

GOOD COVERS AND ALGEBRAS ON CONICALLY SMOOTH SPACES

ALEKSANDAR IVANOV AND ÖDÜL TETİK

ABSTRACT. We construct good covers for conically smooth spaces. By a result of Karlsson–Scheimbauer–Walde, this implies that, for every such space X , constructible factorisation algebras on X and disk algebras over X coincide. We also give a simplified proof of that result.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Localities of the full unzip	1
3.	Good covers	6
4.	A proof of...	8
	References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

Acknowledgments. We thank Tashi Walde for very useful exchanges.

2. LOCALITIES OF THE FULL UNZIP

Definition 2.1. $S_X \subset X$.

Proposition 2.2. $S_X \subset X$ proper constructible bundle with $\text{Unzip}_{S_X}(X) \cong \text{Unzip}(X)$ and $\text{Link}_{S_X}(X) = \partial \text{Unzip}(X)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Locally finite good cover on S_X . (?) unnecessary - second-countability implies more than paracompactness - see [Lee, Smooth, Thm 1.15]*

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a smooth manifold with corners. Then for every boundary collar $I: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X \hookrightarrow X$ there exists a homeomorphism*

$$\alpha_I: X^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X$$

where $X^+ = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} [0, r] \times \partial X \cup_{\{r\} \times \partial X} X$.

The authors were supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through Project no. P 37046.

Proof. Let $I: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X \hookrightarrow X$ be a collar, which is a homeomorphism onto its image. We have $X = I(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X) \cup_{I((0,\infty) \times \partial X)} X^\circ$. Similarly, for each r , we have a diffeomorphism

$$\alpha_r: X \rightarrow X \setminus I([0, r] \times \partial X) = I([r, \infty) \times \partial X) \cup_{I((r,\infty) \times \partial X)} X \setminus I([0, r] \times \partial X)$$

given by $I(t, q) \mapsto I(t+r, q)$ on $I(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X)$ and by the identity on $X \setminus \text{Im}(I)$. We suppress the dependence on I in notation. We obtain a well-defined homeomorphism

$$I \cup \alpha_r: [0, r] \times \partial X \cup_{\{r\} \times \partial X} X \rightarrow X,$$

well-defined since $\alpha_r(q) = \alpha_r(I(0, q)) = I(r, q)$ for $q \in \partial X$, and thereupon, writing $X^{+r} = [0, r] \times \partial X \cup_{\{r\} \times \partial X} X$, the bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_I: X^+ &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X, \\ \alpha|_{X^{+r}} &= \{r\} \times I \cup \alpha_r. \end{aligned}$$

We equip X^+ with the induced topology, promoting α to a homeomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a smooth manifold with corners. Then there is a homeomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X) \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X$. Consequently, there is a homeomorphism*

$$\text{Unzip}_{C(L)}(C(Z)) \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_{C(L)}(C(Z))$$

for $L = S_Z$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.4 it suffices to provide a homeomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X) \rightarrow \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} [0, r] \times \partial X \cup_{\{r\} \times \partial X} X.$$

Noting

$$\partial(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X) = \{0\} \times X \cup_{\{0\} \times \partial X} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X,$$

we define ϕ to be the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\} \times X &\ni (t, 0, x) \mapsto x \in X \subset [0, t] \times \partial X \cup_{\{t\} \times \partial X} X \\ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X &\ni (t, s, q) \mapsto (t, q) \in [0, t+s] \times \partial X. \end{aligned}$$

This map and its inverse ϕ^{-1} given by

$$\begin{aligned} [0, r] \times \partial X &\ni (t, q) \mapsto (t, r-t, q) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X \\ [0, r] \times \partial X \cup_{\{r\} \times \partial X} X &\supset X \ni x \mapsto (r, 0, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\} \times X \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined and continuous. Note that ϕ does not depend on a collar.

The second statement is the special case where $X = \text{Unzip}_L(Z)$ using Proposition 2.2 and that $\text{Unzip}_{C(L)} C(Z) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Unzip}_L(Z)$ and $\text{Link}_{C(L)} C(Z) = \partial \text{Unzip}_{C(L)}(C(Z))$. \square

Remark 2.6. In the situation of Lemma 2.4 we will regard X^+ as a smooth manifold with corners with respect to the smooth structure induced by α_I . Up to equivalence, this structure does not depend on the choice of collar. Similarly, in the situation of Lemma 2.5 we will regard $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_{C(L)} C(Z)$ as a smooth manifold with corners, tautologically diffeomorphic to $\text{Unzip}_{C(L)} C(Z)$ with respect to the induced smooth structure.

Construction 2.7. Let X be a smooth manifold with corners of dimension n , let $\{(U, \phi_U)\}$ a cover of X by coordinate neighbourhoods where each $\phi_U: \mathbb{R}^{n-c_U} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{c_U}$ is a homeomorphism, and let $\{\rho_U: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. Recall that a collar $I = I(\{U, \phi_U, \rho_U\}): \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X \hookrightarrow X$ is then constructed by defining to be the flow along the nowhere-vanishing inward-pointing vector field $V = \sum \rho_U V_U$ where, in local coordinates, $V_U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq c_U} \partial_i$ where $\{\partial_i\}$ is the standard basis of $T_0 \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{c_U} \subset T_0(\mathbb{R}^{n-c_U} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{c_U})$.

Let X and $I = I_{\{U, \phi_U, \rho_U\}}$ be as above. Then there is a canonically induced a collar

$I^+ = I(\{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times U, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \times \phi_U, \rho_U \circ \text{pr}_X\}): \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X$, where $\text{pr}_X: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \rightarrow X$ is the coordinate projection. It is the flow along the vector field $V^+ = \sum (\rho_U \circ \text{pr}_X) \cdot V_U^+$ where $V_U^+ = \partial_s + V_U$ where ∂_s is the standard basis of $T_0 \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \subset T_0(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-c_U} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{c_U})$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a smooth manifold with corners and let $I^+: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X$ be as in Construction 2.7. Then*

$$I^+ = \alpha_I \circ \phi$$

where α_I is as in Lemma 2.4 and ϕ is as in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Proof. We observe the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\} \times X &\xrightarrow{\alpha_I \circ \phi} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \\ (t, 0, x) &\mapsto \begin{cases} (t, I(t_x + t, q)), & x = I(t_x, q) \\ (t, x), & x \in X \setminus \text{Im}(I) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial X &\xrightarrow{\alpha_I \circ \phi} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times X \\ (t, s, q) &\mapsto (t + s, I(t, q)). \end{aligned}$$

Both maps are the flow (for time t) along the vector field $V^+ = \sum (\rho_U \circ \text{pr}_X) \cdot (\partial_s + V_U)$. \square

In the following, $\mathbb{D}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the unit open n -disk and $C^{<1}(Z) = * \amalg_{\{0\} \times Z} [0, 1] \times Z$.

Definition 2.9. We say an embedded basic $\phi: \mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z) \hookrightarrow X$ in a conically smooth space X is *extendable* if there exists an embedding $\hat{\phi}: \mathbb{R}^n \times C(Z) \hookrightarrow X$

such that ϕ factors as $\phi: \mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n \times C^{<1}(Z) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times C^{<1}(Z) \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} X$ where the first map is the isomorphism given by the cartesian product of the isomorphisms $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n$, $x \mapsto \frac{x}{|x|+1}$ and $C(Z) \rightarrow C^{<1}(Z)$, $(t, z) \mapsto (\frac{t}{t+1}, z)$.

Definition 2.10. Suppose \mathcal{U} is a cover of a topological space X which is closed under finite intersections. We say \mathcal{U} is *generated* by a cover \mathcal{V} and write $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ if every member of \mathcal{U} is a finite intersection of members of \mathcal{V} .

Lemma 2.11. *Every smooth manifold M has a good cover \mathcal{U} which is generated by extendable basics.*

Proof. Equip M with a riemannian metric. We can put $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ for $\mathcal{V} = \{D_p\}_{p \in M}$ where D_p is the convex disk which is the interior of the image of a ball in $T_p M$ under the exponential map, with a radius that is strictly smaller than the radius of injectivity. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let $L = S_Z$ and let $U = C^{<1}(L) \subset C^{<1}(Z) \subset C(Z)$. Let $\pi: \text{Link}(C(Z))|_U \rightarrow U$ denote the link projection of $\text{Unzip}(C(Z))$ over U . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\partial \overline{\pi^{-1}U} \cong \text{Link}_L(Z)$$

and an induced conically smooth collar $(0, 1] \times \partial \overline{\pi^{-1}U} \hookrightarrow \overline{\pi^{-1}U}$ which is a refinement onto its image.

Proof. Using Proposition 2.2 we have $\text{Unzip } C(Z) = \text{Unzip}_S C(Z) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Unzip } C(Z)|_U &= \text{Link}_{C(L)} C(Z)|_{C^{<1}(L)} \\ &= \{0\} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z \cup_{\{0\} \times \text{Link}_L Z} [0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z \end{aligned}$$

since the projection $\pi: \text{Link}_{C(L)} C(Z) = \{0\} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z \cup_{\{0\} \times \text{Link}_L Z} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_L Z \rightarrow C(L) = * \amalg_{\{0\} \times L} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times L$ is given by mapping all of $\{0\} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z$ to $*$ and on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_L Z$ by $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \times \pi'$ where $\pi': \text{Link}_L Z \rightarrow L$ is the link projection. Thus

$$\pi^{-1}\overline{U} = \pi^{-1}C^{\leq 1}(U) = \{0\} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z \cup [0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z$$

and consequently

$$(2.13) \quad \pi^{-1}\partial \overline{U} = \pi^{-1}\{1\} \times L = \{1\} \times \text{Link}_L Z,$$

proving the first claim. The collar is immediate. \square

Example 2.14.

Lemma 2.15. *Let \mathbb{R}^n be equipped with a riemannian metric, and let $c \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then for all geodesic disks $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ about the origin the intersections*

- (1) $D \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-c} \times (\mathbb{R}^c \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c))$
- (2) $D \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-c} \times \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^c)$

are disks.

Proof. Let us suppose $n = c$ for simplicity. For $r > 0$, recall the diffeomorphism $[0, r) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $x \mapsto \frac{x}{r-x}$. In the same way, $\rho_r: B_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $v \mapsto \frac{d(0,v)}{r-d(0,v)}v$ is a diffeomorphism from the disk $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(0, x) < r\}$ of radius r about the origin to all of \mathbb{R}^n , where d is the metric associated with the given riemannian metric. Now we need only note that ρ_r restricts to diffeomorphisms $B_r \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and $B_r \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$, and that both targets are disks. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let $L = S_Z$ and let $I = J^+ : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_{C(L)} C(Z) \hookrightarrow \text{Unzip}_{C(L)} C(Z)$ be the collar induced, according to Construction 2.7, by a collar $J : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Link}_L(Z) \hookrightarrow \text{Unzip}_L(Z)$. Let U be as in Lemma 2.12, and let*

$$p \in I(\{1\} \times \overline{\partial\pi^{-1}U}).$$

Then:

- (1) *There is a diffeomorphism*

$$\mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z) \cap I([0, 1] \times \overline{\pi^{-1}U}) \cong (1, 2] \times J((0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z)$$

of smooth manifolds with corners.

- (2) *There exists a convex disk $D \subset \text{Unzip}_{C(L)} C(Z)^\circ$ about p such that $D \cap I([0, 1] \times \pi^{-1}U)$ is a disk.*

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.12 we recall that $\overline{\pi^{-1}U} = \{0\} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z \cup \{0\} \times \text{Link}_L Z \cup [0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z$. By Lemma 2.8 we have $I = \alpha_J \circ \phi$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([0, 1] \times \overline{\pi^{-1}U}) &= A' \cup B' \\ &:= \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} (X \subset X^{+t}) \cup \{(t, q) \in X^{+(t+s)} : t, s \in [0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I([0, 1] \times \overline{\pi^{-1}U}) = A \cup B \subset \text{Unzip}_{C(L)} C(Z) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z$ where $A = \alpha_J(A')$, $B = \alpha_J(B')$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z : r \in [0, 1], x \in \text{Unzip}_L Z \setminus J([0, r) \times \text{Link}_L Z)\} \\ B &= \{(t+s, J(t, q)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Unzip}_L Z : t, s \in [0, 1]\} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z) \cap (A \cup B) = \{(t+s, J(t, q)) : t \in (0, 1], s \in [0, 1], t+s > 1\}$$

as the intersection with A is empty.

Consider now the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \rho \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \rho^{-1} : \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto ((x-1)y + 1, y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $(x, y) \mapsto (e^x + 1, e^y)$. Now ψ fixes $(2, 1)$ and satisfies

$$\psi((1, 2] \times (0, 1]) = \{(t + s, t) : t \in (0, 1], s \in [0, 1], t + s > 1\}.$$

Putting

$$\Psi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{>1}} \times J \circ \psi \times \text{id}_{\text{Link}_L Z}: \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z)$$

we obtain the map

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2] \times J((0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z) &\xrightarrow{\cong} (1, 2] \times (0, 1] \times \text{Link}_L Z \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi|} \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z) \cap I([0, 1] \times \overline{\pi^{-1}U}). \end{aligned}$$

The first map, which is a homeomorphism, is tautologically a diffeomorphism of smooth manifolds with corners with respect to the induced smooth structure on its target. With respect to the latter, Ψ is a diffeomorphism as well. Hence the composition is a diffeomorphism. In particular, we obtain the restricted diffeomorphism

$$(1, 2) \times J((0, 1) \times \text{Link}_L Z) \cong \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{Link}_L Z) \cap C_U.$$

of smooth manifolds without boundary.

Finally, consider $p = I(1, (1, q)) = (2, J(1, q))$ where $q \in \text{Link}_L Z$ (recall (2.13)). Let $\mathbb{R}^{n-c} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c \cong W \subset \text{Unzip}_L Z$ be a chart neighbourhood of q . Without loss of generality we may assume $c = n$ for simplicity. Up to diffeomorphism we may write the restriction of the collar of $\text{Link}_L Z$ as $J: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c$, given by the flow along the vector field $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq c} \partial_i$ where $\{\partial_i\}$ is the standard basis of $T_0 \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c$ (recall Construction 2.7). We now observe the diffeomorphism

$$J((0, 1] \times \partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^c \setminus \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c \right) \cong \mathbb{R}^c \setminus \mathbb{R}_{>0}^c$$

of smooth manifolds with corners. Consequently we have $(1, 2] \times J((0, 1] \times \partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c) \cong \mathbb{R}^{c+1} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{c+1}$. These diffeomorphisms restrict to $J((0, 1) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c) \cong \mathbb{R}^c \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c$ and so $(1, 2) \times J((0, 1) \times \partial \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^c) \cong \mathbb{R}^{c+1} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}$. Hence, the intersection of any convex disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}_{>1} \times J(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times W)$ centred at $(2, J(1, q)) = p$ with $I([0, 1] \times \pi^{-1}U)$ is a disk by the first statement of Lemma 2.15. \square

Example 2.17.

Corollary 2.18.

3. GOOD COVERS

Definition 3.1. Let X be a CSS. A *good cover* of X is an open cover \mathcal{U} of X consisting of basics whose finite intersections are also in \mathcal{U} .

Definition 3.2. Let Y be a smooth manifold with or without boundary, equipped with a riemannian metric. We call a cover of Y *geodesically convex* if it consists of geodesically convex basics.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a CSS over a depth-1 poset. Then X has a good cover.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose X is stratified over $[1]$ and let $M = X_0$ and $N = X_1$. Recall the blow-up of M , the smooth manifold

$$\text{Unzip} \cong L \amalg_{L \times (0, \infty)} N$$

with boundary $L = \partial \text{Unzip}$. We write $\pi: L \rightarrow M$ for the accompanying proper fibre bundle. Let us equip Unzip with a riemannian metric which splits along the boundary.

Using the paracompactness of M , let \mathcal{U} be a locally finite good cover of M which trivialises π . For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $\epsilon_U > 0$ be the radius of injectivity in the normal direction on the compact closure \bar{U} so that there is a smooth embedding

$$I_U: \pi^{-1}U \times [0, \epsilon_U) \hookrightarrow \text{Unzip}$$

whose restriction $I_U|_{\pi^{-1}U \times \{0\}}$ to $\pi^{-1}U \subset L$ is given by the boundary inclusion, and the path $I_U(q, -): [0, \epsilon_U) \hookrightarrow \text{Unzip}$, for every $q \in \pi^{-1}U$, is the minimising geodesic given by the normal exponential map. More precisely, for ν the inward-pointing unit normal vector field along the boundary, we set $I_U(x, t) = \exp_x(t\nu_x)$.¹ Let

$$C = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Im}(I_U)$$

be the induced ‘collar’ and consider the cover

$$\mathcal{C} = \{I_U(\pi^{-1}U \times [0, \delta)) : U \in \mathcal{U}, \delta \leq \epsilon_U\}$$

of C . Let us write $C_{U, \delta} = I_U(\pi^{-1}U \times [0, \delta)) \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that \mathcal{C} is closed under finite intersections since so is \mathcal{U} : we have $\pi^{-1}U \cap \pi^{-1}V = \pi^{-1}(U \cap V)$ and

$$C_{U, \delta} \cap C_{V, \delta'} = C_{U \cap V, \min(\delta, \delta')} \in \mathcal{C}$$

since $\min(\delta, \delta') \leq \epsilon_{U \cap V}$ for $\delta \leq \epsilon_U, \delta' \leq \epsilon_V$.

Consider now the collection \mathcal{V} of those convex geodesic disks V in N such that $V \cap C_{U, \delta}$ is either empty or a convex geodesic disk for every $C_{U, \delta} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then \mathcal{V} is a good cover of N . To prove this, it suffices to show that every $p \in C$ has a neighbourhood $V_p \in \mathcal{V}$, since convex geodesic disks are closed under finite intersections and so $V \cap V' \cap C_{U, \delta} = V \cap V'' \in \mathcal{V}$. Let now $p \in I_U(\pi^{-1}U \times [0, \delta)) \in \mathcal{C}$, which uniquely determines a point $l_p = \pi(\text{pr}_1(p)) \in \pi^{-1}U \subset L$. Let $W \subset \pi^{-1}U$ be a convex geodesic disk neighbourhood of l_p . Now, since \mathcal{U} is locally finite, so is the cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{I_U(\pi^{-1}U \times [0, \epsilon_U))\}$ of C (which is not necessarily closed under finite intersections), so in particular p is contained within finitely many members $I_{U_i}(\pi^{-1}U_i \times [0, \epsilon_i)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We

¹A global ϵ need not exist unless Unzip is of bounded geometry; see e.g. Schick [Sch01].

necessarily have $p \in I_U(\pi^{-1}U \times [0, \min_i(\epsilon_i)))$ with $U \in \{U_i\}_i$ an open satisfying $\epsilon_U = \min_i(\epsilon_i)$. Then $W \times [0, \min_i(\epsilon_i)) \ni p$ is a convex geodesic half-disk since the riemannian metric on Unzip splits along the boundary, and so we have $p \in V_p = W \times (0, \min_i(\epsilon_i)) \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let us now observe that $\pi^{-1}U \cong L_p \times U$ for $p \in U$ implies that each

$$C(L_p) \times U \cong U \amalg_{\pi^{-1}U} C_{U,\delta} \subseteq X$$

is a basic in X . Thus, writing $\widehat{C_{U,\delta}} = U \amalg_{\pi^{-1}U} C_{U,\delta}$, we obtain that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{\widehat{C_{U,\delta}} : C_{U,\delta} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is a cover by basics of the ‘tubular neighbourhood’

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{C_{U,\epsilon_U}} \subseteq X$$

of M . It is closed intersections since so is \mathcal{C} . Finally, since for $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we have $\widehat{C_{U,\delta}} \cap V = C_{U,\delta} \cap V \in \mathcal{V}$, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \cup \mathcal{V}$$

is a good cover of X . □

4. A PROOF OF...

REFERENCES

- [Sch01] T. Schick. ‘Manifolds with Boundary and of Bounded Geometry’. *Mathematische Nachrichten* 223.1 (2001), 103–120.

UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, FACULTY OF PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS GROUP,
BOLTZMANNGASSE 5, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: `aleksandar.ivanov@univie.ac.at`

Email address: `oeduel.tetik@univie.ac.at`