- 1 RH: LANDERER ET AL.— Estimating genetic load
- Estimating the genetic load of natural protein coding sequences using a phylogenetic framework.
- 4 Abstract
- 6 CEDRIC LANDERER^{1,2,*}, BRIAN C. OMEARA^{1,2}, AND MICHAEL
- $A. GILCHRIST^{1,2}$

- ⁸ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-
- 9 1610
- ²National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, Knoxville, TN 37996-3410
- *Corresponding author. E-mail: cedric.landerer@gmail.com

Version dated: August 29, 2018

Introduction

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- Genes evolve with natural selection favoring proteins that encode their function optimally.
 - To the extend at which the efficacy of selection becomes to weak and mutation and genetic drift pushes genes away from this optimum.
 - Genetic load is usually assessed relative to a predefined wild-type.
 - One can, however, assess genetic load relative to the genotype encoding a desired function most optimally.
 - However, this requires to assess the fitness of each genotype.
 - Previously, deep mutation scanning (DMS) experiments have been utilized to assess site specific amino acid fitness for a variety of proteins.
 - However, these experiments are limited to fast growing organisms that can be manipulated under laboratory conditions, and proteins where a specific selection pressure can be applied.
 - Furthermore, DMS experiments utilize prepared libraries containing each genotype (ignoring epistatis), causing extremely low effective population sizes.
 - Thus, while mutation does not play a role, genetic drift reduces the efficacy of selection dramatically making it necessary to apply extremely high selection pressures.
 - We utilize SelAC, a phylogenetic framework, to assess the genetic load of naturally occurring sequence variation on the species level.

- SelAC is a mechanistic phylogenetic model rooted in population genetics, and estimates site specific selection from sequence data.
- SelAC does not assume a uniform stationary amino acid distribution across sites, thus allowing it to estimate the optimal amino acid for each position given the available sequence data.
- Furthermore, SelAC is not limited to fast growing organisms that can be manipulated under laboratory conditions and thus applicable to the whole tree of life.
- We predict the site specific optimal amino acid from sequence alignments of TEM, a β-lactamasein E. coli and cytochrome b (CytB), a mitochondrial transmembrane protein in whales.
- We then assess the genetic load of naturally occurring sequences TEM and CytB relative to the predicted functionally optimal amino acid sequence.
- We compare our genetic load estimates for TEM to empirical DMS estimates and
 find an increase of genetic load.
- Furthermore, we will illustrate how the strength of selection varies along the analyzed proteins.

50 Results

53

- We predicted the functionally optimal amino acid at each site from the observed sequence variation using SelAC.
 - The observed TEM alignment shows a high percentage of homogeneous sites.
 - * 68% of sites had only one codon present.
 - * 75% of sites encoded the same amino acid.

- The observed CytB alignment shows a more codon heterogeneity but a similar homogeneity in amino acids.
 - * 22% of sites had only one codon present.
 - * 78% of sites encoded the same amino acid.

58

59

62

63

65

67

70

71

72

74

75

78

- We find that the predicted optimal amino acid sequence has high agreement with the observed consensus sequence of the alignment (TEM: 99%, CytB: 95%).
 - In contrast, the experimentally obtained sequence estimate only has an agreement
 of 49% with the observed TEM consensus sequence.
 - We assessed the genetic load of the observed sequences.
 - The find that the genetic load of TEM differs greatly depending on the optimal amino acid sequence.
 - * The genetic load of the observed sequences increases 3-20 fold when using the experimentally inferred optimal sequence compared to the SelAC inferred optimal sequence.
 - * Besides the great variation that arises from the usage of different optimal amino acid sequences, we also find variation within each optimal amino acid sequence.
 - * E.g. sN_e varies between ~ 0 to ~ -10 for the SelAC optimal sequence and between ~ -20 to ~ -27 for the optimal sequence obtained from the DMS experiment.
 - We do lack the ability to compare our estimates of genetic load for CytB as DMS
 experiment can not be performed on whales.
 - We find a higher genetic load and greater variation in sN_e for the CytB (not taken into account: sequences differ in length).
 - * sN_e varies between ~ -10 to ~ -35 .

• We are able to map variation in selection along the sequence and determine sites with higher contribution to genetic load.

83

85

86

87

88

89

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

- Increases in genetic load appear to be locally confined to a few regions among the TEM alignment but do nnot appear to be associated with any particular structural features.
- In contrast, CytB shows increased genetic load across it's whole sequence with a
 particularly strong increase in genetic load within the 5th transmembrane helix.
- Previous work highlighted the advantages of DMS experiments for phylogenetic inferences.
- However, our estimates of genetic load of observed TEM sequences show that natural sequences would actually represent a large genetic load.
 - The SelAC estimated optimal amino acid sequence outperformed the consensus sequence and the experimentally sequence.
 - A second model selection was performed using phydms as an independent comparison.
 - * Model selection revealed that the main advantage of the DMS experiment comes from the fact that the input alignment is not needed to estimate amino acid preferences.
 - * While the experimentally inferred optimal sequence does a worse job explaining the observed sequences, model selection reveals that the improvement in likelihood does not justify the increased number of parameters required to run phydms with the SelAC or the consensus amino acid preferences.

Discussion

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

- We demonstrate the inference of site specific selection from protein coding sequence
 data using phylogenetics.
- We estimate the genetic load of natural occurring proteins relative to an inferred optimal amino acid sequence.
- The optimal amino acid at each site was inferred from the observed proteins and their phylogenetic relationship.
 - In both cases, TEM and CytB, we find high agreement between the consensus sequence inferred by ignoring the phylogenetic relationship and the optimal sequence inferred using SelAC (TEM: 99%, CytB: 95%).
 - The strong agreement between consensus sequence and estimated optimal sequence for both proteins indicates that the phylogenetic relationship is of lesser importance.
 - This could be because the proteins are under stabilizing selection like the model assumes, because rate of shifts in the optimal amino acid sequence is low, or because not enough time has passed for shifts to occur, despite diversifying selection.
 - However, the used alignments contain a high amount of homogeneous sites (TEM: 75%, CytB: 78%), thus these sites do not allow for the inferred optimal amino acid to deviate from the observed consensus.
 - In contrast, the experimentally inferred optimal amino acid sequence for TEM only has 49% agreement with the observed consensus.
 - Assuming that this inferred sequence is free of any bias introduced by the experimental conditions, we could only come to the conclusion that the observed

- TEM sequences show either strong mal-adaptation or did not have enough time to evolve towards the optimal sequence.
- However, E. coli has a large effective population size, estimates are on the order of 10⁸ to 10⁹ (Ochman and Wilson 1987, Hartl et al 1994).
 - The large N_e would allow E. coli to effectively "explore" the sequence space.
 - On the other hand, each mutation in the library used for the DMS experiments starts of with only a few copies, potentially biasing the results due to string genetic drift.
 - The genetic load of the observed sequences was inferred relative to the optimal amino acid sequence estimated by SelAC.
 - Both, CytB and TEM show variation in the genetic load represented by each observed sequence, CytB represents a higher genetic load than TEM.
 - Most TEM sequences show a small genetic load, likely due to the high selection pressure on TEM due to it's usage in chemical warfare between microorganisms.
 - * If the experimental sequence is assumed to be most optimal, the observed TEM proteins represent a high genetic load to the organism.
 - * This is would be in conflict with a large effective population size and therefore high efficacy of selection.
 - * However, while this would make fixation unlikely, it would not be impossible.
 - * In addition, the experimental sequence was inferred based on small population sizes for each genotype and artificial selection pressure.
 - Genetic load varies across the sequence.

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

- For both proteins, variation of sN_e across the sequence is not associated with any particular structural features but mostly with variation in the alignment.

However, TEM shows increased genetic load near the binding site, and the highest genetic load is found in the last beta sheet of the protein.

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

- The genetic load is generally higher for CytB than for TEM, and like for TEM genetic load appears to increase around the binding sites.
 - However, for both proteins, increases in genetic load are not limited to the binding sites.
- DMS experiments have been incorporated into phylogenetic studies to supplement information on selection on amino acids.
 - In contrast, this study shows that information on selection can be extracted from alignments of protein coding sequences.
 - To no surprise, model selection clearly favored the optimal sequence inferred by SelAC when using SelAC, however, when using this sequence in phydms we find that the inferences from SelAC still explained the data better, but the increase in parameters did not merit the increase in likelihood.
 - This highlights the limitations of DMS sequences to explain natural evolution.