New Physics from (Polarized) Black Hole Images



Andrew Chael
Princeton Gravity Initiative

National Taiwan University
January 18, 2024

Princeton gravity Initiative

Event Horizon Telescope

Supermassive black holes (and jets) are everywhere











Credits: Sara Issoun, (M87: HST), (Cyg A: Chandra/HST/VLA (Cyg A), (Cen A: ESO/WFI (Optical); MPIfR/ESO/APEX/A.Weiss et al. (Submillimetre); NASA/CXC/CfA/R.Kraft et al. (X-ray)), (NGC 1265: M. Gendron-Marsolais et al.; S. Dagnello, NRAO/AUI/NSF; Sloan Digital Sky Survey),(3C293, Chandra),(Hercules A, HST/VLA),(NGC1265,M. Gendron-Marsolais et al.; S. Dagnello, NRAO/AUI/NSF; SDSS), (3C31, VLA), (3C296, AUI, NRAO)

M87 & M87*

$M_{BH} = (6.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^9 M_\odot$
$D = (16.8 \pm 0.8)\mathrm{Mpc}$
$R_s = 2GM/c^2 \approx 64\,\mathrm{AU}$









What does jet launching look like on event horizon scales?

Image Credits: HST(Optical), NRAO (VLA),
Craig Walker (7mm VLBA), Kazuhiro Hada (VLBA+GBT 3mm), EHT (1.3 mm)

The Black Hole Shadow



$$d_{\text{shadow}} \approx 5R_S/D$$
$$\approx 40\,\mu\text{as for M87}^*$$



Image credit: Keiichi Asada (left), Narayan+ 2019, **Chael+ 2021**

The Event Horizon Telescope: Instrument



$$\text{Resolution} \approx \frac{\lambda}{d_{\text{Earth}}} \approx \frac{1.3\,\text{mm}}{1.3 \times 10^{10}\,\text{mm}} \approx 20\,\mu\text{as}$$

EHTC+ 2019, Paper II

M87 & M87*

$M_{BH} = (6.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^9 M_\odot$
$D = (16.8 \pm 0.8)\mathrm{Mpc}$
$R_\mathrm{s} = 2GM/c^2 \approx 64\,\mathrm{AU}$



Image Credits: HST(Optical), NRAO (VLA),
Craig Walker (7mm VLBA), Kazuhiro Hada (VLBA+GBT 3mm), EHT (1.3 mm)

Why polarization?





Magnetic field geometry in the emission region!

Synchrotron radiation is emitted with polarization **perpendicular** to magnetic field lines

Polarization **transport** is sensitive to the magnetic field, plasma, and spacetime

EHTC+ 2021 Papers VII & VIII (**Chael**, paper coordinator/writing team)
Movie Credit: Ivan Marti-Vidal

Outline

1. How did we obtain a polarized image of the M87* black hole?

2. How do we interpret the polarized image of M87*?

3. Connection between polarized images and jet launching

First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VII. Polarization of the Ring

The Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration

(See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

Received 2020 November 23; revised 2021 February 15; accepted 2021 February 16; published 2021 March 24

First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VIII. Magnetic Field Structure near The Event Horizon

The Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration

(See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

Received 2020 December 2; revised 2021 February 3; accepted 2021 February 8; published 2021 March 24

First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. IX. Detection of Near-horizon Circular Polarization

The Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration

(See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

Received 2023 June 19; revised 2023 September 5; accepted 2023 September 12; published 2023 November 8

Black Hole Polarimetry I. A Signature of Electromagnetic Energy Extraction

Andrew Chael[1], Alexandru Lupsasca[2], George N. Wong[1,3], and Eliot Quataert[1,4]

[1] Princeton Gravity Initiative, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; achael@princeton.edu

[2] Department of Physics & Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37212, USA

[3] School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

[4] Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Received 2023 July 12; revised 2023 August 11; accepted 2023 September 11; published 2023 November 14

ArXiv: 2105.01169; 2105.01173; 2311.10976; 2307.06372

My Research

Theory & Simulations

Using physics to predict and interpret near-horizon images

What tests are possible given the limitations of EHT data?

How can we use images to test black hole & accretion physics?

Data & Imaging

Using EHT data to map near-horizon emission in space, time, polarization, and energy

How do we obtain a polarized image of M87* with the EHT?

The Event Horizon Telescope: People

300+ members

60 institutes

20 countries

from Europe, Asia, Africa,
North and South America.

Image credit: E. Traianou, MPIfR

EHTC Paper VII,VIII,IX writing teams

Monika Mościbrodzka

Iván Martí-Vidal

Sara Issaoun

Jongho Park



Maciek Wielgus

Angelo Ricarte

Jason Dexter

Andrew Chael

Alejandra Jiménez-Rosales

Daniel Palumbo



Dom Pesce

John Wardle

Svetlana Jorstad

Ioannis Myserlis

Freek Roelofs

Abhishek Joshi

Avery Broderick

Ben Prather, Charles Gammie, George Wong



EHT Observations

Photo credits:
David Michalik, Junhan Kim , Salvaor Sanchez, Helge Rottman
Jonathan Weintroub, Gopal Narayanan

The EHT data path



Image credit: Lindy Blackburn

Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)



EHT coverage is **sparse**: inversion of image from the data is highly unconstrained

movie credit: Katie Bouman

Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)



EHT coverage is **sparse**: inversion of image from the data is highly unconstrained

movie credit: Daniel Palumbo

Challenges of near-horizon imaging



Data at each station are corrupted by unknown polarimetric **leakage** and **complex gain** factors

Solving for the Image

True Image



Sparse/ Corrupted Measurements



RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM

Reconstruction



Several different types of reconstruction algorithms now used:

- **CLEAN-based**: standard and efficient, but can have difficulties on very sparse data
    - LPCAL/GPCAL (Park+ 2021) and polsolve (Marti-Vidal+ 21)
- **Regularized Maximum Likelihood w/ Gradient Descent**: fast and flexible, but lots of hyperparameters
    - eht-imaging (Chael+ 2016, 2018)
- **Bayesian MCMC posterior exploration:** fully characterizes uncertainty, but expensive
    - Themis (Broderick+ 21), DMC (Pesce+ 21)

credit: Katie Bouman, Andrew Chael, EHTC 2021, Paper VII

The **eht-imaging** software library

- python toolkit for **analyzing, simulating,** and **imaging** interferometric data

- A flexible framework for developing new tools:
  - dynamical imaging (Johnson+ 2017)
  - **multi-frequency imaging (Chael+ 2023a)**,
  - geometric modeling (Roelofs+ 2023)

- Uses:
  - All EHT results to date
  - Next-generation EHT design
  - Imaging & analysis from VLBA, GMVA, ALMA, RadioAstron…



https://github.com/achael/eht-imaging

`pip install ehtim`

Chael+ 2016, 2018a, 2023a

M87* Polarization Images from five vetted methods



- All methods show similar polarization structure
- Polarization is concentrated in the southwest
- Polarization angle structure is predominantly **helical**
- Overall level of polarization is **somewhat weak**, |m| rises to ~15 %

EHTC+ 2021 Paper VII (**Chael**, paper writing team)

Horizon-Scale circular polarization *images* are **not** robustly recovered

- Circular Polarization is 10x weaker in M87 than Linear Polarization
- Different methods do not show consistent Stokes V images
  - Not consistent between days
  - Not consistent between frequency bands
- We place an upper limit **<|v|> < 3.7%**
- **Future observations will be more sensitive!**



$$\langle|v|\rangle = \frac{\int |\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{I}|\,\mathcal{I}\,dA}{\int \mathcal{I}\,dA}$$ Average resolved Circular Fraction

Credit: EHT 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

New imaging techniques have wide applicability!

3C279 with *RadioAstron*

- At 22 GHz (1.3 cm) observed in 2014
- Space baselines to *RadioAstron* supported by a ground array of 23 antennas
- Reconstruction with **eht-imaging**.



Antonio Fuentes

Fuentes et al, 2023

New imaging techniques have wide applicability!

3C279 with *RadioAstron*

- At 22 GHz (1.3 cm) observed in 2014
- Space baselines to *RadioAstron* supported by a ground array of 23 antennas
- Reconstruction with **eht-imaging**.




Antonio Fuentes

Fuentes et al, 2023

What do the EHT’s polarization results tell us about the accretion flow?

Theoretical Tools for Interpreting Black Hole Images



General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic (GRMHD) Simulations

Solves coupled equations of plasma dynamics and magnetic field in Kerr spacetime



GR Radiative Transfer

Tracks light rays and solves for the polarized radiation (including Faraday effects)

Movie Credits: **Chael**+ 2019, Ben Prather (right)

What is the magnetic field structure close to the horizon?

Two accretion states that depend on the accumulated magnetic flux on horizon

Magnetic fields are weak and turbulent



"SANE"



"MAD" - Magnetically Arrested Disk

Strong, coherent magnetic fields build up on the horizon

Note: 'strong' fields mean dynamically important ones → ~10 G at the horizon for M87

Blandford-Znajek (1977): $P_{\rm jet} \propto \Phi_B^2 a^2$

BH spin

magnetic flux

Igumenschchev 1977, Narayan+2003, Tchekhovskoy+2011, Narayan+ 2012
Image credit: Riordan+ 2017

Scoring GRMHD Simulations: before polarization

- **Most simulation models can be made to fit total intensity observations alone** by tweaking free parameters (mass, PA, total flux density)



- An additional constraint on **jet power** ($\geq 10^{42}$ erg/sec) rejects all spin 0 models
- Can we do better with polarization?

EHTC+ Paper V, 2019

GRMHD Simulation library



native resolution



EHT resolution

Images modeled with the ipole GRRT code (Moscibrodzka & Gammie 2018)
Two-temperature plasma model from Moscibrodzka et al. 2016

$$\frac{T_i}{T_e} = R_{\rm high}\frac{\beta^2}{1+\beta^2} + R_{\rm low}\frac{1}{1+\beta^2}$$

Two parameters set the electron temperature

Animation credit: George Wong/ Ben Prather

Synchrotron polarization traces magnetic fields?





Magnetic field geometry in the emission region!

Synchrotron radiation is emitted with polarization **perpendicular** to the magnetic field line

EHTC+ 2021 Papers VII & VIII (**Chael**, paper coordinator/writing team)
Movie Credit: Ivan Marti-Vidal

Synchrotron polarization traces magnetic fields?





GR and Plasma (Faraday) effects make the situation in M87* more complicated!

EHTC+ 2021 Papers VII & VIII (**Chael**, paper coordinator/writing team)
Movie Credit: Ivan Marti-Vidal

GR light bending and parallel transport matter!

3 simple models, viewed face on

Field structure





Observed image



Credit: EHTC 2021 Paper VIII (**Chael**, Paper Coordinator)
Jiménez-Rosales+ 2018, Ivan Marti-Vidal

Faraday Rotation Matters!



With rotation

Without rotation

'infinite' resolution





- Significant Faraday rotation on small scales
  → **scrambles** polarization directions
  → **depolarization** of the image when blurred to EHT resolution
  → **overall rotation** of the pattern when blurred to EHT resolution

Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

Internal Faraday rotation



With rotation

Without rotation

EHT resolution





- Significant Faraday rotation on small scales
  - → **scrambles** polarization directions
  - → **depolarization** of the image when blurred to EHT resolution
  - → **overall rotation** of the pattern when blurred to EHT resolution

Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

Scoring simulations with polarization: Image metrics

Azimuthal Linear structure
2nd mode (Palumbo+ 2020)

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{I_{\rm ring}} \int_{\rho_{\rm min}}^{\rho_{\rm max}} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\rho, \varphi)\, e^{-2i\varphi}\, \rho\, d\varphi\, d\rho$$

Unresolved linear polarization fraction

$$|m|_{\rm net} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\sum_i Q_i\right)^2 + \left(\sum_i U_i\right)^2}}{\sum_i I_i}$$

Unresolved circular polarization fraction (from ALMA)

$$|v|_{\rm net} = \frac{\left|\sum_i V_i\right|}{\sum_i I_i}$$

Average resolved linear fraction

$$\langle |m| \rangle = \frac{\sum_i \sqrt{Q_i^2 + U_i^2}}{\sum_i I_i}$$

Average resolved circular fraction

$$\langle |v| \rangle = \frac{\sum_i |V_i / I_i|}{\sum_i I_i}$$

GRMHD images can be **strongly** or **weakly** polarized: with linear **patterns** that are radial/toroidal/helical



Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII, 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

Scoring simulations with polarization: Image metrics

Azimuthal Linear structure
2nd mode (Palumbo+ 2020)

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{I_{\rm ring}} \int_{\rho_{\rm min}}^{\rho_{\rm max}} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\rho,\varphi)\, e^{-2i\varphi}\; \rho\, d\varphi\, d\rho$$

GRMHD images can be **strongly** or **weakly** polarized: with linear **patterns** that are radial/toroidal/helical





Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII, 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

Scoring simulations with polarization: Image metrics

Azimuthal Linear structure
2nd mode (Palumbo+ 2020)

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{I_{\rm ring}} \int_{\rho_{\rm min}}^{\rho_{\rm max}} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\rho,\varphi)\, e^{-2i\varphi}\ \rho\, d\varphi\, d\rho$$

GRMHD images can be **strongly** or **weakly** polarized: with linear **patterns** that are radial/toroidal/helical





Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII, 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator)

Scoring simulations with polarization: Results

- Scoring with multiple approaches **all strongly favor a magnetically arrested accretion flow**

- Implications for accretion and jet launching:
  - We constrain M87*'s allowed accretion rate by 2 orders of magnitude:

$$\dot{M} \simeq (3 - 20) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot \ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$$

$$\left(\dot{M}_{\mathrm{Edd}} = 137 M_\odot \ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$$

  - Strong fields **more easily launch jets** at lower values of BH spin







Credit: EHT 2021 Paper VIII, 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator), Riordan+2017

Passing simulations have diverse circular polarization images



Detecting the Stokes V image structure with more sensitive observations will constrain our models further
Need more theoretical work to understand these morphologies!

Credit: EHT 2023 Paper IX (**Chael**, paper coordinator)
Ricarte+ 2021

Connecting EHT images to electromagnetic energy flow

Chael, Lupsasca, Wong, Quataert 2023

2307.06372

M87*

$M_{BH} = (6.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^9 M_\odot$
$D = (16.8 \pm 0.8)\mathrm{Mpc}$
P_{jet} is 10^{42}-10^{45} erg/s



- Jets are thought to be powered by black hole spin energy (Blandford & Znajek 1977)
- But is it possible to observe black hole energy extraction **directly**?

Credit: EHTC, NASA/Swift; NASA/Fermi; Caltech-NuSTAR; CXC; CfA-VERITAS; MAGIC; HESS: arXiv 2104.06855

M87 Jets in GRMHD Simulations

- Jets from cutting-edge, magnetically arrested **radiative** simulations **are powered by black hole spin** (e.g. McKinney & Gammie 2004, Tchekhovskoy+ 2012, EHTC+ 2019)
- These simulations naturally produce:
  - A jet power in measured range
  - observed wide opening angle
  - observed core-shift
- Can we be **sure?** What is a **physically meaningful** observation of **horizon-scale** energy flow from a black hole?



Chael+ 2019

$\arg(\beta_2)$ is connected to the ratio B^ϕ / B^r



Cartoon picture:

- Face on fields, no Faraday rotation, no optical depth, no relativistic parallel transport/abberation
- The BH spin is axis **into the sky** (EHT Paper V, 2019)

$$\angle\beta_2 \approx 2\arctan\left(\frac{B^r}{rB^\phi}\right) \quad (\text{observer at } \theta_o = \pi)$$

Chael+ 2023b

$\arg(\beta_2)$ is connected to the **electromagnetic energy flux**



Poynting flux (Boyer-Lindquist coordinates):

$$\mathcal{J}^r_\mathcal{E} = -T^r{}_{t\ \mathrm{EM}} = -B^r B^\phi \,\Omega_F\, \Delta \sin^2\theta$$

fieldline angular speed

Chael+ 2023b

$\arg(\beta_2)$ is connected to the **electromagnetic energy flux**

- **The sign of $\arg(\beta_2)$ is connected to the direction of Poynting flux**

- **Ignoring Faraday effects**, The EHT's measurement **implies electromagnetic energy outflow in M87***

- This inference requires we assume fieldlines **co-rotate** with the emitting plasma (the angular vector is into the sky)

- Does this simple argument hold up in **more complicated models** of M87*?



Chael+ 2023b

Does the relationship between $\arg(\beta_2)$ and energy flux persist in **GRMHD models** of M87*?

- 1600 simulated M87* images from KORAL MAD simulations (Narayan+ 2022)
- Almost all simulation images have $\arg(\beta_2)$ consistent with energy outflow in our simple picture
- $\arg(\beta_2)$ has the **same qualitative dependence on spin** as in the BZ monopole model



Chael+ 2023

Polarized images are **spin dependent**

Low Spin



High Spin





- BH **spin winds up initially radial fields**, always so that $B^{\phi}/B^{r} < 0$
- The field pitch angle **increases with spin**
- Increased field winding
  - increases the Poynting flux (BZ jet power)
  - makes the observed polarization pattern more radial

Image credit: George Wong

To look for energy extraction, we need to zoom **in**





- Measuring arg(β_2) as a function of radius **probes energy flow at different scales**
- Both simple BZ models and GRMHD simulations make a strong prediction
  - arg(β_2) evolves rapidly close to the **inner shadow** as fields are **wound up by spin**

Chael+ 2023b, Ricarte+ 2022

To look for energy extraction, we need to zoom **out**



Ongoing work by Zack Gelles (Princeton)



- New telescope sites & larger bandwidth will enhance EHT's **dynamic range**
  - These will illuminate both the **BH-jet connection** and the **'inner shadow'**
- These new observations will require new theoretical models and simulations to fully interpret
  - We will directly measure energy flow **from the horizon through the jet base** and **test the BZ mechanism**

Chael+ 2019, 2023b
Image Credit: Paul Tiede, Zack Gelles

Takeaways:

- The EHT has finally analyzed M87* in full polarization
- The structure of linear polarization is robustly constrained. Circular polarization is detected but the structure is not constrained.
- EHT linear polarization images show **~20% polarization** with an **azimuthal pattern** of polarization angles at 20 microarcsec scales. Circular polarization on these scales is **<4%**
- The EHT images can be used to constrain GRMHD simulation models of the emission region:
  - self-consistently including Faraday rotation and conversion effects is important
- The polarization data singles out magnetically arrested models:
  - **the magnetic field is dynamically important at the event horizon in M87***
  - These models naturally produce enough Faraday rotation to explain observed RM and low linear and circular polarization fractions
- The azimuthal structure of the linear polarization in M87* is consistent with outward Poynting flux
  - Simple model prediction is upheld in GRMHD simulation images.