BCG - Strategy Consulting Project

Table of Content

- 1. Market Research
- 2. Data Analysis
- 3. Understanding Consumer Needs
- 4. Client Recommendations
- 5. Conclusion

Market Research

I've included my report for our client company, Company X regarding whether or not they should introduce handset leasing into their business model to drive profits. After doing some market research and looking at the analysts reports on impact of revenue and profits, my recommendation is that Company X should pursue handset leasing as a profitable business model.

Here are a summary of my findings and why I believe this will be profitable for Company X:

Competitors have done well introducing similar products

- For Sprint, their revenue from leasing plans increased by over \$1 billion from 3Q15 to 3Q16
- When SK Telecom leasing service launched, 25% of their new customers decided opted to rent

Handset leasing plans ensures customer affordability

- For SK Telecom, customers pay about 50% less over two years for a leasing plan than they would have had they paid to own their mobile device
- This could be popular among youth, as 28% of SK Telecom's subscribers in their 20s deciding to lease
- With increasing costs of newer technology

In conclusion, based on my research, handset leasing would be a profitable business move to attract customers who are looking to save on the amount they spend on owning a phone.

Sources:

- Blog, Are smartphone rentals value for money?https://www.mobileworldlive.com/devices/blogs-devices/blogs-handset-rentals-offer-surprisin g-value/
- 2. Market Realist, Why Sprint Is Focusing on Handset Leasing to Accelerate Growth https://marketrealist.com/2017/04/why-sprint-is-focusing-on-handset-leasing-to-accelerate-growth/
- 3. BCG, Playbook for accelerating 5Ghttps://image-src.bcg.com/Images/BCG-A-Playbook-for-Accelerating-5G-in-Europe-Sep-201 8 tcm9-202394.pdf

Data Analysis

In this analysis, I will be looking at the impact of introducing handset leasing versus not introducing handset leasing, by applying the industry average growth rates for Company A and Company B, to Company X.

Company A

Company A launched its first mobile handset leasing plans at the start of Year 1 It aims to make premium handsets more affordable to customers

S\$ Million		Year 0 Total			Year 2 Total	
Income Statement						
Operating revenue	\$	8,537	\$	9,233	\$	9,670
Operating expenses	\$	(6,184)	\$	(6,270)	\$	(6,416)
EBITDA	\$	2,353	\$	2,963	\$	3,255
Net interest expense	\$	(130)	\$	(143)	\$	(148)
Taxation	\$ 198		\$ 201		\$ 203	
Depreciation & amortisation	\$	(743)	\$	(753)	\$	(759)
Net profit	\$	1,678	\$	2,269	\$	2,550
Operating Revenue & Expenses Composit Mobile Service	ion \$	2,812	\$	3,375	\$	3,690
Others	\$	5,725	\$	5,858	\$	5,980
	\$	8,537	\$	9,233	\$	9,670
Operating revenue	 					
Operating revenue Operating expenses	\$	6,184	\$	6,270	\$	6,416
		6,184	\$ 4,195	6,270	\$ 4,409	6,416

<u>Company B</u> Company B operates in the same market as Company A, and has not launched leasing plans

	Year 0			Year 1			Year 2		
S\$ Million		Total			Total			Total	
Income Statement		rotar			Total			Total	
Operating revenue	\$	8,784		\$	9,033		\$	9,00	6
Operating expenses	\$	(6,153)		\$	(6,372)		\$	(6,4	70)
EBITDA	\$	2,631		\$	2,661		\$	2,53	6
Net interest expense	\$	(158)		\$	(194)		\$	(189)
Taxation	\$	(356)		\$	(341)		\$	(305)
Depreciation & amortisation	\$	(1,416)		\$	(1,507)		\$	(1,46	69)
Depreciation & amortisation	1 *	(, - ,							
Net profit	\$	2,117	-	\$	2,126		\$	2,04	2
Net profit Operating Revenue & Expenses Compositi	\$	· · · · ·		\$	2,126 5,641		\$	2,04 5,76	
	\$	2,117 5,465		\$	5,641	3,363	\$		4
Net profit Operating Revenue & Expenses Compositi Mobile Service	\$	2,117 5,465 \$		\$	5,641	3,363 9,033	\$	5,76	
Net profit Operating Revenue & Expenses Composition Mobile Service Others	\$	2,117 5,465 \$	3,371	\$	5,641 \$		\$	5,76	4 3,102
Net profit Operating Revenue & Expenses Compositi Mobile Service Others Operating revenue	\$	2,117 5,465 \$ \$	3,371 8,784 6,153	\$	5,641 \$	9,033 6,372	\$	5,76 \$ \$	4 3,102 9,00 6

Growth (9/)	Industry A	Industry Average								
Growth (%)	Year 1	Year 2	Average	Notes						
Mobile Services	5.46%	2.25%	3.85%	Average industry growth for mobile						
Mobile Subscribers ('000s)	2.16%	1.91%	2.03%	subscribers at 2.03%						
	Company	À								
•	Year 1	Year 2	Average	Notes						
Mobile Services	8.15%	4.73%	6.44%							
Mobile Subscribers ('000s)	2.69%	5.10%	3.90%							
	Company	В								
	Year 1	Year 2	Average	Notes						
Mobile Services	2.84%	-0.30%	1.27%	Company B is growing below the						
Mobile Subscribers ('000s)	1.19%	1.19%	1.19%	industry average with absence of handset leasing						

Key Comparables		Industry Total					
atoy comparables	Year 1	Year 2	Average	Notes			
Operating Revenue Growth	5.46%	2.25%	3.85%				
Net Profit Growth	15.79%	4.51%	110 15%	Industry average comparables used to compare Company X's performance			
ARPU	\$55.75	\$57.37	\$ 56.56				
Market Share (%)	Company A						
Market Share (%)	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Notes			
Mobile Service	33.97%	37.43%	39.03%				

ARPU*	\$57	\$67	\$70	Higher growth in ARPU than company B					
Market Share (%)		Company B							
Ividi ket Sildie (%)	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Notes					
Mobile Service	66.03%	62.57%		Decrease in overall & mobile plan market share, due to					
	absence of leasing.								
ARPU*	\$50	\$51	\$52	Lower growth in ARPU than company A					

^{*} Average Revenue Per User

Company X

Company X has not launched leasing mobile plans. The following is a forecast of the impact leasing handsets will have.

Company X Financial Statement (handset leasing implemented)									
S\$ Million	Year 0 Year 1		Year 2		Notes				
S\$ Million	Tot	al	To	tal	To	otal	Notes		
Operating Revenue & Expenses Composition									
Mobile Service	\$ 1,354		\$ 1,441		\$ 1,534		Assume 6.44% growth as per comparable Company A.		
Others	\$	1,008	\$	1,008	\$	1,008			
Operating revenue	\$	2,362	\$	2,449		2,542			
Growth rate				3.69%		3.79%	Net growth of 3.74% in operating revenues, slightly below industry rate of 3.85%		

Operating expenses	\$ 1,796	\$ 1,862	\$ 1,933	Assume constant expense ratio
Mobile Subscribers ('000s)	2,341	2,432	2,527	Assume 3.90% growth as per comparable Company A.
,			51	ARPU of X is below industry average of \$56.50 but shows positive growth

Income Statement				
Operating revenue	\$ 2,362	\$ 2,449	\$ 2,542	Derived from operating figures above
Operating expenses	\$ (1,796)	\$ (1,862)	\$ (1,933)	Derived from operating figures above
EBITDA	\$	\$	\$	
EBITDA	566	587	609	
	\$	\$	\$	
Net finance expense	(27)	(27)	(27)	
	\$	\$	\$	
Taxation	(45)	(45)	(45)	
Depreciation & amortisation	\$ (294)	\$ (294)	\$ (294)	
Not mustic	\$	\$	\$	
Net profit	200	221	243	
Growth		10.38%	10.06%	10.06% - 10.38% annual growth. Slightly higher than industry average of 10.15%

Company X Financial Statement (handset leasing not implemented)							
S\$ Million	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Remarks			
5	Total	Total	Total	Remarks			
Operating Revenue & Expenses Composition							
Mobile Service	\$ 1,354	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,460	Assume 3.85% growth as per Industry Average.			
Others	\$ 1,008	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 1,008				

Operating revenue	\$ 2,362	\$ 2,414	\$ 2,468	
Growth rate		2.21%	2.24%	Net growth of 2.22% in operating revenues, significantly below industry average of 3.85%
Operating expenses	\$ 1,796	\$ 1,836	\$ 1,877	

Mobile Subscribers ('000s)	2,341	2,389	2,437	Assume 2.03% growth as per comparable industry average.
ARPU*	48	49	150	Without leasing, ARPU grows more slowly than with leasing

Income Statement				
Operating revenue	\$ 2,362	\$ 2,414	\$ 2,468	Derived from operating figures above
Operating expenses	\$ (1,796)	\$ (1,836)	\$ (1,877)	between from operating figures above
EBITDA	\$ 566	\$ 578	\$ 591	
Net finance expense	\$ (27)	\$ (27)	\$ (27)	
Taxation	\$	\$	\$	
Depreciation & amortisation	(45) \$	(45) \$	(45) \$	
Depreciation & amortisation		(294)	(294)	
Net profit	\$ 200	\$ 213	\$ 226	
Growth		6.19%	6.10%	6.10%-6.19% annual growth. Much lower than industry average of 10.15%