



Department of Computing and Informatics

CAPSTONE 2 GRADING SHEET FINAL DEFENSE

1st Semester, F.Y. 2025-2026

Group No.: **53**

Date: **November 11, 2025**

Members: **Curatchia, Darius Lanze E.**
Perez, Queency Jane V.
Reciendo, Angela Mae C.
Tarsona, Tristan Loyd P.

Title : **Cloud-Based Inventory and Service Management System for Canlubang Techno-Industrial Corporation**

The rating of each proponent per panel member shall be based on the following rubric for objective evaluation purposes:

Final Defense

Student's Name	Q & A (30%) – Individual Grade	Presentation (20%) - Group Grade	Content of Research Proposal (50%) – Group Grade	GRADE

Comments and Suggestions:

VERDICT: _____

There will be four possible verdicts after the Proposal . The verdict is a unanimous decision among the three members of the Oral Defense panel. Once issued, it is final and irrevocable.

APPROVED. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. 91 – 100
APPROVED WITH MINOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 86 – 90
APPROVED WITH MAJOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 60 – 85
DISAPPROVED / REDEFENSE. The Proponents/Researchers failed to propose a researchable or scholarly Research / Capstone Project. Below 60

NAME OF EVALUATOR: Jayvee Ryan F. Banal, MSc
 Date: November 11, 2025



Old Municipal Site, Brgy. VII, Poblacion, Calamba City, Laguna
 (049) 559-8900 to 8907 / (02) 8-5395-170 to 171
ccc.edu.ph





Department of Computing and Informatics

CAPSTONE 2 GRADING SHEET FINAL DEFENSE

1st Semester, F.Y. 2025-2026

Group No.: **53**

Date: **November 11, 2025**

Members: **Curatchia, Darius Lanze E.**
Perez, Queency Jane V.
Reciendo, Angela Mae C.
Tarsona, Tristan Loyd P.

Title : **Cloud-Based Inventory and Service Management System for Canlubang Techno-Industrial Corporation**

The rating of each proponent per panel member shall be based on the following rubric for objective evaluation purposes:

Final Defense

Student's Name	Q & A (30%) – Individual Grade	Presentation (20%) - Group Grade	Content of Research Proposal (50%) – Group Grade	GRADE

Comments and Suggestions:

VERDICT: _____

There will be four possible verdicts after the Proposal . The verdict is a unanimous decision among the three members of the Oral Defense panel. Once issued, it is final and irrevocable.

APPROVED. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. 91 – 100
APPROVED WITH MINOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 86 – 90
APPROVED WITH MAJOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 60 – 85
DISAPPROVED / REDEFENSE. The Proponents/Researchers failed to propose a researchable or scholarly Research / Capstone Project. Below 60

NAME OF EVALUATOR: **Regina G. Almonte, PhD**
 Date: **November 11, 2025**



Old Municipal Site, Brgy. VII, Poblacion, Calamba City, Laguna
 (049) 559-8900 to 8907 / (02) 8-5395-170 to 171
ccc.edu.ph





Department of Computing and Informatics

CAPSTONE 2 GRADING SHEET FINAL DEFENSE

1st Semester, F.Y. 2025-2026

Group No.: 53

Date: November 11, 2025

Members: Curatchia, Darius Lanze E.
Perez, Queency Jane V.
Reciendo, Angela Mae C.
Tarsona, Tristan Loyd P.

Title : Cloud-Based Inventory and Service Management System for Canlubang Techno-Industrial Corporation

The rating of each proponent per panel member shall be based on the following rubric for objective evaluation purposes:

Final Defense

Student's Name	Q & A (30%) – Individual Grade	Presentation (20%) - Group Grade	Content of Research Proposal (50%) – Group Grade	GRADE

Comments and Suggestions:

VERDICT: _____

There will be four possible verdicts after the Proposal . The verdict is a unanimous decision among the three members of the Oral Defense panel. Once issued, it is final and irrevocable.

APPROVED. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. 91 – 100
APPROVED WITH MINOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 86 – 90
APPROVED WITH MAJOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. 60 – 85
DISAPPROVED / REDEFENSE. The Proponents/Researchers failed to propose a researchable or scholarly Research / Capstone Project. Below 60

NAME OF EVALUATOR: Ms. Laurice M. Mariquina
 Date: November 11, 2025



Old Municipal Site, Brgy. VII, Poblacion, Calamba City, Laguna
 (049) 559-8900 to 8907 / (02) 8-5395-170 to 171
ccc.edu.ph

