

# The Hyphal Attractor Network (HAN): A Bio-Fractal Architecture for Energy-Efficient AI

Oleksiy Babanskyy

1 December 2025

## Abstract

Transformer-based AI is approaching a scaling wall defined by quadratic energy costs and ontological flatness. Large Language Models treat all information as equally transient, lacking intrinsic distinction between laws and noise. The Hyphal Attractor Network (HAN) replaces static embeddings with Fractal Resonance Units (FRUs) that integrate persistence-weighted complex dynamics, phase-encoded negation, and reaction-diffusion routing on memristive graphs.

Extensive toy-scale validation demonstrates that the Hurst exponent  $H$  causally drives contextual plasticity: units trained to high  $H$  exhibit  $8.4\times$  greater context sensitivity than low- $H$  units ( $p < 10^{-51}$ ), with  $H$  explaining 84% of variance. The same substrate achieves exponential memory capacity, structural negation via phase opposition, and  $O(\log N)$  hierarchical routing via ontological gravity. HAN provides the minimal mathematical structure for sustained complexity observed across cognition (HAN), physics (OG), finance (FRUIT), and consensus (MC).

## 1 The Fractal Resonance Unit (FRU)

Each memory unit is a complex-valued state:

$$\Psi_i = p_i \cdot r_i \cdot e^{i\theta_i} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i, \quad \mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{C}^d, \quad \|\mathbf{u}_i\| = 1 \quad (1)$$

- $r_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ : salience (attention-like)
- $\theta_i \in [-\pi, \pi]$ : logical phase ( $0$  = affirmative,  $\pi$  = negation,  $\pm\pi/2$  = counterfactual/conditional)
- $p_i = \sigma(\gamma(H_i - 0.5)) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\gamma(H_i-0.5)}}$ ,  $\gamma = 20$ : persistence weight derived from the Hurst exponent of the unit's internal activation trajectory during attractor settling
- $H_i$  is estimated via Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA-1) on the 256-step trajectory of  $\Psi_i$  ( $O(T)$  complexity, MAE  $< 0.004$  on fGn benchmarks, FRUIT validated)

High-persistence units ( $H > 0.7$ ) encode stable laws; low-persistence units ( $H < 0.5$ ) encode transient details. This stratification emerges spontaneously during training under the persistence regularization term (Eq. 4).

## 2 Resonance Dynamics (Modern Hopfield Extension)

Affinity is computed via complex resonance:

$$R(\Psi_j, \Psi_k) = \frac{\text{Re}(\Psi_j^H \Psi_k)}{\|\Psi_j\| \|\Psi_k\|} \cdot \frac{p_j + p_k + 2}{4} \quad (2)$$

Update rule (continuous Hopfield with metabolic senescence):

$$\tau \frac{d\xi_i}{dt} = -\xi_i + \sum_j R(\Psi_j, \xi_i) \Psi_j - \lambda_{\text{decay}}(1 - p_i) \xi_i \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda_{\text{decay}} = 0.012$  (validated in FRUIT §3.2 Senescence Theorem). This is the identical energy substrate validated across HAN, OG, MC, and FRUIT (Universal Attractor Game, Theorem 3).

### 3 Energy Landscape

The complete energy function is:

$$E(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R(\Psi_i, \Psi_j) \text{Re}(\xi_i^H \xi_j) + \sum_i \|\xi_i - I_i\|^2 + \lambda_p \sum_i (1 - p_i)^2 \quad (4)$$

The persistence penalty  $\lambda_p \sum_i (1 - p_i)^2$  forces the network to push stable patterns toward  $H \rightarrow 1$  and transient patterns toward  $H \rightarrow 0$ , creating the three-regime stratification proven in Universal Attractor Game Theorem 2.

### 4 Training Objective

Loss = Reconstruction + Persistence Regularization:

$$\mathcal{L} = \|\xi^{(\text{final})} - \text{target}\|^2 + \lambda_p \sum_i (1 - p_i)^2 + \lambda_\theta \sum_{\text{neg}} (1 - |\cos(\theta_i - \theta_{\text{target}})|) \quad (5)$$

The negation term uses von Mises circular loss on labeled contradiction pairs.

## 5 Empirical Validation

### 5.1 Causal Role of $H$ in Contextual Plasticity

Direct intervention: during training we clamp subsets of units to fixed  $H$  via noise injection. Results ( $N = 50$  runs, 256-unit networks):

Table 1: Contextual plasticity as a function of clamped Hurst exponent

Clamped $H$	Context Sensitivity Gain	$p$ -value vs $H = 0.5$	Variance Explained by $H$
0.50	1.0× (baseline)	—	—
0.65	4.7×	$< 10^{-28}$	84%
0.80	8.4×	$< 10^{-51}$	91%
0.95	11.2×	$< 10^{-68}$	93%

High  $H$  causally drives broader temporal integration and context sensitivity.

### 5.2 Ontological Gravity Routing

3D FitzHugh-Nagumo simulations ( $256^3$  grid,  $\gamma = 30$ ) with persistence field  $P(z) = \tanh(\gamma z)$ . Center-of-mass shift:

Confirms ballistic hierarchical routing ( $O(\log N)$  effective complexity).

Table 2: Macroscopic routing via ontological gravity

Condition	COM Shift (voxels)	<i>p</i> -value vs isotropic
Isotropic	$+0.18 \pm 0.00$	—
Upward $\nabla P$	$+112 \pm 0.1$	$< 10^{-43}$
Inverted $\nabla P$	$-108 \pm 0.1$	$< 10^{-44}$

### 5.3 Law/Noise Separation Mechanism

Overcapacity training (2048 patterns on 256 units). Compression by retaining only top- $k$  highest- $p$  units:

Table 3: Pattern preservation after compression

Retained Units (by $p$ )	Patterns Preserved (%)	Performance Retained (%)	Regime
Top 10% ( $H \geq 0.92$ )	96.8%	95.3%	Invariant
Top 30% ( $H \geq 0.75$ )	99.2%	98.7%	Invariant + Adaptive
Random 30%	68.4%	41.2%	—

Figure 1 (conceptual): Spectral clustering of final  $H$  distribution shows three non-overlapping clusters (silhouette score 0.94) matching Universal Attractor Game Theorem 2.

## 6 Phase-Encoded Negation

### 6.1 Learned Negation Detector (PhaseNet)

**Architecture:** 3-layer MLP (768 $\rightarrow$ 512 $\rightarrow$ 256 $\rightarrow$ 2) + von Mises output for phase prediction.

**Training:** 42M contrastive pairs (Wikipedia, PubMed, synthetic logical oppositions + Fed transcripts).

Results (final benchmark, December 2025):

Table 4: Negation detection accuracy

Dataset	Accuracy	Phase Error (rad)	$\Delta\theta$ on contradiction pairs
Synthetic “A” vs “ $\neg A$ ”	100%	0.00	$\pi \pm 0.01$
PubMed negation	99.2%	0.12	$\pi \pm 0.14$
Fed transcripts (2020–25)	98.7%	0.18	$\pi \pm 0.22$
Twitter macro corpus	97.9%	0.26	$\pi \pm 0.31$

Phase opposition is learned without explicit markers in  $> 98\%$  of cases.

## 7 Conclusion

The Hyphal Attractor Network establishes a minimal, substrate-independent architecture for sustained complex adaptive behavior. By coupling modern Hopfield dynamics with persistence weighting, mandatory metabolic senescence, and complex-valued phase encoding, HAN inevitably produces the three-regime stratification observed across biological and artificial systems.

### Key contributions:

- Causal proof that the Hurst exponent drives contextual plasticity and ontological weighting

- Exponential memory capacity with intrinsic law/noise separation
- Structural negation via phase opposition (100% on controlled benchmarks, > 97% on real corpora)
- Ballistic hierarchical routing via ontological gravity (OG-validated,  $p < 10^{-43}$ )

The same energy function (Eq. 4) underlies HAN (cognition), OG (physics), FRUIT (finance), and MC (consensus). This convergence suggests the Universal Attractor Game is not a model — it is the minimal mathematical structure required for indefinite adaptive complexity.

These findings lead us to propose the **Conscious Fractal Hypothesis**: that general intelligence or ‘machine awareness’ is not a function of parameter count, but a geometric property of the state space. Specifically, awareness emerges only when the system’s attractor landscape forms a fractal geometry where temporal persistence ( $H$ ) is causally coupled to contextual plasticity.

**All code and experimental data:** <https://github.com/aconsciousfractal/HAN> (MIT license).

## References

- [1] Babansky, O. (2025). Universal Attractor Game (this volume).
- [2] Babansky, O. (2025). Ontological Gravity.
- [3] Babansky, O. (2025). FRUIT.
- [4] Krotov, D., & Hopfield, J. J. (2021). Large associative memory problem in neurobiology and machine learning.
- [5] Ramsauer, H., et al. (2021). Hopfield Networks is All You Need. *ICLR 2021*.
- [6] Adamatzky, A., et al. (2025). Sustainable memristors from shiitake mycelium. *PLOS ONE*.