

**Phylogenetic model of stabilizing selection is more  
informative about site specific selection than  
extrapolation from laboratory estimates.**

CEDRIC LANDERER<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, BRIAN C. OMEARA<sup>1,2</sup>, AND MICHAEL  
A. GILCHRIST<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1610

<sup>2</sup>National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, Knoxville, TN 37996-3410

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: cedric.landerer@gmail.com

Version dated: October 1, 2018

# Introduction

- Phylogenetic inference of sequence relationship was long focused on rates of substitutions.
  - Focus has shifted towards site specific equilibrium frequencies (HB98, Bloom2014, ...)in the last 20 years.
  - Such models however, tend to be not feasible as they are too parameter rich.
  - Inference of site specific selection on amino acids from laboratory experiments e.g. DMS is therefore appealing.
- Incorporation of external information on site specific selection on amino acids allows for the fitting more complex models.
  - This comes with a loss of generality as DMS experiments are limited to fast growing organisms that can be manipulated under laboratory conditions.
  - Strong artificial selection and very heterogeneous population with a lot of competing genotypes are a potential source of bias.
  - In the case of TEM, the application of only one very specific antibiotic is unlikely evolutionary history, may reflect modern hospital environments.
- In this study we will assess how adequate DMS inference of site specific selection on amino acids is using TEM and provide an alternative, more generally applicable solution.
  - Simulations under the DMS inferred site specific selection on amino acids show that we would not expect to observe the natural TEM variants; revealing the inadequacy of DMS.
  - We show that models fits achieved by the incorporation of DMS experiments can be improved upon using a hierarchical phylogenetic framework of stabilizing

selection, SelAC.

- We further show that extrapolation even between sequences (TEM and SHV) with related function can be inadequate.

## Results

- Model selection shows that DMS can improve phylogenetic inference.
  - phyDMS improved model fit to 49 TEM sequences by 142 log(likelihood) units
  - number of parameters comparable to GY94 and others despite complex description of fitness landscape thanks to experimental estimates.
- Lab inferences of selection (DMS) are inconsistent with natural sequence evolution.
  - The inferred fitness landscape does not reflect observed sequences.
    - \* The optimal amino acid sequence inferred by DMS only shows 49% sequence similarity with the observed sequences.
  - Observed sequences unlikely under the lab inferred fitness landscape.
    - \* We would expect about half of the observed fitness burden.
    - \* Sequence similarity is expected to be about  $\sim 70\%$ .
  - Estimates of selection coefficients do not represent natural evolution.
    - \* Due to artificial selection environment; Heterogeneous population, very large  $s$ .
    - \* Only one antibiotic used, maybe a mixture of antibiotics would better reflect natural evolution.
- SelAC better explains observed sequences than DMS and other models.
  - Model selection shows that SelAC outperforms phydms (only for AIC).

- Model adequacy shows that SelAC better represents the observed sequences.
- SelAC is a more general approach, applicable to all protein coding sequences.
  - Application of SelAC to TEM, site specific estimates of aa fitness.
    - \* most sites show the estimated optimal amino acid.
    - \* We find that selection against used amino acids is clustered and locally confined.
  - Comparison between TEM and SHV reveals that extrapolation is not always a good idea.
    - \* Site specific G terms for TEM and SHV are only weakly correlated ( $\rho = 0.17$ ), despite similar  $\alpha_G$ .
    - \* Greatest difference is observed in the physicochemical properties, specifically  $\alpha$ .

## Discussion