## 7.12. (Local martingales)

An  $\mathcal{N}_t$ -adapted stochastic process  $Z(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is called a *local martin-gale* with respect to the given filtration  $\{\mathcal{N}_t\}$  if there exists an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{N}_t$ -stopping times  $\tau_k$  such that

$$\tau_k \to \infty$$
 a.s. as  $k \to \infty$ 

and

$$Z(t \wedge \tau_k)$$
 is an  $\mathcal{N}_t$ -martingale for all  $k$ .

a) Show that if Z(t) is a local martingale and there exists a constant  $T \leq \infty$  such that the family  $\{Z(\tau)\}_{\tau \leq T}$  is uniformly integrable (Appendix C) then  $\{Z(t)\}_{t \leq T}$  is a martingale.

## 1. \ Z(+) \{ + xT is N+-adapted, i.e., is N+-measurable for all +.

**Theorem C.4.** Suppose  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of real measurable functions on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(\omega) = f(\omega) \quad \text{for a.a. } \omega.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $\{f_k\}$  is uniformly integrable
- 2)  $f \in L^1(P)$  and  $f_k \to f$  in  $L^1(P)$ , i.e.  $\int |f_k f| dP \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Since  $\frac{1}{2}Z(Z)^{2}Z(Z) = \frac{1}{2}Z(Z)$  is uniformly integrable, and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} Z_{Z} = Z_{+}$  since  $Z = + A Z_{+}$ 

then 
$$Z \in L'(P)$$
 and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \int |Z_z - Z_t| dP = 0$   
i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[|Z_t|] < \infty$ 

3. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{+n\mathbb{Z}_{k}}$  is an  $\mathbb{N}_{+}$ -martinagale, for  $s \leq +$ ,  $\mathbb{E}^{\times} \left[ \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}_{k}+1} \mid \mathbb{N}_{0} \right] = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}_{k}+s} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{K} \to \infty} \mathbb{Z}_{s}$ 

Thus, 
$$E^{*}[Z_{+}|\mathcal{N}_{s}] = \lim_{k \to \infty} E^{*}[Z_{\tau_{k}+}|\mathcal{N}_{s}] = Z_{s}$$

b) In particular, if Z(t) is a local martingale and there exists a constant  $K<\infty$  such that

$$E[Z^2(\tau)] \le K$$

for all stopping times  $\tau \leq T$ , then  $\{Z(t)\}_{t \leq T}$  is a martingale.

Since  $Z_z \longrightarrow Z_t$  in L'(P) and  $Z_t \in L'(P)$ ,  $Z_t \in S_t$  is uniformly integrable.

By the previous Hem, the result follows.

c) Show that if Z(t) is a lower bounded local martingale, then Z(t) is a supermartingale (Appendix C).

We already vertied that Z(t) is NF-adapted and E[1Z(t))] < 10. Now we need to show that

Notice that, assuming Z+>0,