

minimum mean absolute error i.e. $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MMAE}} = \operatorname{argmin} \{E[|\theta - \hat{\theta}| | x]\}$

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MMAE}} := \operatorname{Med}[\theta | x] = a \text{ s.t. } \int_{-\infty}^a p(\theta | x) d\theta = \frac{1}{2}$$

Quantile ch. 04 gives for the 50% mark.

Using our model (iid $\operatorname{bern}(\theta)$) and data $x = (0, 1, 1)$ we can compute the MMAB Bayesian point estimate.

$$\int_{-\infty}^a 12\theta^2(1-\theta) d\theta = 12 \left[\frac{\theta^3}{3} - \frac{\theta^4}{4} \right]_0^a = \frac{12a^3}{3} - \frac{12a^4}{4} \leftarrow \text{set equal to } \frac{1}{2} \text{ to find median point.}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{4}a^4 + \frac{1}{3}a^3 + 0a^2 + 0a - \frac{1}{24} = 0$$

\hookrightarrow Since parameter space not only $(0, 1)$

this is a quartic equation, has a formula for soln. The answer is $a \approx .614$.

These are the 3 bayesian point estimates:

$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MMSE}}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MMAE}} \leftarrow$ They are functions of the posterior dist.

The data $x = (0, 1, 1)$ was a specific case. We will now solve generally for any data set $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Also using laplace prior: $\theta \sim U(0, 1)$.

$$P(\theta | x) = \frac{P(x | \theta) P(\theta)}{P(x)} = \frac{P(x | \theta) P(\theta)}{\int_0^1 P(x | \theta) P(\theta) d\theta}$$

$\rightarrow P(x)$
prior predictive distribution
margin out θ

\leftarrow says this is 1 for both

$$= \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i}}{\int_0^1 \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} d\theta}$$

$$\int_0^1 \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} d\theta \leftarrow \text{this is a special integral, known as the "beta function".}$$

$$B(\alpha, \beta) := \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt. \quad \text{The beta function has no closed form sol, but can be computed with sci-calc.}$$

Continue with calculation:

$$\frac{1}{B(\sum x_i + 1, n - \sum x_i + 1)} \theta^{\sum x_i + 1 - 1} (1-\theta)^{n - \sum x_i + 1 - 1} \rightarrow \text{this is known as the Beta PDF.}$$

$$\text{Beta}(\sum x_i + 1, n - \sum x_i + 1)$$

We just derived that the posterior for the iid Bern likelihood is a beta distribution. Let's examine beta distribution

$$\text{Imagine r.v. } Y \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\text{PDF}}{=} \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1} = p(y)$$

$\text{Supp}[Y] = (0, 1)$ Verify this is a pdf. does this integrate to 1?

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1} dy = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \int_0^1 \overbrace{y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1}}^{B(\alpha, \beta) \text{ function}} dy = \int_0^1 \frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} dy = 1$$

$\alpha \leq 0$? $\beta \leq 0$? $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ that's it.

$$\text{If } \alpha = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{1}{y} dy = \infty$$

$$E[Y] = \int_0^1 y f(y) dy = \int_0^1 y \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \int_0^1 y^{\alpha+1-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1} dy = \frac{B(\alpha+1, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \quad (*)$$

To simplify this we need the gamma function.

$$\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \alpha > 0$$

FACTS: ① $\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$

② $B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$

use this guy. \longrightarrow in the numerator.

$$(*) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \stackrel{\text{using ①}}{=} \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{(\alpha+\beta) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} = E[Y]$$

$VAR[Y] =$ on HW.

Mode $[Y] =$ point of highest density, $\underset{y \in (0,1)}{\text{argmax}} \left\{ \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1} \right\}$

argmax is immune to monotonic transformations. get rid of constant and take natural log.

$= \underset{y \in (0,1)}{\text{argmax}} \{ (\alpha-1) \ln(y) + \beta-1 \ln(1-y) \}$. Take derivative, set to 0.

derivative $= \frac{\alpha-1}{y} - \frac{\beta-1}{1-y} \stackrel{\text{set } 0}{=} 0 \implies \text{mode} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}$

Take derivative again and see if its negative, we find its only negative if both α and β are greater than 1.
or equal to

$\text{Med}[Y]$ has no closed form expression, and this must be done with a computer. We will denote the answer using notation from R prog language: $qbeta(0.5, \alpha, \beta) = \hat{\theta}_{unbiased}$

Lets take a look at some common shapes of Beta distribution.

