EACL 2023 Tutorial

Understanding Ethics in NLP Authoring and Reviewing



Luciana Benotti
Karën Fort
Min-Yen Kan
Yulia Tsvetkov

Link to these slides: http://bit.ly/eacl23-ethics-comp-slides

CC BY

Before diving in

- You accepted EACL's Code of Conduct
- Violations against those should be reported to
  - the Professional Conduct Committee
  - or/and EACL exec board.

QR codes

Activity Slide Deck

http://example.com/

Presentation Deck

http://example.com/

(you are here)

Scribing Document

http://example.com/

http://bit.ly/eacl23-ethics-slides

Disclaimer

This document is publicly editable, as of this time. By contributing to this document, you agree that your contributions are given to the public domain for posterity.

The tutorial presenters may further organize or edit the document as we see fit before finalizing the document for general public dissemination.

Please ensure any materials that you place in this document are suitable for public use: images should be credited for fair use, and be explicitly ok for use in public materials, documents quoted should retain a note to their source.

Group 1

Discussion Roles and Best Practices

Access this document and modify this slide to assign roles to the members of the group.

- Coordinator / Timekeeper
- Presenter (mandatory role)
- Typist
- Devil’s Advocate
- Illustrator

Ultimately, an effective group discussion is one in which many different ideas and viewpoints are heard and considered.

- Listen respectfully, without interrupting.
- Listen actively and with an ear to understanding others' views. ...
- Criticize ideas, not individuals. ...
- Avoid blame, speculation, and inflammatory language.
- Allow everyone the chance to speak.

Group 1 — Phase 2

<Please replace the text in this slide with your title and points for presentation. You may find it useful to brainstorm on the document and then later scribe the points you want here>

What other aspects of the other team’s slides do you find may be relevant to your own abstract?

How would you construct an appropriate ethical review consideration section for a paper that you considered? Feel free to make any assumptions?

Group 2

Discussion Roles and Best Practices

Access this document and modify this slide to assign roles to the members of the group.

- Coordinator / Timekeeper
- Presenter (mandatory role)
- Typist
- Devil’s Advocate
- Illustrator

Ultimately, an effective group discussion is one in which many different ideas and viewpoints are heard and considered.

- Listen respectfully, without interrupting.
- Listen actively and with an ear to understanding others' views. ...
- Criticize ideas, not individuals. ...
- Avoid blame, speculation, and inflammatory language.
- Allow everyone the chance to speak.

Group 2 — Phase 2

<Please replace the text in this slide with your title and points for presentation. You may find it useful to brainstorm on the document and then later scribe the points you want here>

What other aspects of the other team’s slides do you find may be relevant to your own abstract?

How would you construct an appropriate ethical review consideration section for a paper that you considered? Feel free to make any assumptions?

EACL 2023 Tutorial

Understanding Ethics in NLP Authoring and Reviewing



Luciana Benotti
Karën Fort
Min-Yen Kan
Yulia Tsvetkov

Style designed for Khipu 2023 by Laura Acion, Laura Alonso Alemany and Luciana Benotti

CC BY