

# Tight-binding models of Floquet quantum Hall effect

M. Tahir and Hua Chen

## 1 Introduction

In this note we present details of how to set up the tight-binding models for Floquet quantum Hall effect.

## 2 General framework of Floquet theory

In this section we review the basic results of the Floquet theory and how to recast it into a matrix diagonalization problem. The discussion in this section is mostly following [1].

For a time-periodic Hamiltonian  $H(t) = H(t + T)$  with period  $T$ , the time evolution of a wavefunction governed by it is described by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(t) = H(t)\psi(t). \quad (1)$$

Floquet theorem states that  $\psi(t)$  must satisfy

$$\psi(t + T) = \psi(t)e^{-i\frac{\epsilon T}{\hbar}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a real number of energy units, or equivalently

$$\psi(t) = e^{-i\frac{\epsilon t}{\hbar}}u_\epsilon(t), \quad (3)$$

where  $u_\epsilon(t) = u_\epsilon(t + T)$ .

Here we give a proof that is closely analogous to that of the Bloch theorem, based on plane wave expansion. An arbitrary wavefunction can be expanded into plane waves

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\epsilon} c_{\epsilon} e^{-i\frac{\epsilon t}{\hbar}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , while a time-periodic function  $H(t)$  can only be written as a discrete Fourier series

$$H(t) = \sum_n H_n e^{in\omega t}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega = 2\pi/T$ , and  $H_n = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T H(t) e^{-in\omega t} dt$ . Substituting the two expansions above into Eq. 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\epsilon} \left[ \sum_n H_n e^{-i \frac{(\epsilon - n\hbar\omega)t}{\hbar}} c_{\epsilon} - \epsilon c_{\epsilon} e^{-i \frac{\epsilon t}{\hbar}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon} \left[ \sum_n H_n c_{\epsilon + n\hbar\omega} - \epsilon c_{\epsilon} \right] e^{-i \frac{\epsilon t}{\hbar}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which leads to

$$\sum_n H_n c_{\epsilon + n\hbar\omega} - \epsilon c_{\epsilon} = 0. \quad (7)$$

For an arbitrary  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  we can define  $\tilde{\epsilon} \in [-\hbar\omega/2, \hbar\omega/2)$  so that  $\epsilon = \tilde{\epsilon} + m\hbar\omega$ . It is apparent that Eq. 7 only couples  $c_{\tilde{\epsilon} + m\hbar\omega}$  belonging to the same  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ . We thus define

$$c_{\tilde{\epsilon} + m\hbar\omega} \equiv c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (8)$$

so that Eq. 7 becomes a set of coupled equations for  $c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\sum_n (H_n - m\hbar\omega \delta_{n0}) c_{m+n, \tilde{\epsilon}} = \tilde{\epsilon} c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}}. \quad (9)$$

Eq. 7 is the eigenvalue problem of the infinite-dimensional matrix  $\bar{Q}$  with the matrix elements

$$\bar{Q}_{m, m+n} = H_n - m\hbar\omega \delta_{n0}, \quad (10)$$

which is also the quasienergy operator in [1]. In practice the number of eigenvalues  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is determined by the dimension of  $H(t)$ . The solutions of Eq. 1 are therefore

$$\psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t) = \sum_m c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}} e^{-i \frac{(\tilde{\epsilon} + m\hbar\omega)t}{\hbar}} = e^{-i \frac{\tilde{\epsilon} t}{\hbar}} \sum_m c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}} e^{-im\omega t} \equiv e^{-i \frac{\tilde{\epsilon} t}{\hbar}} u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t). \quad (11)$$

The proof above also gives a useful device for calculating the Floquet states  $\psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t)$  based on plane wave expansion. In general  $H_n$  can be a complicated operator depending on, e.g. position, spin, etc., and  $c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}}$  is a function depending on these quantum numbers. One can choose a representation that makes  $H_0$  diagonal, such as the Bloch representation, leading to the eigenvalues  $\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}$  of the time-averaged Hamiltonian ( $H_0$ ). When  $H_n$  is 0 for all  $n \neq 0$ , we have  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - m\hbar\omega$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . When  $H_n$  is nonzero for any  $n \neq 0$  there is in general no simple relationship between  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  and  $\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}$ . Nonetheless, when  $H_n$ ,  $n \neq 0$  can be viewed as perturbation the spectrum of  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is similar to that of  $\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - m\hbar\omega$ , i.e., the eigenenergies  $\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}$  together with infinite number of its copies shifted vertically by  $m\hbar\omega$ .

The importance of  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  is that it completely determines the stroboscopic motion of an arbitrary Floquet wavefunction, i.e.,

$$\psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t + mT) = e^{-i \frac{\tilde{\epsilon} mT}{\hbar}} \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (12)$$

Since  $\{\psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t)\}$  is a complete set at time  $t$ , the stroboscopic evolution of an arbitrary wavefunction governed by  $H(t)$  is

$$\Psi(t + mT) = \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} C_{\tilde{\epsilon}} e^{-i \frac{\tilde{\epsilon} mT}{\hbar}} \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t), \quad (13)$$

where  $\Psi(t) = \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} C_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t)$ . The full time-evolution operator  $\hat{U}(t_1, t_0)$  is therefore

$$\hat{U}(t_1, t_0) = \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} |\psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_1)\rangle \langle \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0)| = \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} |u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_1)\rangle \langle u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0)| e^{-i \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}(t_1 - t_0)}{\hbar}}. \quad (14)$$

Now we introduce two operators

$$\hat{U}^F(t_1, t_0) \equiv \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} |u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_1)\rangle \langle u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0)|, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{t_0}^F \equiv \sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}} |u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0)\rangle \tilde{\epsilon} \langle u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0)|, \quad (16)$$

which allows us to rewrite Eq. 14 as

$$\hat{U}(t_1, t_0) = \hat{U}_F(t_1, t_0) \exp \left[ -i \frac{(t_1 - t_0) \hat{H}_{t_0}^F}{\hbar} \right] = \exp \left[ -i \frac{(t_1 - t_0) \hat{H}_{t_1}^F}{\hbar} \right] \hat{U}_F(t_1, t_0). \quad (17)$$

Namely, the full time evolution is separated into two parts:  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F$  governs the stroboscopic evolution *with the starting time*  $t_0$ , since

$$\exp \left[ -i \frac{mT \hat{H}_{t_0}^F}{\hbar} \right] \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0) = e^{-i \frac{mT \tilde{\epsilon}}{\hbar}} \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0) = \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_0 + mT), \quad (18)$$

while  $\hat{U}_F(t_1, t_0)$  evolves the periodic part of the Floquet wavefunctions.  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F$  and  $\hat{U}_F(t_1, t_0)$  are respectively called the Floquet Hamiltonian and the micromotion operator.

The most unsettling property of  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F$  is its dependence on  $t_0$ . To get rid of it we note that Eq. 11 implies

$$|u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t)\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \left( \sum_m c_{m\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\alpha} e^{-im\omega t} \right) |\alpha\rangle \equiv \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle U_{\alpha, \tilde{\epsilon}}(t), \quad (19)$$

where the time-independent basis  $|\alpha\rangle$  spans the Hilbert space of  $H(t)$ , and  $U(t)$  is a time-dependent unitary matrix satisfying  $U(t+T) = U(t)$ . Substituting this  $|u_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t)\rangle$  into Eq. 1 gives

$$\text{Diag}[\{\tilde{\epsilon}\}] = U^\dagger H(t) U - i\hbar U^\dagger \partial_t U = U^\dagger \bar{Q} U, \quad (20)$$

where  $\text{Diag}[\{\tilde{\epsilon}\}]$  is a diagonal matrix with its eigenvalues being  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ . Comparing this with the effect of a time-dependent unitary transformation of the wavefunction  $\psi' = U^\dagger \psi$  in the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi' = (U^\dagger H U - i\hbar U^\dagger \partial_t U) \psi' \equiv H' \psi', \quad (21)$$

we can see that  $U$  essentially transforms  $H(t)$  to an effective Hamiltonian  $H' = U^\dagger \bar{Q} U$  which is time independent. The time evolution of  $\psi$  can thus obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t_1) &= U(t_1) \psi'(t_1) = U(t_1) \exp \left[ -i \frac{H'(t_1 - t_0)}{\hbar} \right] \psi'(t_0) \\ &= U(t_1) \exp \left[ -i \frac{H'(t_1 - t_0)}{\hbar} \right] U^\dagger(t_0) \psi(t_0) \\ &= \hat{U}(t_1, t_0) \psi(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We therefore define

$$\hat{H}_F \equiv U^\dagger \bar{Q} U = H' \quad (23)$$

as the Floquet effective Hamiltonian, which gives the time-evolution operator

$$\hat{U}(t_1, t_0) = U(t_1) \exp \left[ -i \frac{\hat{H}_F(t_1 - t_0)}{\hbar} \right] U^\dagger(t_0). \quad (24)$$

Intuitively, this means that the time evolution is obtained by first doing a gauge transformation to the time-independent gauge, evolving the system, and finally gauge-transforming back to the original gauge.

Although we have been assuming that  $U(t)$  diagonalizes  $\bar{Q}$ , this is not necessary. Any time-independent unitary transformation multiplied to  $U(t)$  can still make  $\hat{H}_F$  time independent. To make connection between the  $t_0$  dependent Floquet Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F$  in Eq. 16 and the effective Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_F$ , we use a minimal  $U(t)$  that is independent of the basis of  $\hat{H}(t)$ :

$$U_F(t) = \sum_m c_m e^{-im\omega t}, \quad (25)$$

which is a time-dependent scalar function. In the matrix form of  $\bar{Q}$ , this  $U_F(t)$  block-diagonalizes  $\bar{Q}$ . All the diagonal blocks have the form  $H_F - m\hbar\omega \mathbb{1}$ . Here we removed the hat of  $H_F$  to indicate that it is a matrix written in certain representation instead of an operator. In this particular representation or gauge,  $|\alpha(t)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle U_F(t)$ . We thus have

$$\hat{H}_{t_0}^F = \sum_{\bar{\epsilon}} |u_{\bar{\epsilon}}(t_0)\rangle \bar{\epsilon} \langle u_{\bar{\epsilon}}(t_0)| = \sum_{\alpha\beta} U_F(t_0) |\alpha\rangle (H_F)_{\alpha\beta} \langle\beta| U_F^\dagger(t_0). \quad (26)$$

Or loosely speaking  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F = U_F(t_0) \hat{H}_F U_F^\dagger(t_0)$ . Therefore the  $t_0$  dependence in  $\hat{H}_{t_0}^F$  is only due to a gauge transformation and is not physical. The complete information of time evolution can be obtained from  $H_F$  and  $U_F$  according to Eq. 24.

In practice, to obtain the quasienergy spectrum or  $H_F$  we simply start from the eigenvalue problem Eq. 7 for  $\bar{Q} \equiv \bar{H} + \bar{Q}_0$ , where  $\bar{H}_{m,m+n} = H_n$  and  $(\bar{Q}_0)_{m,m+n} = -m\hbar\omega\delta_{n0}$ . We can either use perturbation theory and treat  $\bar{H}$  as perturbation, which is accurate in the high-frequency limit, or directly diagonalize  $\bar{Q}$  with a large enough cutoff. The first several terms in the perturbation series of  $H_F$  are given in Eqs. 86-89 in [1] ( $m$  there should be  $-m$  in our notation).

### 3 Including a spatially and temporally varying vector potential in a tight-binding model

In this section we discuss how to include a spatially and temporally varying vector potential in a tight-binding model and to set up the matrix of  $\bar{Q}$  for numerical diagonalization.

A tight-binding Hamiltonian is in general written as a polynomial of creation and annihilation operators of Wannier states, denoted by  $a_{i,s}^\dagger$  and  $a_{i,s}$ , where  $i$  labels sites, and  $s$  labels internal degrees of freedom. Assume that the external electromagnetic fields represented by a vector potential  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$

vary smoothly in space and time, the fields can be included in the tight-binding model through a Peierls phase

$$a_{i,s}^\dagger \rightarrow a_{i,s}^\dagger \exp \left[ -i \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{r}_i} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d\mathbf{l} \right], \quad (27)$$

which leads to a change of the hopping term

$$t_{ij,ss'} a_{i,s}^\dagger a_{j,s'} \rightarrow t_{ij,ss'} \exp \left[ -i \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{\mathbf{r}_j}^{\mathbf{r}_i} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d\mathbf{l} \right] a_{i,s}^\dagger a_{j,s'} \equiv \tilde{t}_{ij,ss'} a_{i,s}^\dagger a_{j,s'}. \quad (28)$$

The phase factor is path dependent. This is not a problem if the spatial variation of  $\mathbf{A}$  is smooth on the lattice scale. In the limit of smooth variation we can approximate  $\tilde{t}_{ij,ss'}$  by

$$\tilde{t}_{ij,ss'} \approx t_{ij,ss'} \exp \left[ -i \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ij} \right] \equiv t_{ij,ss'} e^{-i\phi_{ij}(t)}, \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} \equiv (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j)/2$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_{ij} \equiv \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ . Eq. 29 is the main result to be used in the next section.

## 4 Tight-binding models

In this section we give two tight-binding models of the Floquet quantum Hall effect, respectively for Schrödinger and Dirac electrons.

### 4.1 Schrödinger electron

We consider a nearest-neighbor single-orbital tight-binding model on a square lattice

$$H_S = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} c_i^\dagger c_j + \text{h.c.} \quad (30)$$

where  $\langle ij \rangle$  means sites  $i, j$  are nearest neighbors.  $t > 0$ . We assume the lattice constant is  $a$  and the lattice sites have coordinates

$$\mathbf{r}_i = x_i a \hat{x} + y_i a \hat{y}, \quad x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (31)$$

In the case that the system is infinite in both directions one can Fourier transform the Hamiltonian and obtain the eigenenergy  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -4t \cos(k_x a + k_y a)$ , with  $k_x, k_y \in [-\pi/a, \pi/a]$ . For long wavelength  $|\mathbf{k}| \ll 1/a$  we have  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \approx 2ta^2 k^2 - 4t$ , same as that of a Schrödinger electron with mass  $m = \hbar^2/(4ta^2)$ .

To get the Floquet QHE effect we consider a vector potential due to two linearly polarize light

$$\mathbf{E}_1 = E \cos(\omega t) \hat{x}, \quad \mathbf{E}_2 = E \cos(Kx) \sin(\omega t) \hat{y}, \quad (32)$$

which is

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = -\frac{E}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \hat{x} + \frac{E}{\omega} \cos(Kx) \cos(\omega t) \hat{y}. \quad (33)$$

The hopping term ( $t_{i,j}$ ) in this case is

$$t_{i,j} = -\exp \left[ -\frac{ie}{\hbar} \mathbf{A} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j}{2}, t \right) \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ij} \right]. \quad (34)$$

With the help of vector potential, above equation can be written as

$$t_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -\exp \left[ \mp \frac{ie}{\hbar} \left( -\frac{Ea}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \right) \right] \equiv \exp [\pm i\theta], & \text{if } \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i = \pm a\hat{x} \\ -\exp \left[ \mp \frac{ie}{\hbar} \left( \frac{Ea}{\omega} \cos(Kx_i) \cos(\omega t) \right) \right] \equiv \exp [\pm i\phi_x], & \text{if } \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i = \pm a\hat{y} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

where we have

$$\phi_x = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \left( \frac{Ea}{\omega} \cos(Kx_i) \cos(\omega t) \right), \theta = \frac{e}{\hbar} \left( \frac{Ea}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \right), \phi_0 = \frac{eEa}{\hbar\omega} \quad (36)$$

The Hamiltonian is written ( $\mathbf{r}_i = x_i a \hat{x} + y_i a \hat{y}$ ) as

$$H_S^F = \sum_x \sum_y \left[ C_{x,y}^\dagger C_{x,y+a} \exp[i\phi_x] + C_{x,y}^\dagger C_{x,y-a} \exp[-i\phi_x] \right] \\ + \sum_x \sum_y \left[ C_{x,y}^\dagger C_{x+a,y} \exp[+i\theta] + C_{x,y}^\dagger C_{x-a,y} \exp[-i\theta] \right] \quad (37)$$

Using eigenstates of the form

$$C_{x,y}^\dagger = \sum_k e^{iky} C_{x,k}^\dagger, \quad (38)$$

For fixed  $k$ , we arrive at

$$H_S^F(k) = \sum_x \left[ 2 \cos[\phi_x - ka] C_{x,k}^\dagger C_{x,k} + C_{x,k}^\dagger C_{x+a,k} \exp[+i\theta] + C_{x,k}^\dagger C_{x-a,k} \exp[-i\theta] \right] \quad (39)$$

Now in terms of  $x = ja$ , above equation can be written as

$$H_{j,j}(k) = -2 \cos \left[ \frac{e}{\hbar} \frac{Ea}{\omega} \cos(Kaj) \cos(\omega t) + ka \right] \quad (40) \\ H_{j,j+1}(k) = -\exp \left[ i \frac{e}{\hbar} \left( \frac{Ea}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \right) \right] \\ H_{j,j-1}(k) = -\exp \left[ -i \frac{e}{\hbar} \left( \frac{Ea}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \right) \right]$$

Now we need to perform time Fourier transform of above equation as

$$H_{j,j,n} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T H_{j,j}(k) e^{-in\omega t} dt \quad (41)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2 \cos [\phi_0 \cos(Kaj) \cos(\tau) + ka] e^{-in\tau} d\tau \quad (42)$$

we have used the property of the Bessel function

$$J_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix \sin \tau - in\tau} d\tau \implies \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix \cos \tau - in\tau} d\tau = J_n(x) e^{\frac{in\pi}{2}} \quad (43)$$

and the fact that  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + \pi/2$ ;  $\sin \tau = \sin \tau + \pi/2 = \cos \tau$ . Therefore, we arrive at

$$H_{j,j,n} = - \left[ J_n(\phi_0 \cos(Kaj)) e^{ika} + J_n(-\phi_0 \cos(Kaj)) e^{-ika} \right] e^{\frac{in\pi}{2}} \quad (44)$$

similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,j+1,n} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\phi_0 \sin \tau - in\tau} d\tau = -J_n(\phi_0) \\ H_{j,j-1,n} &= -J_n(-\phi_0) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

We can now construct the matrix of  $\bar{Q}$ . To this end we choose a cutoff for  $m$  ( $m\hbar\omega$  in the diagonal blocks):

$$|m| \leq m_c, \quad (46)$$

where  $m_c$  is a positive integer. This means that there are  $N_m = 2m_c + 1$  diagonal blocks, and each block is a  $N_S \times N_S$  matrix. Therefore  $\bar{Q}$  is a  $N_m N_S \times N_m N_S$  matrix. Each  $N_S \times N_S$  block, labeled by  $\bar{Q}_{m,m+n}$ , is

$$\bar{Q}_{m,m+n} = H_S^F(k, n) - m\hbar\omega \delta_{n0} \mathbb{1}_{N_S \times N_S}, \quad (47)$$

where the  $N_S \times N_S$  matrix  $H_n$  has matrix elements shown as

$$H_S^F(k, n) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T H_S^F(k, t) e^{-in\omega t} dt \quad (48)$$

To make convergence with respect to  $m_c$  faster one can choose  $\hbar\omega \gg 8t$ , where  $8t$  is the band width of the tight-binding model. For  $m_c = 4$ ,  $r_c = 7$ , the dimension of  $\bar{Q}$  is  $N_m N_S = 2025$ .

## 4.2 Dirac electron

We consider a nearest-neighbor single-orbital tight-binding model

$$H_D = -t_{i\alpha,j\beta} \sum_{\langle i\alpha,j\beta \rangle} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\beta} + \text{h.c.} \quad (49)$$

where  $\langle i\alpha, j\beta \rangle$  means sites  $i, j$  are nearest neighbors with sublattices  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $t > 0$  being the hopping parameter. We assume the lattice constant is  $a$  and the lattice sites have coordinates

$$\mathbf{r}_{i\alpha} = m_i \mathbf{a}_1 \hat{x} + n_i \mathbf{a}_2 \hat{y} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_\alpha, \quad m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (50)$$

To get the Floquet QHE effect we consider a vector potential due to two linearly polarize light

$$\mathbf{E}_1 = E \cos(\omega t) \hat{x}, \quad \mathbf{E}'_2 = E \sin(Kx) \sin(2\omega t) \hat{y}, \quad (51)$$

which is

$$\mathbf{A}'(t) = -\frac{eE}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \hat{x} + \frac{eE}{2\omega} \sin(Kx) \cos(2\omega t) \hat{y}. \quad (52)$$

Note that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ . For simplicity we consider the long wavelength limit

$$\mathbf{A}'(t) \approx -\frac{eE}{\omega} \sin(\omega t) \hat{x} + \frac{eE}{2\omega} (Kx) \cos(2\omega t) \hat{y}. \quad (53)$$

To include  $\mathbf{A}'$  in the tight-binding model, we consider a finite system defined by

$$\max(|x_{i\alpha}|, |y_{i\beta}|) \leq r_c, \quad (54)$$

where  $r_c$  is a positive integer. The Hamiltonian  $H_D$  in the tight-binding basis is a  $N_S \times N_S$  square matrix with  $N_S = (2r_c + 1)^2$  and its matrix elements

$$H_{i\alpha, j\beta} = -t_{i\alpha, j\beta}, \quad \text{if } |\mathbf{r}_{i\alpha} - \mathbf{r}_{j\beta}| = a \quad (55)$$

and 0 otherwise.

Including the vector potential using Eq. 29 corresponding to replacing  $H_{i\alpha, j\beta}$  by

$$H_{i\alpha, j\beta} = -t \exp \left\{ -i \frac{eEa}{\hbar\omega} \left[ -(x_{i\alpha} - x_{j\beta}) \sin(\omega t) + \left( \frac{Ka(x_{i\alpha} + x_{j\beta})}{2} \right) (y_{i\alpha} - y_{j\beta}) \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\}, \quad (56)$$

if  $|\mathbf{r}_{i\alpha} - \mathbf{r}_{j\beta}| = a$ , and  $H_{i\alpha, j\beta} = 0$  otherwise. For simplicity we use  $a$  as the length unit and  $t$  as the energy unit.  $K$  is thus in units of  $1/a$ . Eq. 56 is then simplified as

$$H_{i\alpha, j\beta} = -\exp \left\{ -i\phi_0 \left[ -(x_{i\alpha} - x_{j\beta}) \sin(\omega t) + \left( \frac{K(x_i + x_j)}{2} \right) (y_{i\alpha} - y_{j\beta}) \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\}, \quad (57)$$

where  $\phi_0 \equiv eEa/\hbar\omega = (eEa/t)/(\hbar\omega/t)$  is dimensionless. Here we essentially use  $t/ea$  as the units of  $E$  and  $t/\hbar$  as the units of  $\omega$ . If the long-wavelength limit is not taken at this stage we have instead of Eq. 57

$$H_{i\alpha, j\beta} = -\exp \left\{ -i\phi_0 \left[ -(x_{i\alpha} - x_{j\beta}) \sin(\omega t) + \frac{1}{2} \sin(K(x_{i\alpha} + x_{j\beta})) (y_{i\alpha} - y_{j\beta}) \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\}. \quad (58)$$

We will use this expression below, since one can always get the long-wavelength limit from it.

We next construct the quasienergy operator  $\bar{Q}$ . For this we first need to calculate  $H_{i\alpha, j\beta, n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i\alpha, j\beta, n} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T H_{i\alpha, j\beta} e^{-in\omega t} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[iX_1 \sin(\tau) + iX_2 \cos(2\tau) - in\tau] d\tau \\ &= -J_n(X) e^{in\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$



where we have used the property of the Bessel function

$$J_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix \sin(\tau) - in\tau} d\tau. \quad (60)$$

In the result of  $H_{i\alpha,j\beta,n}$  we have defined

$$X_1 \equiv \phi_0(x_{i\alpha} - x_{j\beta}), \quad X_2 \equiv -\phi_0\left(\frac{K(x_i + x_j)}{2}\right)(y_{i\alpha} - y_{j\beta}), \quad (61)$$

which gives

$$\cos(2x) = 2\cos(x)\cos(x) - 1. \quad (62)$$

Using this result it is easy to calculate  $e^{in\phi} = (\cos\phi + i\sin\phi)^n$  numerically.

We can now construct the matrix of  $\bar{Q}$ . To this end we choose a cutoff for  $m$  ( $m\hbar\omega$  in the diagonal blocks):

$$|m| \leq m_c, \quad (63)$$

where  $m_c$  is a positive integer. This means that there are  $N_m = 2m_c + 1$  diagonal blocks, and each block is a  $N_S \times N_S$  matrix. Therefore  $\bar{Q}$  is a  $N_m N_S \times N_m N_S$  matrix. Each  $N_S \times N_S$  block, labeled by  $\bar{Q}_{m,m+n}$ , is

$$\bar{Q}_{m,m+n} = H_n - m\hbar\omega\delta_{n0}\mathbb{1}_{N_S \times N_S}, \quad (64)$$

where the  $N_S \times N_S$  matrix  $H_n$  has matrix elements shown in Eq. ??

$$(H_n)_{i\alpha,j\beta} = \begin{cases} -J_n(X)e^{in\phi}, & \text{if } |\mathbf{r}_{i\alpha} - \mathbf{r}_{j\beta}| = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

To make convergence with respect to  $m_c$  faster one can choose  $\hbar\omega \gg 8t$ , where  $8t$  is the band width of the tight-binding model. For  $m_c = 4$ ,  $r_c = 7$ , the dimension of  $\bar{Q}$  is  $N_m N_S = 2025$ .

## References

- [1] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas **17**, 093039 (2015).