Definitions

Relations

For the following definitions, consider a relation R on a set S.

- 1. Transitivity: R is transitive $\Leftrightarrow (a,b) \in S, (b,c) \in S \Rightarrow (a,c) \in S$.
- 2. Reflexivity: R is reflexive $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in S, (a, a) \in R$. *Irreflexivity:* R is irreflexive $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in S, (a, a) \notin R$.
- 3. <u>Symmetry</u>: R is symmetric $\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in R \Rightarrow (b, a) \in R$. Antisymmetry: R is antisymmetric $\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \land (b, a) \in R \Rightarrow a = b$.
- Binary Relation: A relation from a set to itself.
- Equivalence Relation: A relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

<u>Partitions</u>: For a set A to be a partition of B, the following must be true of A:

- 1. The sets contained in A must be mutually disjoint.
- 2. The union of the sets contained in A must be B.
- 3. Every set in A must $\neq \emptyset$
- Equivalence Class: [x] denotes the set of all elements related to x.

Ordering

- $Partial\ Ordering$: A relation R on S is a partial order if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive.
- · Relations with partial orders may be drawn using a Hasse diagram.
- <u>Total Ordering</u>: A relation R on S is a total order if it is a partial order and $\forall a, b \in$ $S,(a,b)\in R.$
- Strict Ordering: Partial and Total orderings are strict if they are irreflexive.

A *Function* is a relation F on a set X to a set Y where every element in X maps to exactly one element of set Y.

- Injectivity: $\forall (a,b) \in X, f(a) = f(b) \Leftrightarrow a = b$
- Surjectivity: $\forall a \in Y, \exists b \in X \text{ s.t. } f(b) = a$
- Bijectivity: Injective and Surjective

Useful Facts:

- · Composing two injective functions gives an injective function.
- 2-regular functions: $f: A \to B$ is 2-regular $\Leftrightarrow \forall b \in B, \exists$ exactly two distinct $a_1, a_2 \in A \text{ s.t. } f(a_1) = f(a_2) = b.$
- · Within the context of this class, invertible means bijective.
- Invertible isn't really a formally defined term, so it can vary. In other contexts, it tends to simply mean injective.

<u>Images</u>: Given some $f: X \to Y$, The image of an input value x is the set of outputs it may produce. The preimage of an output y is the set of input values that produce

Cantor-Bernstein Theorem: Given infinite sets $A \to B$, iff \exists some injective function from $A \to B$, and \exists some injective function from $B \to A$, then \exists some bijective function from $A \to B$. It follows that the two sets have the same cardinality: |A| =|B|.

Sets

- $P(A) = \{S : S \subseteq A\}$
- For a finite set A, if |A| = k, then $|P(A)| = 2^k$
- Cartesian Product: $A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A \land b \in B\}$
- The dual of a statement about sets is one with each \cup swapped with \cap , each \cap swapped with \cup , each $\mathbb U$ (universal set) swapped with \varnothing , and each \varnothing swapped with U.
- Set equality: The formal definition: $A = B \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq B \land B \subseteq A$.

Useful Properties

1. Connectedness of a relation - strong & weak

Types of Proof

Direct Proof

Directly show that if p is true, then q is true as well.

- · This includes proof by induction.
- 1. Write the proposition
- 2. Start with a true hypothesis

Through definitions, axioms, and properties, work your way to a conclusion

3. End with a true conclusion

Indirect Proof

Proofs of an equivalent implication. For example, the contrapositive of a statement can be used to prove that statement.

· Proof by Contradiction

Assume a counterexample can be found. Then there is an element in the domain that either:

- Makes the hypothesis true (?)
- · Makes the conclusion false

This leads to a contradiction.

Subtypes of Proof (?)

Element-wise Proofs

Show that for any arbitrary element inside a set, some relation holds.

- $A \subseteq B$: $\forall x \in A, x \in B$
- A = B: $A \subseteq B \land B \subseteq A$

Function Proofs

They basically involve proving either:

- · Surjectivity
- · Injectivity
- · Both (Invertibility)

Logical Equivalence proofs

Show that two statements are logically equivalent using logic laws.

Proof Tips

- Use the definitions to express things that reveal fundamental properties
- Use variables that represent any element in the domain
- · Do not use the same variable for two different things
- · Apply rules/properties that apply to every element in the domain
- The resulting conclusions should be true for any element in the domain.

Set-Builder Notation

 $S = \{ domain \mid predicate \}$

- $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is even}\}$
- $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \bmod 2 = 0\}$

Example Proofs

$\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ - by contradiction.

- Suppose not. That is, $\exists p,q\in\mathbb{Z}\neq 0 \text{ s.t. } \sqrt{2}=\frac{p}{q},\text{s.t. } (1)\ p,q$ do not share a factor. Then $2=\frac{p^2}{q^2}\Rightarrow 2q^2=p^2.$
- By definition of even, p^2 is even.
- This implies p is even. (proof omitted)
- Then, $p = 2a, a \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 2 = \frac{4a^2}{a^2} \Rightarrow q^2 = 2a^2$.
- By definition of even, q^2 is even.
- This implies q is even.
- Since both are even, they both have a common factor 2.
- This contradicts (1): p, q do not share a factor.

There is no greatest even integer - by contradiction.

- Suppose not. That is, there is some greatest even integer, n.
- Then, by closure under addition, $n+2=k\in\mathbb{Z}.$
- By definition of even, $n = 2a, a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- By substitution, n + 2 = 2a + 2.
- By distributive property, 2a + 2 = 2(a + 1).
- By definition of even, 2(a+1) is even $\Rightarrow n+2$ is even.
- Since n+2>n and n+2 is even, this contradicts our original assumption that there must be a greatest even integer.

There are infinitely many prime numbers - by contradiction.

- Fact (1): Any integer n > 1 is divisible by some prime number.
- Fact (2): For any integer, a, and any prime number, p, if $p \mid a \Rightarrow p \nmid a+1$
- Assume there is a finite number of prime numbers. Then, let p be the largest prime number.
- Then, we can write all the prime numbers in ascending order as such: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, ..., p.
- Let another integer, n=(2*3*5*7*...*p)+1.
- We know by (2) that since n is divisible by every prime number, n+1 is divisible by no prime number.
- But by (1), n + 1 must be divisible by some prime number.
- This contradicts our assumption that p is the largest prime number. Therefore, there are infinitely many prime numbers.

$A \cap B \subseteq A$ - element-wise.

- Let A and B be any sets.
- Let x be any element of $A \cap B$.
- By definition of \cap , $x \in A \land x \in B$.
- Since our choice of x was arbitrary, this implies every element in $A\cap B$ is also in A .
- Therefore, $A \cap B \subseteq A$.

$A\subseteq C\wedge B\subseteq C\Rightarrow A\cup B\subseteq C$ - element-wise.

• Let sets A, B, C be any sets s.t. $A \subseteq C \land B \subseteq C$.

The goal here is to prove that for every element $x, x \in A \cup B \Rightarrow x \in C$.

- By definition of \cup , we consider two possible cases:
 - $x \in A$
 - Since $A \subseteq C$, by definition of \subseteq , $x \in C$.
- $x \in B$
- Since $B \subseteq C$, by definition of \subseteq , $x \in C$.
- In both cases, $x \in C$; therefore, $A \cup B \subseteq C$.

$(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)=A\cap (B\cup C)$ - element-wise.

By definition of set equality, we must prove two things:

- 1. $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$
- 2. $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$

For 1: Let $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$. By definition of \cup , we consider two possible cases:

- 1. $x \in A \cap B$
 - By definition of \cap , $x \in A \land x \in B$.
 - By definition of \cup , $x \in B \Rightarrow x \in B \cup C$.
 - By definition of \cap , since $x \in A \land x \in B \cup C, x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$.
- 2. $x \in A \cap C$.
 - By definition of \cap , $x \in A \land x \in C$.
 - By definition of \cup , $x \in C \Rightarrow x \in B \cup C$.
 - By definition of \cap , since $x \in A \land x \in B \cup C$, $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$.

Therefore, $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$.

For 2: Let $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$. By definition of \cap , we know $x \in A \land x \in (B \cup C)$.

By definition of \cup , we consider two possible cases:

- 1. $x \in A \land x \in B$.
 - By definition of \cap , $x \in A \cap B$.
 - By definition of \cup , $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$.
- 2. $x \in A \land x \in C$.
 - By definition of \cap , $x \in A \cap C$.
 - By definition of \cup , $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$.

Therefore, $x \in A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$.

Since we have proved (1) and (2), $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) = A \cap (B \cup C)$.

Prove that $g:\mathbb{Q}\to\mathbb{Q}$ with the rule g(x)=5x-1 is surjective - function proof.

- Let $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ (the codomain).
- We wish to show that $\exists x \in \mathbb{Q}, g(x) = y$.
- By substitution, 5x 1 = y.
- By algebra, $x = \frac{y+1}{5}$.
- We also wish to show that $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- By closure under addition, $y+1\in\mathbb{Z}.$
- By definition of \mathbb{Q} , $\frac{y+1}{5} = \frac{p}{q}, p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{y+1}{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Prove that $f:\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with the rule f(x)=5x+7 is injective - function proof.

- Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. f(a) = f(b). (Show they must be the same number)
- Then, 5a + 7 = 5b + 7.
- By subtraction, 5a = 5b.
- Since both sides are divisible by 5, the Division Theorem says the quotient must be unique.
- Therefore, a = b.

Other

- Remainder Theorem: any $a \bmod \mathsf{some}\ b$ has exactly one remainder.
- What other theorems??