Statistical Inference: Power

Packages

library(tidyverse)

Errors in testing

What can happen:

	Decision	
Truth Null true Null false	Do not reject Correct Type II error	Reject null Type I error Correct

Tension between truth and decision about truth (imperfect).

- ▶ Prob. of type I error denoted α . Usually fix α , eg. $\alpha = 0.05$.
- Prob. of type II error denoted β . Determined by the planned experiment. Low β good.
- Prob. of not making type II error called **power** (= 1β). High power good.

Power

- Suppose $H_0: \theta=10$, $H_a: \theta \neq 10$ for some parameter θ .
- Suppose H_0 wrong. What does that say about θ ?
- Not much. Could have $\theta=11$ or $\theta=8$ or $\theta=496$. In each case, H_0 wrong.
- ▶ How likely a type II error is depends on what θ is:
 - If $\theta=496$, should be able to reject $H_0:\theta=10$ even for small sample, so β should be small (power large).
 - If $\theta=11$, might have hard time rejecting H_0 even with large sample, so β would be larger (power smaller).
- Power depends on true parameter value, and on sample size.
- So we play "what if": "if θ were 11 (or 8 or 496), what would power be?".

Figuring out power

- ➤ Time to figure out power is before you collect any data, as part of planning process.
- Need to have idea of what kind of departure from null hypothesis of interest to you, eg. average improvement of 5 points on reading test scores. (Subject-matter decision, not statistical one.)
- Then, either:
 - "I have this big a sample and this big a departure I want to detect. What is my power for detecting it?"
 - "I want to detect this big a departure with this much power. How big a sample size do I need?"

How to understand/estimate power?

- Suppose we test $H_0: \mu=10$ against $H_a: \mu\neq 10$, where μ is population mean.
- Suppose in actual fact, $\mu=8$, so H_0 is wrong. We want to reject it. How likely is that to happen?
- Need population SD (take $\sigma=4$) and sample size (take n=15). In practice, get σ from pilot/previous study, and take the n we plan to use.
- ▶ Idea: draw a random sample from the true distribution, test whether its mean is 10 or not.
- ▶ Repeat previous step "many" times.
- "Simulation".

Making it go

▶ Random sample of 15 normal observations with mean 8 and SD 4:

```
x <- rnorm(15, 8, 4)
x
```

```
[1] 14.487469 5.014611 6.924277 5.201860 8.852952 10.8 [8] 11.165242 8.016188 12.383518 1.378099 3.172503 13.0
```

[15] 5.015575

▶ Test whether x from population with mean 10 or not (over):

...continued

```
t.test(x, mu = 10)
    One Sample t-test
data: x
t = -1.8767, df = 14, p-value = 0.08157
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 10
95 percent confidence interval:
  5.794735 10.280387
sample estimates:
mean of x
 8.037561
```

Fail to reject the mean being 10 (a Type II error).

or get just P-value ans <- t.test(x, mu = 10) str(ans) List of 10 \$ statistic : Named num -1.88 ..- attr(*, "names") = chr "t"</pre>

```
..- attr(*, "names")= chr "df"
$ p.value : num 0.0816
$ conf.int : num [1:2] 5.79 10.28
..- attr(*, "conf.level")= num 0.95
$ estimate : Named num 8.04
..- attr(*, "names")= chr "mean of x"
```

..- attr(*, "names")= chr "mean"

\$ method : chr "One Sample t-test"

\$ parameter : Named num 14

\$ null.value : Named num 10

\$ alternative: chr "two.sided"

\$ stderr : num 1.05

Run this lots of times

- without a loop!
- use rowwise to work one random sample at a time
- draw random samples from the truth
- \blacktriangleright test that $\mu = 10$
- ▶ get P-value
- Count up how many of the P-values are 0.05 or less.

In code

<lgl>

1 FALSE

2 TRUE

```
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
 rowwise() %>%
  mutate(my_sample = list(rnorm(15, 8, 4))) %>%
  mutate(t_test = list(t.test(my_sample, mu = 10))) %>%
  mutate(p_val = t_test$p.value) %>%
  count(p val \ll 0.05)
# A tibble: 2 \times 2
# Rowwise:
  `p val <= 0.05`
```

We correctly rejected 422 times out of 1000, so the estimated power is 0.422.

<int>

578

422

Try again with bigger sample

```
tibble(sim = 1:1000) %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(my_sample = list(rnorm(40, 8, 4))) %>%
  mutate(t_test = list(t.test(my_sample, mu = 10))) %>%
  mutate(p_val = t_test$p.value) %>%
  count(p_val <= 0.05)</pre>
```

Calculating power

- Simulation approach very flexible: will work for any test. But answer different each time because of randomness.
- In some cases, for example 1-sample and 2-sample t-tests, power can be calculated.
- power.t.test. Input delta is difference between null and true mean:

```
power.t.test(n = 15, delta = 10-8, sd = 4, type = "one.sam]
```

One-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 15
delta = 2
    sd = 4
sig.level = 0.05
    power = 0.4378466
alternative = two.sided
```

Comparison of results

Method	Power
Simulation	0.422
power.t.test	0.4378

- ➤ Simulation power is similar to calculated power; to get more accurate value, repeat more times (eg. 10,000 instead of 1,000), which takes longer.
- ▶ CI for power based on simulation approx. 0.42 ± 0.03 .
- With this small a sample size, the power is not great. With a bigger sample, the sample mean should be closer to 8 most of the time, so would reject $H_0: \mu=10$ more often.

Calculating required sample size

- Often, when planning a study, we do not have a particular sample size in mind. Rather, we want to know how big a sample to take. This can be done by asking how big a sample is needed to achieve a certain power.
- The simulation approach does not work naturally with this, since you have to supply a sample size.
 - For that, you try different sample sizes until you get power close to what you want.
- For the power-calculation method, you supply a value for the power, but leave the sample size missing.
- Re-use the same problem: $H_0: \mu=10$ against 2-sided alternative, true $\mu=8,\ \sigma=4$, but now aim for power 0.80.

Using power.t.test

```
No n=, replaced by a power=:
```

```
power.t.test(power=0.80, delta=10-8, sd=4, type="one.sample
```

One-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 33.3672
delta = 2
    sd = 4
sig.level = 0.05
    power = 0.8
alternative = two.sided
```

one-sided test?

```
power.t.test(power=0.80, delta=10-8, sd=4, type="one.sample")
```

One-sample t test power calculation

Power curves

- ▶ Rather than calculating power for one sample size, or sample size for one power, might want a picture of relationship between sample size and power.
- Or, likewise, picture of relationship between difference between true and null-hypothesis means and power.
- Called power curve.
- Build and plot it yourself.

Building it

- ▶ If you feed power.t.test a collection ("vector") of values, it will do calculation for each one.
- ▶ Do power for variety of sample sizes, from 10 to 100 in steps of 10:

```
ns <- seq(10,100,10)
ns
```

```
[1] 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100
```

► Calculate powers:

```
ans<- power.t.test(n=ns, delta=10-8, sd=4, type="one.sample")
ans</pre>
```

One-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100
delta = 2
  sd = 4
```

Building a plot (1/2)

Make a data frame out of the values to plot:

```
d <- tibble(n=ns, power=ans$power)</pre>
d
 A tibble: 10 \times 2
       n power
   <dbl> <dbl>
      10 0.293
      20 0.564
 3
    30 0.754
      40 0.869
 5
      50 0.934
 6
      60 0.968
      70 0.985
 8
      80 0.993
 9
      90 0.997
10
     100 0.999
```


Building a plot (2/2)

Plot these as points joined by lines, and add horizontal line at 1 (maximum power):

```
g <- ggplot(d, aes(x = n, y = power)) + geom_point() +
  geom_line() +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 1, linetype = "dashed")</pre>
```

The power curve






Another way to do it:

The power curve done the other way

g2



Power curves for means

- Can also investigate power as it depends on what the true mean is (the farther from null mean 10, the higher the power will be).
- Investigate for two different sample sizes, 15 and 30.
- First make all combos of mean and sample size:

```
means <- seq(6,10,0.5)
means

[1] 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0 9.5 10.0

ns <- c(15,30)
ns

[1] 15 30

combos <- crossing(mean=means, n=ns)
```

The combos

combos

```
# A tibble: 18 x 2
   mean
           n
  <dbl> <dbl>
    6
          15
    6
          30
3
  6.5
        15
  6.5
          30
5
   7
          15
    7
          30
   7.5
        15
    7.5
8
          30
    8
          15
10
    8
          30
11
   8.5
          15
12
    8.5
          30
13
    9
          15
14
          30
15
  9.5
          15
16
    9.5
          30
17
   10
          15
18
   10
          30
```

Calculate and plot

Calculate the powers, carefully:

```
[1] 0.94908647 0.99956360 0.88277128 0.99619287 0.77070660
```

[7] 0.61513033 0.91115700 0.43784659 0.75396272 0.2721677


Make a data frame to plot, pulling things from the right places:

```
A tibble: 18 x 3
        mean power
  n
  <fct> <dbl> <dbl>
1 15
         6 0.949
2 30 6 1.00
3 15
     6.5 0.883
       6.5 0.996
4 30
5 15
        7 0.771
6 30
             0.978
7 15
        7.5 0.615
         7.5 0.911
8 30
9 15
         8 0.438
10 30
         8
             0.754
```

then make the plot:

```
g <- ggplot(d, aes(x = mean, y = power, colour = n)) +
  geom_point() + geom_line() +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 1, linetype = "dashed") +
  geom_vline(xintercept = 10, linetype = "dotted")</pre>
```

The power curves



Comments

- When mean=10, that is, the true mean equals the null mean, H_0 is actually true, and the probability of rejecting it then is $\alpha=0.05$.
- As the null gets more wrong (mean decreases), it becomes easier to correctly reject it.
- The blue power curve is above the red one for any mean < 10, meaning that no matter how wrong H_0 is, you always have a greater chance of correctly rejecting it with a larger sample size.
- Previously, we had $H_0: \mu=10$ and a true $\mu=8$, so a mean of 8 produces power 0.42 and 0.80 as shown on the graph.
- With n=30, a true mean that is less than about 7 is almost certain to be correctly rejected. (With n=15, the true mean needs to be less than 6.)

Two-sample power

- ► For kids learning to read, had sample sizes of 22 (approx) in each group
- and these group SDs:

```
kids %>% group_by(group) %>%
summarize(n=n(), s=sd(score))
```

Setting up

- suppose a 5-point improvement in reading score was considered important (on this scale)
- ▶ in a 2-sample test, null (difference of) mean is zero, so delta is true difference in means
- what is power for these sample sizes, and what sample size would be needed to get power up to 0.80?
- SD in both groups has to be same in power.t.test, so take as 14.

Calculating power for sample size 22 (per group)

Two-sample t test power calculation

n = 22

delta = 5

sd = 14

sig.level = 0.05

power = 0.3158199

alternative = one.sided

NOTE: n is number in *each* group

sample size for power 0.8

Two-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 97.62598
delta = 5
    sd = 14
sig.level = 0.05
    power = 0.8
alternative = one.sided
```

NOTE: n is number in *each* group

Comments

- ► The power for the sample sizes we have is very small (to detect a 5-point increase).
- ▶ To get power 0.80, we need 98 kids in *each* group!