0 = (0,1) MATH 341 Lec 11 3/17

(n Bern (0), 0 = P(X=1). There is another way to "parameterze" the Bern.

Consider:  $\phi = \pm(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}$   $\phi = (0, \infty)$  this is called odds.

We care wecause, Laplace says 
$$P(\theta) = U(0|1)$$

$$P(\phi) \stackrel{?}{=} Uniform, No Impossible$$
to now (now on support (0,00))
$$P(\phi) = P_{\theta}(E^{1}(\theta)) | \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = E^{1}(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\phi} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta$$

$$= \left| \frac{(1+\phi)(1) - (\phi)(1)}{(1+\phi)^2} \right| = \frac{1}{(1+\phi)^2}$$
 not uniform!!

Is this a real density?

Is this a real density?
$$\int_{(1+\varphi)^2}^{\infty} d\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 1+\varphi \end{bmatrix}_0^{\infty} = 1-0 = 1 \text{ If this is the } F_{z_1 z_2} \text{ dist}$$
Fisher-Snecedor distribution

What did we prove here? If you are indifferent on the protocolly scale than you are not indifferent on the odds scale. Fisher used this example to show how stopic beplace prior is and to farther show how Stopid bayesian statz is in general.

It you change the parameter tention the inference can change.

Can we address this problem somehow? Can this something pick a prior for vs. Let & be the parameter of 7 and t(0) = \$\phi\$ a 1-1 reparameter zentron

Is there a procedure that an accomplish the following:

7:=P(X10) procedure P(0) It was Hard Seffley's idea becomescape
that solved this. The prior that is the
that solved this . The prior that is the
the "Seffrey's Prior".

Branchive 2111

P(X|0)

Procedure

P(x)

P(x)

Procedure

P(x)

P(x)

Procedure

P(x)

P( more tools. Back to probability land! (1) Kernels

(2) Fisher information.

Kernels f(x:0) & K(x;0) => I dell f(x:0) = d K(R;0) thu is also valid for pat's. This also means that K and f are 1-1, because they differ only by c. Remember

 $\int f(x;\theta) dx = 1 \Rightarrow \int c k(x;\theta) dx = 1$ 

'F: Bin (n,0), n fixed, P(0) = Beta(x,B)

 $P(\theta|X) = \frac{P(X|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(X)} \propto P(X|\theta)P(\theta) = \binom{n}{k} \theta^{X} (1-\theta)^{n-X} \frac{1}{k} \theta^{X-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \propto$ 

 $\propto 0^{x+\alpha-1} (1-0)^{x+\beta-1} \propto Beta(x+\alpha, n-x+\beta)$ 

$$L'(\theta;X) = \frac{X}{\theta} = \frac{n-X}{1-\theta} \implies L''(\theta;X) = \frac{X}{\theta^2} - \frac{n-X}{(1-\theta)^2} \quad I(\theta) = E_X[-D]$$

$$-E\left[\frac{x}{\Theta^2} + \frac{x}{(1-\Theta)^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\Theta^2}E[x] + \frac{1}{(1-\Theta)^2}(x - E[x])$$

$$-E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z} + \frac{(1-\partial)^{2}}{(1-\partial)^{2}}\right] = \frac{1}{\Theta^{2}}E(x) + \frac{1}{(1-\partial)^{2}}(n-n\theta) = n\left(\frac{1}{\Theta} + \frac{1}{(1-\Theta)}\right) = \frac{n}{\Theta(1-\Theta)}$$

$$E[x=Bn(n,\theta)] = n\theta \implies \frac{1}{\Theta^{2}}n\theta + \frac{1}{(1-\partial)^{2}}(n-n\theta) = n\left(\frac{1}{\Theta} + \frac{1}{(1-\Theta)}\right) = \frac{n}{\Theta(1-\Theta)}$$

So we have 
$$I(\theta)$$

$$E[X=Bn(n,\theta)]=n\theta \implies \frac{1}{\theta^2} \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2}$$
So we have  $I(\theta)$ .
$$R_2(\theta) \propto \sqrt{\frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}} \propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}} = \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-\theta}{(1-\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \propto \operatorname{Beta}(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$$

Jeffrey pror is Beta (\$2, 3). Came at danjugate.

$$P(X|9) \xrightarrow{\text{Jeffrey}} P_3(0) = \text{Beta}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\frac{t \int f'}{f(\phi)} \frac{t \int f'}{\int e^{tRe_3}} + f_3(\phi) = ?$$

we will verify this using 
$$\phi = t(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$$
, the "odds"

Dut just because it works once doesn't mean we have proven the threavenn