

Think-Center: Coordinating Multiple Claude Code Instances

The Problem

When building full-stack applications, you often have multiple Claude Code instances working on different parts (backend, frontend) that need to share a common API contract. Without coordination, these instances drift apart, creating integration nightmares.

The Solution

Use think-center as your architectural orchestrator - not writing code but ensuring both code-writers dance together.

Workflow

1. Initial Design Session

```
Think-center:
"Maker, we're building [system description]
- Backend handles: [responsibilities]
- Frontend needs: [requirements]
- Shared concerns: [auth, errors, data formats]"

"Weaver, what's the narrative flow between frontend/backend?"
"Maker, define the API endpoints needed"
"Checker, what edge cases will break this contract?"
```

2. Create API Contract

```
"Scribe, document our API contract:
- Endpoints: [list]
- Request/Response formats: [schemas]
- Error patterns: [standardized errors]
- Auth flow: [token handling]"
```

3. Split to Claude Code Instances

Backend Instance:

```
"Implement these endpoints per our contract:
[paste contract]
Focus on [specific backend concerns]"
```

Frontend Instance:

```
"Consume these endpoints per our contract:  
[paste contract]  
Focus on [specific frontend concerns]"
```

4. Coordination Checkpoints

Morning Sync

```
Think-center:  
"Council, here's what both sides built yesterday:  
- Backend implemented: [features]  
- Frontend integrated: [features]  
- Conflicts found: [list]"
```

Before ANY API Change

```
Think-center:  
"Maker, backend needs to change [endpoint] because [reason]  
How do we version this?"
```

```
"Checker, what's the migration path?"
```

```
"E/E, is this change worth the coordination cost?"
```

Integration Mismatches

```
Think-center:  
"Checker, we have a mismatch:  
- Backend returns: null for missing data  
- Frontend expects: empty array  
How do we reconcile?"
```

5. Continuous Patterns

When Either Side Gets Stuck

```
Claude Code Backend: *hits complex problem*  
→ Think-center: "Backend stuck on [problem], impacts API how?"  
→ Claude Code Frontend: *adjusts expectations*
```

State Synchronization

Think-center:

"O/G, both sides handle user state differently

What's the source of truth?"

Key Principles

1. **Think-center holds the contract** - Single source of truth
2. **No unilateral API changes** - All modifications go through Council review
3. **Scribe maintains living documentation** - Contract evolves but stays synchronized
4. **Perspectives prevent problems:**
 - Weaver: Ensures narrative coherence
 - Maker: Keeps things buildable
 - Checker: Catches integration issues early
 - O/G: Spots human/team dynamics issues

Example Session

Morning:

You: "Council meeting on payment flow implementation"

Weaver: "The story: user selects plan → processes payment → activates features"

Maker: "Three endpoints needed: /plans, /process-payment, /activate"

Checker: "What if payment succeeds but activation fails?"

Scribe: *documents edge cases*

Split to Claude Code:

Backend: Implements with rollback capability

Frontend: Implements with retry logic

Evening reconciliation:

You: "Checker, both implemented differently – compatible?"

Checker: "Backend rollback + frontend retry could cause double-charging"

Maker: "Add idempotency key to prevent this"

Benefits

- **Prevents drift:** Regular sync keeps both sides aligned
- **Catches issues early:** Checker spots mismatches before they're coded
- **Documents decisions:** Scribe maintains context for "why"
- **Reduces rework:** Think before code, not after

Pro Tips

1. Start each day with think-center sync before opening Claude Code
 2. End each day with integration check in think-center
 3. Any "quick API change" goes through Council first
 4. When in doubt, ask Checker to verify assumptions
 5. Let Scribe document all contract changes with reasons
-

Think better together, build faster apart