [7], DTW [25] similarity [33], free-flow reachable matrix [6], edge-wise graph [4], and so on. However, the pre-defined adjacency matrix is static and the construction methods are limited, which is a natural shortcoming on describing the complex road networks. To make up for the “lost view”, the adaptive adjacency matrix [30] is proposed and achieve improvement on performance, where the parameters of the adjacency matrix are learnable with training process. Specifically, some works directly make the adjacency matrix learnable [36], others calculate similarities among learnable node embeddings [1, 28–30]. However, it is still hard for static adaptive adjacency matrix to model the dynamic characteristics of road networks. Reference [23] connects all nodes with themselves at the adjacent time steps to get a localized spatial-temporal graph from which the correlations between each node and its spatial-temporal neighbors can be captured directly. However, this method only considers the nearest time steps and the representation ability is limited.

– **Spatial dependency modeling.** In early period, traffic prediction is seen as a simple time series prediction task. Most traditional methods, like **auto-regressive (AR)**, **moving average (MA)**, **auto-regressive moving average (ARMA)**, and ARIMA [3], only focus on time series of single variant and fail to capture the correlations among variants, which also severely restrict the efficiency when facing time series of multiple variants. What’s more, most traditional statistical methods need strong stationary-related assumptions which leads to extreme failure on predicting time series with high fluctuation and many missing values. In recent years, with the development of deep learning, convolutional neural network is widely used in capturing spatial correlations from Euclidean data [17, 18, 32, 33, 35]. Graph embedding can capture spatial dependency and generate encoded embedding vectors for subsequent processing. References [19, 33, 38] use graph embedding to derive the inputs of the following modules. GNN is powerful and flexible to capture spatial correlations in non-Euclidean data. References [1, 4, 15, 28–30, 36] utilize **graph convolutional network (GCN) [13]** and its variants. Reference [18] uses meta learning to generate the parameters of the **graph attention network (GAT) [27]**. References [9, 12, 16, 19, 31, 38] design various architectures of spatial attention to capture spatial correlations. Reference [23] proposes

spatial-temporal synchronous Graph Convolution Module to capture spatial correlations and temporal correlations simultaneously.

- **Temporal dependency modeling.** RNN and its variants are proposed for sequential data and are naturally proper and also powerful for capturing temporal dependency [6, 7, 16, 20, 28, 32, 33, 37]. The recurrent operation of RNNs leads to flexibility of model architecture at the cost of time and memory consuming. The presentation of GRU [5] and LSTM [11] had further enhanced RNNs’ ability of modeling long-range sequential dependency and avoid the gradient vanishing. References [4, 15] replace the fully connected neural network in GRU [5] with GNN which is effective to integrate message passing with recurrent operation and gate mechanism. CNN [14] has been proved to be effective and efficient to capture temporal correlations in sequential data [9, 12, 29, 30, 34, 36] but the architecture is relatively more solid and there is little room for adjustment to adapt different situations. Attention mechanism is also powerful and helpful in sequential dependency modeling, including self-attention [19, 28, 31, 38] and other variants [16, 20, 32, 37]. Represented by Transformer [26], self-attention based models have governed the NLP area. Benefiting from the ability of capturing global sequential dependency and parallelization, self-attention based models are still restricted by large memory consuming and high demand for computation source. Besides, the positional encoding is simple but not enough to represent the complex sequential information while the self-attention can only derive the weights of importance, thus capturing local (short-term) sequential dependency is harder for self-attention based models than RNNs.
- **External features.** It is obvious that traffic condition can be significantly affected or inferred by external factors such as weather [33, 35] and POI [7, 17], which need extra collection and preprocessing. Some other features, like time information [15, 17, 29, 30, 35], DTW similarity [33], road structure, and connectivity [7, 18], can be generated from original time series or adjacency matrix. Besides, making different external factors cooperate well with each other and even generate new useful features is critical and promising for model designers.

Compared with the aforementioned works, our model employs graph generation at each time step to carry out dynamic and fine-grained modeling of road network topology. We also fuse the generated dynamic graph with the static graph effectively. Besides, the employed training strategy for RNNs improves both efficiency and performance significantly.

3 PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

Traffic prediction task can be formulated as a multivariate time series forecasting problem with auxiliary prior knowledge. Generally, the prior knowledge is the pre-defined adjacency matrix denoted as a weighted directed graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, A)$. Here, \mathcal{V} is a set of $N = |\mathcal{V}|$ vertices which represent different locations (e.g., traffic sensors or road segments) on the road network; \mathcal{E} is a set of edges and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the weighted adjacency matrix where each element represents the proximity between vertices measured from certain view (e.g., road network distance, DTW similarity, POI similarity).

The multivariate time series, aka the graph signals, can be denoted as a feature tensor $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N \times D}$ on graph \mathcal{G} , where T is the length of sequence and D is the number of features of each node (e.g., traffic volume, traffic speed). At each time step t , the graph signal is $\mathbf{X}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$. Finally, the traffic prediction problem can be formulated as follows:

Problem Formulation. Given the graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, A)$ and its observed P step graph signals $\mathbf{X}_{(t-P):t}$, to learn a function f which is able to map $\mathbf{X}_{(t-P):t}$ and \mathcal{G} to next Q step graph signals $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t:(t+Q)}$, represented as follows:

$$[\mathbf{X}_{(t-P):t}, \mathcal{G}] \xrightarrow{f} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t:(t+Q)}, \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1. The architecture of DGCRN.

where $\mathbf{X}_{(t-P):t} = (\mathbf{X}_{t-P}, \mathbf{X}_{t-P+1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N \times D}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t:(t+Q)} = (\hat{\mathbf{X}}_t, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t+1}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t+Q-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{Q \times N \times D}$.

4 METHODOLOGY

The recurrent operations of RNNs bring about dynamic knowledge which is, however, not fully utilized for capturing dynamic spatio-temporal correlations. Following this idea, we design the **Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Network (DGCRN)** based on a sequence-to-sequence architecture [24] including an encoder and a decoder, as shown in Figure 1. The dynamic adjacency matrix at each time step is generated synchronize with the recurrent operation of DGCRN where the two graph generators are designed for encoder and decoder, respectively. After that, both the generated dynamic graph and the pre-defined static graph are used for graph convolution. Then, we use weighted sum to fuse the result of different graph convolution with skip connection at each layer, as demonstrated in Figure 2. Finally, we replace all the fully connected layers in traditional **Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU)** with the dynamic graph convolution module to derive our **Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Module (DGCRM)**.

Specifically, DGCRN consists of two main components:

- **Graph generator.** To model the dynamic characteristics of road network topology, we design a novel graph generator. Specifically, we employ two hyper-networks for encoder and decoder to capture dynamic information and generate a dynamic filter, which is then combined with randomly initiated node embedding vectors and generate dynamic node embeddings. We finally calculate the pair-wise similarities between dynamic node embeddings and derive the dynamic adjacency matrix.
- **Dynamic graph convolutional recurrent module.** To integrate the dynamic graph with static graph efficiently and effectively, we design a dynamic graph convolution module as shown in Figure 2. We use it to replace the fully connected layers in classical GRU to derive DGCRM which can capture temporal correlations as well as spatial correlations simultaneously. The dynamic adjacency matrix is incorporated with the static pre-defined adjacency matrix in graph convolution.

4.1 Graph Generator

The urban traffic conditions are complex and affected by highly dynamic spatio-temporal correlations. Furthermore, the dynamic spatio-temporal correlations are largely determined by real-time traffic conditions and the topology of traffic road network. Thus, it is essential to model the dynamic spatio-temporal correlations with dynamic traffic status inputs and pre-defined adjacency matrices. Following this insight, we design a novel dynamic graph generator, where a GNN-based




Fig. 2. Structure of dynamic graph convolution module.

hyper-network is designed for generating dynamic filter tensor, and the dynamic adjacency matrix is calculated based on the proximity among dynamic node embeddings. With the use of the dynamic graph generator, the core of DGCRN is the update of the dynamic adjacency matrix DA^t at time step t based on current and historical information. At each time step, the speed \mathbf{V}_t , time of day \mathbf{T}_t , and hidden state \mathbf{H}_{t-1} are concatenated as the input of hyper-network:

$$\mathbf{I}_t = \mathbf{V}_t \parallel \mathbf{T}_t \parallel \mathbf{H}_{t-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N \times D_{in}}$, D_{in} is the feature dimension, B is the batch size, N is the number of nodes, \parallel represents the concatenation operation. \mathbf{I}_t denotes dynamic node feature which is then fed into graph convolution module:

$$\text{DF}^t = \Theta_{\star G}(\mathbf{I}_t), \quad (3)$$

where $\Theta_{\star G}$ represents the graph convolution and Θ denotes the learnable parameters. The pre-defined adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} contains the static distance-based relations among nodes, which can be employed to conduct the message-passing process for dynamic node status. We call the above architectures the hyper-network whose output is a dynamic filter tensor $\text{DF}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N \times D}$. We employ a position-wise multiplication operation between DF^t and the static randomly initiated node embedding $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ whose parameters are learnable, where the broadcasting operation is required.

In practice, we employ two hyper-networks to generate two dynamic filters for source node embedding \mathbf{E}_1 and target node embedding \mathbf{E}_2 , respectively, denoted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DF}_1^t &= \Theta_{\star G}^1(\mathbf{I}_t), \\ \text{DF}_2^t &= \Theta_{\star G}^2(\mathbf{I}_t), \\ \text{DE}_1^t &= \tanh(\alpha(\text{DF}_1^t \odot \mathbf{E}_1)), \\ \text{DE}_2^t &= \tanh(\alpha(\text{DF}_2^t \odot \mathbf{E}_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where \odot denotes the Hadamard product, and DE^t is the filtered dynamic node embedding at time step t . We employ similarity among node embeddings to calculate the dynamic adjacency matrix, following [29]:

$$\text{DA}^t = \text{ReLU} \left(\tanh \left(\alpha \left(\text{DE}_1^t \text{DE}_2^{tT} - \text{DE}_2^t \text{DE}_1^{tT} \right) \right) \right), \quad (5)$$

where α is a hyper-parameter to control the saturation rate of the activation function and $\text{DA}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times N \times N}$ denotes the dynamic adjacency matrix at time step t .

To sum up, by adding the hidden state of RNN to the input of graph generator, we integrate the iteration of RNN and the generation of dynamic graph simultaneously and seamlessly. Meanwhile, the graph generation becomes more effective owing to the graph convolution inside the hyper-network since it applies the message passing among nodes and thus dynamic information can be fully utilized. As an essential part of graph convolution, the dynamic adjacency matrix generation module can learn a dynamic representation of road network implicitly, which can provide an effective complement for static distance-based adjacency matrix.

4.2 Dynamic Graph Convolutional Recurrent Module

The static distance-based graph and the dynamic node attribute based graph reflect the inter-node correlations from distinct perspectives. To give model a wider range of horizon for traffic network, we combine dynamic graph with pre-defined graph when deploying graph convolutions, thus enhancing the performance of traffic prediction. Specifically, we employ a weighted average sum of the graph convolution results of input graph signal, pre-defined static graph \mathbf{A} and the dynamic graph \mathbf{DA}^t at each graph convolution layer.

The k -hop dynamic graph convolution module can be denoted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}^{(k)} &= \alpha \mathbf{H}_{in} + \beta \mathbf{H}^{(k-1)} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{A}^t + \gamma \mathbf{H}^{(k-1)} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{out} &= \sum_{i=0}^K \mathbf{H}^{(k)} \mathbf{W}^{(k)}, \mathbf{H}^{(0)} = \mathbf{H}_{in}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{A}^t &= \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{t-1} (\mathbf{DA}^t + \mathbf{I}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{:,i,i}^t &= 1 + \sum_j \mathbf{DA}_{:,i,j}^t, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{i,i} = \sum_j \mathbf{A}_{i,j},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where α , β , and γ are hyper-parameters to control the weights of different components. \mathbf{H}_{in} and $\mathbf{H}_{out} = \Theta_{\star G}(\mathbf{H}_{in}, \mathbf{DA}^t, \mathbf{A})$ represent the input and output node states, respectively. $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times D_{in} \times D_{out}}$ are learnable parameters, K is the depth of propagation. Specifically, we adopt dual directional graph convolution to make good use of directed graphs, denoted as follow:

$$\mathbf{H}_o = \Theta_{1 \star G}(\mathbf{H}_{in}, \mathbf{DA}^t, \mathbf{A}) + \Theta_{2 \star G}(\mathbf{H}_{in}, \mathbf{DA}^{tT}, \mathbf{A}^T),\tag{7}$$

which is abbreviated as equation $\mathbf{H}_o = \Theta_{\star G}(\mathbf{H}_{in})$. When used as a part of the aforementioned hyper-network, the dynamic graph convolution module can be simplified by setting β to 0, where the dynamic graph convolution is eliminated.

RNN is powerful in modeling sequential dependency and GRU further improve RNN's ability on long-range temporal modeling and avoid gradient vanishing. Following [4, 15, 21], we replace the matrix multiplications in GRU with dynamic graph convolution modules and get DGCRM, denoted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}z^{(t)} &= \sigma(\Theta_{z \star G}(\mathbf{X}_t \parallel \mathbf{H}_{t-1})), \\ r^{(t)} &= \sigma(\Theta_{r \star G}(\mathbf{X}_t \parallel \mathbf{H}_{t-1})), \\ \tilde{h}^{(t)} &= \tanh(\Theta_{h \star G}(\mathbf{X}_t \parallel (r^{(t)} \odot \mathbf{H}_{t-1}))), \\ \mathbf{H}_t &= z^{(t)} \odot \mathbf{H}_{t-1} + (1 - z^{(t)}) \odot \tilde{h}^{(t)},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

ALGORITHM 1: Training Algorithm of DGCRN.

```

1: Input: Pre-defined graph  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, A)$ , graph signal tensor  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{T \times N \times D}$ , initialized encoder's recurrent function  $f_{en}(\cdot)$  with learnable parameters  $\Theta_{en}$ , initialized decoder's recurrent function  $f_{de}(\cdot)$  with learnable parameters  $\Theta_{de}$ , learning rate  $\gamma$ , step size  $s$ , pre-defined function for scheduled sampling  $f_{ss}(\cdot)$ , zero tensor  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbf{R}^{B \times Q \times N}$ .
2: set  $iter = 1, i = 1$ 
3: repeat
4:     initialize hidden state  $\mathbf{H}_0$ , randomly select a batch (input  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{R}^{B \times P \times N \times D}$ , lable  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbf{R}^{B \times Q \times N}$ , time of day  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{R}^{B \times Q \times N}$ ) from  $\mathbf{X}$ .
5:     if  $iter \% s == 0$  and  $i < Q$  then
6:          $i = i + 1$ 
7:     end if
8:     for  $p$  in  $0, 1, \dots, P - 1$  do
9:         compute  $\mathbf{H}_{p+1} = f_{en}(\mathcal{X}[:, p, :, :], \mathbf{H}_p, \mathcal{G}; \Theta_{en})$ 
10:    end for
11:    initialize graph signal  $\mathcal{Y}_{in} \in \mathbf{R}^{B \times N}$  as a zero tensor for decoder.
12:    for  $q$  in  $0, 1, \dots, i - 1$  do
13:        compute  $\mathcal{Y}_{in} = \mathcal{Y}_{in} || \mathcal{T}[:, q, :]$ 
14:        compute  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}[:, q, :] = f_{de}(\mathcal{Y}_{in}, \mathbf{H}_{P+q}, \mathcal{G}; \Theta_{de})$ 
15:        randomly select a number  $c \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ .
16:        if  $c < f_{ss}(iter)$  then
17:             $\mathcal{Y}_{in} = \mathcal{Y}[:, q, :]$ 
18:        else
19:             $\mathcal{Y}_{in} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}}[:, q, :]$ 
20:        end if
21:    end for
22:    compute  $L = loss(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}[:, :, i, :], \mathcal{Y}[:, :, i, :])$ 
23:    compute the stochastic gradient of  $\Theta_{en}$  and  $\Theta_{de}$  according to  $L$ .
24:    update model parameters  $\Theta_{en}$  and  $\Theta_{de}$  according to their gradients and the learning rate  $\gamma$ .
25:     $iter = iter + 1$ .
26: until stopping criteria is met.
27: output learned model.

```

where $\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{H}_t$ denote the speed concatenated with time of day and output hidden state of DGCRN at time t , respectively, \odot represents the Hadamard product, $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the sigmoid activation, $r^{(t)}, z^{(t)}$ are reset gate and update gate at time t , respectively. $\star G$ denotes the *dynamic graph convolution* defined in Equation (6) and $\Theta_z, \Theta_r, \Theta_h$ are learnable parameters for the corresponding graph convolution modules.

4.3 Training Strategy

Traditional RNNs' recurrent operation offers flexibility of model architecture, but it brings about time and memory consuming increasing with length of the sequence. Thus, it is necessary to make RNNs perform as few recurrent operations as possible. Meanwhile, if an RNN based decoder is first trained for short-range prediction and has a strong memory for early time steps, it may achieve better performance on longer range after continuous training with longer series. Based on these thoughts and inspired by [29], we employ a general, efficient, and effective training strategy for RNN related models, which is called curriculum learning. During the forward propagation of the training procedure before the back propagation, we do not calculate all steps of the decoder but only first i steps. With the training procedure going on, i keeps increasing until it reaches its




Fig. 3. Efficiency of curriculum learning.

maximum, a.k.a, the length of prediction sequence. In this way, it is not necessary for model to keep employing forward and back propagation for all time steps during training, which can significantly decrease the time consuming and also save GPU memory at early stage. More importantly, the performance can also benefit from the strategy because of the good basis for early time steps. The efficiency of curriculum learning on METR-LA dataset can be seen in Figure 3, where the training time can be reduced by as much as 20% with the performance enhanced simultaneously. We also find the scheduled sampling [2] for RNN can work well together with the curriculum learning, which further improve the performance. In our opinion, this training method can be easily adapted to other recurrence based decoder to enhance both efficiency and performance in time series prediction task.

5 EXPERIMENT SETTINGS AND RESULTS ANALYSIS

5.1 Experiment Settings

5.1.1 *Datasets.* We conduct experiments on three real-world large-scale datasets:

- **METR-LA.** A public traffic speed dataset collected from loop detectors in the highway of Los Angeles containing 207 selected sensors and ranging from March 1st 2012 to June 30th 2012. The unit of speed is mile/h. In METR-LA, the sensors in different locations are viewed as nodes in the graph.
- **PEMS-BAY.** A public traffic speed dataset collected by California Transportation Agencies (CalTrans) containing 325 sensors in the Bay Area ranging from January 1st 2017 to May 31th 2017. The unit of speed is mile/h. In PEMS-BAY, the sensors in different positions are also considered as nodes in the graph.
- **NE-BJ.** Previously proposed traffic datasets are either built with vehicle speed on freeways where the traffic speed is relatively high and simple, or fail to fully reflect the complex urban traffic conditions. To overcome these shortcomings and make traffic prediction task more challenging, we publish NE-BJ dataset which is collected and extracted from navigation data of Tencent Map in weekdays of July 2020. The unit of speed is km/h. The dataset contains 500 road segments selected on the main roads in the northeast area of Beijing where a lot more traffic congestion always happens. The NE-BJ dataset is composed of traffic speed data of corresponding road segments seen as nodes in the graph. The distribution of road segments is visualized in Figure 4. This dataset can fully reflect the traffic condition of downtown in Beijing and bring out value for further research.




Fig. 4. Road segment distribution of the NE-BJ dataset.




Fig. 5. Distributions of inter-node correlations and speed on PEMS-BAY, METR-LA, and NE-BJ datasets.

To verify it, we conduct statistical analysis on the three datasets, which is shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). In Figure 5(a), we calculate the Pearson correlations between all pairs of nodes and show the distributions of them. We can observe the explicit spatial correlations in METR-LA while those in PEMS-BAY are distinctly weaker. The internode correlations in NE-BJ dataset is medium and relatively stronger than PEMS-BAY with less low-correlation node pairs. In Figure 5(b), we display

Table 2. Statistics of Datasets

Datasets	Samples	Nodes	Sample Rate	Input Length	Output Length
NE-BJ	6,509	500	5min	12	12
METR-LA	34,272	207	5min	12	12
PEMS-BAY	52,116	325	5min	12	12

the distributions of speed values under the same unit. The velocity distributions of the PEMS-BAY dataset is obviously much more monotonous and more intensively close to the free-flow velocity, which means simpler traffic conditions and lower correlations between nodes as shown in Figure 5(a). So it is natural for methods to achieve significant performance on PEMS-BAY dataset. Velocity distributions in METR-LA tend to be polarized with more missing values marked as zero. By comparison, the distributions of traffic speed and spatial correlations in NE-BJ dataset is much more uniform, and completely different from others especially in speed distributions with speed values ranging from 10 mile/h to 50 mile/h, indicating complex traffic conditions and much more congestions. That is why the fine-grained dynamic modeling of internode correlations (such as dynamic adjacency matrix) can significantly improve the performance on NE-BJ dataset with great value for further research.

Following [15], we set the time granularity to 5 minutes and apply Z-Score normalization to the speed data. For METR-LA and PEMS-BAY, 70% of data are used for training, 20% are used for testing while the remaining 10% for validation. For NE-BJ dataset, first 15 days are used for training, last 5 days are used for testing while the remaining 3 days for validation. We see each sensor or road segment as a vertex, where the location of a road segment is represented by its middle point. The pre-defined adjacency matrix is constructed based on pairwise road network distance between sensors (for METR-LA and PEMS-BAY) or the Euclidean distance between road segments (for NE-BJ dataset) with thresholded Gaussian kernel [22]. The pre-defined adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} can be defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}_{v_i, v_j} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{d_{v_i, v_j}^2}{\sigma^2}\right), & \text{if } d_{v_i, v_j} \leq \kappa \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (9)$$

where d_{v_i, v_j} is the road network distance from sensor v_i to v_j or the Euclidean distance between road segment v_i and v_j . The road network distance is shortest distance the vehicle has to travel from the source to the destination under the constraint imposed by the road network, which is directed. The Euclidean distance is shortest distance on Earth which is undirected and calculated only based on the coordinates. σ is the standard deviation of distances and κ (assigned to 0.1) is the threshold to control the sparsity of \mathbf{A} . Detailed statistics of the datasets are shown in Table 2.

5.1.2 Parameters Settings. The proposed model is implemented by Pytorch 1.1.0 on a virtual workstation with a 11G memory Nvidia GeForce RTX 2080Ti GPU. We repeat the experiment 5 times and report the average value of evaluation metrics. The model is trained by the Adam optimizer. The learning rate is set to 0.001. The layer depth of the DGCRM is set to 1 and the size of hidden state is set to 64 for METR-LA and NE-BJ, and 96 for PEMS-BAY. α, β, γ are set to 0.05, 0.95, and 0.95, respectively. The dimension of node embeddings is set to 40 for METR-LA and PEMS-BAY, and 100 for NE-BJ. The batch size is set to 64 on METR-LA and PEMS-BAY, and 16 for NE-BJ. Early stopping is employed to avoid overfitting. We use **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** as our model's loss function for training.

5.1.3 Baselines. We compare DGCRN with traditional statistic-based methods and recently proposed GNN based models for traffic prediction task, which can fully reflect the latest progress in this field. The baselines are introduced as follows:

- **HA: Historical Average (HA)** method is used to predict the future speed using the average value of historical speed data.
- **VAR [10]**: VAR can be used for time series forecasting.
- **SVR**: SVR is another classical time series analysis model which uses linear support vector machine for the regression task.
- **FNN**: This is a feed forward neural network for time series prediction.
- **ARIMA_{kal} [3]**: This is a traditional and widely used method in time series prediction, which integrates auto-regression with MA model.
- **FC-LSTM [11]: Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)** network with fully connected hidden units is a well-known network architecture which is powerful in capturing sequential dependency.
- **DCRNN [15]**: This is a GNN-based and RNN-based model which integrates GRU with dual directional diffusion convolution.
- **STGCN [34]**: It is a GNN-based and CNN-based model which incorporates graph convolutions with 1D convolutions
- **Graph WaveNet [30]**: It is a GNN-based and CNN-based model which integrates diffusion graph convolutions with gated 1D dilated convolutions and proposes self-adaptive adjacency matrix.
- **ST-MetaNet [18]**: It is a GNN-based and RNN-based model which employs meta learning to generate parameters of GAT and GRU.
- **ASTGCN [9]**: This is a GNN-based and CNN-based model which designs spatial attention and temporal attention mechanisms to capture spatial and temporal correlations, respectively.
- **STGCN [23]**: This is a GNN-based model which models the spatial and temporal dependencies synchronously.
- **AGCRN [1]**: This is a GNN-based and RNN-based model which employs adaptive graph and integrates GRU with graph convolutions with node adaptive parameter learning.
- **GMAN [38]**: This is an attention-based model with spatial, temporal, and transform attentions.
- **MTGNN [29]**: It is a GNN-based and CNN-based model which employs adaptive graph, mix-hop propagation layers and dilated inception layers to capture spatio-temporal correlations.

For all the baselines, we use the default settings from their original proposals. The performances of all methods are evaluated by three commonly used metrics in traffic prediction, including (1) **MAE**, which is a basic metric to reflect the actual situation of the prediction accuracy, (2) **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)**, which is more sensitive to abnormal value, and (3) **Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)**, which can eliminate the influence of data unit to some extent, defined as follows:

$$\text{MAE}(x, \hat{x}) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{i \in \Omega} |x_i - \hat{x}_i|, \quad \text{RMSE}(x, \hat{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{i \in \Omega} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}, \quad \text{MAPE}(x, \hat{x}) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left| \frac{x_i - \hat{x}_i}{x_i} \right|, \quad (10)$$

where $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ denotes the ground truth, $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ represents the predicted values, and Ω denotes the indices of observed samples. In our experiments, the $|\Omega|$ is 12.

5.2 Traffic Benchmark

5.2.1 *Background.* With rapid growing of traffic prediction field, more and more models have been proposed with corresponding experiments such as ablation studies, parameter studies, and case studies. However, the models are evaluated on different datasets with various experimental settings, making it tricky for researchers to make comparisons, reproduce works or develop their own models. Thus, to build a public platform where related works are evaluated on same datasets and metrics, and to enhance the reproducibility, we publish an open-source traffic benchmark where it is easy to reproduce all kinds of models on various datasets. The comparisons among models in the benchmark are shown in Table 3. Specifically, the benchmark is composed of the performance comparisons for 15 minutes (horizon 3), 30 minutes (horizon 6), and 1 hour (horizon 12) ahead forecasting on three datasets. All the missing values in the test datasets are marked and excluded when calculating metrics and loss. We employ data completion on the missing values of the input sequences in NE-BJ dataset with the speed value of last time step.

5.2.2 *Results.* Table 3 shows the performances of baselines and our model. DGCRN achieves state-of-the-art prediction performances on the three datasets (2% ~ 4% improvements on METR-LA and PEMS-BAY datasets) and the advantages are more distinct for complex traffic conditions (around 6% on NE-BJ dataset). DGCRN can generally outperform other baselines for most forecasting steps except for certain metrics in the long-range horizon (e.g., 1 hour ahead) in PEMS-BAY and NE-BJ compared with GMAN, which suggests the effectiveness of dynamic graph modeling. We employ a hyper-network to generate filter which can dynamically adjust the weights of graph based on temporal information, which is critical for dynamic graph generation and performance improvement. What's more, traditional time series methods perform much worse than deep learning methods while the graph-based methods further enhance the performance significantly, indicating the power of deep learning as well as the effectiveness of road network information. GMAN employs self-attention based architectures and spatio-temporal embedding module which is good for long-range prediction. However, self-attention cannot capture local sequential correlations and spatio-temporal embedding is relatively simple for modeling the complex spatio-temporal dependency, which degrade the performance for short-range prediction. ST-MetaNet generates the parameters of traditional GAT [27] and GRU [5] with meta learning. However, the meta learners of ST-MetaNet need to use external factors (such as POI and GPS coordinates) as inputs, which do not consider the dynamic inter-node correlations. By contrast, our dynamic graph construction method uses the hidden state of RNN, the readily available time encoding, and the pre-defined adjacency matrix to model the dynamic road network topology, which improves the expressiveness and performance of the model. Graph WaveNet, MTGNN, and AGCRN benefit a lot from the self-adaptive adjacency matrix whose parameters are learnable and can be updated with back propagation algorithm. However, the adaptive graph is still static with time changes and fails to capture dynamic spatial dependencies at each time step. Besides, most of the other baselines fail to model the dynamic characteristics of the traffic network structure, which restricts the representation ability. ASTGCN and STSGCN perform not well on the three datasets, which is possibly because of the missing values and the restricted representation ability of the models.

We can also find that difficulty of traffic prediction task varies significantly with different traffic datasets. The NE-BJ dataset is much more complicated than others, leading to worse performance for all models. The prediction task is easier on PEMS-BAY making the performance much better obviously. Besides, ARIMA and STSGCN break down when employed on the NE-BJ dataset because of the complexity of traffic speed series, so we do not list them in the benchmark.

Table 3. Benchmark for Traffic Speed Prediction Task

	Horizon 3			Horizon 6			Horizon 12		
	MAE	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	RMSE	MAPE
METR-LA									
HA	4.16	7.80	13.00%	4.16	7.80	13.00%	4.16	7.80	13.00%
ARIMA _{kal}	3.99	8.21	9.60%	5.15	10.45	12.70%	6.90	13.23	17.40%
VAR	4.42	7.89	10.20%	5.41	9.13	12.70%	6.52	10.11	15.80%
SVR	3.99	8.45	9.30%	5.05	10.87	12.10%	6.72	13.76	16.70%
FNN	3.99	7.94	9.90%	4.23	8.17	12.90%	4.49	8.69	14.00%
FC-LSTM	3.44	6.30	9.60%	3.77	7.23	10.90%	4.37	8.69	13.20%
DCRNN	2.77	5.38	7.30%	3.15	6.45	8.80%	3.60	7.60	10.50%
STGCN	2.88	5.74	7.62%	3.47	7.24	9.57%	4.59	9.40	12.70%
Graph WaveNet	2.69	5.15	6.90%	3.07	6.22	8.37%	3.53	7.37	10.01%
ST-MetaNet	2.69	5.17	6.91%	3.10	6.28	8.57%	3.59	7.52	10.63%
ASTGCN	4.86	9.27	9.21%	5.43	10.61	10.13%	6.51	12.52	11.64%
STSGCN	3.31	7.62	8.06%	4.13	9.77	10.29%	5.06	11.66	12.91%
AGCRN	2.87	5.58	7.70%	3.23	6.58	9.00%	3.62	7.51	10.38%
GMAN	2.80	5.55	7.41%	3.12	6.49	8.73%	3.44	7.35	10.07%
MTGNN	2.69	5.18	6.86%	3.05	6.17	8.19%	3.49	7.23	9.87%
DGCRN	2.62	5.01	6.63%	2.99	6.05	8.02%	3.44	7.19	9.73%
PEMS-BAY									
HA	2.88	5.59	6.80%	2.88	5.59	6.80%	2.88	5.59	6.80%
ARIMA _{kal}	1.62	3.30	3.50%	2.33	4.76	5.40%	3.38	6.50	8.30%
VAR	1.74	3.16	3.60%	2.32	4.25	5.00%	2.93	5.44	6.50%
SVR	1.85	3.59	3.80%	2.48	5.18	5.50%	3.28	7.08	8.00%
FNN	2.20	4.42	5.19%	2.30	4.63	5.43%	2.46	4.98	5.89%
FC-LSTM	2.05	4.19	4.80%	2.20	4.55	5.20%	2.37	4.96	5.70%
DCRNN	1.38	2.95	2.90%	1.74	3.97	3.90%	2.07	4.74	4.90%
STGCN	1.36	2.96	2.90%	1.81	4.27	4.17%	2.49	5.69	5.79%
Graph WaveNet	1.30	2.74	2.73%	1.63	3.70	3.67%	1.95	4.52	4.63%
ST-MetaNet	1.36	2.90	2.82%	1.76	4.02	4.00%	2.20	5.06	5.45%
ASTGCN	1.52	3.13	3.22%	2.01	4.27	4.48%	2.61	5.42	6.00%
STSGCN	1.44	3.01	3.04%	1.83	4.18	4.17%	2.26	5.21	5.40%
AGCRN	1.37	2.87	2.94%	1.69	3.85	3.87%	1.96	4.54	4.64%
GMAN	1.34	2.91	2.86%	1.63	3.76	3.68%	1.86	4.32	4.37%
MTGNN	1.32	2.79	2.77%	1.65	3.74	3.69%	1.94	4.49	4.53%
DGCRN	1.28	2.69	2.66%	1.59	3.63	3.55%	1.89	4.42	4.43%
NE-BJ									
HA	6.00	10.95	26.40%	6.00	10.95	26.40%	6.00	10.95	26.40%
VAR	5.42	8.16	19.28%	5.76	9.07	21.53%	6.14	9.65	23.33%
FNN	4.08	7.22	13.31%	5.14	9.27	18.24%	6.47	11.35	25.57%
FC-LSTM	3.97	7.05	13.05%	4.93	9.04	17.74%	6.06	10.88	23.52%
DCRNN	3.84	6.84	12.82%	4.51	8.49	15.84%	5.15	9.77	19.08%
STGCN	5.02	8.34	19.31%	5.10	8.55	19.82%	5.39	9.09	22.14%
Graph WaveNet	3.74	6.54	12.49%	4.41	8.08	15.79%	4.99	9.20	19.45%
ST-MetaNet	3.82	6.69	13.05%	4.50	8.46	16.93%	5.05	9.74	20.00%
ASTGCN	4.43	7.34	14.65%	5.31	8.86	18.24%	6.29	10.31	22.70%
AGCRN	3.84	6.75	13.80%	4.48	8.41	16.70%	4.99	9.44	19.94%
GMAN	4.08	7.63	14.94%	4.42	8.45	16.51%	4.80	9.18	18.36%
MTGNN	3.75	6.71	12.91%	4.39	8.33	16.07%	4.90	9.38	19.79%
DGCRN	3.56	6.27	12.01%	4.23	7.96	15.10%	4.79	9.23	17.98%

5.2.3 *Ablation Study.* To evaluate the effect of key components that contribute to the improved outcomes of our proposed model, we conduct an ablation study on the METR-LA dataset. We name the variants of DGCRN as follows:

- **w/o dg:** This is DGCRN without dynamic adjacency matrix. We remove the dynamic graph convolution from DGCRM.

Table 4. Ablation Study on METR-LA


	Horizon 3			Horizon 6			Horizon 12		
	MAE	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	RMSE	MAPE	MAE	RMSE	MAPE
DGCRN	2.62	5.01	6.63%	2.99	6.05	8.02%	3.44	7.19	9.73%
w/o dg	2.71	5.19	7.04 %	3.12	6.31	8.60 %	3.64	7.59	10.62 %
w/o preA	2.64	5.09	6.68 %	3.02	6.15	8.14 %	3.47	7.30	9.97 %
w/o hypernet	2.67	5.12	6.90 %	3.05	6.20	8.33 %	3.50	7.39	10.03 %
dg w/o speed	2.63	5.01	6.67 %	3.02	6.08	8.10 %	3.49	7.29	9.90 %
dg w/o time	2.62	5.03	6.65 %	3.00	6.09	8.08 %	3.46	7.29	9.83 %
dg w/o h	2.63	5.04	6.72 %	3.00	6.08	8.23 %	3.45	7.23	10.04 %
dg2sg	2.65	5.05	6.73 %	3.02	6.10	8.17 %	3.48	7.28	9.96 %
w/o cl	2.63	5.04	6.67 %	3.00	6.13	8.07 %	3.47	7.35	9.77 %
hypernet mul2matmul	2.67	5.12	6.86 %	3.05	6.19	8.30 %	3.50	7.36	10.06 %

- **w/o preA:** We remove the pre-defined graph convolution from DGCRM.
- **w/o hypernet:** We replace the hyper-networks in the dynamic graph generator with a simple fully connected layer.
- **dg w/o time:** This is DGCRN whose dynamic graph is generated without time of day as inputs.
- **dg w/o speed:** This is DGCRN whose dynamic graph is generated without speed as inputs.
- **dg w/o h:** This is DGCRN whose dynamic graph is generated without hidden state as inputs.
- **dg2sg:** This is DGCRN whose graph is not updated step by step, we call it static graph (sg). The generation method of sg is as same as MTGNN [29].
- **w/o cl:** This is DGCRN without curriculum learning. We train DGCRN only with scheduled sampling.
- **hypernet mul2matmul:** We replace the Hadamard product in Equations 4 with matrix multiplication.


We repeat each experiment 5 times with early stopping per repetition and report the average of MAE, RMSE, MAPE on the test set in Table 4. The introduction of dynamic graph significantly improves the performance as it provides an self-adaptive adjacency matrix generated based on dynamic information at each step, thus can collaborate with static pre-defined adjacency matrix to describe the dynamic topology of road network in a better way. The proposed training strategy is evidently effective (**w/o cl**). The ablation study on the inputs of dynamic graph generator, i.e., the deficiency of hidden state (**dg w/o h**), time of day (**dg w/o time**), speed (**dg w/o speed**), or dynamic characteristic (**dg2sg**) will degrade the performance, especially for long-range prediction. **hypernet mul2matmul** requires reshaping the dynamic filter tensor to $R^{B \times N \times D_{in} \times D_{out}}$ for matrix multiplication. We can see the sharp decrease of the performance with the parameters of dynamic filters 40 times as much as those before.

In summary, both dynamic graph and static graph are essential for the performance of DGCRN. The hyper-networks confirm the effectiveness of the dynamic graph generation and all the inputs of dynamic graph generator can help the model perform better. DGCRN captures both static and dynamic spatio-temporal dependence to achieve good results.


5.2.4 Parameter Study. To study the influence of hyper-parameters, we conduct a parameter study on core hyper-parameters of DGCRN. The chosen hyper-parameters are as follows: Decay steps of scheduled sampling, ranges from 3,000 to 5,000. Dimension of node embedding, the dimension of the dynamic node embeddings, ranges from 20 to 60 on METR-LA and from 50 to 120 on NE-BJ. Dimension of hidden state, the dimension of RNN’s hidden state in DGCRN, ranges from 32 to 128. Dimension of GNN in hyper-network, the output dimension of GNN, ranges from 8 to 96.




(a) Effects of dimension of node embedding.



(b) Effects of dimension of hidden state.




(c) Effects of decay steps of scheduled sampling.




(d) Effects of output dimension of GNN in hyper-network.

Fig. 6. Parameter study on METR-LA.



(a) Effects of dimension of node embedding.



(b) Effects of dimension of hidden state.

Fig. 7. Parameter study on NE-BJ.

We repeat each experiment 5 times and report the average of MAE on the test set. In each experiment, we only change one parameter while fixing all other parameters. Figures 6 and 7 show the experimental results of parameter study. As shown in Figures 6(a), 6(b) and 7(b), increasing the dimension of both the dynamic node embeddings and the hidden state will improve the representation ability of DGCRN, while reducing the MAE loss. However, the dimension of hidden state of DGCRN should not be too high or it will result in over-fitting, degrading the performance of model obviously. There is an optimal value for the dimension of hidden state at around 64. On METR-LA, the model is not sensitive to a high dimension of node embeddings comparing with the dimension of hidden state and it is good for model when the dimension of node embeddings is 40. However, on NE-BJ dataset, the performance of DGCRN can achieve outstanding performance significantly

Table 5. Comparison among Different Calculation Methods of Adjacency Matrix

Methods	Equation	Mean MAE
Pre-Defined A	–	3.1156
Directly Learnable A	$A = \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{W})$	3.0642
Self-Attention Based A	$A = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{(XW_1)(XW_2)^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$	3.0321
Simple Adaptive A	$A = \text{ReLU}(\tanh(\alpha(E_1 E_2^T)))$	2.9985
Ours	$DA = \text{ReLU}(\tanh(\alpha(DE_1 DE_2^T - DE_2 DE_1^T)))$	2.9607

with a high dimension of node embeddings at around 80 or 100, as illustrated in Figure 7(a). This is because the traffic conditions of NE-BJ dataset are much more complex, requiring node embeddings to have higher dimension with the ability to store enough knowledge. In addition, the decay steps of scheduled sampling is best at around 4000 on METR-LA, as shown in Figure 6(c). Figure 6(d) demonstrates the effect of the dimension of GNN in hyper-network. When the dimension of GNN in hyper-network changes from 8 to 16, the model gets an obvious benefit, which indicates that the dynamic graph generation is effective in capturing dynamic spatio-temporal correlations. However, the dimension of GNN in hyper-network should not be too high in case of over-fitting.

To sum up, all the hyper-parameters have optimal values and should be confirmed based on the type of datasets, which will influence the ultimate performance of model to a large extent.

5.2.5 Study of the Graphs Designed by Different Rules. To further study the influence of different graph calculation methods, we design experiments with various kinds of adjacency matrices. As shown in Table 5, we provide the averaged MAE of DGCRN with different graph calculation methods on the test set of the METR-LA dataset. Pre-Defined A is calculated by distance without any self-adaptive adjustment according to data and thus obtains the worst performance. Directly Learnable A is a parameter matrix containing N^2 learnable parameters. However, the construction of the Directly Learnable A is too simple to learn the latent spatial dependency. Self-Attention Based A uses a self-attention mechanism [26] to calculate the adjacency matrix and performs better because it can adjust itself according to the input X . Simple Adaptive A is calculated by two learnable node embeddings E_1 and E_2 , and is good at capturing latent spatial dependencies. By comparison, our proposed dynamic adaptive graph can achieve the best performance. This is because our dynamic node embeddings DE_1 and DE_2 contain the dynamic spatial-temporal information. Moreover, the calculation method of DA make itself a uni-directional graph, i.e., if $DA_{ij} > 0$, then its diagonal counterpart $DA_{ji} = 0$. This kind of uni-directional graph can better describe the uni-directional relation among different positions on the road network.

6 CONCLUSION

In this article, we take the first step to explore the generation and application of step-wise dynamic graph in traffic prediction, preliminarily proving that dynamic graph can effectively cooperate with pre-defined graph while improving the prediction performance. Based on dynamic graph generation, we propose DGCRN for traffic prediction. As core of model, the dynamic graph is able to learn the parameters of adjacency matrix, and make self-adjustment according to the dynamic information at each time step. Besides, we employ a general training method for RNNs, which is proven more efficient and good for performance. Moreover, the NE-BJ dataset is representative for urban traffic conditions with great value for further research. In addition, we provide the traffic benchmark for researchers to conduct fair comparisons of different methods and design their own models conveniently. Finally, we conduct sufficient experiments to prove the dynamic graph

generation is promising. As our future work, we plan to go deeper into the urban traffic prediction by dealing with traffic congestion. Additionally, more external factors (e.g., temperature, weather, POI, accidents) can be integrated into the model to further improve the performance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lei Bai, Lina Yao, Can Li, Xianzhi Wang, and Can Wang. 2020. Adaptive graph convolutional recurrent network for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 34th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- [2] Samy Bengio, Oriol Vinyals, Navdeep Jaitly, and Noam Shazeer. 2015. Scheduled Sampling for Sequence Prediction with Recurrent Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 1 (NIPS'15)*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1171–1179.
- [3] George Edward Pelham Box and Gwilym M. Jenkins. 1970. *Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control*. Holden-Day.
- [4] Weiqi Chen, Ling Chen, Yu Xie, Wei Cao, Yusong Gao, and Xiaojie Feng. 2020. Multi-range attentive bicomponent graph convolutional network for traffic forecasting. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 34, 4 (4 2020), 3529–3536. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i04.5758>
- [5] Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Çağlar Gülcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- [6] Zhiyong Cui, Kristian Henrickson, Ruimin Ke, and Y. Wang. 2019. Traffic graph convolutional recurrent neural network: A deep learning framework for network-scale traffic learning and forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 21, 11 (11 2019), 4883–4894. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2950416>
- [7] Xu Geng, Yaguang Li, Leye Wang, Lingyu Zhang, Qiang Yang, Jieping Ye, and Yan Liu. 2019. Spatiotemporal multi-graph convolution network for ride-hailing demand forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 33, 3656–3663.
- [8] K. Guo, Y. Hu, Z. Qian, Y. Sun, J. Gao, and B. Yin. 2020. Dynamic graph convolution network for traffic forecasting based on latent network of laplace matrix estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 23, 2 (2020), 1009–1018. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2020.3019497>
- [9] Shengnan Guo, Youfang Lin, Ning Feng, Chao Song, and Huaiyu Wan. 2019. Attention based spatial-temporal graph convolutional networks for traffic flow forecasting. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 33, 1 (7 2019), 922–929. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.3301922>
- [10] James D. Hamilton. 1994. *Time Series Analysis* (1st. ed.). Princeton University Press. Retrieved from <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/redirect?tag=citeulike07-20&path=ASIN/0691042896>.
- [11] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation* 9, 8 (12 1997), 1735–1780. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735>
- [12] Rongzhou Huang, Chuyin Huang, Yubao Yubao Liu, Genan Dai, and Weiyang Kong. 2020. LSGCN: Long short-term traffic prediction with graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2327–2333. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2020/322>
- [13] Thomas N. Kipf and Max Welling. 2017. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017*.
- [14] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner . 1998. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 86, 11 (1998), 2278–2324.
- [15] Yaguang Li, Rose Yu, Cyrus Shahabi, and Yan Liu. 2018. Diffusion convolutional recurrent neural network: Data-driven traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [16] Yuxuan Liang, Songyu Ke, Junbo Zhang, Xiuwen Yi, and Yu Zheng. 2018. GeoMAN: Multi-level attention networks for geo-sensory time series prediction. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 3428–3434.
- [17] Ziqian Lin, Jie Feng, Ziyang Lu, Yong Li, and Depeng Jin. 2019. DeepSTN+: Context-aware spatial temporal neural network for crowd flow prediction in metropolis. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and 31st Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference and 9th AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence*.
- [18] Zheyi Pan, Yuxuan Liang, Weifeng Wang, Yong Yu, Yu Zheng, and Junbo Zhang. 2019. Urban traffic prediction from spatio-temporal data using deep meta learning. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. 1720–1730.
- [19] Cheonbok Park, Chunggi Lee, Hyojin Bahng, Yunwon Tae, Seungmin Jin, Kihwan Kim, Sungahn Ko, and Jaegul Choo. 2020. ST-GRAT: A Novel Spatio-Temporal Graph Attention Networks for Accurately Forecasting Dynamically Changing Road Speed. ACM, New York, NY, 1215–1224. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1145/3340531.3411940>

- [20] Yao Qin, Dongjin Song, Haifeng Cheng, Wei Cheng, Guofei Jiang, and Garrison Cottrell. 2017. A dual-stage attention-based recurrent neural network for time series prediction. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [21] Youngjoo Seo, Michaël Defferrard, Pierre Vandergheynst, and Xavier Bresson. 2016. Structured sequence modeling with graph convolutional recurrent networks. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Neural Information Processing*. 362–373.
- [22] D. I. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, and P. Vandergheynst. 2013. The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 30, 3 (2013), 83–98. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2012.2235192>
- [23] Chao Song, Youfang Lin, Shengnan Guo, and Huaiyu Wan. 2020. Spatial-temporal synchronous graph convolutional networks: A new framework for spatial-temporal network data forecasting. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 34, 1 (4 2020), 914–921. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i01.5438>
- [24] Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V. Le. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 1–9.
- [25] P. Tormene, Toni Giorgino, S. Quaglini, and M. Stefanelli. 2009. Matching incomplete time series with dynamic time warping: An algorithm and an application to post-stroke rehabilitation. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine* 45, 1 (2009), 11–34. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19111449>.
- [26] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing system*. 1–11.
- [27] Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Liò, and Yoshua Bengio. 2018. Graph attention networks. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*. Retrieved from <https://openreview.net/forum?id=rJXMpikCZ>. accepted as poster.
- [28] Xiaoyang Wang, Yao Ma, Yiqi Wang, Wei Jin, Xin Wang, Jiliang Tang, Caiyan Jia, and Jian Yu. 2020. Traffic flow prediction via spatial temporal graph neural network. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020*. ACM, New York, NY, 1082–1092. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1145/3366423.3380186>
- [29] Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Guodong Long, Jing Jiang, Xiaojun Chang, and Chengqi Zhang. 2020. *Connecting the Dots: Multivariate Time Series Forecasting with Graph Neural Networks*. ACM, New York, NY, 753–763. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3403118>
- [30] Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Guodong Long, Jing Jiang, and Chengqi Zhang. 2019. Graph wavenet for deep spatial-temporal graph modeling. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [31] Mingxing Xu, Wenrui Dai, Chunmiao Liu, X. Gao, W. Lin, Guo-Jun Qi, and Hongkai Xiong. 2020. Spatial-temporal transformer networks for traffic flow forecasting. arXiv e-prints, arXiv-2001.
- [32] Huaxiu Yao, Xianfeng Tang, Hua Wei, Guanjie Zheng, and Zhenhui Li. 2019. Revisiting spatial-temporal similarity: A deep learning framework for traffic prediction. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and 31st Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference and 9th AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence*.
- [33] Huaxiu Yao, Fei Wu, Jintao Ke, Xianfeng Tang, Yitian Jia, Siyu Lu, Pinghua Gong, Jieping Ye, and Zhenhui Li. 2018. Deep multi-view spatial-temporal network for taxi demand prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2588–2595.
- [34] Bing Yu, Haoteng Yin, and Zhanxing Zhu. 2018. Spatio-temporal graph convolutional networks: A deep learning framework for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 3634–3640. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2018/505>
- [35] Junbo Zhang, Yu Zheng, and Dekang Qi. 2017. Deep spatio-temporal residual networks for citywide crowd flows prediction. In *Proceedings of Thirty-first AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'17)*.
- [36] Qi Zhang, Jianlong Chang, Gaofeng Meng, Shiming Xiang, and Chunhong Pan. 2020. Spatio-temporal graph structure learning for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 34, 1177–1185.
- [37] Zhengchao Zhang, Meng Li, Yinhai Wang, and Fang He. 2019. Multistep speed prediction on traffic networks: A graph convolutional sequence-to-sequence learning approach with attention mechanism. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 105 (08 2019), 297–322.
- [38] Chuapan Zheng, Xiaoliang Fan, Cheng Wang, and Jianzhong Qi. 2020. GMAN: A graph multi-attention network for traffic prediction. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 34, 1 (4 2020), 1234–1241. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i01.5477>

Received 21 July 2021; revised 28 February 2022; accepted 16 April 2022