Predicting YouTube Comedy Slam Winners

STAT 222 Class 2013

Department of Statistics University of California, Berkeley

7 May 2013

YouTube Comedy Slam Data

- from UCI ML Repository; donated by Google employee
- **a** a trial is an ordered pair of video IDs (v_i, v_j)
- data: ordered pair + "left" or "right" found funnier by viewer
- order of the pair in each trial was random
- repository has training data and test data
- YouTube has metadata about videos
- no data about viewers

Goal

Predict which video in a pair will be funnier, from metadata

(Is it OK to use the video IDs in the prediction?)



Tools

- Github
- IPython notebook
- numpy, scipy, matplotlib, nltk, scikit-learn

Descriptive statistics of training data

- 912,969 records
- 18,474 distinct video IDs
- 267,211 distinct video ID pairs
- 359,874 distinct ordered pairs of video IDs
- right video won 51.77% of the time. *P*-value: nil
- judgments often discordant:
 e.g., '-iuk0PbfaHY wDx28Y2RcCl' left and right each "funnier"
 119 times
- accuracy of ideal classifier for training data: 73.449% (includes videos with no comments)
- for individual videos, directed graph of "funnier than"
- can summarize that graph by PageRank



PageRank

Assign each video ID (node) in the graph a numerical value between 0 to 1, known as its PageRank.

At
$$t=0$$
, $PR(p_i;0)=\frac{1}{N}$, N is the total number of nodes.
$$PR(p_i;t+1)=\frac{1-d}{N}+d\sum_{p_j\in M(p_i)}\frac{PR(p_i;t)}{L(p_i)}$$
 Algorithm ends when $|PR(t+1)-PR(t)|\leq \epsilon$ d is a damping factor, default 0.85 in scikit-learn.

Prediction using metadata: Feature selection

- Acquired metadata: queried YouTube with video IDs using Google APIs w/i Python
- Compute mutual information:

Variable	Mutual Info (bits)
avgerage rating	0.00227
number of views	0.00431
number of votes	0.00468
views per day	0.00382

- Logistic regression of log score of average rating, number of views and number of votes
- Comments more promising



Issues with comments

- Data Encoding: encoded the words to ASCII format and omit the garbled words
- Inconsistent Spellings
 - Correct Spelling: tried Norvig's spelling corrector, ported to AWS. (e.g.: "speling" → "spelling") drawback: not accurate on this corpus (e.g.: "youtube" → "couture", "lol" → "ll", "haha" → "hata")
 - Text-speak: used RegExp to standardize words

Patterns	As
lol, lolll, llol, lollololl	lol
ha, hahahh, ahaha, jhajha	ha

- Stemming: used Porter Stemmer from NLTK package
- Addressing Emoticons: used RegExps to replace happy faces (e.g., ":-]") with "happyface" before stripping other punctuation



Bags of Bags of Words

- ordered pair of videos reduced to two "bags of bags of words" each comment is a bag, each video has a bag of bags
- features derived from bags of bags:
 - presence of a word among video ids
 - frequency of comments with a given word
 - relative frequency of comments with a given word
 - logOdds of frequencies and relative frequencies
- another derived feature: PageRank predicted by linear regression using (among other things) arctan(difference in LOL counts)/ $(\pi/2)$

Models

Logistic regression with Log Bayes Factor

Binary Output (Left v.s. Right) as response variable log bayes factor of the two ordered ids and a constant term as features

Logistic regression Wenchang

Explain

CART

Explain

Page Rank

Binary Ouput and "LOL" Count as link's weight indicators Each node represents a video, if a video A is funnier than B, than a directed link with weight = # of A funnier than B + arctan (# of lol(A-B))/($\pi/2$) (Implemented arctan to shrink an integer to (0,1), small modification)

Features for Logistic Regression 1

Log Bayes Factors

- I determine whether video v_i won more than it lost, or vice versa. if v_i won more than lost, it's a "winner" if v_i lost more than won, it's a "loser"
- 2 for each word w, find: $\mathbb{P}_1(w) = \text{percentage of winner comments that contain } w$ $\mathbb{P}_0(w) = \text{percentage of loser comments that contain } w$ (pad to avoid zeros) (Only used comments of "significant" winners/losers)
- 3 derive new feature: $B(v) = \log Odds(v) = \sum_{w} m_w \log(\frac{\mathbb{P}_1(w)}{\mathbb{P}_0(w)}) + (n m_w) \log(\frac{1 \mathbb{P}_1(w)}{1 \mathbb{P}_0(w)})$

n: number of comments on video v m_w : number of comments on video v containing w.

Logistic Regression 1 with Log Bayes Factors

$$logit(\mathbb{P}) = X\beta$$

 $\mathbf{P} =$ Probability that the right video is funnier

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & B(v_{11}) & B(v_{12}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & B(v_{n1}) & B(v_{n1}) \end{pmatrix}$$



Logistic regression 2 Data preprocessing

- Clean comments: only keep meaningful words. nltk.tokenize, nltk.corpus
- Build Dictrionary: order words by frequency. (Top frequent words: like, love, lol,funni, video)
 Structure of dictionary, dict() and Set, set()
- Building X matrix blockwise: divide 20000 rows as a block and save all the blocks to disk.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \dots \\ X_B \end{pmatrix}$$

logistic regression 2

■ Model: treating high frequency (≥ 1500) words as features

Logit
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_m x_m = \beta^T X$$

- Logit Y: log odds of each ID pair, i.e $log(\frac{No.\ left\ funnier}{No.\ right\ funnier})$
- x_i : difference of appearence of word i in each ID pair.
- Size of matrix *X*: 359874 × 3392

$$X^T X = \sum_{b=1}^{Block \ No.} X_b^T X_b$$

$$X^TY = \sum_{b=1}^{Block No.} X_b^T Y_b$$



Regression Tree

Model

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k I(x \in R_k)$$

 R_k : partition of feature space. (still treat high frequency words as features)

■ By minimizing MSE, we get

$$\hat{c}_k = ave(y_i|x_i \in R_k)$$

■ How to find region R_k ?



Regression Tree

Greedy algorithm to find partitions

$$R_1(j,s) = \{X | x_j \le s\}$$
 and $R_2(j,s) = \{X | x_j > s\}$

Seek the splitting variable j and split point s by solving

$$\min_{j,s} \left[\min_{c_1} \sum_{x_i \in R_1(j,s)} (y_i - c_1)^2 + \min_{c_2} \sum_{x_i \in R_2(j,s)} (y_i - c_2)^2 \right]$$

Inner minimization is solved by

$$\hat{c}_1 = ave(y_i|x_i \in R_1(j,s)), \ \hat{c}_2 = ave(y_i|x_i \in R_2(j,s))$$

Scan through all of the inputs to determinate the best pair (j, s). Repeat the splitting process on each of the two regions.

Python package: mlpy.



Performance on the training set

Common criterion to measure performances

score= NumberofCorrectPrediction NumberofPredictablePairs

■ Logistic with bayes odds: 53.3%

The Test data

- 225,593 records
- 75,447 distinct ordered pairs of videos
- Accuracy of the ideal classifier: 0.6946 (excluding pairs with no comments)
- right video won: 51.62% of the time

Performance on test data

■ Bayes classifier from training data applied to test data: 52.3%

■ logistic regression: 52.17%

CART: 52.79%



Conclusions

- Quantitative metadata: not informative
- Comments:
 - Logistic Regression with Bayes Classifier
 - Logistic Regression with LogOdds
 - CART
 - PageRank
- Results
- Goal achieved?

Improvements

- Make better use of GitHub
- Having data that are of higher quality
- Incorporate personal preference in the dataset

Acknowledgement

Thanks to Philip, David and Aaron for the valuable help!