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1. Using polls for decision making

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/10q3LcQ5giI5Ds4x4FzzZgMX6hFK8Q5lVO0aP88scUjw/edit?usp=sharing>

1. Create poll for adjusting existing OntoLex modules

John:

changes require need a new version

Keep backwards compatibility

Ilan:

Pro changing for an improved model

Julia:

Danger that decomp will be too detailed and people could be confused

Thierry:

The labels might hold people back to use decomp for all kind of segmentations

Only adapt existing module vocabularies if:

* The change does not affect the backwards compatibility
* The wording of property or classes shall be clarified
* Add classes to ranges
* Errors should be corrected

1. Looking at Module Draft 1.2

John:

* Reuse vartrans to reuse interrelations between lexical entries
* Extending definition of decomp:constitutent to clarify that derived words are included

Allow that lexical entries can also consists of inflectional morphs

ontolex:Affix for affixes that are lexical entries and morph:AffixMorph that are inflectional morph

[https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/%E3%81%9F%E3%81%84#Japanese](https://hangouts.google.com/_/elUi/chat-redirect?dest=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wiktionary.org%2Fwiki%2F%25E3%2581%259F%25E3%2581%2584%23Japanese)

Ilan:

* Allow modelling of segmentation binary and full linear segmentation mixing inflectional and derivational morphs
* Add questions for lexicographers in one document and share on mailing list