

- In a *known plaintext* attack, the adversary has the ciphertext and the plaintext that was enciphered. Her goal is to find the key that was used.
- In a *chosen plaintext* attack, the adversary may ask that specific plaintexts be enciphered. She is given the corresponding ciphertexts. Her goal is to find the key that was used.

A good cryptosystem protects against all three.

Attacks use both mathematics and statistics. The mathematical methods examine the assumptions of the problems upon which the security of the ciphers rests. The statistical methods make assumptions about the statistics of the plaintext language and examine the ciphertext to correlate its properties with those assumptions. Those assumptions are collectively called a *model* of the language. Common models of language are 1-gram models (reflecting frequency of individual letters), 2-gram models (reflecting frequencies of pairs of letters), Markov models, and word models.

10.2 Symmetric Cryptosystems

Symmetric cryptosystems (also called *single key* or *secret key* cryptosystems) are cryptosystems that use the same key for encipherment and decipherment. In these systems, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$, there is a $D_k \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D_k(E_k(m)) = m$.

EXAMPLE: The shift cipher discussed earlier had a key of 3, so the enciphering function was E_3 . To decipher “KHOOR,” we used the same key in the decipherment function D_3 . Hence, the shift cipher is a symmetric cipher.

There are two basic types of symmetric ciphers: *transposition* ciphers that diffuse the data in the plaintext and *substitution* ciphers that replace the data in the plaintext.

10.2.1 Transposition Ciphers

A *transposition cipher* rearranges the characters in the plaintext to form the ciphertext. The letters are not changed. Thus, each encryption key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ indicates a permutation algorithm. The set of encryption functions \mathcal{E} is simply the set of permutations of m , and the set of decryption functions \mathcal{D} is the set of inverse permutations.

EXAMPLE: The *rail fence cipher* is composed by writing the plaintext in two rows, proceeding down, then across, and reading the ciphertext across, then down. For example, the plaintext “HELLO, WORLD” would be written as

```

HLOOL
ELWRD

```

resulting in the ciphertext “HLOOLELWRD.”

Mathematically, the key to a transposition cipher is a permutation function. Because the permutation does not alter the frequency of plaintext characters, a transposition cipher can be detected by comparing character frequencies with a model of the language. If, for example, character frequencies for 1-grams match those of a model of English, but 2-gram frequencies do not match the model, then the text is probably a transposition cipher.

Attacking a transposition cipher requires rearranging the letters of the ciphertext. This process, called *anagramming*, uses tables of n -gram frequencies to identify common n -grams. The cryptanalyst arranges the letters in such a way that the characters in the ciphertext form some n -grams with highest frequency. This process is repeated, using different n -grams, until the transposition pattern is found.

EXAMPLE: Consider the ciphertext “HLOOLELWRD.” According to Konheim’s digram table [1092, p. 19], the digram “HE” occurs with frequency 0.0305 in English. Of the other possible digrams beginning with “H,” the frequency of “HO” is the next highest, at 0.0043, and the digrams “HL,” “HW,” “HR,” and “HD” have frequencies of less than 0.0010. Furthermore, the frequency of “WH” is 0.0026, and the digrams “EH,” “LH,” “OH,” “RH,” and “DH” occur with frequencies of 0.0002 or less. This suggests that “E” follows “H.” We arrange the letters so that each letter in the first block of five letters (from “H” up to but not including the “E”) is adjacent to the corresponding letter in the second block of five letters, as follows:

```

HE
LL
OW
OR
LD

```

Reading the letters across and down produces “HELLOWORLD.” Note that the shape of the arrangement is different from that in the previous example. However, the two arrangements are equivalent, leading to the correct solution.

10.2.2 Substitution Ciphers

A *substitution cipher* changes characters in the plaintext to produce the ciphertext.

EXAMPLE: The shift cipher discussed earlier had a key of 3, altering each letter in the plaintext by mapping it into the letter three characters later in the

alphabet (and circling back to the beginning of the alphabet if needed). This is a substitution cipher.

A shift cipher is susceptible to a statistical ciphertext-only attack.

Figure 10–1 presents a character-based, or 1-gram, model of English text; others are 2-gram models (reflecting frequencies of pairs of letters), Markov models, and word models. In what follows, we use the 1-gram model and assume that the characters are chosen independently of one another.

EXAMPLE: Consider the ciphertext “KHOOR ZRUOG.” We first compute the frequency of each letter in the ciphertext:

K	0.1	H	0.1	K	0.1	O	0.3	R	0.2	U	0.1
Z	0.1										

We now apply the character-based model. Let $\phi(i)$ be the correlation of the frequency of each letter in the ciphertext with the character frequencies in English (see Figure 10–1). Let $\phi(c)$ be the frequency of character c (expressed as a fraction). The formula for this correlation for this ciphertext (with all arithmetic being mod 26) is

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(i) = \sum_{0 \leq c \leq 25} \phi(c)p(c - i) &= 0.1p(6 - i) + 0.1p(7 - i) + 0.1p(10 - i) \\ &+ 0.3p(14 - i) + 0.2p(17 - i) + 0.1p(20 - i) + 0.1p(25 - i)\end{aligned}$$

This correlation should be a maximum when the key k translates the ciphertext into English. Figure 10–2 shows the values of this function for the values of i . Trying the most likely key first, we obtain as plaintext “EBIIL TLOIA” when $i = 6$, “AXEEH PHKEW” when $i = 10$, “HELLO WORLD” when $i = 3$, and “WTAAD LDGAS” when $i = 14$.

The example above emphasizes the statistical nature of this attack. The statistics indicated that the key was most likely 6, when in fact the correct key was 3. So the attacker must test the results. The statistics simply reduce the number of trials in most cases. Only three trials were needed, as opposed to 13 (the expected number of trials if the keys were simply tried in order).

a	0.07984	h	0.06384	n	0.06876	t	0.09058
b	0.01511	i	0.07000	o	0.07691	u	0.02844
c	0.02504	j	0.00131	p	0.01741	v	0.01056
d	0.04260	k	0.00741	q	0.00107	w	0.02304
e	0.12452	l	0.03961	r	0.05912	x	0.00159
f	0.02262	m	0.02629	s	0.06333	y	0.02028
g	0.02013					z	0.00057

Figure 10–1 Table of character frequencies in the English language

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
B	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A
C	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B
D	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C
E	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D
F	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E
G	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F
H	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
I	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
J	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
K	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
L	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
M	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
N	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
O	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
P	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Q	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
R	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
S	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R
T	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
U	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
V	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U
W	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
X	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Y	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
Z	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y

Figure 10–3 The Vigenère tableau.

number of characters between the repetitions is a multiple of the period. From this observation, he developed an effective attack.

EXAMPLE: Let the message be “THE BOY HAS THE BAG” and let the key be “VIG.” Then

Key	VIGVIGVIGVIGVIG
Plaintext	THEBOYHASTHEBAG
Ciphertext	OPKWWECIYOPKWIM

In the ciphertext, the string “OPKW” appears twice. Both are caused by the key sequence “VIGV” enciphering the same ciphertext, “THEB.” The ciphertext repetitions are nine characters apart. As Figure 10–4 shows, the lower this value, the less variation in the characters of the ciphertext and, from our models of English, the longer the period of the cipher.