

Obstacle Avoidance for a Quadrotor

Nikoli Dryden *

Bryan Plummer †

Abstract

We implement and evaluate the approach of [Lee et al. 2011] for obstacle avoidance for an ARDrone 2 quadrotor, which integrates MOPS and SIFT features to get a sparse representation of obstacles. We also evaluate the existing PTAM [Klein and Murray 2007] approach to UAV navigation. We find that the MOPS features are not robust on the quadrotor's data and that the Lee et al. approach is both ineffective and computationally intensive whereas the PTAM system achieves robust, real-time performance.

1 Introduction

Micro aerial vehicles (MAV's) which include quadrotor and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV's) have the potential to become more prominent over the next several years. These aircraft allow easy access for many places which are difficult for humans to go in a safer manner for both humans and the environment and have applications reaching into search and rescue, tracking, mapping, and others. In order for the higher level tasks to be handled these vehicles must have a reliable navigation system.

There are GPS solutions for navigation work out of the box (e.g. the AscTec series of quadrotors), but are limited in use to locations where GPS is available. While much progress has been made to solve to create a navigation solution for these cases, we still strive to have a system that works in unknown, GPS denied, and cluttered environments. As many MAV's are limited in both carrying capacity and power, laser range finders are too heavy and consume too much power to be of use. Stereo camera's become highly inaccurate after a set distance rendering them of no more use than using a single camera.

The common structure from motion approach to visual navigation using a single camera has been shown to suffer from some drawbacks. In order to generate a 3D map, two types of camera translation may be necessary [Shah et al. 2010]. While a quadrotor may be capable of such a maneuver, a UAV is not. In [Shah and Johnson 2009] the amount of computation required using this approach increased by about 15 times with only an increase from 8 to 35 feature points, and the number of features in a real scene can number in the hundreds or thousands.

As an attempt to solve this problem [Lee et al. 2011] proposed an approach to reduce the number of points required to represent the 3D structure of a scene. The authors used multiscale oriented patches (MOPS) [Brown et al. 2005] to create outlines of objects. Since the outlines themselves are unable to tell if an outline is empty or not, they used the 3D location of SIFT features [Lowe 2004] located within the outlines to obtain this information.

Although the proposed method cited collision avoidance for a quadrotor as its intended application, the authors did not dispense any data on its effectiveness in real scenes from images taken using a quadrotor. This paper provides just such an evaluation using images taken from the AR-Drone 2.0 quadrotor with the processing being performed on a laptop connecting to the quadrotor using a wireless network. In addition, we evaluate the use of the parallel tracking and mapping (PTAM) [Klein and Murray 2007] approach

that was adapted as an online solution for quadrotors in [Weiss et al. 2011].

2 Related Work

Due to weight and energy restrictions aboard MAV's a vision based approach is seen as one of the most viable navigation solutions in GPS denied environments. Progress in using visual sensors for navigation purposes include performing specific tasks such as in [Johnson et al. 2005] where a single camera was used to guide and land a MAV, or [Huang et al. 2011] where an RGB-D camera was used to map an environment and localize a quadrotor within that map but is restricted for use in indoor environments.

Another common approach in the literature uses optical flow to estimate the relative motion between the frames of a camera. In these systems one is able to detect collisions by measuring the relative rate of expansion of objects. This method behaves poorly when light intensity doesn't remain constant [Horn and Schunck 1981] and tends to be sensitive to noise and an unstable camera. As all MAV's will contribute to some vibrations in the camera, this leads to inaccurate estimates in flow vectors.

Some recent work attempts to use image segmentation to identify an obstacle and build a dense map around it [Ha and Sattigeri 2012]. This approach shares some motivations as the first method attempted in this work in that it attempts to reduce the number of computations required for navigation by using a limited number of feature points. As with [Lee et al. 2011], the approach has only been evaluated in theory. The authors note that in some cases image segmentation is not possible and intend to evaluate and extend their work to ascertain any benefits it may have.

3 Approach

We begin by describing our implementation of the approach in [Lee et al. 2011]. Subsequently, we describe our integration of the PTAM system with the quadrotor.

3.1 Lee et al.'s Method

Our approach closely follows that in [Lee et al. 2011]. The goal is to make use of MOPS features to limit the number of SIFT features necessary for computing structural information about obstacles. We begin by assuming that we have, as input, two images taken from the quadrotor's forward-facing camera, that the images are of the same scene, and contain corresponding features. Each image from the camera is a 640×360 pixel colour image; for our purposes, we need only a greyscale image and so discard the colour information.

In each image, we compute MOPS descriptors [Brown et al. 2005]. The descriptors are located at features detected by a multi-scale Harris corner detector. Each descriptor is an 8×8 patch of bias/gain normalized intensity values and is oriented using a blurred local gradient. Feature density is controlled using an adaptive non-maximal suppression algorithm. SIFT descriptors [Lowe 2004] are also computed, using the OpenCV computer vision library *** CITE OpenCV ***.

Descriptors in these two images are then matched in order to generate putative matches. For MOPS, matching is performed using a brute-force matcher, since existing k -d tree implementations in

*dryden2@illinois.edu

†bplumme2@illinois.edu

OpenCV do not support efficient dynamic modification after creation. SIFT features are matched using OpenCV's FLANN fast approximate nearest-neighbour [Muja and Lowe 2009] matcher.

These matches are then used to determine the location of the detected feature points in three-dimensional space via triangulation. Our approach to triangulation is based on the one implemented in PTAM *** CITE PTAM code ***. A homography is first computed between matching points. This homography is then decomposed into rotation and translation matrices [Malis and Vargas 2007] which are used to determine a projection matrix. This is used to triangulate points as usual.

In our implementation, the above steps involving SIFT and MOPS are implemented in parallel using the standard pthreads *** CITE pthreads? *** library. Since to this point there are no interactions between the SIFT and MOPS features and the input images are constant, the operations are independent. We thus run each in a separate thread in order to maximize performance.

The locations of MOPS features indicate the corners of objects in the world. The orientation of the descriptors is used to extract the outlines of objects to obtain edge spatial information. However, this does not provide information on the internal structure of the object; we use the SIFT features to achieve this. We consider SIFT features within the outlines constructed by MOPS features, which provide information on the internal structure of the objects: the distance to the points will indicate the type and extent of the object.

We now determine the “type” of the object, based on comparison of the distance of SIFT features within an outline to the distance to MOPS features on the outline. Define D_M to be the distance to a MOPS feature, and D_S to be the distance to a SIFT feature within the outline. Let T_1 and T_2 be two threshold values. We have the following equations

$$|D_M - D_S| \leq T_1 \quad (1)$$

$$T_1 < |D_M - D_S| \leq T_2 \quad (2)$$

$$T_2 < |D_M - D_S| \quad (3)$$

T_1 should be set such that there is a high probability that the SIFT and MOPS features are on the same object, and T_2 such that there is a high probability that the features are on different objects. We thus have the following cases:

- Equation 1 is the case of an object with a closed interior.
- Equation 2 is again the case where an object has a closed interior, but possibly with a convex shape.
- Equation 3 is the case of an object with an open interior.

In the third case, we repeat the above process feature points on an object behind the open space.

This comparison allows us to determine what sort of obstacle an object is and to locate where in the world the objects exist, relative to the quadrotor.

3.2 Integrating PTAM

4 Experiments

5 Analysis

6 Individual Contributions

References

- BROWN, M., SZELISKI, R., AND WINDER, S. 2005. Multi-image matching using multi-scale oriented patches. In *CVPR*.
- HA, J., AND SATTIGERI, R. 2012. Vision-based obstacle avoidance based on monocular SLAM and image segmentation for UAVs. In *Proc. AIAA Infotech@Aerospace Conference*.
- HORN, B., AND SCHUNCK, B. 1981. Determining optical flow. *Artificial Intelligence* 17, 1–3, 185–203.
- HUANG, A. S., BACHRACH, A., HENRY, P., KRAININ, M., MATURANA, D., FOX, D., AND ROY, N. 2011. Visual odometry and mapping for autonomous flight using an RGB-D camera. In *Int. Symposium on Robotics Research (ISRR)*.
- JOHNSON, A., MONTGOMERY, J., AND MATTHIES, L. 2005. Vision guided landing of an autonomous helicopter in hazardous terrain. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*.
- KLEIN, G., AND MURRAY, D. 2007. Parallel tracking and mapping for small AR workspaces. In *Proc. Sixth IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR'07)*.
- LEE, J., LEE, K., PARK, S., IM, S., AND PARK, J. 2011. Obstacle avoidance for small UAVs using monocular vision. *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology* 83, 6, 397–406.
- LOWE, D. G. 2004. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *IJCV* 60, 2, 91–110.
- MALIS, E., AND VARGAS, M. 2007. Deeper understanding of the homography decomposition for vision-based control. Tech. rep.
- MUJA, M., AND LOWE, D. G. 2009. Fast approximate nearest neighbors with automatic algorithm configuration. In *International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Application VISSAPP'09*, INSTICC Press, 331–340.
- SHAH, S. I. A., AND JOHNSON, E. N. 2009. 3D obstacle detection using a single camera. In *Proc. AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference*.
- SHAH, S. I. A., KANNAN, S., AND JOHNSON, E. N. 2010. Motion estimation for obstacle detection and avoidance using a single camera for UAVs/robots. In *Proc. AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference*.
- WEISS, S., SCARAMUZZA, D., AND SIEGWART, R. 2011. Monocular-SLAM-based navigation for autonomous micro helicopters in GPS-denied environments. *Journal of Field Robotics* 28, 6, 854–874.