Measuring bias in (strategically) missing EPA pollution data

Aaron C Watt

December 2, 2021

Clean Air

► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- ▶ Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- ▶ Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- ▶ Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- ▶ Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- ► Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.
- ▶ NAAQS only requires each monitor to report 75% of their readings, per quarter.

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- ▶ Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.
- ▶ NAAQS only requires each monitor to report 75% of their readings, per quarter.
- ► EPA pollution monitors can be shut off for unreported reasons.

Clean Air

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.
- ▶ NAAQS only requires each monitor to report 75% of their readings, per quarter.
- ► EPA pollution monitors can be shut off for unreported reasons.

Research Questions

Clean Air

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.
- ▶ NAAQS only requires each monitor to report 75% of their readings, per quarter.
- ► EPA pollution monitors can be shut off for unreported reasons.

Research Questions

How biased is missing air pollution data from self-reporting US EPA monitors?

Clean Air

- ► The Clean Air Act (1970) established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for US counties.
- ▶ Binary standards: either "attainment" or "non-attainment"
- Air quality can vary significantly throughout a day, week, quarter.
- ▶ NAAQS only requires each monitor to report 75% of their readings, per quarter.
- ► EPA pollution monitors can be shut off for unreported reasons.

Research Questions

- How biased is missing air pollution data from self-reporting US EPA monitors?
- Does this bias significantly change NAAQS attainment status?

Previous Works

▶ Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021

- ▶ Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- ▶ Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017

- ▶ Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- ▶ Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- ▶ Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018

- Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018
- Prediction error is significant in satellite-based estimates of air pollution: Fowlie, Rubin, Walker 2019

Previous Works

- Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018
- Prediction error is significant in satellite-based estimates of air pollution: Fowlie, Rubin, Walker 2019

This project

Previous Works

- Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018
- Prediction error is significant in satellite-based estimates of air pollution: Fowlie,
 Rubin, Walker 2019

This project

Focus on EPA pollution data that is missing in time; limited to California.

Previous Works

- Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018
- Prediction error is significant in satellite-based estimates of air pollution: Fowlie, Rubin, Walker 2019

This project

- Focus on EPA pollution data that is missing in time; limited to California.
- Using new consumer-based pollution monitors to understand the bias in EPA data.

Previous Works

- Spotting Strategic Behavior in EPA Monitor Shutoffs: Mu et al. 2021
- Strategic decisions of monitor locations: Grainger et al. 2017
- Using satellite data to fill gaps in air pollution monitoring: Sullivan, Krupnick 2018
- Prediction error is significant in satellite-based estimates of air pollution: Fowlie, Rubin, Walker 2019

This project

- Focus on EPA pollution data that is missing in time; limited to California.
- ▶ Using new consumer-based pollution monitors to understand the bias in EPA data.
- Avoids using satellite estimates (has been shown to have significant error).

Purple Air Monitors

[insert maps of California EPA and PA monitors, timelaps GIF? Timeline of adoption] [insert pictures of PA outdoor monitors]

Models

1. Predict EPA pollution at missing times

Models

- 1. Predict EPA pollution at missing times
- 2. Estimate bias between predicted pollution at missing times and reported pollution at nonmissing times.

Models

- 1. Predict EPA pollution at missing times
- 2. Estimate bias between predicted pollution at missing times and reported pollution at nonmissing times.
- 3. Estimate California counties' counterfactual attainment status using included predicted missing pollution data.

$$\textit{EPA}_{i,t} = \gamma_{i,0} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k=1}^{7} \gamma_{j,k} \textit{PA}_{j,t} + u_{i,t}$$

Analysis done at the month and quarter level; suppressing that subscript.

$$EPA_{i,t} = \gamma_{i,0} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k=1}^{7} \gamma_{j,k} PA_{j,t} + u_{i,t}$$

- Analysis done at the month and quarter level; suppressing that subscript.
- t is a unique hour within a given month or quarter.

$$EPA_{i,t} = \gamma_{i,0} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k=1}^{7} \gamma_{j,k} PA_{j,t} + u_{i,t}$$

- Analysis done at the month and quarter level; suppressing that subscript.
- t is a unique hour within a given month or quarter.
- ▶ EPA monitor i at time t reads PM2.5 pollution $EPA_{i,t}$.

$$EPA_{i,t} = \gamma_{i,0} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k=1}^{7} \gamma_{j,k} PA_{j,t} + u_{i,t}$$

- Analysis done at the month and quarter level; suppressing that subscript.
- t is a unique hour within a given month or quarter.
- EPA monitor i at time t reads PM2.5 pollution EPA_{i,t}.
- For each EPA monitor i, there are J_i Purple Air monitors within a 10-mile radius. [insert diagram of two EPA monitors with PA monitors surrounding them]

$$\textit{EPA}_{i,t} = \gamma_{i,0} + \sum_{j \in J_i} \sum_{k=1}^{7} \gamma_{j,k} \textit{PA}_{j,t} + \textit{u}_{i,t}$$

- Analysis done at the month and quarter level; suppressing that subscript.
- t is a unique hour within a given month or quarter.
- ▶ EPA monitor i at time t reads PM2.5 pollution $EPA_{i,t}$.
- For each EPA monitor i, there are J_i Purple Air monitors within a 10-mile radius. [insert diagram of two EPA monitors with PA monitors surrounding them]
- Purple Air monitor $j \in J_i$ at time t reads PM2.5 pollution $PA_{j,t}$. [insert diagram of one EPA monitor and surrounding PA monitors, with wind directions]

Models: Hour-by-Day-of-week Bias of Missing EPA Monitor Pollution Data

Missingness Bias:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Bias}_{i,h,d} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}} \textit{EPA}_{i,t} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}_{h,d}} \widehat{\textit{EPA}}_{i,t} \\ \text{where } \mathcal{M}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Missing}\}; \\ \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Non-missing}\} \end{aligned}$$

Models: Hour-by-Day-of-week Bias of Missing EPA Monitor Pollution Data

Missingness Bias:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Bias}_{i,h,d} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}} \textit{EPA}_{i,t} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}_{h,d}} \widehat{\textit{EPA}}_{i,t} \\ \text{where } \mathcal{M}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Missing}\}; \\ \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Non-missing}\} \end{aligned}$$

We can also define the algorithm bias as the Hour-by-Day-of-week prediction error

$$\widetilde{\textit{Bias}}_{i,h,d} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}} \textit{EPA}_{i,t} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}_{h,d}} \widehat{\textit{EPA}}_{i,t}$$

Models: Hour-by-Day-of-week Bias of Missing EPA Monitor Pollution Data

Missingness Bias:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Bias}_{i,h,d} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}} \textit{EPA}_{i,t} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}_{h,d}} \widehat{\textit{EPA}}_{i,t} \\ \text{where } \mathcal{M}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Missing}\}; \\ \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d} &= \{t:t \text{ is at hour } h \text{ and day } d \text{ and } \textit{EPA}_{i,t} \text{ is Non-missing}\} \end{aligned}$$

We can also define the algorithm bias as the Hour-by-Day-of-week prediction error

$$\widetilde{\textit{Bias}}_{i,h,d} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{i,h,d}} \textit{EPA}_{i,t} - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{h,d}|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}_{h,d}} \widehat{\textit{EPA}}_{i,t}$$

We can also define $Bias_{j,h,d}$ and $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for PA monitor j (we'll come back to this).

Models: County Attainment Status

```
Attain_{s}^{annual} = 1 if reported annual average PM2.5 below threshold*
                 =1[equation here]
  Attain<sup>daily</sup> = 1 if 98<sup>th</sup> percentile of reported daily average PM2.5 below threshold*
                 =1[equation here]
\widehat{\textit{Attain}}^{\textit{annual}}_{c} = 1 if \textit{predicted} annual average PM2.5 below threshold*
  \widehat{\textit{Attain}}_{c}^{\textit{daily}} = 1 \text{ if } 98^{\textit{th}} \text{ percentile of } \textbf{predicted} \text{ daily average PM2.5 below threshold}^*
```

*averaged over 3 years in NAAQS standard. [fill in equations and thresholds]

Identification Strategy

▶ Direct causal link between reported pollution levels, attainment status, and regulatory penalties / attainment requirements

Identification Strategy

- ▶ Direct causal link between reported pollution levels, attainment status, and regulatory penalties / attainment requirements
- Assumption: nearby PurpleAir monitors that are good predictors for EPA monitors during non-missing times will also be good predictors during missing times.

Identification Strategy

- Direct causal link between reported pollution levels, attainment status, and regulatory penalties / attainment requirements
- Assumption: nearby PurpleAir monitors that are good predictors for EPA monitors during non-missing times will also be good predictors during missing times.
 - Specifically, reasons for EPA data missingness are not correlated with missingness or measurement error in PurpleAir data

Proposed Statistical Test

▶ The J_i group of PurpleAir sensors is (in a sense) a synthetic control for the EPA sensor i.

Proposed Statistical Test

- The J_i group of PurpleAir sensors is (in a sense) a synthetic control for the EPA sensor i.
- ▶ The question of bias can be stated: are the data observed during the times when the EPA monitor is turned off significantly different from the data observed when the monitor is turned on? Is it more different than by random chance?

Proposed Statistical Test

- ▶ The J_i group of PurpleAir sensors is (in a sense) a synthetic control for the EPA sensor i.
- ▶ The question of bias can be stated: are the data observed during the times when the EPA monitor is turned off significantly different from the data observed when the monitor is turned on? Is it more different than by random chance?
- ► Implies an Abadie et al. 2011 style permutation inference test for each EPA monitor *i*.

▶ Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor

- ▶ Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.

- ▶ Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).

- ▶ Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- ightharpoonup Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: $Bias_{j,h,d}$

- ► Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: Bias_{i,h,d}
- Repeat for all PA sensors.

- ► Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: Bias_{j,h,d}
- Repeat for all PA sensors.
- ▶ **Graphical test**: For EPA sensor i, compare graph of $Bias_{i,h,d}$ to placebo $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for $j \in J_i$.

- ▶ Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: Bias_{j,h,d}
- Repeat for all PA sensors.
- ▶ **Graphical test**: For EPA sensor i, compare graph of $Bias_{i,h,d}$ to placebo $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for $j \in J_i$.
- Permutation inference p-value:

- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: Bias_{j,h,d}
- Repeat for all PA sensors.
- ▶ **Graphical test**: For EPA sensor i, compare graph of $Bias_{i,h,d}$ to placebo $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for $j \in J_i$.
- Permutation inference p-value:
 - Calculate sum of squared missingness bias and sum of squared algorithm bias for EPA sensor i and PA sensors $j \in J_i$.

- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: Bias_{j,h,d}
- Repeat for all PA sensors.
- ▶ **Graphical test**: For EPA sensor i, compare graph of $Bias_{i,h,d}$ to placebo $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for $j \in J_i$.
- Permutation inference p-value:
 - Calculate sum of squared missingness bias and sum of squared algorithm bias for EPA sensor i and PA sensors $j \in J_i$.
 - ightharpoonup Ratio_k = sum of squared missingness bias / sum of squared algorithm bias

- Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the EPA monitor
- Pick PA monitor $j \in J_i$, temporarily remove data for original hours missing from EPA monitor $(\mathcal{M}_{i,h,d})$.
- ▶ Construct similar placebo synthetic control for PA monitor j (predict $\widehat{PA}_{j,t}$).
- ightharpoonup Calculate missingness bias and algorithm bias for the PA monitor: $Bias_{j,h,d}$
- Repeat for all PA sensors.
- ▶ **Graphical test**: For EPA sensor i, compare graph of $Bias_{i,h,d}$ to placebo $Bias_{j,h,d}$ for $j \in J_i$.
- Permutation inference p-value:
 - Calculate sum of squared missingness bias and sum of squared algorithm bias for EPA sensor i and PA sensors $j \in J_i$.
 - ightharpoonup Ratio_k = sum of squared missingness bias / sum of squared algorithm bias
 - $p\text{-value} = \frac{\text{\# of PA sensors in } i'\text{s radius with Ratio}_i \text{ larger than Ratio}_i}{\text{\# of PA sensors in } i'\text{s radius}}$

Extensions

▶ Welfare analysis based on attainment status changes and required reductions in pollution.

Extensions

- ▶ Welfare analysis based on attainment status changes and required reductions in pollution.
- Comparing county population-weighted PM2.5 pollution to EPA sensors to estimate location-based bias.

Appendix A: PurpleAir monitor correction factor

Figure 1: PurpleAir correction equation for EPA monitor PM2.5 (RH = relative humidity, also measured by PA monitor)

Datasets

Datasets

► Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)

Datasets

- ► Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)
- ➤ 2-minute PM2.5 Pollution data from California PurpleAir sensors, hourly averages taken

Datasets

- ► Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)
- ➤ 2-minute PM2.5 Pollution data from California PurpleAir sensors, hourly averages taken

Deadlines

Datasets

- ▶ Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)
- ➤ 2-minute PM2.5 Pollution data from California PurpleAir sensors, hourly averages taken

Deadlines

Dec. 5: PurpleAir is downloading/averaging on 4 AWS tiny linux instances, sending CSVs to S3 bucket

Datasets

- ▶ Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)
- ➤ 2-minute PM2.5 Pollution data from California PurpleAir sensors, hourly averages taken

Deadlines

- Dec. 5: PurpleAir is downloading/averaging on 4 AWS tiny linux instances, sending CSVs to S3 bucket
- Dec. 12: Proof of concept for 2 EPA sensors (Fresno, and [need to pick another low on Mu's list])

Datasets

- ▶ Hourly PM2.5 Pollution data from California EPA pollution monitors (2015-2020)
- ▶ 2-minute PM2.5 Pollution data from California PurpleAir sensors, hourly averages taken

Deadlines

- Dec. 5: PurpleAir is downloading/averaging on 4 AWS tiny linux instances, sending CSVs to S3 bucket
- Dec. 12: Proof of concept for 2 EPA sensors (Fresno, and [need to pick another low on Mu's list])
- Dec. 19: Data warehouse setup and transfer of existing Purple Air data

Data Wearhouse

Data Wearhouse

 AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)

Data Wearhouse

- AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)
- Python pushes and pulls

Data Wearhouse

- AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)
- Python pushes and pulls

Storage costs

Data Wearhouse

- AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)
- Python pushes and pulls

Storage costs

ightharpoonup ~ 30,000 sensors, 50 variables, 2 minute intervals, 5 years of data = 107.55 Terabytes

Data Wearhouse

- AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)
- Python pushes and pulls

Storage costs

- ightharpoonup ~ 30,000 sensors, 50 variables, 2 minute intervals, 5 years of data = 107.55 Terabytes
- ▶ Depending on method of storage: \$2,900 \$13,300 per month

Data Wearhouse

- AWS Linux Cassandra database (noSQL columnar, designed for large queries of columns)
- Python pushes and pulls

Storage costs

- \sim 30,000 sensors, 50 variables, 2 minute intervals, 5 years of data = 107.55 Terabytes
- ▶ Depending on method of storage: \$2,900 \$13,300 per month
- ▶ Only storing hourly means and SD: \$4 \$15 per month