

ON THE HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF THE SPACE OF IMMERSIONS OF ORIENTABLE SURFACES

ADAM HOWARD

1. BRIEF INTRODUCTION AND OUTLINE

The goal of this paper is to describe the homotopy groups of the space of immersions of orientable surfaces into parallelizable manifolds. (This last restriction can be omitted with some effort.) That is we would like to describe $\pi_k \text{Imm}(W_g, M)$ where W_g is a smooth compact orientable surface of genus g , and M^n is a smooth, connected, parallelizable manifold of dimension $n > 2$. We state our main result which we prove in section (??)

$$\pi_k \text{Imm}(W_g, M) \cong \pi_k M \times (\pi_{k+1} M)^{2g} \times \pi_{k+1} M \times \pi_k V_2(n) \times (\pi_{k+1} V_2(n))^{2g} \times \pi_{k+1} V_2(n).$$

As a corollary of this, it is known that $V_2(4)$ is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^3$ and so we can compute the homotopy groups of $\text{Imm}(W_g, M^4)$ as well as we can compute the homotopy groups of M, S^2 , and S^3 .

2. STRUCTURE

First we note that $\text{Imm}(W_g, M)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Imm}^f(W_g, M)$, the space of *formal immersions* which is defined as fiberwise bundle injections between tangent bundles:

$$\text{Imm}^f(W_g, M) := \text{BunInj}(TW_g \rightarrow W_g, TM \rightarrow M).$$

This homotopy equivalence is by the Hirsch-Smale theorem [?] and is an instance of the h-principle. Under our assumption that M is parallelizable, we have that there is a homeomorphism

$$\text{Imm}^f(W_g, M) := \text{BunInj}(TW_g \rightarrow W_g, TM \rightarrow M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{BunInj}(TW_g \rightarrow W_g, \mathbb{R}^n \times M \rightarrow M)$$

from a choice of trivialization of the tangent bundle of M .

Lemma 2.1. *There is a homeomorphism between spaces*

$$h : \text{Map}(W_g, M) \times \text{BunInj}_{/W_g}(TW_g, W_g \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{BunInj}(TW_g \rightarrow W_g, \mathbb{R}^n \times M \rightarrow M)$$

where

$$\left((W_g \xrightarrow{f} M), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} TW_g & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{R}^n \times W_g \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & W_g \end{array} \right) \right) \mapsto \left(TW_g \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^n \times W_g \xrightarrow{id \times f} \mathbb{R}^n \times M \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{ccc} & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & W_g \xrightarrow{f} M \end{array} \right)$$

Proof. The map h is continuous (explain this, specify the topologies of the spaces). We can define the inverse map as follows:

$$h^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} TW_g & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{R}^n \times M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_g & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array} \right) = \left((W_g \xrightarrow{f} M), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} TW_g & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & \mathbb{R}^n \times W_g \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & W_g \end{array} \right) \right)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary ???. Secondary ???.

Key words and phrases. ???.

The author was supported by ...

where for $(x, v) \in T_x W_g$ we have that $\tilde{F}(v, x) = (F_x(v), x) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times W_g$. (Indicate why this map is continuous, showing that h is a homeomorphism.) \square

Lemma 2.2. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\text{BunInj}_{/W_g}(TW_g, W_g \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/BO(2)}(W_g, Gr_2(n))$$

Proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The following diagram is a homotopy pullback:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{/BO(2)}(W_g, Gr_2(n)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2(n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_2 \circ - \\ * & \xrightarrow{\langle \tau_{W_g} \rangle} & \text{Map}(W_g, BO(2)), \end{array}$$

i.e.

$$\text{hofib}_{\tau_{W_g}}(\text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2(n)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2 \circ -} \text{Map}(W_g, BO(2))) \simeq (=?) \text{Map}_{/BO(2)}(W_g, Gr_2(n))$$

where γ_2 is the natural inclusion of $Gr_2(n)$ into $BO(2) = Gr_2(\infty)$.

Proof. \square

3. COMPARING HOMOTOPY FIBERS

Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Gr_2^{or}(n) & \xrightarrow{fgt} & Gr_2(n) \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i \\ BSO(2) & \xrightarrow{fgt} & BO(2). \end{array}$$

As the horizontal arrows are fibrations, this is a homotopy pullback diagram. Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{hofib}_{(\tau_{W_g}, \sigma)} \left(\text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2^{or}(n)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2 \circ -} \text{Map}(W_g, BSO(2)) \right) \simeq \\ & \text{hofib}_{\tau_{W_g}} \left(\text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2(n)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2 \circ -} \text{Map}(W_g, BO(2)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *We have the following homotopy equivalence*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{hofib}_{(\tau_{W_g}, \sigma)} \left(\text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2^{or}(n)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2 \circ -} \text{Map}(W_g, BSO(2)) \right) \simeq \\ & \text{hofib}_{(\epsilon_{W_g}^2, \sigma_{std})} \left(\text{Map}(W_g, Gr_2^{or}(n)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2 \circ -} \text{Map}(W_g, BSO(2)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

4. HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF MAPPING SPACES

4.1. π_k for $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *For based topological spaces $(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)$ we have that the following spaces are homotopy equivalent:*

$$\text{Map}(X, \Omega_{y_0} Y) \simeq \text{Map}_*(X, \Omega_{y_0} Y) \times \Omega_{y_0} Y.$$

Proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For based topological spaces $(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)$ we have that for $k \geq 1$ there is an isomorphism of groups,*

$$\pi_k \text{Map}(X, Y) \cong \pi_k \text{Map}_*(X, Y) \times \pi_k Y,$$

where we take the constant map at y_0 to be the base point of $\text{Map}_*(X, Y)$ and $\text{Map}(X, Y)$.

Proof. From the following homotopy pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow ev_{x_0} \\ * & \xrightarrow{\langle y_0 \rangle} & Y \end{array}$$

we get the associated long exact sequence on homotopy groups: [format LES diagram better](#).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{k+1}\mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k+1}\mathrm{Map}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k+1}Y \\ & & \searrow \partial_k & & \\ \pi_k\mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k\mathrm{Map}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k Y. \end{array}$$

Note that we have a section of spaces

$$s : Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(X, Y); \quad y \mapsto (f_{\mathrm{const} @ y} : X \rightarrow Y),$$

that is $ev_{x_0} \circ s = id_Y$. This induces a section of homotopy groups $s^* : \pi_k Y \rightarrow \pi_k \mathrm{Map}(X, Y)$ and implies that $ev_{x_0}^*$ will be surjective and therefore all boundary maps are trivial, $\partial_k = 0$. Furthermore so our long exact sequence is divided into short exact sequences of the form:

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi_k \mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) \longrightarrow \pi_k \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \pi_k Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $k \geq 2$, the existence of s^* and the splitting lemma immediately implies that (??) splits. For $k = 1$, we can rewrite (??) as

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}_*(X, \Omega_{y_0} Y) \longrightarrow \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}(X, \Omega_{y_0} Y) \longrightarrow \pi_0 \Omega_{y_0} Y \longrightarrow 0$$

and lemma (??) implies that this short exact sequence is split. Therefore for $k \geq 1$ we have that

$$\pi_k \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) \cong \pi_k \mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) \times \pi_k Y.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *If Y is a based, connected, simple (abelian) space, then there is a bijection of sets*

$$\pi_0 \mathrm{Map}_*(X, Y) \cong \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}(X, Y)$$

Proof.

□

Lemma 4.4. *There is a homotopy equivalence between spaces,*

$$\Sigma W_g \simeq \Sigma(S^2 \vee (S^1)^{\vee 2g}).$$

Proof. Consider the following pushout diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial \mathbb{D}^2 = S^1 & \xrightarrow{c} & (S^1)^{\vee 2g} \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{D}^2 & \longrightarrow & W_g, \end{array}$$

where $[c] \in \pi_1((S^1)^{\vee 2g})$ is the product of commutators $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_n b_n a_n^{-1} b_n^{-1}$. As the inclusion $i : \partial \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ is a cofibration, the diagram is a homotopy pushout diagram. Then taking the reduced suspension of all spaces and maps results in the homotopy pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma S^1 \simeq S^2 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma c} & \Sigma(S^1)^{\vee 2g} \\ \downarrow \Sigma i & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma \mathbb{D}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma W_g. \end{array}$$

Note that the homomorphism

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \rangle = \pi_1((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \pi_2(\Sigma(S^1)^{\vee 2g}); \quad [\gamma] \mapsto [\Sigma\gamma]$$

must factor through the abelianization of $\pi_1((S^1)^{\vee 2g})$ since $\pi_2(\Sigma(S^1)^{\vee 2g})$ is abelian. That is, there exists a unique map such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \rangle = \pi_1((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) & \xrightarrow{q} & \pi_1((S^1)^{\vee 2g})_{Ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \\ & \searrow \Sigma & \downarrow ! \\ & & \pi_2(\Sigma(S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \end{array}$$

where the map q is the canonical quotient map. Now clearly $[c] = a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_n b_n a_n^{-1} b_n^{-1}$ is in the kernel of q and therefore it is also in the kernel of Σ , i.e. Σc is homotopic to the constant map at the basepoint. Now, we've identified

$$\Sigma W_g = \text{hopush} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma S^1 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma c} & \Sigma((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \\ \downarrow \Sigma i & & \\ \Sigma \mathbb{D}^2 & & \end{array} \right).$$

As this is a homotopy pushout we may replace any spaces with homotopy equivalent spaces and any maps with homotopic maps and the resulting homotopy pushout will be homotopy equivalent. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma W_g &= \text{hopush} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma S^1 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma c} & \Sigma((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \\ \downarrow \Sigma i & & \\ \Sigma \mathbb{D}^2 & & \end{array} \right) \simeq \text{hopush} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma S^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{const}_*} & \Sigma((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \\ \downarrow ! & & \\ * & & \end{array} \right) \simeq \\ &\Sigma \left(\text{hopush} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{const}_*} & ((S^1)^{\vee 2g}) \\ \downarrow ! & & \\ * & & \end{array} \right) \right) \simeq \Sigma(* \cup_{S^1 \times 0} S^1 \times I \cup_{S^1 \times 1} ((S^1)^{\vee 2g})) \simeq \Sigma(S^2 \vee (S^1)^{\vee 2g}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.5. *Let Z be based, path-connected topological space with abelian fundamental group. Then consider the based space of all continuous maps, $\mathbf{Map}(W_g, Z)$, with the constant map*

$$f_{\text{const}} : W_g \rightarrow Z; \quad w \mapsto z_0$$

serving as its base point. Then we have that

$$\pi_k \mathbf{Map}(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_k Z \times (\pi_{k+1} Z)^{2g} \times \pi_{k+2} Z.$$

Proof. Consider the following homotopy pushout diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S^1)^{\vee 2g} & \xrightarrow{i} & W_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{D}^2 / \partial \mathbb{D}^2 \cong S^2 \end{array}$$

where the map $i : (S^1)^{\vee 2g} \rightarrow W_g$ is a cofibration, the inclusion of the 1-skeleton into W_g . We may then apply $\mathbf{Map}_*(-, Z)$ to this diagram to get the following homotopy pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Map}_*(S^2, Z) \cong \Omega^2 Z & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}_*((S^1)^{\vee 2g}, Z) \cong (\Omega Z)^{2g}. \end{array}$$

The Puppe sequence then implies that following is also a homotopy pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega(\Omega^2 Z) & \xrightarrow{i} & \Omega \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong \mathbf{Map}_*(\Sigma W_g, Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \Omega(\Omega Z)^{2g}. \end{array}$$

Now from lemma (??) we have that $\Sigma W_g \simeq \Sigma(S^2 \vee (S^1)^{2g})$ and so we have that

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(\Sigma W_g, Z) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_*(\Sigma(S^2 \vee (S^1)^{2g}), Z) \simeq \Omega \mathbf{Map}_*(S^2 \vee (S^1)^{2g}, Z) \simeq \Omega(\Omega^2 Z \times (\Omega Z)^{2g}),$$

and that the homotopy pullback above splits. So we have that

$$\Omega \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \simeq \Omega(\Omega^2 Z) \times \Omega(\Omega Z)^{2g}$$

and therefore for $j \geq 0$ we have

$$\pi_j \Omega \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_{j+1} \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_{j+3} Z \times (\pi_{j+2} Z)^{2g} \cong \pi_j \Omega(\Omega^2 Z) \times \pi_j \Omega(\Omega Z)^{2g}.$$

So after relabeling we have that for $k \geq 1$ that

$$(2) \quad \pi_k \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong (\pi_{k+1} Z)^{2g} \times \pi_{k+2} Z.$$

So by equation (??) and lemma (??) we have that

$$\pi_k \mathbf{Map}(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_k Z \times \pi_k \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_k Z \times (\pi_{k+1} Z)^{2g} \times \pi_{k+2} Z.$$

□

4.2. The π_0 case.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\mathbb{D}^2 \subset W_g$ be a disk containing the base point of W_g on its boundary. Assume further that this disk does not intersect the 1-skeleton of W_g other than at the base point. Now consider the map:*

$$\pi_2 Z \times \pi_0 \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \longrightarrow \pi_0 \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$$

$$(3) \quad ([\omega : S^2 \rightarrow Z], [f : W_g \rightarrow Z]) \mapsto [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\omega \vee f} Z] =: [\omega] \cdot [f]$$

where the map $W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g$ collapses the boundary of the disk \mathbb{D}^2 to the base point. This map is well defined and gives a group action of $\pi_2 Z$ on the set $\pi_0 \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$.

Proof. First note that the addition rule of $\pi_2 Z$ can be described as

$$[S^2 \xrightarrow{\omega_2} Z] + [S^2 \xrightarrow{\omega_1} Z] = [S^2 \xrightarrow{c} S^2 \vee S^2 \xrightarrow{\omega_2 \vee \omega_1} Z]$$

where the map $S^2 \xrightarrow{c} S^2 \vee S^2$ collapses the equator containing the basepoint. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega_2] \cdot ([\omega_1] \cdot [f]) &= [\omega_2] \cdot [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\omega_1 \vee f} Z] = [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\omega_2 \vee (\omega_1 \vee f)} Z] \\ &= [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\omega_2 \vee \text{collapse}} S^2 \vee (S^2 \vee W_g) \xrightarrow{\omega_2 \vee (\omega_1 \vee f)} Z] \\ &= [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{c \vee \text{id}} S^2 \vee S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\omega_2 \vee \omega_1 \vee f} Z] = ([\omega_2] + [\omega_1]) \cdot [f]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the identity element of $\pi_2 Z$ is $[e] = [S^2 \xrightarrow{\text{const}_*} Z]$, the constant map at the base point. Then

$$[e] \cdot [f] = [W_g \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee W_g \xrightarrow{\text{const}_* \vee f} Z] = [W_g \xrightarrow{f} Z] = [f].$$

Therefore the map (??) does indeed define a group action. \square

Consider the set

$$(\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g} := \{([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \in (\pi_1 Z)^{2g} : [\alpha_1, \beta_1][\alpha_2, \beta_2] \dots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = [e]\}$$

where $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] = \alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1}$ is the commutator of α_i and β_i . Now consider the map

$$\Phi : \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) / \pi_2 Z \longrightarrow (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}$$

$$(4) \quad [W_g \xrightarrow{f} Z] \mapsto ([f|_{a_1}], [f|_{b_1}], \dots, [f|_{a_g}], [f|_{b_g}])$$

where a_i, b_i are the 1-cells of W_g .

Remark 4.7. The map (??) is well defined. W_g is obtained by gluing the boundary of a 2-disk to the 1-skeleton by $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_g b_g a_g^{-1} b_g^{-1}$. So given a continuous based map, $f : W_g \rightarrow Z$, restricting to the 1-skeleton we will have based loops in $f|_{a_1}, f|_{b_1}, \dots, f|_{a_g}, f|_{b_g}$ in Z for which $f|_{a_1} f|_{b_1} f|_{a_1}^{-1} f|_{b_1}^{-1} \dots f|_{a_g} f|_{b_g} f|_{a_g}^{-1} f|_{b_g}^{-1}$ is contractible. Suppose $[f] = [\omega] \cdot [g]$, i.e. $[f]$ and $[g]$ are in the same orbit of (??). As the disk we collapse along in our action does not intersect the 1-skeleton on W_g other than at the base point, restricting f and g to the 1-skeleton will be equivalent up to homotopy. That is $[f|_{a_1}] = [g|_{a_1}], \dots, [f|_{b_g}] = [g|_{b_g}]$, and so the map (??) is well defined.

Lemma 4.8. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) & & \\ \downarrow q & \searrow \tilde{\Phi} & \\ \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) / \pi_2 Z & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}, \end{array}$$

where q is the canonical quotient map by the action (??). First we will show that Φ is surjective, so for each $([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \in (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}$ there is some $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ for which

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \ni [f].$$

Proof. To see that (??) is surjective take any $([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \in (\pi_1 Z)^{2g}$ for which

$$[\alpha_1, \beta_1][\alpha_2, \beta_2] \dots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = [e].$$

We can construct $[f] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) / \pi_2 Z$ as follows: f maps the 0-cell of W_g to the base point of Z . For the 1-skeleton of W_g we have $f(a_i) = \alpha_i, f(b_i) = \beta_i$. And finally the 2-cell of W_g is mapped to the disk bounded by $[\alpha_1, \beta_1][\alpha_2, \beta_2] \dots [\alpha_g, \beta_g]$. \square

Lemma 4.9. Fix some $([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}]) := ([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \in (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}$, and fix some $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}([f]) = ([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$. Let $[g] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$. Then there is some representative $g_{rep} \in [g]$ such that $g_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$.

Proof. The inclusion $sk_1 \hookrightarrow W_g$ is a cofibration. Therefore, the restriction map

$$R : \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(sk_1, Z); \quad g \mapsto g|_{sk_1}$$

is a fibration. So given some $g' \in [g]$, there is a homotopy γ in $\text{Map}_*(sk_1, Z)$ from $g'|_{sk_1}$ to $f|_{sk_1}$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\langle g' \rangle} & \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\ I & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Map}_*(sk_1, Z). \end{array}$$

Given that R is a fibration and the path-lifting property, there is a lift $\tilde{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$. Then take $g_{rep} = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$, and as the diagram commutes... we will have that $g_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Given a map $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ and the action (??) there exists the orbit map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Orbit}(f) : \pi_2 Z &\longrightarrow \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \\ [\omega] &\mapsto [\omega] \cdot [f] =: [\omega f]. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that as sets there is a bijection

$$\text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f)) \cong \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]) \subset \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ q$. Furthermore, we claim that $\text{Orbit}(f)$ is an injective map.

Proof. Fix the map $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ for which $\tilde{\Phi}([f]) = ([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$. Consider the following construction: Given $[g] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$, take some $g_{rep} \in [g]$ such that $g_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$ and define the following map

$$(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f) : S^2 = \mathbb{D}^2 \cup_{\partial \mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{D}^2 \xrightarrow{g|_{\mathbb{D}^2} \cup \bar{f}|_{\mathbb{D}^2}} Z$$

where $\bar{f}|_{\mathbb{D}^2} = f|_{\mathbb{D}^2}$ with the opposite orientation. So given some map $[g] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$ we can construct $(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f) \in \pi_2 Z$ and we will prove the following claims about homotopy classes.

Claim 1: For $[\omega] \in \pi_2 Z$ we will show that for some representative $(\omega f)_{rep} \in [\omega f]$ that

$$[(\omega f)_{rep} \text{ glue } f] = [\omega].$$

Choose a representative $(\omega f)_{rep} \in [\omega f] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$ such that $(\omega f)_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$. As the disk whose boundary we collapse along in ωf is contained entirely in the 2-skeleton of W_g (except the basepoint) we see that the map $((\omega f)_{rep} \text{ glue } f)$ is homotopy equivalent to the composition

$$(5) \quad S^2 \xrightarrow{\text{collapse}} S^2 \vee S^2 \xrightarrow{\omega \vee (f_{rep} \text{ glue } f)} Z.$$

for some $f_{rep} \in [f]$. Now we will show that $(f_{rep} \text{ glue } f) \simeq \text{const}_*$ and as such we see that (??) is homotopy equivalent to ω . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & S^2 = \mathbb{D}^2 \cup_{\partial \mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{D}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathbb{D}^2} \cup \text{id}_{\mathbb{D}^2}} & \mathbb{D}^2 \\ & \swarrow f_{rep} \cup \bar{f} & & & \downarrow f|_{\mathbb{D}^2} \\ W_g \cup_{sk_1} W_g & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{W_g} \cup \text{id}_{W_g}} & W_g & \xrightarrow{f} & Z. \end{array}$$

This shows that

$$(f_{rep} \text{ glue } f) \simeq S^2 = \mathbb{D}^2 \cup_{\partial \mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{D}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathbb{D}^2} \cup \text{id}_{\mathbb{D}^2}} \mathbb{D}^2 \xrightarrow{f|_{\mathbb{D}^2}} Z,$$

and as \mathbb{D}^2 is contractible this map is null homotopic. Therefore, as (??) is homotopy equivalent to ω we have that $[(\omega f)_{rep} \text{ glue } f] = [\omega]$.

Claim 2: Assume that $[g] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$. We will show that $[(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f)] \cdot [f] = [g]$ for $g_{rep} \in [g]$.

First we know that $g_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$, so then consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{D}^2 \cup_{\partial \mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{D}^2) \vee \mathbb{D}^2 & \xrightarrow{(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f) \vee f|_{\mathbb{D}^2}} & Z \\ \uparrow \text{collapse} & \nearrow ((g_{rep} \text{ glue } f) \cdot f)|_{\mathbb{D}^2} & \\ \mathbb{D}^2 & & \end{array}$$

where the map *collapse*, collapses an interior disk of \mathbb{D}^2 . Now fix some homeomorphism on the interior of the disk $h : (I^2, \partial I^2 - I_{top}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}^2, *)$. Now define the piecewise map

$$\Psi : I^2 \longrightarrow Z$$

where

$$\Psi(s, t) = \begin{cases} f|_{\mathbb{D}^2} \circ h(s, t) & x \leq 0 \\ f|_{\mathbb{D}^2} \circ h(s, 1-t) & 0 \leq x \leq 100 \\ g|_{\mathbb{D}^2} \circ h(s, t) & 100 \leq x \end{cases}$$

Now we'll use claims 1 and 2 to show that there is a bijection $\text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f)) \cong \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$ and that $\text{Orbit}(f)$ is injective for all f .

Fix some $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}([f]) = ([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$. Now suppose we have $[g] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ for which there exists some $[\omega] \in \pi_2 Z$ such that $[\omega] \cdot [f] = [g]$. Then $q([g]) = q([f])$ and hence $\tilde{\Phi}([g]) = \tilde{\Phi}([f]) = ([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$. Therefore $[g] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$ showing that $\text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f)) \subset \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$.

Now let $[g] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$, then by lemma (??) there is some representative $g_{rep} \in [g]$ for which $g_{rep}|_{sk_1} = f|_{sk_1}$. Use this g_{rep} to construct the map $(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f)$ and by claim 2 we have that

$$[(g_{rep} \text{ glue } f)] \cdot [f] = [g].$$

Therefore $[g] \in \text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f))$ showing $\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}]) \subset \text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f))$, and so we have that $\text{Image}(\text{Orbit}(f)) \cong \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\bar{\alpha}], [\bar{\beta}])$.

Now suppose then that $\text{Orbit}(f)([\omega_1]) = \text{Orbit}(f)([\omega_2])$, then we know by claim 1 that there are some representative $(\omega_1 f)_{rep}$ and $(\omega_2 f)_{rep}$ such that

$$[\omega_1] = [(\omega_1 f)_{rep} \text{ glue } f]$$

and

$$[\omega_2] = [(\omega_2 f)_{rep} \text{ glue } f]$$

□

Proposition 4.11. *The map Φ defined in (??) is a bijection of sets. Furthermore the action of $\pi_2 Z$ on $\pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ described above is faithful and by the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem there is bijection*

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) \cong \pi_2 Z \times (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}.$$

Proof. We've already shown that Φ is surjective, so we now turn to injectivity. Again, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) & & \\ \downarrow q & \searrow \tilde{\Phi} & \\ \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) / \pi_2 Z & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & (\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}. \end{array}$$

Given $[f], [g] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z) / \pi_2 Z$ for which $\Phi([f]) = \Phi([g])$. Then there are corresponding $[\tilde{f}], [\tilde{g}] \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}([\tilde{f}]) = \tilde{\Phi}([\tilde{g}])$. Therefore, $[\tilde{f}]$ and $[\tilde{g}]$ lie in the preimage for some fixed element of $(\pi_1 Z)_{com}^{2g}$ i.e.

$$[\tilde{f}], [\tilde{g}] \in \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}([\alpha_1], [\beta_1], \dots, [\alpha_g], [\beta_g]).$$

Then by lemma (??) there is some $[\omega] \in \pi_2 Z$ for which $[\omega] \cdot [\tilde{f}] = [\tilde{g}]$. Therefore

$$[f] = q([\tilde{f}]) = q([\omega] \cdot [\tilde{f}]) = q([\tilde{g}]) = [g]$$

and we have that Φ is injective.

Also, because for each $f \in \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ the orbit map

$$\text{Orbit}(f) : \pi_2 Z \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Map}_*(W_g, Z); \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\omega] \cdot [f]$$

is injective by lemma (??) only $[const_*] \mapsto [const_*] \cdot [f] \simeq [f]$. All other $[\omega] \in \pi_2 Z$ must map to other distinct elements in $\pi_0 \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$. Therefore for any $[f] \in \pi_0 \mathbf{Map}_*(W_g, Z)$ we have that $[\omega] \cdot [f] \simeq [f]$ implies that $[\omega] \simeq [const_*]$ showing our action is faithful. \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Proof. \square

6. IMMERSIONS OF TORI INTO COMPACT HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

REFERENCES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOZEMAN, MT 59717
E-mail address: david.ayala@montana.edu