

- Proof of the risk bound for the hard thresholding
- Proof of Lemma 1
- Proof of the fast rate for the Dantzig selector
- Proof of the slow rate for the Dantzig selector
- Proof of the fast rate for the Lasso under the RE property

① Proof of the risk bound for the hard thresholding

$$Z = \beta^* + \frac{\sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}} \varepsilon \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_p(0, I)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_j = Z_j \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| > \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

1st case: $j \in J = \{i : \beta_i^* \neq 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2 &= (Z_j - \beta_j^*)^2 \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| > \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \beta_j^{*2} \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| \leq \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \varepsilon_j^2 \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| > \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \left(Z_j - \frac{\sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}} \varepsilon_j\right)^2 \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| \leq \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \varepsilon_j^2 \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| > \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \left(2Z_j^2 + \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} \varepsilon_j^2\right) \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| \leq \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} \varepsilon_j^2 + 2Z_j^2 \mathbb{1}\left(|Z_j| \leq \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} (\varepsilon_j^2 + \lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of this inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2\right] &\leq \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} (1 + \lambda^2) \leq \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \lambda^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3\sigma^{*2}}{n} \lambda^2 \end{aligned}$$

2nd case : $j \notin J \Leftrightarrow \beta_j^* = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2 &= \hat{\beta}_j^2 = z_j^2 \mathbb{1}(|z_j| > \frac{\lambda \sigma^*}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \varepsilon_j^2 \mathbb{1}(|\varepsilon_j| > \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2] &= \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda}^{+\infty} t^2 e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \int_{\lambda}^{+\infty} t^2 e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma^{*2}}{n} \int_{\lambda}^{+\infty} 2(t^2 - 1) e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &= \frac{2\sigma^{*2}}{n} \left[-te^{-t^2/2} \right]_{\lambda}^{+\infty} = \frac{2\lambda\sigma^{*2}}{n} e^{-\lambda^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two cases, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_2^2] &= \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2] + \sum_{j \in J^c} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*)^2] \\ &\leq \frac{3|J|\sigma^{*2}}{n} \lambda^2 + \frac{2|J^c|\sigma^{*2}}{n} \lambda e^{-\lambda^2/2}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to notice that $|J^c| \leq p$.

Proof of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \text{ Let } \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \|X^T(Y - X\beta^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda \sigma^* \right\} \\ &= \left\{ |X_j^T \xi| \leq \lambda \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, p\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where X_j denotes the j^{th} column of the matrix X .

One easily checks that since $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$ and $\|X_j\|_2^2 = n$, the random variables $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j^T \xi$ are Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Therefore, using the union bound, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |X_j^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}| > \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
 &\leq 2p \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2n}\right\} \quad \left(\text{we use here that } 1 - \Phi(x) \leq e^{-x^2/2}\right) \\
 &= \alpha \quad \text{if } \lambda = \sqrt{2n \log(2p/\alpha)}
 \end{aligned}$$

② We want to prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} |\langle Xu, Xv \rangle| \leq \delta_{2s} \|u\|_2 \cdot \|v\|_2$$

This is equivalent to proving

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \left\langle X \frac{u}{\|u\|}, X \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \delta_{2s}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n} |\langle Xu, Xv \rangle| \leq \delta_{2s} \quad \forall u, v \text{ s.t. } \|u\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = 1, u \perp v, \|u\|_0 \leq s, \|v\|_0 \leq s.$$

To prove (1), we use the parallelogram rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{n} \langle Xu, Xv \rangle &= \frac{1}{4n} \left(\|X(u+v)\|_2^2 - \|X(u-v)\|_2^2 \right) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{RIP}(2s)}{\leq} \frac{n}{4n} \left(\underbrace{(1+\delta_{2s}) \|u+v\|_2^2}_{=2} - \underbrace{(1-\delta_{2s}) \|u-v\|_2^2}_{=2} \right) \\
 &= \delta_{2s} \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

③ We have, since $\beta_j^* = 0$ for every $j \in J^c$,

$$\|h_{J^c}\|_1 = \sum_{j \in J^c} |\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*| = \sum_{j \in J^c} |\hat{\beta}_j| = \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_J\|_1$$

Now, on the event \mathcal{B} , both $\hat{\beta}$ and β^* satisfy the constraints of the DS, therefore, $\|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \|\beta^*\|_1$.

This leads to

$$\|h_{J^c}\|_1 \leq \|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_J\|_1 = \|\beta_J^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_J\|_1 \leq \|(\beta^* - \hat{\beta})_J\|_1$$

This completes the proof. \blacksquare

④ According to the triangle inequality,

$$\|h_{(J \cup J_1)^c}\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{j \geq 2} h_{J_k} \right\|_2 \leq \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{J_k}\|_2$$

Let now fix a $k \geq 2$. By definition of J_k , we have

$$|h_j| \leq \min_{l \in J_{k-1}} |h_l| \quad \forall j \in J_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\|h_{J_k}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{j \in J_k} |h_j|^2} \leq \sqrt{s} \cdot \min_{l \in J_{k-1}} |h_l| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{l \in J_{k-1}} |h_l|.$$

Thus, $\|h_{J_k}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|h_{J_{k-1}}\|_1$

and summing up these inequalities for all $k \geq 2$, we get

$$\sum_{k \geq 2} \|h_{J_k}\|_2 \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \|h_{J_{k-1}}\|_1 / \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|h_{J^c}\|_1.$$

In view of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and claim ③,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|h_{J^c}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|h_J\|_1 \leq \|h_J\|_2$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma ▀

PROOF OF FAST RATES FOR DS

Assume that we are on the event \mathcal{B} and that $h = \hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*$.

It is clear that

$$\|\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*\|_2^2 = \|h_{J \cup J_1} + h_{(J \cup J_1)^c}\|_2^2 \leq \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2 + \|h_{(J \cup J_1)^c}\|_2^2$$

According to the 4th claim of the lemma, we have

$$\|\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*\|_2^2 \leq \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2 + \|h_J\|_2^2 \leq 2\|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2 \quad (\text{D.1})$$

Since $h_{J \cup J_1}$ is $2s$ -sparse and X satisfies $\text{RIP}(2s)$,

$$\|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2 \leq (1 - \delta_{2s})^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \|X h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2 \quad (\text{D.2})$$

The last norm can be bounded as follows:

Page 5

$$\|X h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 = \langle X h_{J \cup J_1}, X h_{J \cup J_1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle X h_{J \cup J_1}, X h \rangle - \langle X h_{J \cup J_1}, X h_{(J \cup J_1)^c} \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle X h_{J \cup J_1}, X h \rangle + \|X h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 \|X h_{(J \cup J_1)^c}\|_2$$

$$\leq \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_1 \|X^T X h\|_\infty + \sum_{k \geq 2} |\langle X h_J, X h_{J_k} \rangle| + |\langle X h_{J_1}, X h_{J_k} \rangle|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2s} \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 \left(\|X^T (Y - X \hat{\beta}^{DS})\|_\infty + \|X^T (Y - X \beta^*)\|_\infty \right)$$

Using ②

$$+ \sum_{k \geq 2} \delta_{2s} n \left(\|h_J\|_2 \cdot \|h_{J_k}\|_2 + \|h_{J_1}\|_2 \cdot \|h_{J_k}\|_2 \right)$$

Using ①

$$\leq \sqrt{2s} \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 \cdot \left(\sqrt{2n \log(2p/\alpha)} \sigma^* + \sqrt{2n \log(2p/\alpha)} \sigma^* \right)$$

Using ④

$$+ n \delta_{2s} \left(\|h_J\|_2 + \|h_{J_1}\|_2 \right) \|h_J\|_2$$

$$\leq 4 \sigma^* \sqrt{sn \log(2p/\alpha)} \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 + \sqrt{2} n \delta_{2s} \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2^2$$

Combining this inequality with (D.2), we get

$$\|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 \leq \frac{4 \sigma^* \sqrt{sn \log(2p/\alpha)}}{(1 - \delta_{2s}) \sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{2} \delta_{2s}}{1 - \delta_{2s}} \|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2$$

which yields

$$\|h_{J \cup J_1}\|_2 \leq \frac{4 \sigma^* \sqrt{sn \log(2p/\alpha)}}{(1 - \delta_{2s} - \sqrt{2} \delta_{2s}) \sqrt{n}} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

Combining (D.3) and (D.1), we get the desired result.

SLOW RATES FOR THE DS

Page 6

Let us prove that the DS is consistent w.r.t. the prediction loss without any assumption on the matrix X . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n} \|X(\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{n} h^T X^T X h \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|h\|_1 \cdot \|X^T X h\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|h\|_1 (\|X^T(Y - X\hat{\beta}^{DS})\|_\infty + \|X^T(Y - X\beta^*)\|_\infty) \\ &\leq 2 \sqrt{\frac{\log(2p/\alpha)}{n}} \sigma^* \cdot \|\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*\|_1\end{aligned}$$

This last norm can be bounded in 2 ways. First, since on B β^* satisfies the constraints of the DS, we have $\|\hat{\beta}^{DS}\|_1 \leq \|\beta^*\|_1$.

Therefore, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n} \|X(\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 &\leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{\log(2p/\alpha)}{n}} \sigma^* \|\beta^*\|_1 \\ &\leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{s \log(2p/\alpha)}{n}} \sigma^* \|\beta^*\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

One can also use the claim 3 of the lemma, to bound $\|(\hat{\beta}^{DS} - \beta^*)\|_1 = \|h\|_1$ from above by $2\|h_J\|_1 \leq 2\sqrt{s}\|h_J\|_2$

FAST RATES FOR THE LASSO UNDER RE

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sigma^* = 1$. Since $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}^{Lasso}$ minimizes the penalized log-likelihood, we have

$$\underbrace{\|X\hat{\beta} - Y\|_2^2}_{X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) - \xi} + 2\lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \underbrace{\|X\beta^* - Y\|_2^2}_{\xi} + 2\lambda \|\beta^*\|_1$$

$$\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2\lambda (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}\|_1) + 2\xi^T X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)$$

Using the duality of the l_1 and l_∞ norms, we get

$$\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2\lambda (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}\|_1) + 2\|X^T \xi\|_\infty \cdot \|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1.$$

Repeating the arguments of the proof of the 1st claim of Lemma, we get that on the event \mathcal{B} (with prob. $\geq 1 - \alpha$) $\|X^T \xi\|_\infty \leq \lambda/2$.

Therefore,

$$\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2\lambda (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}\|_1) + \lambda \|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1. \quad (L.1)$$

Since the left-hand side above is ≥ 0 , we get

$$\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1 \leq 2\|\beta^*\|_1 - 2\|\hat{\beta}\|_1$$

Using the fact that $\beta_{J^c}^* = 0$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{J^c}\|_1 &\leq 2\|\beta_J^*\|_1 - 2\|\hat{\beta}_J\|_1 - 2\|\hat{\beta}_{J^c}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|(\beta^* - \hat{\beta})_J\|_1 - 2\|\hat{\beta}_{J^c}\|_1 \quad (\text{triangle ineq.}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\hat{\beta}_{J^c}\|_1 \leq 3\|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_1 \Leftrightarrow \|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_{J^c}\|_1 \leq 3\|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_1$.

This means that we can apply to $\hat{\beta} - \beta^*$ the $\widetilde{RE}(s, 3)$:

$$\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2} \|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 \quad (L.2)$$

Using once again (L.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 &\leq 2\lambda (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1) \\ &= 2\lambda (\|\beta_J^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_J\|_1 + \|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_1) \\ &\leq 4\lambda \|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_1 \quad (\text{triangle inequality}) \\ &\leq 4\lambda \sqrt{s} \cdot \|(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)_J\|_2 \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\ &\leq \frac{4\lambda}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{s}{n}} \|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2 \quad (\text{by (L.2)}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2 \leq \frac{4\lambda}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{s}{n}}$. Replacing this in (L.2), we get the desired result. 