Tidying data

- Data rarely come to us as we want to use them.
- ▶ Before we can do analysis, typically have organizing to do.
- This is typical of ANOVA-type data, "wide format":

```
pig feed1 feed2 feed3 feed4

1 60.8 68.7 92.6 87.9

2 57.0 67.7 92.1 84.2

3 65.0 74.0 90.2 83.1

4 58.6 66.3 96.5 85.7

5 61.7 69.8 99.1 90.3
```

- ▶ 20 pigs randomly allocated to one of four feeds. At end of study, weight of each pig is recorded.
- Are any differences in mean weights among the feeds?
- Problem: want all weights in one column, with 2nd column labelling which feed. Untidy!

Tidy and untidy data (Wickham)

- Data set easier to deal with if:
 - each observation is one row
 - each variable is one column
 - each type of observation unit is one table
- Data arranged this way called "tidy"; otherwise called "untidy".
- For the pig data:
 - response variable is weight, but scattered over 4 columns, which are levels of a factor feed.
 - Want all the weights in one column, with a second column feed saying which feed that weight goes with.
 - Then we can run aov.

Packages for this section

```
library(tidyverse)
library(readxl)
```

Reading in the pig data

```
my_url <- "http://ritsokiguess.site/datafiles/pigs1.txt"
pigs1 <- read_delim(my_url, " ")</pre>
pigs1
# A tibble: 5 \times 5
    pig feed1 feed2 feed3 feed4
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
      1 60.8 68.7 92.6 87.9
2
     2 57 67.7 92.1 84.2
3
     3 65 74 90.2 83.1
   4 58.6 66.3 96.5 85.7
4
5
     5 61.7 69.8 99.1 90.3
```

Gathering up the columns

▶ This is a very common reorganization, and the magic "verb" is pivot_longer:

- pigs2 is now in "long" format, ready for analysis. See next page.
- Anatomy of pivot_longer:
 - columns to combine
 - a name for column that will contain groups
 - a name for column that will contain measurements

Long format pigs

```
A tibble: 20 x 3
     pig feed weight
   <dbl> <chr>
                <dbl>
1
       1 feed1
                 60.8
       1 feed2
                 68.7
3
       1 feed3
                 92.6
4
       1 feed4
                 87.9
5
       2 feed1
               57
6
       2 feed2
                 67.7
7
       2 feed3
                 92.1
8
       2 feed4
                 84.2
9
       3 feed1
                 65
10
       3 feed2
                 74
11
       3 feed3
                 90.2
12
       3 feed4
                 83.1
13
       4 feed1
                 58.6
```

Identifying the pigs

- ▶ Values in pig identify pigs within each group: pig 1 is four different pigs!
- Create unique pig IDs by gluing pig number onto feed:

```
pigs2 %>% mutate(pig_id=str_c(feed, "_", pig)) -> pigs2
pigs2 %>% slice_sample(n=7)
```

```
# A tibble: 7 x 4

pig feed weight pig_id

<dbl> <chr> <dbl> <chr> 1 3 feed1 65 feed1_3
2 3 feed4 83.1 feed4_3
3 3 feed3 90.2 feed3_3
4 1 feed2 68.7 feed2_1
5 4 feed1 58.6 feed1_4
6 5 feed3 99.1 feed3_5
7 5 feed4 90.3 feed4 5
```

...and finally, the analysis

which is just what we saw before:

```
weight.1 <- aov(weight ~ feed, data = pigs2)
summary(weight.1)</pre>
```

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

feed 3 3521 1173.5 119.1 3.72e-11 ***

Residuals 16 158 9.8

---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '
```

- The mean weights of pigs on the different feeds are definitely not all equal.
- So we run Tukey to see which ones differ (over).

Tukey

TukeyHSD(weight.1)

```
Tukey multiple comparisons of means 95% family-wise confidence level
```

Fit: aov(formula = weight ~ feed, data = pigs2)

\$feed

```
diff lwr upr p adj
feed2-feed1 8.68 3.001038 14.358962 0.0024000
feed3-feed1 33.48 27.801038 39.158962 0.0000000
feed4-feed1 25.62 19.941038 31.298962 0.0000000
feed3-feed2 24.80 19.121038 30.478962 0.0000000
feed4-feed2 16.94 11.261038 22.618962 0.0000013
feed4-feed3 -7.86 -13.538962 -2.181038 0.0055599
```

All of the feeds differ!

Mean weights by feed

To find the best and worst, get mean weight by feed group. I borrowed an idea from earlier to put the means in descending order:


```
pigs2 %>%
  group_by(feed) %>%
  summarize(mean_weight = mean(weight))%>%
  arrange(desc(mean_weight))
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 2
feed mean_weight
<chr> <dbl>
1 feed3 94.1
2 feed4 86.2
3 feed2 69.3
4 feed1 60.6
```

Feed 3 is best, feed 1 worst.

Should we have any concerns about the ANOVA?

 $ggplot(pigs2, aes(x = feed, y = weight)) + geom_boxplot()$



Comments

- Feed 2 has an outlier
- But there are only 5 pigs in each group
- ▶ The conclusion is so clear that I am OK with this.

Tuberculosis

- ▶ The World Health Organization keeps track of number of cases of various diseases, eg. tuberculosis.
- Some data:

```
my_url <- "http://ritsokiguess.site/datafiles/tb.csv"
tb <- read_csv(my_url)</pre>
```

The data (messed up)

tb

```
# A tibble: 5,769 x 22
  iso2
        year
             m04 m514 m014 m1524 m2534 m3544 m4554 m
  <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
1 AD
        1989
               NA
                    NA
                          NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
2 AD
        1990 NA
                    NA
                       NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
3 AD
        1991 NA
                    NA
                         NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
4 AD
        1992 NA
                    NA
                         NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
5 AD
      1993
             NA
                    NA
                       NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
6 AD
        1994
             NA
                    NA
                       NA
                               NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                               NA
7 AD
        1996
             NA
                    NA
                          0
                                0
                                     0
                                               1
                                          4
8 AD
            NA
                    NA
                          0
                                               2
        1997
                                0
9 AD
        1998
             NA
                    NA
                          0
                                0
                                     0
                                               0
10 AD
                    NA
                                     0
                                          1
                                                1
        1999
               NA
                           0
                                0
# i 5.759 more rows
# i 9 more variables: f514 <dbl>, f014 <dbl>, f1524 <dbl>,
   f3544 <dbl>, f4554 <dbl>, f5564 <dbl>, f65 <dbl>, fu <
```

What we have

- ➤ Variables: country (abbreviated), year. Then number of cases for each gender and age group, eg. m1524 is males aged 15–24. Also mu and fu, where age is unknown.
- Lots of missings. Want to get rid of.
- Abbreviations here.

- columns to make longer
- column to contain the names
- column to contain the values
- (optional) drop missings in the values

Results (some)

tb2

```
# A tibble: 35,750 x 4
  iso2 year genage freq
  <chr> <dbl> <chr> <dbl>
1 AD 1996 m014
2 AD 1996 m1524
3 AD 1996 m2534
4 AD 1996 m3544
5 AD 1996 m4554
6 AD 1996 m5564
7 AD 1996 m65
8 AD 1996 f014
9 AD 1996 f1524
10 AD 1996 f2534
# i 35,740 more rows
```

Separating

- ▶ 4 columns, but 5 variables, since genage contains both gender and age group. Split that up using separate.
- separate needs 3 things:
 - what to separate (no quotes needed),
 - what to separate into (here you do need quotes),
 - how to split.
- For "how to split", here "after first character":

```
tb2 %>% separate(genage, c("gender", "age"), 1) -> tb3
```

Tidied tuberculosis data (some)

tb3

```
# A tibble: 35,750 x 5
  iso2 year gender age freq
  <chr> <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl>
1 AD
       1996 m
                014
2 AD 1996 m 1524
3 AD 1996 m 2534
4 AD 1996 m 3544
5 AD 1996 m
            4554
6 AD 1996 m
            5564
7 AD 1996 m
                65
8 AD 1996 f
                014
9 AD 1996 f 1524
                2534
10 AD 1996 f
# i 35,740 more rows
```

In practice...

▶ instead of doing the pipe one step at a time, you *debug* it one step at a time, and when you have each step working, you use that step's output as input to the next step, thus:

```
tb %>%
 pivot longer (m04:fu, names to = "genage",
             values_to = "freq", values_drop_na = T) %>%
 separate(genage, c("gender", "age"), 1)
# A tibble: 35,750 x 5
  iso2 year gender age freq
  <chr> <dbl> <chr> <dbl> <chr> <dbl>
1 AD 1996 m 014
2 AD 1996 m 1524
3 AD 1996 m 2534
```

4

5564

65

4 AD 1996 m 3544

5 AD 1996 m 4554

1996 m

1996 m

6 AD

7 AD

Total tuberculosis cases by year (some of the years)

```
tb3 %>%
  filter(between(year, 1991, 1998)) %>%
  group_by(year) %>% summarize(total=sum(freq))
```

```
# A tibble: 8 x 2
  vear total
 <dbl> <dbl>
 1991 544
2 1992 512
3 1993 492
4 1994 750
5 1995 513971
6 1996 635705
7 1997 733204
8 1998 840389
```

Something very interesting happened between 1994 and 1995.

To find out what

3 ID

4 ZA

5 BD

6 VN

7 CD

8 PH

9 BR

10 KE

try counting up total cases by country:

```
tb3 %>% group_by(iso2) %>%
summarize(total=sum(freq)) %>%
arrange(desc(total))
```

```
# A tibble: 213 x 2
iso2 total
<chr> <dbl>
1 CN 4065174
2 IN 3966169
```

1129015

900349

758008

709695

603095

490040 440609

431523

what years do I have for China?

China started recording in 1995, which is at least part of the problem:

```
tb3 %>% filter(iso2=="CN") %>%
  group_by(year) %>%
  summarize(total=sum(freq))
```

1997 195895 1998 214404

1999 212258 2000 213766

2001 212766 2002 194972

2003 267280

3

5

6 7

8

A tibble: 14×2

first year of recording for each country?

▶ A lot of countries started recording in about 1995, in fact:

```
tb3 %>% group_by(iso2) %>%
  summarize(first_year=min(year)) %>%
  count(first_year)
```

```
A tibble: 14 x 2
   first_year
        <dbl> <int>
 1
         1980
         1994
3
         1995 130
4
         1996 31
5
         1997
                  17
6
         1998
                   6
         1999
                  10
8
         2000
         2001
10
         2002
11
         2003
12
         2004
```

Some Toronto weather data

```
my_url <-
   "http://ritsokiguess.site/STAC32/toronto_weather.csv"
weather <- read_csv(my_url)</pre>
```

The data (some, messed up)

A tibble: 24 x 35

weather

```
station
          Year Month element d01
                                    d02
                                          d03
                                               d04
  <chr>
           <dbl> <chr> <chr>
                             <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
1 TORONTO ~ 2018 01
                              -7.9 -7.1 -5.3 -7.7 -
                      tmax
2 TORONTO ~ 2018 01
                      tmin
                             -18.6 -12.5 -11.2 -19.7 -9
3 TORONTO ~ 2018 02
                               5.6 -8.6 0.4 1.8
                      tmax
4 TORONTO ~ 2018 02
                      tmin
                              -8.9 -15 -9.7 -8.8 -3
5 TORONTO ~ 2018 03
                              NA
                                    NA
                                         NA
                                              NA
                                                 ]
                      tmax
6 TORONTO ~ 2018 03
                              NA -0.5 NA
                      tmin
                                              -3.1 ]
7 TORONTO ~ 2018 04
                      tmax
                             4.5 6.5 5 5.7
                              -2.6 -1.2 2.4 -3.2
8 TORONTO ~ 2018 04
                      tmin
9 TORONTO ~ 2018 05
                      tmax
                              23.5 26.3 23
                                               24
10 TORONTO ~ 2018 05
                      tmin
                             8.5 14.4 11.4 9.2
# i 14 more rows
# i 23 more variables: d09 <dbl>, d10 <dbl>, d11 <dbl>, d15
```

d14 <dbl>, d15 <dbl>, d16 <dbl>, d17 <dbl>, d18 <dbl>, d20 <dbl> d21 <dbl> d22 <dbl> d23 <dbl> d24 <dbl>

The columns

- ▶ Daily weather records for "Toronto City" weather station in 2018:
 - > station: identifier for this weather station (always same here)
 - Year, Month
 - lacktriangleright element: whether temperature given was daily max or daily min
 - ▶ *d01, d02,... d31*: day of the month from 1st to 31st.
- Numbers in data frame all temperatures (for different days of the month), so first step is

So far

d

```
A tibble: 703 \times 6
   station
                 Year Month element day
                                            temperature
   <chr>
                 <dbl> <chr> <chr>
                                      <chr>>
                                                   <dbl>
 1 TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      d01
                                                    -7.9
                             tmax
                                                    -7.1
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      d02
                             tmax
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      d03
                                                    -5.3
                             tmax
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                             tmax
                                      d04
                                                    -7.7
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                                   -14.7
                             tmax
                                      d05
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      d06
                                                   -15.4
                             tmax
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      d07
                             tmax
                                                    -1
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                                      80b
                                                     3
                             tmax
   TORONTO CITY
                  2018 01
                             tmax
                                      d09
                                                     1.6
   TORONTO CITY
                 2018 01
                                      d10
                                                     5.9
                             tmax
# i 693 more rows
```

The days

- ➤ Column element contains names of two different variables, that should each be in separate column.
- ▶ Distinct from eg. m1524 in tuberculosis data, that contained levels of two different factors, handled by separate.
- Untangling names of variables handled by pivot_wider:

So far

d

```
# A tibble: 355 x 6
  station
             Year Month day tmax
                                    tmin
  <chr>
           <dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 TORONTO CITY 2018 01
                         d01 -7.9 -18.6
2 TORONTO CITY 2018 01 d02 -7.1 -12.5
3 TORONTO CITY 2018 01 d03 -5.3 -11.2
  TORONTO CITY
               2018 01 d04 -7.7 -19.7
5 TORONTO CITY
               2018 01
                         d05
                              -14.7 - 20.6
6 TORONTO CITY
               2018 01
                         d06
                              -15.4 - 22.3
  TORONTO CITY
               2018 01
                         d07
                               -1 -17.5
8 TORONTO CITY
               2018 01
                         d08 	 3 	 -1.7
  TORONTO CITY
               2018 01
                         d09
                                1.6 - 0.6
10 TORONTO CITY 2018 01
                         d10
                                5.9 - 1.3
# i 345 more rows
```

Further improvements

- We have tidy data now, but can improve things further.
- mutate creates new columns from old (or assign back to change a variable).
- Would like numerical dates. separate works, or pull out number as below.
- select keeps columns (or drops, with minus). Station name has no value to us:

So far

d

```
# A tibble: 355 x 6
   Year Month day tmax
                      {\tt tmin}
                            Day
  <dbl> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
   2018 01 d01 -7.9 -18.6
  2018 01 d02 -7.1 -12.5
3
                              3
   2018 01 d03 -5.3 -11.2
4
   2018 01 d04 -7.7 -19.7
5
   2018 01
         d05
                 -14.7 -20.6
                              5
6
   2018 01
         d06
                 -15.4 - 22.3
                              6
7
   2018 01
         d07 -1 -17.5
8
   2018 01
         d08 3 -1.7
                              8
9
   2018 01 d09 1.6 -0.6
                              9
10
   2018 01 d10
               5.9 -1.3
                             10
# i 345 more rows
```

Final step(s)

- Make year-month-day into proper date.
- Keep only date, tmax, tmin:

Our tidy data frame

weather_tidy

```
# A tibble: 355 x 3
  date
           tmax tmin
  <date> <dbl> <dbl>
1 2018-01-01 -7.9 -18.6
2 2018-01-02 -7.1 -12.5
3 2018-01-03 -5.3 -11.2
4 2018-01-04 -7.7 -19.7
5 2018-01-05 -14.7 -20.6
6 2018-01-06 -15.4 -22.3
7 2018-01-07 -1 -17.5
8 2018-01-08 3 -1.7
9 2018-01-09 1.6 -0.6
10 2018-01-10 5.9 -1.3
# i 345 more rows
```

Plotting the temperatures

▶ Plot temperature against date joined by lines, but with separate lines for max and min. ggplot requires something like

```
ggplot(..., aes(x = date, y = temperature)) + geom_point() +
  geom_line()
```

only we have two temperatures, one a max and one a min, that we want to keep separate.

- ➤ The trick: combine tmax and tmin together into one column, keeping track of what kind of temp they are. (This actually same format as untidy weather.) Are making weather_tidy untidy for purposes of drawing graph only.
- ► Then can do something like

```
ggplot(d, aes(x = date, y = temperature, colour = maxmin))
+ geom_point() + geom_line()
```


to distinguish max and min on graph.

Setting up plot

- Since we only need data frame for plot, we can do the column-creation and plot in a pipeline.
- ► For a ggplot in a pipeline, the initial data frame is omitted, because it is whatever came out of the previous step.
- ➤ To make those "one column"s: pivot_longer. I save the graph to show overleaf:

The plot

g



Summary of tidying "verbs"

Purpose
Combine columns that measure same thing
into one
Take column that measures one thing under different conditions and put into multi-
ple columns
Turn a column that encodes several variables
into several columns
Combine several (related) variables into one "combination" variable

pivot_longer and pivot_wider are opposites; separate and unite are opposites.