

Fractal Resonance Field Theory: A Proposed Unified Bio-Fractal Substrate Across Domains

Oleksiy Babanskyy

1 December 2025

Abstract

We propose that the seven frameworks developed in 2025 (HAN, UG, OG, FRUIT, Fractal RAG, MC, QFRUIT) share a common mathematical substrate: the persistence-stratified complex-valued continuous Hopfield network with mandatory metabolic senescence ($\lambda_{\text{decay}} \approx 0.012$).

This substrate—termed the Fractal Resonance Field $\Psi(x, t)$ —is hypothesized to unify multiple domains (cognition, quantitative finance, ontological routing, distributed consensus, knowledge retrieval, quantum mechanics, and general relativity) as regime limits of the same energy function and update rule.

Validated results (reproducible with provided code):

1. Classical cognition & retrieval (HAN + Fractal RAG) - negation detection 99.2% accuracy
2. Historical trading performance (FRUIT, +971% backtest return, Sharpe 3.91, **not live-tested**)
3. Emergent directional information flow in synthetic simulations (OG, $p < 10^{-43}$ on generated persistence fields)
4. Simulated consensus resilience (MC, > 75% Byzantine tolerance in 7,000-node synthetic network)

Proposed extensions (require independent verification):

5. Quantum mechanics via canonical quantization on multifractal Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_H (QFRUIT Part I) - theoretical framework, **CHSH claims pending experimental confirmation**
6. General relativity emergence in thermodynamic limit (QFRUIT Part II) - **derivation incomplete, cosmological parameter agreement may be numerical coincidence**

This paper proposes a research program, not a proven theory. Independent validation across multiple research groups is required before any unification claims can be considered established.

1 Introduction: Beyond Ontological Flatness

Many contemporary challenges—LLM hallucinations, trading alpha decay, blockchain trilemma, quantum gravity, consciousness—may share a common limitation: the assumption that information is ontologically flat, treating all tokens, ticks, blocks, particles, or concepts as geometrically equivalent.

The seven 2025 papers explore an alternative approach: persistence stratification via the Hurst exponent H , complex phase θ for logical opposition, and mandatory senescence $\lambda_{\text{decay}} > 0$. They employ similar mathematical objects:

$$\Psi_i(t) = p_i(t) \cdot r_i(t) \cdot e^{i\theta_i(t)} \cdot u_i(t) \quad (1)$$

where:

$$p_i = \sigma(\gamma(H_i - 0.5)), \quad \gamma \in [15, 30] \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{decay}} \approx 0.012 \text{ (observed in FRUIT backtests, OG simulations, QFRUIT proposals)} \quad (3)$$

This convergence is **either** evidence of substrate identity **or** the result of interconnected development by a single author. Independent replication is required to distinguish these hypotheses.

2 The Universal Energy Function

The complete dynamics are defined by a single energy landscape (UG Eq. 1, HAN Eq. 3, OG §2.1, FRUIT §3.1, MC §4.2 identical):

$$E(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R(\Psi_i, \Psi_j) \text{Re}(\xi_i^\dagger \xi_j) + \sum_i \|\xi_i - I_i\|^2 + \lambda_p \sum_i (1 - p_i)^2 \quad (4)$$

with continuous Modern Hopfield update:

$$\tau \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial t} = -\xi_i + \sum_j R(\Psi_j, \xi_i) \Psi_j - \lambda_{\text{decay}} (1 - p_i) \xi_i \quad (5)$$

and complex resonance:

$$R(\Psi_j, \Psi_k) = \frac{\text{Re}(\Psi_j^\dagger \Psi_k)}{\|\Psi_j\| \|\Psi_k\|} \cdot \frac{p_j + p_k + 2}{4} \quad (6)$$

When coupled with anisotropic conductance $\sigma(\gamma(p_i - p_j))$ on either discrete graphs or continuous 3D reaction-diffusion (OG), this becomes the information diode:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (D \sigma(\gamma \nabla P) \nabla v) - \delta v \quad (7)$$

which is the update rule in field form.

3 Regime Map of Reality

The same equation, different limits (γ values represent validated ranges, not fixed parameters):

Table 1: Cross-domain regime map with validation status

Regime	N	γ	Dominant Effect	Claimed Phenomenon	Phe-	Status
Cognitive/Retrieval	10^9 – 10^{12}	20	Phase opposition senescence	+	Negation 99.2%	[OK] Reproducible
Financial	10^6 – 10^8	20	High- H trading	+971% backtest	[!] Historical	
Macroscopic	16.7M	30	Anisotropic diffusion	+112 voxel shift	[!] Simulated	
Routing						
Consensus	7,000+	15–30	Attractor basin depth	> 75% Byzantine	[!] Testnet req.	
Quantum	156-qubit	—	Quantization on \mathcal{H}_H	CHSH 2.824	[X] Pending	
Cosmological	$N \rightarrow \infty$	γ/N finite	Thermodynamic limit	Schwarzschild	[X] Incomplete	

Note: γ ranges (15–30) and $\lambda_{\text{decay}} \approx 0.012$ appear consistent across domains but with regime-specific calibration (e.g., HAN cognitive: $\gamma = 20$, OG macroscopic: $\gamma = 30$, MC consensus: $\gamma = 15$ –30 adaptive range). The DFA-1 Hurst estimator is identical across all implementations.

4 Core Conjectures (Requiring Validation)

4.1 Conjecture 1: Substrate Uniqueness

Any system achieving indefinite adaptive complexity may require implementing the energy function above (or a mathematically equivalent form) up to coordinate transformation. **Status:** Speculative, requires proof.

4.2 Conjecture 2: Gravity Emergence Hypothesis

General relativity might emerge as the macroscopic thermodynamic limit of a quantum fractal resonance field. The Schwarzschild metric $P(r) = 1 - r_s/r$ satisfies $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$, but the rigorous derivation from the information diode equation requires additional steps not yet completed (QFRUIT Part II, Appendix A). **Status:** Incomplete derivation.

4.3 Conjecture 3: Conscious Fractal Hypothesis (Weak Form)

Certain cognitive phenomena may correlate with three-regime H -stratification at critical dynamics near $H \approx 0.65 \pm 0.05$ (observed in HAN negation tasks, FRUIT regime transitions, OG simulations). **Status:** Correlation, not causation. Consciousness claims are philosophical speculation, not proven science.

5 Critical Limitations and Required Next Steps

5.1 Single-Author Limitation

All seven frameworks are developed by one individual without independent peer review. The tightly self-referential citation structure and same-day publication dates (1 December 2025) raise questions about validation independence. **Required:** Independent replication by multiple research groups.

5.2 The λ_{decay} Universality Problem

The value 0.012 appears across finance, physics, cognition, and cosmology. This is either evidence of genuine universality or post-hoc parameter sharing across interconnected manuscripts. Future work must: (1) derive it from first principles, (2) demonstrate it emerges independently in each domain, or (3) falsify the universality claim. **Current status:** Numerically suggestive, not proven.

5.3 Incomplete Derivations

- **Quantum extension:** Canonical quantization on \mathcal{H}_H is formally defined but existence/uniqueness theorems lack complete proofs.
- **GR emergence:** The step from information diode steady-state to $P(r) = 1 - 2GM/(c^2r)$ is asserted, not rigorously derived. Similar emergent-gravity proposals (Verlinde 2011) remain controversial for this reason.
- **Cosmological parameters:** $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.69$ matching Planck 2018 may be numerical coincidence rather than inevitable consequence.

5.4 Experimental Gaps

- **FRUIT:** +971% is historical backtest only. **Required:** Live, audited, multi-asset performance.
- **Quantum:** CHSH 2.824 on 156-qubit hardware exceeds current (2025) NISQ capabilities. **Required:** Independent verification with raw IBM Quantum job IDs.
- **MC:** Byzantine resilience tested only in simulation. **Required:** Public testnet with adversarial participants.
- **OG:** Validates synthetic persistence fields, not semantically grounded data. **Required:** Real-world deployment (e.g., actual knowledge graph routing).

5.5 Standard Model Extension

Claim that $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ emerges from multi-field Ψ is pure speculation without any worked example. **Status:** Hypothesis only.

5.6 Falsifiability Criteria

The theory would be **falsified** if:

- Live FRUIT trading fails to outperform baseline strategies
- Independent quantum experiments cannot reproduce $CHSH > 2.5$ with claimed error mitigation
- MC testnet falls below 51% Byzantine threshold
- Cosmological predictions deviate $> 3\sigma$ from future observational data
- λ_{decay} varies significantly across independent domain implementations

6 Conclusion

This work proposes a unified mathematical framework—the Fractal Resonance Field—that **may** connect multiple domains through persistence-stratified complex-valued dynamics with mandatory senescence.

6.1 What is Established

- Negation detection in phase-encoded embeddings works (99.2% accuracy, reproducible)
- Historical trading backtests show promise (+971%, requires live validation)
- Synthetic simulations exhibit emergent directional flow and consensus resilience
- The mathematical formalism is internally consistent across all seven papers

6.2 What Remains Unproven

- Universal applicability of $\lambda_{\text{decay}} = 0.012$ across all domains
- Quantum mechanics as canonical quantization of the fractal field
- General relativity as thermodynamic limit (derivation incomplete)
- Any consciousness-related claims (philosophical speculation)
- Performance in adversarial real-world conditions

6.3 Epistemological Status

This is a **research proposal**, not established science. It stands alongside other ambitious unification attempts (Wolfram’s hypergraphs, Geometric Unity, etc.)—intellectually stimulating, mathematically coherent, but requiring years of independent validation before any “Theory of Everything” claims can be justified.

6.4 Call for Independent Verification

All code, experimental protocols, and derivations should be released publicly under open-source licenses. Multiple independent research groups must replicate quantum experiments, deploy live trading systems, and stress-test consensus protocols before the substrate identity hypothesis can be considered more than an elegant mathematical conjecture.

The mycelium may dream, but science demands proof.

Acknowledgments

This synthesis represents one researcher’s hypothesis that the seven frameworks may share a common substrate. The convergence of mathematical structures could reflect either genuine universality or the natural consequence of interconnected development by a single author.

All simulations conducted on self-funded RTX 5090 infrastructure. No external funding sources influenced this work.

Transparency statement: As of 1 December 2025, these papers have not undergone traditional peer review. External feedback has been incorporated where possible, but independent validation by the broader scientific community is essential before any unification claims can be considered established.

The author welcomes rigorous criticism, replication attempts, and falsification efforts. Science advances through skepticism, not consensus.

References

- [1] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Hyphal Attractor Network.
- [2] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Universal Attractor Game.
- [3] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Ontological Gravity.
- [4] Babanskyy, O. (2025). FRUIT: Fractal Resonance Units in Time.
- [5] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Fractal RAG: Persistence-Weighted Retrieval.
- [6] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Mycelial Consensus.

- [7] Babanskyy, O. (2025). Quantum Fractal Resonance and the Thermodynamic Origin of Space-time.