Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:
This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.
Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://adale055.github.io/heritageart.github.io/ISLT7360/pilotsite/davis-pilot.html

2. Author of site evaluated: Amanda Davis

3. Evaluator's first name: Jennifer (parent)

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM): Macbook Air

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
   Safari

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
   institutional wireless

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree y this					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree w this					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	(4)	5
Please explain your feedback — Possibly change the font on links but otherwise easy to follow					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	(4)	5
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I agree					
3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — All work nicely					
4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — I found it easily					
5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — Yes					
6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — Yes					
7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Please explain your feedback — As a parent, I can update myself on my child's art education.					