Now consider the iid normal model with
$$\theta$$
 known, sigsq unknown is also normal.

Now consider the iid normal model with θ known, sigsq unknown is also normal.

$$P(X | \theta, \sigma^{*}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{Jw\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} (x_{i} - \theta^{*}) = (x_{i}^{n})^{n/2} (\sigma^{*})^{n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} \frac{2(x_{i} - \theta^{*})}{\sigma^{*}} = (x_{i}^{n})^{n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} \frac{2(x_{i}^{n})}{\sigma^{*}} = (x_{i}^{n})^{n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^{-\frac{1}{12}\sigma^{*}} e^$$

Consider the Laplace prior of indifference, a distribution on sigsq which has support $(0, \infty)$. This prior would be $f(e^x \mid e) \propto 1$ Let's take a break and find the MLE for sigsq. $\mathcal{L}(G^{2}; \times, P) = -\frac{N}{2} \ell_{2}(2\pi) - \frac{N}{4} \ell_{4}(G^{2}) - \frac{1}{2G^{2}} \mathcal{L}(E_{i} - P)^{2}$ $\int_{C} \text{average sqd}$ deviation $\mathcal{L}^{1}(0^{n}; \times, \mathbf{p}) = -\frac{n}{Z_{1}} + \frac{2(\underline{k}_{1} - \mathbf{p})^{2}}{Z_{1}(0^{n})^{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{Set}} \bigcirc \Rightarrow \frac{2(\underline{k}_{1} - \mathbf{p})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = n \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{PLE}} = \frac{2(\underline{k}_{1} - \mathbf{p})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$

Let's explore the kernel of the posterior using probability theory.

$$K(\zeta) = y^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{b}{y}} \quad \text{where} \quad y \in (0, \infty)$$
Let's try to find the actual density by finding the norm. constant c:

$$\frac{1}{C} = \int_{0}^{\infty} K(y) dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{by}{y}} dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} Z^{\alpha} e^{-bz} (-z^{-2}) dz = \int_{0}^{\infty} Z^{(\alpha-1)-1} e^{-bz} dz$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} Z^{(\alpha-1)-1} e^{-bz} dz = \int_{0}^{\infty} Z^{(\alpha-1)-1} e^{-bz} dz$$

Traditionally,
$$\alpha = n-1 \Rightarrow n = \frac{n-1}{(n-1)}$$

This is called the "inverse gamma" distribution

Note: $W \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$
 $W \sim Inv Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$

Mode $[Y] = ginvgamma (0.5, <math>\alpha, \beta)$ Mode $[Y] = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+1}$ for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$

- for all 0, 100

 $P(\sigma^{2} \mid X, \theta) \propto P(X \mid \theta, \sigma^{2}) P(\sigma^{2} \mid \theta) \propto (\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{L}{2}} e^{-\frac{L}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} P(\sigma^{2} \mid \theta)$ What form should the prior be so that its kernel has the same form as the posterior's kernel? It's an inverse gamma. - Let P(62/8) = Inv banna (0, 1)

That's the posterior under Laplace's prior. Let's get the conjugate

model now:

$$= (0^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{n^{\frac{2n}{2}} + n^{\frac{2n}{2}}}{2}} e^{-\frac{n^{\frac{2n}{2}} + n^{\frac{2n}{2}}}$$

Traditionally, we use a different parameterization of the prior:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{MNSE}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma^{2} \mid X, \theta\right] = \frac{h \frac{\partial^{2}_{mag} + h_{0} \sigma^{3}_{0}}{2}}{\frac{n_{1} + h_{0}}{2} - 1} = \frac{h \frac{\partial^{2}_{mag} + h_{0} \sigma^{3}_{0}}{n_{1} + h_{0} - 2}}{\frac{h^{2}_{mag} + h_{0} \sigma^{3}_{0}}{n_{1} + h_{0} - 2}} \cdot f h$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{MNSE}} = \mathbb{Mel}\left[\sigma^{2} \mid X, \theta\right] = ginvgamma\left(0.5, \frac{h_{1} + h_{0}}{2}, \frac{h^{2}_{mag}}{2}, \frac{h^{2}_{mag} + h_{0} \sigma^{3}_{0}}{2}\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{MNSE}} = \mathbb{Mode}\left[\sigma^{2} \mid X, \theta\right] = \dots = h \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{MNSE}} + h_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{0}}$$

Bayesian point estimates for sigsq:

eudoobservation interpretation. $n_0 = \#$ of pseudo obnagine $\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ sigsq_0 is guess of value of sigs Haldane's prior of absolute ignorance: $n_0 = 0 = 0 = \sqrt{(n^2 l_p)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (n_i - n_i)^2$ sigsq_0 can be anything so by convention we say 0.

Credible Regions? Same thing... just use appropriate qinvgamma. Hypothesis Tests? Same thing... just use appropriate pinvgamma.

Laplace's prior of indifference: $\mathbb{P}(\sigma^{\!arphi}|arphi) arphi$ | P(orl o) Is this a smart idea? This means that sigsq in [0,1] has the same weight as sigsq in [10000000000, 10000000001]. This is not a smart idea and no one really uses this prior to my knowledge.

a posterior or: $P(\sigma^4 | X, \theta) = \text{Invigation}\left(\frac{4-2}{2}, \frac{\eta \sigma_{\text{ME}}^2}{2}\right) \Rightarrow \eta_0 = -2$ $\sigma_0^4 = 0$ P(62/0) = Inv Gama (-2, 0) = Inv Gama (-1,0)

What does this Laplace prior correspond to? Recall it results in a posterior of: