

•

•

The idea is to
keep track of cost in
the dp array.

function modified-distance(ω_1, ω_2):

$m = \text{len}(\omega_1), n = \text{len}(\omega_2)$

dp = 2D array of $(m+1)(n+1)$

$dp[0][0] = 0$

for i from 1 to n , $dp[0][i] = dp[0][i-1] + 1$

All insert

All delete

if c_1 :

for $i = 1$ to m :

if adjacent, $dp[i][0] = dp[i-1][0] + 1$

else

$dp[i][0] = dp[i-1][0] + 2$

Filling the table

for $i = 1$ to m :

for $j = 1$ to n :

* if $char_1 == char_2$:

$dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1]$

$dp[i][j] = \min$

$dp[i-1][j] + 1 + \text{cost}$,

$dp[i][j-1] + \text{deleting-cost}$,

$dp[i][j-1] + \text{ins-cost}$ # else:

if same-letter-case-mismatch:

cost = 0.5

if adjacent: cost = 1

else cost = 2

if $\text{adj}(c_1, c_2) : \text{del_c} = 1$ (7)

else: del_c = 2

cost_insert = 3

return $dp[m][n]$.

Edt Distance

Distance between "network" and "worth":

	o	w	n	e	t	h	w	o	r	k
w	1	1	1	2	3	3	6	5	6	7
o	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	5
n	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	4	3	4
e	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5
t	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6

network

	o	w	n	e	t	h
o	0	1	2	3	4	5
n	1	1	2	3	4	5
e	2	2	2	3	4	6
t	3	3	3	3	4	4
w	4	3	4	4	4	4
o	5	4	3	4	5	5
r	6	5	4	3	6	5
k	7	6	5	6	6	6

work
wert
worth

(5)
(6)

[2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13] . no shift needed.

n
↓

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
0	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	
1	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F	F	E	F	F	F	F	
2	F	T	T	F	A	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	S	E	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	
3	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	E	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	
4	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F	F	
5	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	
6	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	A	A	T	T	T	I	I	I	T	T	T	T	T	T	
7	F	F	T	T	F	F	T	F	A	I	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	

dp[63][203] = True

(5)

Subset Sum

Given an array of integers, and a target T , design a method to verify if a subset sums to exactly T .

Strategy

- Keep dp array of subset size from 1 to n where - $dp[i][s] =$ Using first i items, we can form sum s . (boolean) · Initialize all to false.
- $S = \text{max possible} - \text{min possible sum among all in array}$.
- Base case: $dp[0][s] = \text{true}$. sum 0 possible with 0 items.
- Handle negative numbers through a shift.
- Shift = (minimum sum ~~not~~ possible from negative numbers).
- for i in range ($1, n+1$):
 for s in range (min-sum, max-sum):
 # skip current
 if $dp[i-1][s + \text{shift}]$:
 $dp[i][s + \text{shift}] = \text{true}$.

 # take current
 if $dp[i-1][s - \text{one}[i-1] + \text{shift}]$:
 $dp[i][s + \text{shift}] = \text{true}$

return $dp[n][\text{target} + \text{shift}]$. Note $\text{shift} = 0$ when all numbers are positive.

0/1/2 problem

for each item you can take 0, 1 or 2 copies

For standard knapsack, $dpc_{i3}(w) = \max(dpc_{i-1}(w),$
 $v_i + dpc_{i-1}(w - w_i))$

when we can take two copies max,

we have to choose a maximum of three cases

① Don't choose current (0) = $dpc_{i-1}(w)$

② Choose just one copy = $dpc_{i-1}(w - w_i) + v_i$

③ Choose two copies of current = $2v_i + dpc_{i-1}(w - 2w_i)$

pseudocode -

① Create 2D dp array - $dp[0..n][0..w]$.

② Fill up base cases with $dp[0][w]$ and
 $dp[n][0]$ for $w \neq 0$ and
and $n = 0$ to n

③ for $i = 1$ to n

for $w = 1$ to w :

$dpc_{i3}(w) = \max($

$dpc_{i-1}(w)$ # don't choose

$v_i + dpc_{i-1}(w - weight_i)$ # choose 1

$2 \times v_i + dpc_{i-1}(w - 2 \times weight_i)$ # choose 2 copy.

④ return $dpc_{n3}(w)$

⑤

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

(1)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Hence net total

$$\text{Wt } (6^{\text{th}} \text{ row}) = \underline{105}.$$