

- First-fit: Search from the beginning, choose the first free block of sufficient size.
- Next-fit: Search from where the last allocation occurs, choose the first free block of sufficient size.

Test scenario 2:

Purpose:

Compare the fragmentation resulted from best-fit and worst-fit.

Assumption:

max_mem_size = 100, mem_start_address = 0

Test Case:

1. Set up memory environment by following requests:
alloc 1 14 -> alloc 2 6 -> alloc 3 16 -> free 2 -> alloc 4 12
-> alloc 5 20 -> free 4 -> alloc 6 14
2. Submit requests:
alloc 7 3 -> alloc 8 8 -> alloc 9 5 -> alloc 10 6 -> alloc 11 5

Test Result:

Result of step 1:

Both best-fit and worst-fit produce following memory usage.

```
alloc 1 14:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----
alloc 2 6:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBBB-----
alloc 3 16:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBBBCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----
free 2:      AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----
alloc 4 12:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDDD-----
alloc 5 20:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDDEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE-----
free 4:      AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE-----
alloc 6 14:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF-----
```

Now there are three free blocks as follows.

block #	start address	size
1	14	6
2	36	12
3	82	18

Result of step 2:

Fragments produced by best-fit: (3, 4, 2)

```
alloc 7 3:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGG--CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF-----
alloc 8 8:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGG--CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCHHHHHHHHH-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF-----
alloc 9 5:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGG--CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCHHHHHHHHH-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFI IIII-----
alloc 10 6:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGG--CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCHHHHHHHHH-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFI IIIIJJJJJJ-----
alloc 11 5:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGG--CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCHHHHHHHHH-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFI IIIIJJJJJJKKKK--
```

Fragments produced by worst-fit: (6, 1, 2)

```
alloc 7 3:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFGGG-----
alloc 8 8:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFGGHHHHHHHH-----
alloc 9 5:   AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCIIIII-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFGGHHHHHHHH-----
alloc 10 6:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCIIIIIJJJJJ-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFGGHHHHHHHH-----
alloc 11 5:  AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCIIIIIJJJJ-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFGGHHHHHHHHKKKK--
```

Conclusion

- Best-fit: All remaining holes are too small to be useful.
- Worst-fit: Compared to best fit, increases the possibility that the remaining space can be used by another process.

Test scenario 3:

Purpose:

Compare first-fit with next-fit.

Assumption:

```
max_mem_size = 100, mem_start_address = 0
```

Test Case:

1. Set up memory environment by following requests:
alloc 1 12 -> alloc 2 4 -> alloc 3 8 -> alloc 4 15 -> alloc 5 24 -> free 4
2. Submit requests:
alloc 6 10 -> alloc 7 11 -> free 1 -> alloc 8 6 -> alloc 9 4 -> free 2 -> alloc 10 3

Test Result:

Result of step 1:

Both first-fit and next-fit produce following memory usage.

```
alloc 1 12: AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-----  
alloc 2 4:  AAAAAAAAAAAAABBBB-----  
alloc 3 8:  AAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCC-----  
alloc 4 15: AAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDDDDDDD-----  
alloc 5 24: AAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCCCDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE  
free 4:    AAAAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCC-----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
```

Result of step 2:

Memory holes produced by first-fit:

```
alloc 6 10:  AAAAAAAAAAABBBCCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
alloc 7 11:  AAAAAAAAAAABBBCCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
free 1:      BBBBCCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
alloc 8 6:   HHHHHH BBBBCCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
alloc 9 4:   HHHHHHIII BBBBCCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
free 2:      HHHHHHIII CCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
alloc 10 3:  HHHHHHIIIIJ CCCCCCFF FFFFFFFF -----EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEGGGGGGGGGGG
```

Memory holes produced by next-fit:

```
alloc 6 10: AAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFF
alloc 7 11: AAAAAAAAAAABBBBCCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFPGGGGGGGGGG
free 1: BBBBCCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFPGGGGGGGGGG
alloc 8 6: BBBBCCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFGGGGGGGGGGHHHHH
alloc 9 4: BBBBCCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFGGGGGGGGGGHHHHHHIIII
free 2: CCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFGGGGGGGGGGHHHHHHIIII
alloc 10 3: CCCCCCCC -EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEFFFFFFFGGGGGGGGGGHHHHHHIIJJJ
```

Conclusion:

- First-fit: Tends to fragment the low memory area, leaving larger free block(s) in the end of the memory.
- Next-fit: Compared to first-fit, tends to chew up the end of the memory.