

# **Vascular Surgery Exam Prep**

Editors: Adam Johnson, MD, MPH; Matt Smith, MD, PhD; and Audible Bleeding

r Sys.Date()

# Table of contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>3</b>
Usage . . . . .	3
Comments, Questions or Contributions . . . . .	3
<b>1 Cerebrovascular</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Available Guidelines . . . . .	4
1.2 Presentation and Diagnosis . . . . .	4
1.3 Management . . . . .	6
1.3.1 Medical Management - Asymptomatic Patients . . . . .	6
1.3.2 Medical Management - Symptomatic Patients . . . . .	7
1.3.3 Surgery - Carotid Endarterectomy . . . . .	8
1.3.4 Endovascular - Carotid Artery Stenting . . . . .	12
1.3.5 Summary of Prospective Trials . . . . .	13
1.4 Uncommon Carotid Disease . . . . .	15
1.4.1 Complicated Extracranial Occlusive Disease . . . . .	15
1.4.2 Vertebrobasilar Disease . . . . .	16
1.4.3 Carotid artery dissection . . . . .	16
1.4.4 Internal Carotid Artery Aneurysms . . . . .	17
1.4.5 Carotid Body Tumors (CBT) . . . . .	17
<b>References</b>	<b>18</b>

# Preface

This content was developed by the [Audible Bleeding Team](#) to accompany our board review podcast series. Each chapter covers a key domain of vascular surgery, essential for board review preparation, and is associated with an Audible Bleeding episode which you can access through the link embeded into the text.

## Usage

This e-book is not intended to be a comprehensive board review guide, but instead serves as an easily accessible resource, paired with our podcast content to help streamline exam preparation.

## Comments, Questions or Contributions

Please visit our [github page](#) or [send us an email](#).

# 1 Cerebrovascular

**UNDER CONSTRUCTION - This Chapter is Currently in DRAFT mode**

**07 Jan 2019:** *Adam Johnson, MD, MPH; Nicole Rich, MD, MPH; Kevin Knierly, MD, MPH*

## 1.1 Available Guidelines

[Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease](#) (Ali F. AbuRahma et al. 2022)

## 1.2 Presentation and Diagnosis

### 1. What is the definition of crescendo TIAs?

The presenting symptoms of extracranial carotid disease is of the utmost importance to understand. Focal neurologic symptoms that occur suddenly and then resolve within 24 hours are called a transient ischemic attack (TIA). If the deficit persists beyond 24 hours, then it is considered a stroke. Strokes are then graded according to the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). (Fischer et al. 2010)

A particularly confusing distinction is between a crescendo TIA and a stroke in evolution. A crescendo TIA is frequent repetitive neurological attacks without complete resolution of the deficit between the episodes, usually over a 24 hour period. The result of which is the same neurological deficit. If the patient exhibits progressive deterioration in neurological function between TIA episodes this is classified as a stroke in evolution not a crescendo TIA. (Ricotta, n.d.) These are both particularly concerning findings and may be an indication for an emergent intervention.

### 2. Who needs to be screened for carotid disease?

Not everyone should be screened for carotid disease, however, as only 15% of patients who have a stroke experience a warning TIA prior to their event, there is merit in screening high risk patients. (Rockman 2019) The purpose of carotid bifurcation imaging is to detect “stroke-prone” carotid bifurcation plaque and identify a high-risk patient likely to benefit from therapy designed to reduce stroke risk.

Traditionally, the clinical sign associated with asymptomatic carotid stenosis is the presence of a neck bruit on auscultation. Focal ipsilateral carotid bruits in symptomatic patients has a sensitivity of 63% and a specificity of 61% for high-grade carotid stenosis (range, 70%-99%). As these figures suggest the absence of carotid bruit does not absolutely exclude the presence of a significant carotid bifurcation lesion, therefore additional criteria are used to determine which patients would benefit from screening:

- Evidence of clinically significant peripheral vascular disease regardless of age
- Patients aged >65 years with a history of one or more of the following atherosclerotic risk factors:
  - CAD
  - Smoking
  - Hypercholesterolemia

In general, the more risk factors present, the higher the yield of screening for the patient. Presence of a carotid bruit, AAA or family history of disease alone is not sufficient to warrant imaging. (Ali F. AbuRahma and Perler 2022)

### 3. Ultrasound Imaging

- US is considered the best, least invasive, and cost effective method for detecting carotid stenosis on screening. (Shaalan et al. 2008) For more see @ref(extracranial).
- 50-69% stenosis of ICA - US has a low sensitivity for 50-69% stenosis. A negative ultrasound in symptomatic patients necessitates additional imaging. Typically on scanning if 50-69% ICA stenosis is present:
  - PSV 125-229 cm/sec
  - EDV 40-100
  - Internal/Common Carotid PSV Ratio 2-4
- 70-99% stenosis of ICA- Typically on scanning:
  - PSV  $\geq$  230 cm/sec
  - EDV >100 (EDV > 140 cm/sec most sensitive for stenosis >80%)
  - Internal/Common Carotid PSV Ratio > 4
- Velocity-based estimation of carotid artery stenosis may need to be adjusted in certain circumstances:
  - Higher velocities in women than in men.
  - Higher velocities in the presence of contralateral carotid artery occlusion.

- High carotid bifurcation, severe arterial tortuosity, extensive vascular calcification, and obesity may also reduce the accuracy of US imaging.

#### 4. Other Imaging Modalities

- CTA
  - Pro - fast, sub-millimeter spatial resolution, visualize surrounding structures.
  - Con - cost, contrast exposure.
- MRA
  - Pro - no contrast administered; can analyze plaque morphology.
  - Con - Does not visualize calcium in plaque; overestimates the degree of stenosis (False positive for 50-69% to be read as >70%).
- Catheter-based digital subtraction imaging (DSA)
  - Still considered by many the gold-standard imaging modality.
  - Reserved for individuals with conflicting less-invasive imaging or those considered for CAS.
  - Con - cost, contrast, and risk of stroke.

## 1.3 Management

### 1.3.1 Medical Management - Asymptomatic Patients

First line management for asymptomatic patients with carotid stenosis is medical optimization of risk factors. The benefit of prophylactic intervention (CEA/CAS) of even high grade stenosis is estimated at a 1-2% stroke reduction risk per year. (A. Ross Naylor 2015) In addition, keep in mind that intervention (CEA/CAS) has only demonstrated a benefit in asymptomatic patient with life expectancy greater than 3 years. (Bulbulia and Halliday 2017; Halliday et al. 2010; Rosenfield et al. 2016)

#### Hypertension

- Blood pressure reduction, with a target of <140/90 mmHg through lifestyle interventions and anti-hypertensive treatment is recommended in individuals who have hypertension with asymptomatic carotid atherosclerosis or those with TIA or stroke after the hyper-acute period.
- Each 10-mm Hg reduction in blood pressure among hypertensive patients decreases the risk of stroke by 33% in patients aged 60-79. (Aiyagari and Gorelick 2009)

## Diabetes

- In diabetic patients glycaemic control to nearly normoglycemic levels (target hemoglobin A1C <7%) is recommended to reduce microvascular complications and, with a smaller evidence base, macrovascular complications other than stroke.(Ricotta, n.d.)

## Hypercholesterolaemia

- Statin agents are recommended targeting LDL of 100 mg/dL, for those with coronary heart disease or symptomatic atherosclerotic disease, and LDL of 70 mg/dL for very high-risk persons with multiple risk factors.
- High dose statin therapy in patients with TIA/stroke reduce future rates of stroke or cardiovascular events but not overall mortality at 5 years. (Karam, Loney-Hutchinson, and McFarlane 2008)

**Smoking Cessation** - Encouraging smoking cessation is paramount for these patients. Smoking and carotid disease have been found to have a dose dependent relationship based on pack-years. Risk of stroke has been shown to reduce to baseline within 2-4 years of smoking cessation.(Hicks et al. 2015; Kawachi et al. 1993; Petrik et al. 1995; Wilson et al. 1997)

**Antiplatelet therapy** - Single agent antiplatelet therapy, most often aspirin, is often all that is needed, but this should be weighted with other cardiovascular risk factors. A number of trials have showed limited benefit of adding an additional antiplatelet or routinely testing for clopidogrel resistance.(Ricotta, n.d.)

### 1.3.2 Medical Management - Symptomatic Patients

Patients who present with a TIA should be placed on dual antiplatelet therapy, often aspirin and clopidogrel, which are continued through the workup and treatment of carotid disease. Patients often also have their blood pressure medications reduced to allow permissive hypertension and maximize perfusion to at risk cerebral tissue.

While we are not often directly involved in the management of acute stroke, vascular surgeons should be aware of best practices in this area. Management of acute stroke currently follow these timelines(Powers et al. 2018)

- < 4.5 hours from onset of symptoms - medical thrombolysis with tPA unless contraindicated.
  - Patient age >80 and diabetes are contraindications to tPA administration after 3hrs.
  - Other contraindications include - hypertension, intracranial hemorrhage, recent stroke or cranial trauma, spine/brain surgery within 3 months, GI bleed within 21 days.

- <6 hours from onset of symptoms - catheter directed therapy
- After 6 hours, there is limited benefit to thrombolytic therapy.

### 1.3.3 Surgery - Carotid Endarterectomy

#### Indications for Surgical Intervention

- Recommendations on when to operate after a TIA/stroke.
  - Acute stroke with a fixed neurologic deficit of >6h duration - When the patient is medically stable, intervention in 14 days or less after stroke is preferable. (Rothwell et al. 2004; Meershoek and de Borst 2018)
  - Consider urgent intervention in a medically stable patient with mild-moderate neurologic deficit, if there is a significant area of ischemic penumbra at risk for progression on imaging that is contributing to the patient's presentation.
  - Stroke in evolution (fluctuating / evolving neurological deficit) or crescendo TIA (repetitive transient ischemia with improvement between events) - If the patient's neurological status is not stabilized by medical intervention then an urgent CEA should be considered.
  - In this clinical context CEA is preferred to CAS based on an increased embolic potential of carotid lesions. (Rantner et al. 2017)
- What is the only emergent indication for CEA?
  - Crescendo TIAs or a stroke in evolution with a surgically correctable lesion identified on imaging.

#### CEA Intraoperative Techniques

- General concepts
  - Patch angioplasty or eversion endarterectomy are recommended over primary arterial closure due to decreased ipsilateral stroke, perioperative carotid occlusion, return to the operating room, restenosis and 1-year stroke rates.(Goodney et al. 2010; Rerkasem and Rothwell 2009) Patch repair is considered the standard of care surgical management for most extracranial carotid lesions.(Arnold and Perler 2019)
- Neuromonitoring/Shunting options during a carotid endarterectomy(Chongruksut, Vaniyapong, and Rerkasem 2014; Wiske et al. 2018)



- Local/regional anesthesia with direct neurological monitoring - the benefit being that the patient is awake and moving to command throughout the case. Indications for shunting include lateralizing deficits, seizure, lack of consciousness and severe anxiety. Improved neuromonitoring, however, has not been shown to reduce myocardial infarction rate with CEA.
- Stump pressure- Clamp the inflow and place a butterfly attached to a-line tubing into the internal carotid artery. If the stump pressure is  $> 50$  mmHg the surgeon can chose to proceed without shunt placement, if  $< 50$ mmHg then a shunt should be placed prior to proceeding.
- EEG Neuromonitoring - EEG tech places neuromonitoring, monitored by the technician and neurologist remotely intraoperatively. Generally the surgeon should clamp the ICA for 3 minutes before proceeding, if the patient develops neurological deficit/EEG abnormalities with slowing and decreased alpha and beta waves, then unclamp, await normalization of EEG, then proceed.
- Cerebral Oximetry - 15% decrease in either hemisphere should be shunted.
- Transcranial Doppler (TCD) - severe slowing in the middle cerebral artery. An RCT demonstrated that TCD and cerebral oximetry changes most accurately predicted cerebral ischemia. Detection of a greater than 50% drop in middle cerebral artery velocity using transcranial Doppler is 100% sensitive for detecting cerebral ischemia. (Moritz et al. 2007) For more see @ref(intracranial).
- Non-selective shunting - the surgeon uses a shunt for all CEAs as standard practice, this is often done for all CEAs performed under general anesthesia
- Techniques to reach high internal carotid lesions?(Beretta et al. 2006)
  - A good knowledge of the available imaging while preparing for the procedure is essential when considering the level of the carotid bifurcation.
  - Nasotracheal intubation will allow for jaw closure and neck extension to facilitate exposure of higher lesions.
  - Division of the posterior belly of the digastric muscle, stylopharyngeal muscle, styloglossus muscle, stylomandibular ligament or the styloid process. Care should be taken to identify and preserve the glossopharyngeal nerve.
  - Division of the occipital artery.
  - Dissection along the posterior parotid gland.
  - ENT surgeon assisted mandible subluxation with assistance if previous techniques fail.

- What is the best technique for a patient with an anatomically kinked internal carotid artery?
  - Eversion carotid endarterectomy (only indication for selection for this technique over patch endarterectomy), transection allows for reduction of redundancy at re-anastomosis.
  - Otherwise, no advantage has been shown between eversion or patch, both can be shunted.
- Nerve Injuries – where you would encounter these and, if injured, what deficit would be seen?
  - Hypoglossal: Most commonly injured. Usually passes above the bifurcation of the carotid artery. If injured the tongue will deviate toward the side of injury on protrusion.
  - Glossopharyngeal: Commonly injured during high dissections. Found under the posterior digastric muscle. Injury can be devastating for patients resulting in difficulty swallowing and high aspiration risk.
  - Vagus: Usually found adjacent and lateral to the common carotid. Injury can occur with carotid clamping. Patients develop hoarseness due to innervation of the laryngeal muscles from the recurrent laryngeal nerve which is a branch of the vagus.
  - Marginal Mandibular: Branch of the facial nerve. Runs inferiorly to the angle of the mandible. Commonly a retraction injury during high dissections leading to the corner of the ipsilateral lip drooping, can be confused with a significant neurological deficit following the case.
- Completion duplex for CEA
  - Can identify technical issues with carotid endarterectomy such as clamp site injury, thrombosis, intimal flap or dissection, or missed disease.(Lipski et al. 1996)
    - \* Acute thrombus may be hypoechoic and difficult to see on B-mode, color flow necessary to determine luminal narrowing or occlusion. For more see @ref(extracranial).
  - Revision required if residual plaque identified with severe velocity elevation.(Weinstein et al. 2015)
    - \* Elevated velocities can be seen for many reasons that do not require revision, including incorrect technique (compression with probe), tortuosity, size discrepancy, ICA spasm.(Ricco et al. 2013; Weinstein et al. 2015)
  - One study demonstrated that 2.3% of carotids required revision for concerning findings on completion duplex ultrasound.(Ascher et al. 2004)

## Postoperative Complications

- What to do if the patient develops neuro deficits following carotid endarterectomy: Two main concerns- an intimal flap causing thrombosis or an embolic event resulting in a stroke. Perform a thorough neurological exam to confirm in operated carotid territory.
  - If in OR – perform duplex, very low threshold to re-explore and confirm patency of ICA with duplex or doppler. If ICA open and concerned for distal embolization, then perform a cerebral angiogram and proceed with thrombolysis or thrombectomy.(Fletcher et al. 2016)
  - If in Recovery or on the floor – many would consider CTA first line vs duplex to look for thrombosis.
- Risk factors and how to manage hyperperfusion syndrome?
  - Defined as an ipsilateral headache, hypertension, neurological deficits likely in distribution of the carotid which can progress to seizures and intracranial hemorrhage (75-100% mortality). Can present 2-3 days post surgery.(Kaku, Yoshimura, and Kokuzawa 2004)
  - Patients with uncontrolled hypertension are at risk for hyperperfusion syndrome, clinical practice guidelines from SVS recommend strict BP control following CEA, with target pressure being less than 140/80mmHg or 20mmHg from preoperative baseline.(Abou-Chebl et al. 2004)
- High risk groups
  - ESRD patients have higher rates of perioperative stroke, but also have higher rates of stroke if not revascularized. (Klarin et al. 2016)

## Long term complications and follow up

- Recommend f/u US duplex of bilateral carotids at  $\leq 30$  days.  $\geq 50\%$  stenosis further imaging is indicated.
- Ipsilateral stenosis
  - 0-3mo likely due to inadequate endarterectomy or clamp injury.(Kang et al. 2014)
  - 1-3y likely neointimal hyperplasia, smooth and regular. If  $<80\%$  stenosis and asymptomatic, then duplex surveillance and antiplatelet. If progresses, then often endo treatment first.(Garzon-Muvdi et al. 2016)
- Contralateral stenosis:
  - The risk of progression for moderate stenosis at the initial surveillance to severe stenosis in the contralateral artery can be as high as 5 times.

- Requires post-operative surveillance.
- Carotid artery patch infections
  - Rare complication (<1%) presenting as phlegmon, pseudoaneurysm, sinus tract or carotid cutaneous fistula.(Stone et al. 2011)
  - Treatment is excision and replacement with autologous tissue.(Fatima et al. 2019)

### 1.3.4 Endovascular - Carotid Artery Stenting

- In patients aged >70 the risk of intra- or post procedure stroke was the highest, if undergoing CAS, presumably due to calcified disease in the arch.
  - Lesion-specific characteristics are thought to increase the risk of cerebral vascular events after CAS and include a “soft” lipid-rich plaque identified on noninvasive imaging, extensive (15 mm or more) disease, a pre-occlusive lesion, and circumferential heavy calcification.
  - This risk can be reduced, but not eliminated, by using flow-reversal embolic protection rather than distal filter protection.
- Limited data is available on CAS in asymptomatic patients - currently is not supported by guidelines or considered reimbursable.
- Consider CAS in symptomatic patients with >50% stenosis who are poor candidates for CEA due to severe uncorrectable medical comorbidities and/or anatomic considerations:
  - Ipsilateral neck dissection or XRT - equivalent periprocedural stroke rate to CEA, but increased later stroke rate. CEA higher rates of cranial nerve damage (9%). (Giannopoulos et al. 2018)
  - Contralateral vocal cord paralysis.
  - Lesions that extend proximally to the clavicle or distal to C2.
- Transfemoral Approach vs Transcarotid approach
  - ROADSTER Trial - single arm study with flow reversal for cerebral protection. Suggested lower rates of post-op stroke in patients undergoing TCAR.
  - TCAR contraindications include previous CCA intervention, CCA disease at entry site, <5cm CCA (clavicle to bifurcation) for sheath, CCA < 6mm, contralateral recurrent laryngeal or vagus nerve injury.(Kwolek et al. 2015)
  - Patient needs to be preloaded with dual antiplatelet medications for at least 5-7d, should delay procedure if has not been appropriately loaded with antiplatelets.(Brott et al. 2010; Kwolek et al. 2015; Valls et al. 2017)

- Studies with TCD have shown that there may be reduced embolization rates with TCAR over transfemoral stenting.(Plessers et al. 2016)
- Complications
  - Post stenting ICA thrombosis should first be treated with abciximab and catheter directed thrombolysis, followed by endo-salvage with suction thrombectomy, repeat angioplasty or stenting. May require eventual conversion to CEA.(Coelho et al. 2019; A. R. Naylor et al. 2018)
- Post-CAS follow up - Dual-platelet therapy should be continued for 1 month after the procedure, and aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
  - In stent restenosis (>50%) - 4-times higher rate of stroke than no recurrence of disease, but more benign than de novo disease.(Clavel et al. 2019) Stenosis should be confirmed with CTA. No clear difference between treatments in literature - PBA, Cutting, DBA, stenting, open conversion.(Arhuidese et al. 2017) Repeat angioplasty or stent have low incidence of periprocedural stroke but failed to improve long term stroke/death/MI or patency rates.(Chung et al. 2016)

### 1.3.5 Summary of Prospective Trials

1. Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study (ACAS)
  - Compared medical management with CEA in asymptomatic patients with > 60% stenosis.
  - 5-year stroke and death rate was 5.1% vs 11%.
  - In women, the benefit of CEA was not as certain as 5y stroke and death rates were 7.3% vs. 8.7%.
  - This trial was conducted pre-statin and clopidogrel era.
2. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) (North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators 1991)
  - Compared medical management vs CEA for symptomatic patients with moderate (50-69%) and severe stenosis (>70%)
  - Only moderate impact for patients with moderate stenosis (50-69%)
  - Symptomatic patients with >70 % stenosis benefited from CEA, at 18 months 7% major stroke in surgical arm, and a 24% stroke rate in medical arm. 29% reduction in 5-year risk of stroke or death.

- Patients with severe  $>70\%$  stenosis had such a dramatic effect the trial was stopped early for this subset and all referred for endarterectomy.
  - No benefit is shown in symptomatic patients with  $< 50\%$  stenosis
  - European studies have shown similar results
    - ACST = ACAS
    - ECST = NASCET.
  - **Take a listen!** [Click hear to listen to Dr. William Jordan discuss this landmark paper!](#)
3. Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial (CREST).(Silver et al. 2011)
- Compared CEA vs. CAS in both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.
  - Composite endpoint of 30-day stroke, MI, death equivalent between CEA and CAS.
  - CAS had a significantly higher incidence of stroke and death than CEA and CEA higher incidence of MI.
    - Follow up at 10 years demonstrated no difference in composite stroke/MI/death but increased rate of stroke/death in stented patients likely attributable to increased periprocedural stroke. (Brott et al. 2016)
    - CAS patients with stroke had a higher impact on QOL than CEA patients with MI.(Brott et al. 2010; Redfern, Rodseth, and Bickard 2011; Mantese et al. 2010)
  - Subanalyses identified that older patients ( $>70y$ ) had better outcomes after CEA than CAS, the QOL impact of stroke was more significant than that of MI, and anatomic characteristics of carotid lesions (longer, sequential, remote) were predictive of increased stroke and death after CAS.
  - Unfortunately, this study provides a benchmark to strive for, but no other large trials have achieved these results.
4. ROADSTER(Kwolk et al. 2015)
- Single arm feasibility trial of transcarotid carotid stenting.
  - The results of the ROADSTER trial demonstrate that the use of the ENROUTE Transcarotid NPS is safe and effective at preventing stroke during CAS. The overall stroke rate of 1.4% is the lowest reported to date for any prospective, multicenter clinical trial of CAS (i.e. CREST). No direct comparison.
5. Trials to look out for in the next few years:

- CREST-2 - a multicenter, randomized controlled trial underway evaluating revascularization against modern intensive medical management.
- ACT-1 and ACST-2- the role of intervention in asymptomatic patients, designed to compare the early and long-term results of CEA vs CAS and best medical management.
- ROADSTER-2 - TCAR.

## 1.4 Uncommon Carotid Disease

### 1.4.1 Complicated Extracranial Occlusive Disease

- Occluded Carotid: What is the management of an occluded carotid?
  - Leave it alone.
- What if an occluded carotid on imaging is still causing TIAs?
  - Ongoing symptoms in the setting of carotid occlusion is known as carotid artery stump syndrome. Symptoms are likely due to emboli from friable intima of the internal carotid or from the external carotid through collaterals. Treatment is external carotid endarterectomy and ligation of the internal carotid.(Hrbáč et al. 2012)
  - The addition of oral anticoagulation is likely to reduce the rate of recurrent stroke.
- Simultaneous coronary and carotid disease
  - Patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis will benefit from CEA before or concomitant with CABG. The timing of the intervention depends on the clinical presentation and institutional experience (GRADE 1, Level of Evidence B).
  - Patients with severe bilateral asymptomatic carotid stenosis, including stenosis and contralateral occlusion, should be considered for CEA before or concomitant with CABG (GRADE 2, Level of Evidence B).
  - Patients undergoing simultaneous CEA/CABG demonstrate highest mortality. (A. R. Naylor et al. 2003)
- What about tandem lesions in the carotid in a symptomatic patient, carotid bulb and carotid siphon lesion (high ICA)? How should you treat this?
  - Treat carotid bulb and extracranial disease first, likely to be the embolic source.

- Treatment of intracranial disease has been shown to increase rates of stroke and death.(Chimowitz et al. 2011). Extracranial to intracranial bypass has increased risk of stroke over BMT.(Group 1985)
- What if the patient has severe vertebrobasilar insufficiency and carotid artery disease?
  - Should undergo carotid revascularization first to improve flow. Then reassess for vertebrobasilar insufficiency.
  - Vertebrobasilar insufficiency characterized by dizziness, ataxia, nausea, vertigo and bilateral weakness. (Lima Neto et al. 2017a; Noh, Remke, and Ruland 2014)

### 1.4.2 Vertebrobasilar Disease

- How do you treat isolated vertebral disease?
  - Most common etiology is atherosclerosis, but can also be caused by embolism, dissection or migraines.(Lima Neto et al. 2017b)
  - Symptomatic primary vertebral disease with >60% stenosis should be treated. Vertebral divided into segments. V1 preforaminal (lower than C6), V2 foraminal (C6-C2), V3 (C2-dura), V4 (intracranial).(Morasch 2019; Berguer, Morasch, and Kline 1998)
  - Disease of V1 should be treated with vertebral transposition of bypass with vein.(Rangel-Castilla et al. 2015)
    - \* Most common complication is Horner's Syndrome due to disruption of the sympathetic chain (20%), next is TIA (1-3%).(Coleman et al. 2013; Ramirez et al. 2012)
  - Disease of V2 is most difficult to access and should be treated with bypass from ICA to V3.(Berguer 1985)
  - RCT of BMT vs endo treatment did not show superiority of endo treatment, recurrent symptoms most common, periprocedural stroke was rare.(Antoniou et al. 2012; Coward et al. 2007)

### 1.4.3 Carotid artery dissection

- Patients with carotid dissection should be initially treated with antithrombotic therapy (antiplatelet agents or anticoagulation) (GRADE 1, Level of Evidence C).
- Indications for endovascular treatment of carotid artery dissection (Cohen et al. 2012; Markus et al. 2019; Pham et al. 2011)
  - Ongoing symptoms on best medical therapy
  - Contraindication to antithrombotics
  - Pseudoaneurysm



#### 1.4.4 Internal Carotid Artery Aneurysms

- Defined as dilation of the bulb 200% of the internal carotid artery or 150% of the common carotid artery. Like popliteal aneurysms the major risk is thromboembolization.(Bush, Long, and Atkins 2019; Fankhauser et al. 2015; Pourier et al. 2018)
- Treatment is resection with primary repair or interposition. Distorted anatomy increased the risk for cranial nerve injury (12%).(Welleweerd et al. 2015)
- Redundant ICA - should be repaired if patient symptomatic due to kinking. Treated with resection and primary repair with shortening and straightening.(Ballotta et al. 2005)
- Fibromuscular Dysplasia (FMD)(Olin and Sealove 2011) - for more, see @ref(fibromuscular-dysplasia-fmd)
  - Asymptomatic disease can often be treated with antiplatelets alone.
  - Duplex ultrasound demonstrates classic “chain of lakes” appearance. For more see @ref(extracranial)
  - Associated with intracranial aneurysms (13%), therefore may be reasonable to also pursue brain imaging if identified. However, no formal guidelines exist.(Lather et al. 2017)

#### 1.4.5 Carotid Body Tumors (CBT)

Shamblin criteria utilized to determine difficulty of resection and is associated with rates of carotid reconstruction or cranial nerve injury.

Blood supply primarily from the external carotid artery.(Robertson et al. 2019; Davila et al. 2016) Embolization helps reduce risk of perioperative blood loss not associated with reduced cranial nerve injury. Resection 24-36hrs after embolization.(Power et al. 2012)

One quarter (25%) are associated with a germline mutation or familial history. Genetic testing recommended in patients with multifocal tumors, associated paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma or family history. SDHD gene mutation is most common associated with familial paraganglioma.(Davila et al. 2016; Kruger et al. 2010)

Evaluating for carotid body ligation - When involved with a CBT or other skull base tumors, cerebral perfusion should be thoroughly evaluated prior to surgical resection to determine whether a patient will tolerate carotid ligation. Evaluation includes balloon occlusion testing with xenon-enhanced SPECT imaging. Low risk for ligation if no neurologic deficits (after 30min and hypotensive challenge) and normal diffusion of SPECT (ratio of >0.9). Angiography with contralateral occlusion evaluates collaterals.(Sugawara et al., n.d.; Tansavatdi et al. 2015)

## References

- Abou-Chebl, Alex, Jay S Yadav, Joel P Reginelli, Christopher Bajzer, Deepak Bhatt, and Derk W Krieger. 2004. "Intracranial Hemorrhage and Hyperperfusion Syndrome Following Carotid Artery Stenting: Risk Factors, Prevention, and Treatment." *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 43 (9): 1596–1601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2003.12.039>.
- AbuRahma, Ali F., Efthymios D. Avgerinos, Robert W. Chang, R. Clement Darling, Audra A. Duncan, Thomas L. Forbes, Mahmoud B. Malas, et al. 2022. "Society for Vascular Surgery Clinical Practice Guidelines for Management of Extracranial Cerebrovascular Disease." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 75 (1): 4S–22S. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2021.04.073>.
- AbuRahma, Ali F, and Bruce A Perler. 2022. *Noninvasive Vascular Diagnosis: A Practical Textbook for Clinicians*.
- Aiyagari, Venkatesh, and Philip B. Gorelick. 2009. "Management of Blood Pressure for Acute and Recurrent Stroke." *Stroke* 40 (6): 2251–56. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.531574>.
- Antoniou, George A., David Murray, George S. Georgiadis, Stavros A. Antoniou, Andrew Schiro, Ferdinand Serracino-Inglott, and J. Vincent Smyth. 2012. "Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty and Stenting in Patients with Proximal Vertebral Artery Stenosis." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 55 (4): 1167–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2011.09.084>.
- Arhuidese, Isibor J., Bisma Nejm, Susruth Chavali, Satinderjit Locham, Tammam Obeid, Caitlin W. Hicks, and Mahmoud B. Malas. 2017. "Endarterectomy Versus Stenting in Patients with Prior Ipsilateral Carotid Artery Stenting." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 65 (5): 1418–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2016.11.041>.
- Arnold, Maggie, and Bruce A. Perler. 2019. "91. Carotid Endarterectomy." In *Rutherford's Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Therapy*. Elsevier Inc.
- Ascher, Enrico, Natalia Markevich, Sreedhar Kallakuri, Richard W. Schutzer, and Anil P. Hingorani. 2004. "Intraoperative Carotid Artery Duplex Scanning in a Modern Series of 650 Consecutive Primary Endarterectomy Procedures." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 39 (2): 416–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2003.09.019>.
- Ballotta, Enzo, Gaetano Thiene, Claudio Baracchini, Mario Ermani, Carmelo Militello, Giuseppe Da Giau, Bruno Barbon, and Annalisa Angelini. 2005. "Surgical Vs Medical Treatment for Isolated Internal Carotid Artery Elongation with Coiling or Kinking in Symptomatic Patients: A Prospective Randomized Clinical Study." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 42 (5): 838–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2005.07.034>.
- Beretta, Federica, Salah A. Hemida, Norberto Andaluz, Mario Zuccarello, and Jeffrey T. Keller. 2006. "Exposure of the Cervical Internal Carotid Artery: Surgical Steps to the Cranial Base and Morphometric Study." *Neurosurgery* 59 (1 Suppl 1): ONS25–34; discussion ONS25–34.

- <https://doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000219877.43072.49>.
- Berguer, R. 1985. "Distal Vertebral Artery Bypass: Technique, the 'Occipital Connection,' and Potential Uses." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 2 (4): 621–26.
- Berguer, R., M. D. Morasch, and R. A. Kline. 1998. "Transthoracic Repair of Innominate and Common Carotid Artery Disease: Immediate and Long-Term Outcome for 100 Consecutive Surgical Reconstructions." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 27 (1): 34–41; discussion 42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0741-5214\(98\)70289-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0741-5214(98)70289-7).
- Brott, Thomas G., Robert W. Hobson, George Howard, Gary S. Roubin, Wayne M. Clark, William Brooks, Ariane Mackey, et al. 2010. "Stenting Versus Endarterectomy for Treatment of Carotid-Artery Stenosis." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 363 (1): 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0912321>.
- Brott, Thomas G., George Howard, Gary S. Roubin, James F. Meschia, Ariane Mackey, William Brooks, Wesley S. Moore, et al. 2016. "Long-Term Results of Stenting Versus Endarterectomy for Carotid-Artery Stenosis." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 374 (11): 1021–31. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1505215>.
- Bulbulia, Richard, and Alison Halliday. 2017. "The Asymptomatic Carotid Surgery Trial-2 (ACST-2): An Ongoing Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Carotid Endarterectomy with Carotid Artery Stenting to Prevent Stroke." *Health Technology Assessment* 21 (57): 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21570>.
- Bush, Ruth L, Paul Long, and Marvin D Atkins. 2019. "94. Carotid Artery Aneurysms." In *Rutherford's Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Therapy, 2-Volume Set*, 1242–1254e. Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-42791-3.00094-3>.
- Chimowitz, Marc I., Michael J. Lynn, Colin P. Derdeyn, Tanya N. Turan, David Fiorella, Bethany F. Lane, L. Scott Janis, et al. 2011. "Stenting Versus Aggressive Medical Therapy for Intracranial Arterial Stenosis." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 365 (11): 993–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1105335>.
- Chongruksut, Wilaiwan, Tanat Vaniyapong, and Kittipan Rerkasem. 2014. "Routine or Selective Carotid Artery Shunting for Carotid Endarterectomy (and Different Methods of Monitoring in Selective Shunting)." *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014 (6): CD000190. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000190.pub3>.
- Chung, Jayar, Wilmer Valentine, Sherene E. Sharath, Amita Pathak, Neal R. Barshes, George Pisimisis, Panagiotis Kougias, and Joseph L. Mills. 2016. "Percutaneous Intervention for Carotid in-Stent Restenosis Does Not Improve Outcomes Compared with Nonoperative Management." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 64 (5): 1286–1294.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2016.05.086>.
- Clavel, Pierre, Solène Hebert, Suzana Saleme, Charbel Mounayer, Aymeric Rouchaud, and Benoit Marin. 2019. "Cumulative Incidence of Restenosis in the Endovascular Treatment of Extracranial Carotid Artery Stenosis: A Meta-Analysis." *Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery* 11 (9): 916–23. <https://doi.org/10.1136/neurintsurg-2018-014534>.
- Coelho, Andreia Pires, Miguel Lobo, Clara Nogueira, Ricardo Gouveia, Jacinta Campos, Rita Augusto, Nuno Coelho, Ana Carolina Semião, and Alexandra Canedo. 2019. "Overview of Evidence on Risk Factors and Early Management of Acute Carotid Stent Thrombosis During the Last Two Decades." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 69 (3): 952–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2019.03.001>.

[//doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2018.09.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2018.09.053).

- Cohen, José E., John M. Gomori, Eyal Itshayek, Sergey Spektor, Yigal Shoshan, Guy Rosenthal, and Samuel Moscovici. 2012. "Single-Center Experience on Endovascular Reconstruction of Traumatic Internal Carotid Artery Dissections." *The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 72 (1): 216–21. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e31823f630a>.
- Coleman, Dawn M., Andrea Obi, Enrique Criado, Shipra Arya, and Ramon Berguer. 2013. "Contemporary Outcomes After Distal Vertebral Reconstruction." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 58 (1): 152–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2012.12.067>.
- Coward, Lucy J., Dominick J. H. McCabe, Joerg Ederle, Roland L. Featherstone, Andrew Clifton, Martin M. Brown, and CAVATAS Investigators. 2007. "Long-Term Outcome After Angioplasty and Stenting for Symptomatic Vertebral Artery Stenosis Compared with Medical Treatment in the Carotid and Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study (CAVATAS): A Randomized Trial." *Stroke* 38 (5): 1526–30. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.106.471862>.
- Davila, Victor J., James M. Chang, William M. Stone, Richard J. Fowl, Thomas C. Bower, Michael L. Hinni, and Samuel R. Money. 2016. "Current Surgical Management of Carotid Body Tumors." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 64 (6): 1703–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2016.05.076>.
- Fankhauser, Grant T., William M. Stone, Richard J. Fowl, Mark E. O'Donnell, Thomas C. Bower, Fredric B. Meyer, and Samuel R. Money. 2015. "Surgical and Medical Management of Extracranial Carotid Artery Aneurysms." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 61 (2): 389–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2014.07.092>.
- Fatima, Javairiah, Vincent P. Federico, Salvatore T. Scali, Robert J. Feezor, Scott A. Berceci, Kristina A. Giles, Dean J. Arnaoutakis, Martin R. Back, Gilbert R. Upchurch, and Thomas S. Huber. 2019. "Management of Patch Infections After Carotid Endarterectomy and Utility of Femoral Vein Interposition Bypass Graft." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 69 (6): 1815–1823.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2018.09.036>.
- Fischer, Urs, Adrian Baumgartner, Marcel Arnold, Krassen Nedeltchev, Jan Gralla, Gian Marco De Marchis, Liliane Kappeler, et al. 2010. "What Is a Minor Stroke?" *Stroke* 41 (4): 661–66. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.572883>.
- Fletcher, E., M. Kabeer, J. Sathianathan, I. Muir, D. Williams, and C. Lim. 2016. "Immediate Catheter Directed Thrombolysis for Thromboembolic Stroke During Carotid Endarterectomy." *EJVES Short Reports* 31 (April): 12–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvssr.2016.03.003>.
- Garzon-Muvdi, Tomas, Wuyang Yang, Xiaoming Rong, Justin M. Caplan, Xiaobu Ye, Geoffrey P. Colby, Alexander L. Coon, Rafael J. Tamargo, and Judy Huang. 2016. "Restenosis After Carotid Endarterectomy: Insight into Risk Factors and Modification of Postoperative Management." *World Neurosurgery* 89 (May): 159–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2016.01.028>.
- Giannopoulos, Stefanos, Pavlos Texakalidis, Anil Kumar Jonnalagadda, Theofilos Karasavvidis, Spyridon Giannopoulos, and Damianos G. Kokkinidis. 2018. "Revascularization of Radiation-Induced Carotid Artery Stenosis with Carotid Endarterectomy Vs. Carotid Artery Stenting: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Cardiovascular Revasculariza-*

- tion Medicine* 19 (5): 638–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carrev.2018.01.014>.
- Goodney, Philip P., Brian W. Nolan, Jens Eldrup-Jorgensen, Donald S. Likosky, and Jack L. Cronenwett. 2010. “Restenosis After Carotid Endarterectomy in a Multicenter Regional Registry.” *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 52 (4): 897–905.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2010.05.005>.
- Group, EC/IC Bypass Study. 1985. “Failure of Extracranial-Intracranial Arterial Bypass to Reduce the Risk of Ischemic Stroke. Results of an International Randomized Trial.” *The New England Journal of Medicine* 313 (19): 1191–1200. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198511073131904>.
- Halliday, Alison, Michael Harrison, Elizabeth Hayter, Xiangling Kong, Averil Mansfield, Joanna Marro, Hongchao Pan, et al. 2010. “10-Year Stroke Prevention After Successful Carotid Endarterectomy for Asymptomatic Stenosis (ACST-1): A Multicentre Randomised Trial.” *Lancet* 376 (9746): 1074–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61197-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61197-X).
- Hicks, Caitlin W., Katherine Talbott, Joseph K. Canner, Umair Qazi, Isibor Arhuidese, Natalia O. Glebova, Julie A. Freischlag, Bruce A. Perler, and Mahmoud B. Malas. 2015. “Risk of Disease Progression in Patients with Moderate Asymptomatic Carotid Artery Stenosis: Implications of Tobacco Use and Dual Antiplatelet Therapy.” *Annals of Vascular Surgery* 29 (1): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2014.02.007>.
- Hrbáč, Tomáš, Vladimír Beneš, Pavel Širůček, Tomáš Jonszta, Roman Herzig, Václav Procházka, and David Skoloudík. 2012. “Safety and Efficacy of Surgical Treatment of Carotid Stump Syndrome: Pilot Study.” *Annals of Vascular Surgery* 26 (6): 797–801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2011.11.034>.
- Kaku, Yasuhiko, Shin-ichi Yoshimura, and Jouji Kokuzawa. 2004. “Factors Predictive of Cerebral Hyperperfusion After Carotid Angioplasty and Stent Placement.” *AJNR: American Journal of Neuroradiology* 25 (8): 1403–8. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7975476/>.
- Kang, Jeanwan, Mark F. Conrad, Virendra I. Patel, Shankha Mukhopadhyay, Ashu Garg, Matthew R. Cambria, Glenn M. LaMuraglia, and Richard P. Cambria. 2014. “Clinical and Anatomic Outcomes After Carotid Endarterectomy.” *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 59 (4): 944–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2013.10.059>.
- Karam, Jocelyne G., Lisel Loney-Hutchinson, and Samy I. McFarlane. 2008. “High-Dose Atorvastatin After Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack: The Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) Investigators.” *Journal of the CardioMetabolic Syndrome* 3 (1): 68–69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-4572.2008.07967.x>.
- Kawachi, I., G. A. Colditz, M. J. Stampfer, W. C. Willett, J. E. Manson, B. Rosner, F. E. Speizer, and C. H. Hennekens. 1993. “Smoking Cessation and Decreased Risk of Stroke in Women.” *JAMA* 269 (2): 232–36.
- Klarin, Derek, Robert T. Lancaster, Emel Ergul, Daniel Bertges, Philip Goodney, Marc L. Schermerhorn, Richard P. Cambria, and Virendra I. Patel. 2016. “Perioperative and Long-Term Impact of Chronic Kidney Disease on Carotid Artery Interventions.” *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 64 (5): 1295–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2016.04.038>.
- Kruger, Allan J., Philip J. Walker, Wallace J. Foster, Jason S. Jenkins, Nicholas S. Boyne, and Julie Jenkins. 2010. “Important Observations Made Managing Carotid Body Tumors

- During a 25-Year Experience.” *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 52 (6): 1518–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2010.06.153>.
- Kwolek, Christopher J., Michael R. Jaff, J. Ignacio Leal, L. Nelson Hopkins, Rasesh M. Shah, Todd M. Hanover, Sumaira Macdonald, et al. 2015. “Results of the ROADSTER Multi-center Trial of Transcarotid Stenting with Dynamic Flow Reversal.” *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 62 (5): 1227–1234.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2015.04.460>.
- Lather, Henry D., Heather L. Gornik, Jeffrey W. Olin, Xiaokui Gu, Steven T. Heidt, Esther S. H. Kim, Daniella Kadian-Dodov, et al. 2017. “Prevalence of Intracranial Aneurysm in Women with Fibromuscular Dysplasia.” *JAMA Neurology* 74 (9): 1081–87. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.1333>.
- Lima Neto, Arlindo Cardoso, Roseli Bittar, Gabriel Scarabotolo Gattas, Edson Bor-Seng-Shu, Marcelo de Lima Oliveira, Rafael da Costa Monsanto, and Luis Felipe Bittar. 2017a. “Pathophysiology and Diagnosis of Vertebrobasilar Insufficiency: A Review of the Literature.” *International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology* 21 (3): 302–7. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1593448>.
- . 2017b. “Pathophysiology and Diagnosis of Vertebrobasilar Insufficiency: A Review of the Literature.” *International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology* 21 (3): 302–7. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1593448>.
- Lipski, D. A., T. M. Bergamini, R. N. Garrison, and R. L. Fulton. 1996. “Intraoperative Duplex Scanning Reduces the Incidence of Residual Stenosis After Carotid Endarterectomy.” *The Journal of Surgical Research* 60 (2): 317–20. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jsre.1996.0050>.
- Mantese, Vito A., Carlos H. Timaran, David Chiu, Richard J. Begg, and Thomas G. Brott. 2010. “The Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy Versus Stenting Trial (CREST) - Stenting Versus Carotid Endarterectomy for Carotid Disease.” *Stroke; a Journal of Cerebral Circulation* 41 (10 Suppl): S31–34. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.595330>.
- Markus, Hugh S., Christopher Levi, Alice King, Jeremy Madigan, and John Norris. 2019. “Antiplatelet Therapy Vs Anticoagulation Therapy in Cervical Artery Dissection.” *JAMA Neurology* 76 (6): 657–64. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.0072>.
- Meershoek, A. J. A., and G. J. de Borst. 2018. “Timing of Carotid Intervention.” *The British Journal of Surgery* 105 (10): 1231–33. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.10950>.
- Morasch, Mark. 2019. “97. Vertebral Artery Dissection and Other Conditions.” In *Rutherford’s Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Therapy*, 18. Elsevier Inc.
- Moritz, Stefan, Piotr Kasprzak, Matthias Arlt, Kai Taeger, and Christoph Metz. 2007. “Accuracy of Cerebral Monitoring in Detecting Cerebral Ischemia During Carotid Endarterectomy: A Comparison of Transcranial Doppler Sonography, Near-Infrared Spectroscopy, Stump Pressure, and Somatosensory Evoked Potentials.” *Anesthesiology* 107 (4): 563–69. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.anes.0000281894.69422.ff>.
- Naylor, A. R., R. L. Cuffe, P. M. Rothwell, and P. R. F. Bell. 2003. “A Systematic Review of Outcomes Following Staged and Synchronous Carotid Endarterectomy and Coronary Artery Bypass.” *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 25 (5): 380–89. <https://doi.org/10.1053/ejvs.2002.1895>.
- Naylor, A. Ross. 2015. “Why Is the Management of Asymptomatic Carotid Disease so Controversial?” *The Surgeon* 13 (1): 34–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2014.08.004>.



- Naylor, A. R., J.-B. Ricco, G. J. de Borst, S. Debus, J. de Haro, A. Halliday, G. Hamilton, et al. 2018. "Editor's Choice - Management of Atherosclerotic Carotid and Vertebral Artery Disease: 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS)." *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery: The Official Journal of the European Society for Vascular Surgery* 55 (1): 3–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2017.06.021>.
- North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. 1991. "Beneficial Effect of Carotid Endarterectomy in Symptomatic Patients with High-Grade Carotid Stenosis." *New England Journal of Medicine* 325 (7): 445–53. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199108153250701>.
- Nouh, Amre, Jessica Remke, and Sean Ruland. 2014. "Ischemic Posterior Circulation Stroke: A Review of Anatomy, Clinical Presentations, Diagnosis, and Current Management." *Frontiers in Neurology* 5 (April): 30. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2014.00030>.
- Olin, Jeffrey W., and Brett A. Sealove. 2011. "Diagnosis, Management, and Future Developments of Fibromuscular Dysplasia." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 53 (3): 826–836.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2010.10.066>.
- Petrik, P. V., H. A. Gelabert, W. S. Moore, W. Quinones-Baldrich, and M. M. Law. 1995. "Cigarette Smoking Accelerates Carotid Artery Intimal Hyperplasia in a Dose-Dependent Manner." *Stroke* 26 (8): 1409–14. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.26.8.1409>.
- Pham, Martin H., Rudy J. Rahme, Omar Arnaout, Michael C. Hurley, Richard A. Bernstein, H. Hunt Batjer, and Bernard R. Bendok. 2011. "Endovascular Stenting of Extracranial Carotid and Vertebral Artery Dissections: A Systematic Review of the Literature." *Neurosurgery* 68 (4): 856–66. <https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e318209ce03>.
- Plessers, Maarten, Isabelle Van Herzele, Dimitri Hemelsoet, Nikil Patel, Emma M. L. Chung, Guy Vingerhoets, and Frank Vermassen. 2016. "Transcervical Carotid Stenting with Dynamic Flow Reversal Demonstrates Embolization Rates Comparable to Carotid Endarterectomy." *Journal of Endovascular Therapy: An Official Journal of the International Society of Endovascular Specialists* 23 (2): 249–54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1526602815626561>.
- Pourier, V. E. C., J. C. Welleweerd, L. J. Kappelle, G. J. E. Rinkel, Y. M. Ruigrok, H. B. van der Worp, T. H. Lo, M. L. Bots, F. L. Moll, and G. J. de Borst. 2018. "Experience of a Single Center in the Conservative Approach of 20 Consecutive Cases of Asymptomatic Extracranial Carotid Artery Aneurysms." *European Journal of Neurology* 25 (10): 1285–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.13720>.
- Power, Adam H., Thomas C. Bower, Jan Kasperbauer, Michael J. Link, Gustavo Oderich, Harry Cloft, William F. Young, and Peter Gloviczki. 2012. "Impact of Preoperative Embolization on Outcomes of Carotid Body Tumor Resections." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 56 (4): 979–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2012.03.037>.
- Powers, William J., Alejandro A. Rabinstein, Teri Ackerson, Opeolu M. Adeoye, Nicholas C. Bambakidis, Kyra Becker, José Biller, et al. 2018. "2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association." *Stroke* 49 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0000000000000158>.
- Ramirez, Claudio Alberto, Guillaume Febrer, Julien Gaudric, Salam Abou-Taam, Kenza Be-

- loucif, Laurent Chiche, and Fabien Koskas. 2012. "Open Repair of Vertebral Artery: A 7-Year Single-Center Report." *Annals of Vascular Surgery* 26 (1): 79–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2011.09.001>.
- Rangel-Castilla, Leonardo, M. Yashar S. Kalani, Katherine Cronk, Joseph M. Zabramski, Jonathan J. Russin, and Robert F. Spetzler. 2015. "Vertebral Artery Transposition for Revascularization of the Posterior Circulation: A Critical Assessment of Temporary and Permanent Complications and Outcomes." *Journal of Neurosurgery* 122 (3): 671–77. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2014.9.JNS14194>.
- Rantner, Barbara, Barbara Kollerits, Gary S Roubin, Peter A Ringleb, Olaf Jansen, George Howard, Jeroen Hendrikse, et al. 2017. "Early Endarterectomy Carries a Lower Procedural Risk Than Early Stenting in Patients With Symptomatic Stenosis of the Internal Carotid Artery." *Stroke* 48 (June): 1580–87. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.016233>.
- Redfern, G., R. N. Rodseth, and B. M. Biccard. 2011. "Outcomes in Vascular Surgical Patients with Isolated Postoperative Troponin Leak: A Meta-Analysis: Troponin Leak in Vascular Surgical Patients." *Anaesthesia* 66 (7): 604–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2044.2011.06763.x>.
- Rerkasem, Kittipan, and Peter M Rothwell. 2009. "Patch Angioplasty Versus Primary Closure for Carotid Endarterectomy." *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009 (4): CD000160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000160.pub3>.
- Ricco, Jean-Baptiste, Fabrice Schneider, Giulio Illuminati, and Russell H. Samson. 2013. "The Role of Completion Imaging Following Carotid Artery Endarterectomy." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 57 (5): 1432–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2013.02.001>.
- Ricotta, John J. n.d. "89. Cerebrovascular Disease: Decision Making Including Medical Therapy." In *Rutherford's Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Therapy*, 20.
- Robertson, Vaux, Federica Poli, Ben Hobson, Athanasios Saratzis, and A. Ross Naylor. 2019. "A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Presentation and Surgical Management of Patients with Carotid Body Tumours." *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 57 (4): 477–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2018.10.038>.
- Rockman, Caron B. 2019. "86. Cerebrovascular Disease: Epidemiology and Natural History." In *Rutherford's Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Therapy*, 25. Elsevier Inc.
- Rosenfield, Kenneth, Jon S. Matsumura, Seemant Chaturvedi, Tom Riles, Gary M. Ansel, D. Chris Metzger, Lawrence Wechsler, Michael R. Jaff, and William Gray. 2016. "Randomized Trial of Stent Versus Surgery for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis." *New England Journal of Medicine* 374 (11): 1011–20. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1515706>.
- Rothwell, Pm, M Eliasziw, Sa Gutnikov, Cp Warlow, and Hjm Barnett. 2004. "Endarterectomy for Symptomatic Carotid Stenosis in Relation to Clinical Subgroups and Timing of Surgery." *The Lancet* 363 (9413): 915–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)15785-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15785-1).
- Shaalán, Wael E., Carl M. Wahlgren, Tina Desai, Giancarlo Piano, Christopher Skelly, and Hisham S. Bassiouny. 2008. "Reappraisal of Velocity Criteria for Carotid Bulb/Internal Carotid Artery Stenosis Utilizing High-Resolution b-Mode Ultrasound Validated with Computed Tomography Angiography." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 48 (1): 104–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2007.11.001>.



[//doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2008.02.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2008.02.068).

- Silver, Frank L., Ariane Mackey, Wayne M. Clark, William Brooks, Carlos H. Timaran, David Chiu, Larry B. Goldstein, et al. 2011. "Safety of Stenting and Endarterectomy by Symptomatic Status in the Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy Vs. Stenting Trial (CREST)." *Stroke; a Journal of Cerebral Circulation* 42 (3): 675–80. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.610212>.
- Stone, Patrick A., Mohit Srivastava, John E. Campbell, Albeir Y. Mousa, Stephen H. Hass, Hamza Kazmi, Daniel D. Dearing, and Ali F. AbuRahma. 2011. "A 10-Year Experience of Infection Following Carotid Endarterectomy with Patch Angioplasty." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 53 (6): 1473–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2011.02.020>.
- Sugawara, Yoshifumi, Takanori Kikuchi, Toshihiro Ueda, Mamoru Nishizaki, Shigeru Nakata, Teruhito Mochizuki, and Junpei Ikezoe. n.d. "Usefulness of Brain SPECT to Evaluate Brain Tolerance and Hemodynamic Changes During Temporary Balloon Occlusion Test and After Permanent Carotid Occlusion," 8.
- Tansavatdi, Katharine, Arthur B. Dublin, Paul J. Donald, and Brian Dahlin. 2015. "Combined Balloon Test Occlusion and SPECT Analysis for Carotid Sacrifice: Angiographic Predictors for Success or Failure?" *Journal of Neurological Surgery. Part B, Skull Base* 76 (4): 249–51. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1547363>.
- Valls, Joan, Maranta Peiro-Chamarro, Serafi Cambray, Jessica Molina-Seguin, Ikram Ben-abdelhak, and Francisco Purroy. 2017. "A Current Estimation of the Early Risk of Stroke After Transient Ischemic Attack: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Recent Intervention Studies." *Cerebrovascular Diseases (Basel, Switzerland)* 43 (1-2): 90–98. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000452978>.
- Weinstein, Stefanie, Marc C. Mabray, Riz Aslam, Tom Hope, Judy Yee, and Christopher Owens. 2015. "Intraoperative Sonography During Carotid Endarterectomy: Normal Appearance and Spectrum of Complications." *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine: Official Journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 34 (5): 885–94. <https://doi.org/10.7863/ultra.34.5.885>.
- Welleweerd, J. C., H. M. den Ruijter, B. G. L. Nelissen, M. L. Bots, L. J. Kappelle, G. J. E. Rinkel, F. L. Moll, and G. J. de Borst. 2015. "Management of Extracranial Carotid Artery Aneurysm." *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 50 (2): 141–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.05.002>.
- Wilson, P. W., J. M. Hoeg, R. B. D'Agostino, H. Silbershatz, A. M. Belanger, H. Poehlmann, D. O'Leary, and P. A. Wolf. 1997. "Cumulative Effects of High Cholesterol Levels, High Blood Pressure, and Cigarette Smoking on Carotid Stenosis." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 337 (8): 516–22. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199708213370802>.
- Wiske, Clay, Isibor Arhuidese, Mahmoud Malas, and Robert Patterson. 2018. "Comparing the Efficacy of Shunting Approaches and Cerebral Monitoring During Carotid Endarterectomy Using a National Database." *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 68 (2): 416–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2017.11.077>.