$$\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma^{2} \\
 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4b} \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma^{2}) & s^{2} + n_{b} & s^{2} + n_{b} & s^{2} \\
 \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q}{2b} & \frac{1}{2b} &$$

F(oolx)

Approximate dDF

Of Simple 1

Of Simple 1

Of Marx

4) Drum us from U(0,1) and locate sample of $E(\sigma(x) \ge u)$ pick rundom number between (0,1) (in y-axis) take of simple as
the next of 2 to greater than us.

If you must to draw may samples, reject step 4. You only need to do 1-3 one time.

The state of the s

The second second

Emp complicated, need to use smoothing.

Con do the same thing with First / First

MOW do he get CR's.

lec 20 6 5/5 marry 341 CRO,1-a:=[Q[O1X, 些], Q[O1x,1-些]]室 ~ [Sumple Quantile [Ox, Oz, ... Os, &], Suple Quantile [O,..., os, 1- 0] How do no get punts for hypothesis testing?

Ho: 0 = PD, punt: = p(0 = Polx) = } P(0|x) do 2 ->

→ 1 & Agrato For evample:

Ho: 0 25.89 her prul: = # 0 x 25.89 = proportion 55.89.

How do he get the pasterner preductive distribution? P(XXX)?
How do he get the marginal distribution P(OIX)?

difficult before as P(O|X) = \[P(0,0^2|X) do^2 2 \{O_1,...,O_5}\] Supert or Surples $\mathcal{U}(50, \dots 053)$

How do we get the posteror pretictive distribution? P(Xx | X)?

premusly = \$\int \p(\text{\$\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}} \p(\text{\$\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}} \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}) \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}} \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}) \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}} \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}) \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}} \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\gamma_2\$}}) \p(\text{\$\gamma_1 \text{\$\ga

Vew use [0, 0,] a down from, and her craw X2 from

rnorm $(0, 0^2)$ then we sample $[92, 0^2]$ to repeat and get x_2^* all the way up to x_3^* hence

Disadvantages of bod Sumpling Myorithm.

- 1) In many dimensions how do you pick a min, max? For parameters in multi-pura modelf not simple.
- (2) Computers have numerical inderflew and overflow.

 Limit to min, max in a way a computer represents numbers.
- O" Corse of dimensionality" Say soundle you wont to Source 10,000 jts per dimension, with 10 different thatas (10,000)0 = 1050 -> impossible for computer.

Another ex. Lets say I munt I billion pts in 10 dimensione.

10/10928 per dimension. Not good resolution need another Solution. Gold sampling looks good but does not scale well to problems with a lot of dimersions.