

# FOCS Homework 9, due Day 10

You may edit your answers into this file, or add a separate file in the same directory.

If you add a separate file, please include the following at the top:

Student Name: Solution Set

Check one:

☐ I completed this assignment without assistance or external resources.

☒ I completed this assignment with assistance from ALL COURSE STAFF  
and/or using these external resources: \_\_\_\_

## 1. Reading

Read Sipser pp. 101–125. (This was the optional reading for today. It is required for Monday.)

## 2. Constructing Grammars

Construct a Context Free Grammar for each of the following languages.

a) All strings (over  $\{0,1\}$ ) consisting of a substring  $w$  followed by its reverse. (This is the same problem you were asked to work on in class.)

**Solution:**

```
S -> 0S0
S -> 1S1
S -> ε
```

Equivalently:

```
S -> 0S0 | 1S1 | ε
```

**Problem:**

Give a derivation for **010010**.

**Solution:**

```
S -> 0S0 -> 01S10 -> 010ε010 = 010010
```

**Problem:**

b) All strings (over  $\{a,b,c\}$ ) of the form  $a^i b^j c^k$ : an equal number of **a**s and **b**s, followed by any number of **c**s. For example, **aabb**, **aabbcc**, and **aabbccccc**, but not **aaaabbcc**.

**Solution:**

G1:

```
S -> TU
T -> aTb | ε
U -> cU | ε
```

(The final rule could also be  $U \rightarrow Uc \mid \epsilon$ .)

**T** derives any number of **a** s followed by an equal number of **c** s. **U** derives any number of **c** s.

Follow-on question: do  $G_2$  or  $G_3^*$  work? (Are there strings that  $G_1$  derives but  $G_2$  or  $G_3$  do not? Are there strings that  $G_2$  or  $G_3$  derive but  $G_1$  does not?)

$G_2$ :

```
S -> ST
S -> aSb | ε
T -> cT | ε
```

$G_3$ :

```
S -> TS
S -> Sc | ε
T -> aTb | ε
```

**Problem:**

c) All strings (over  $\{a,b,c\}$ ) of the form  $a^i b^j c^j$ : any number of **a** s, followed by an equal number of **b** s and **c** s. For example, **abbcc**, **aabbcc**, and **aaaabbcc**, but not **aabbccc**.

**Solution:**

```
S -> TU
T -> aT | ε
U -> bUc | ε
```

**Problem:**

d) Give two distinct grammars that produce the strings described by the regular expression  $(ab)^*$ : empty, **ab**, **abab**, **ababab**, ....

**Solution:**

Any two of:

$G_1$ :

```
S -> abS | ε
```

$G_2$ :

```
S -> Sab | ε
```

$G_3$ :

```
S -> T
T -> abT | ε
```

G4:

```
S -> abT | ε
T -> abT | ε
```

G5:

```
S -> abT | ε
T -> abS | ε
```

G6:

```
S -> aT | ε
T -> bS
```

(Would G5 work if the final rule were  $T \rightarrow bS \mid \epsilon$ ?)

G7:

```
S -> AU | ε
T -> AU
U -> BT
A -> a
B -> b
```

(G7 is in Chomsky normal form.)

## 4. Ambiguous Grammars

Consider the grammar:

```
S --> a S | a S b S | ε
```

This grammar is ambiguous. Show in particular that the string  $a a b$  has two:

a. parse trees

**Solution:**



```
S -> a S   b S   # using derivation S -> a S b S
-> a S   b   # applying derivation S -> epsilon, to the rightmost S
-> a a S b   # applying derivation S -> a S, to the rightmost S
-> a a   b   # applying derivation S -> epsilon, to the rightmost S
```

Extra Credit/Challenge: Prove that this grammar generates all and only the strings of **a**s and **b**s such that every prefix has at least as many **a**s as **b**s. **Hint: Do the readings!**

## 5. [Optional] Play with Prolog

Read the page and download the sample files from [here](#).

Warning: your instructor was unable to get these to reliably run today, and some of the instructions are from memory of when it previously worked. Your mileage may vary.