Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:
This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.
Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://adale065.github.io/heritageart.github.io/ ISLTT3160/pilotsite/ davis_pilot.html

2. Author of site evaluated: Amanda Davis

3. Evaluator's first name: Glena (teacher)

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
   Macbook Air

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
   Chrome

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
   institutional wirelss

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

DESIGN

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
There was a table w/ 5 tabs which takes you to another section.

2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Two basic colors white & marron

3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Has links to click and pages with artwork

4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback

5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Pictures with explanation of the projects

6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
loaded immediatly

7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Nice contrast
However blue font is hard to see on Maroon background

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback

CONTENT	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
Each one states and shows what is stated

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Some links do not have information yet

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
She invites users to come back and see the transformation of art projects

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Some tabs don't have information yet

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
Shows students art work and gives a link to Artsonia