Review Sheet for Final Exam APPM/MATH 4650 Fall '20 Numerical Analysis

Instructor: Prof. Becker

Note: the final exam is cumulative, but will focus a bit more on recent material (ch 5 and 6) not tested on midterm 2. This review sheet does not cover the entire class (it only covers ch 5 and 6), so please review the midterm 1 and midterm 2 review sheets.

Chapter 5: IVPs and ODEs

- 1. Why don't we see higher-order Taylor methods in software much?
- 2. How many "steps" is RK4?
- 3. What's the big deal about an "embedded" RK formula?
- 4. What are the pros/cons of one-step methods vs multi-step methods?
- 5. How are Adams methods derived?
- 6. What's the main difference between Adams-Bashforth and Adams-Moulton?
- 7. What does it mean to say a method is implicit or explicit?
- 8. What's the idea of a predictor-corrector method?
- 9. How might one solve for the update step of an implicit method?
- 10. How are backward differentiation (BD) methods derived?
- 11. What's so special about Adams and BD methods? Can't we create more?
- 12. What types of higher-order ODEs can be recast as a system of first-order ODEs?
- 13. How does the book define "stability" of a numerical IVP method?
- 14. What does it mean to say a method is "consistent"?
- 15. What's the local truncation error (LTE)?
- 16. If the LTE is $O(h^3)$, what kind of error would we expect the global error to be?
- 17. For finite difference methods for derivatives, we worried a lot about roundoff error. ODEs involve derivatives. Are we really worried about roundoff error for ODE solvers?
- 18. What does "convergence" mean?
- 19. How are stability, convergence and consistency related?
- 20. How do we check for the stability of a one-step method?
- 21. How do we check for the stability of a multi-step method?
- 22. Is "absolute stability" just "stability" with absolute values? What is it?
- 23. What does it mean to have a "stiff" equation? Why is absolute stability discussed in this chapter?
- 24. Are some methods absolutely stable while others are not?
- 25. What is the region of stability? How do we calculate it?

Chapter 5: IVPs and ODEs

- 1. What kind of linear equations did we mostly discuss solving (over-determined? under-determined? square? consistent? singular?
- 2. True or false: if A is a square matrix, then we can solve Ax = b iff A is invertible
- 3. Let

$$A = \left[egin{array}{cccc} \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\ \mathbf{a_1} & \mathbf{a_2} & \mathbf{a_3} \\ \mid & \mid & \mid \end{array}
ight], \quad B = \left[egin{array}{cccc} - & \mathbf{b}_1^T & - \\ - & \mathbf{b}_2^T & - \\ - & \mathbf{b}_3^T & - \end{array}
ight],$$

i.e., A has columns \mathbf{a}_i and B has rows \mathbf{b}_i^T . Write down an expression for AB in terms of \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{b}_j .

- 4. For A and B defined as above, what is the (i, j)th entry of BA?
- 5. What's the complexity of matrix multiplication of a $n \times n$ matrix times a vector?
- 6. What's the complexity of matrix multiplication of a $n \times n$ matrix times another matrix of the same size?
- 7. What's the complexity of performing Gaussian elimination on a $n \times n$ matrix times?
- 8. What's the complexity of solving a linear system of equations if the matrix has a triangular structure?
- 9. How do we use the LU factorization to solve a system of equations?
- 10. How do we use a pivoted LU factorization to solve a system of equations?
- 11. True/false: Numerical methods only exchange rows in the LU factorization if they have a 0 pivot
- 12. Do we need to pivot when computing an LDL factorization? when computing a Cholesky factorization?
- 13. What is the standard condition number of matrix?
- 14. Why would someone write a blocked LU factorization code?
- 15. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix. If your code calls $A\mathbf{b}_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n, this is $O(n^3)$ flops. If your code instead forms the matrix B with columns \mathbf{b}_i and then multiplies AB, this is also $O(n^3)$. Is there a difference in speed in practice? Why?
- 16. Your friend Tara needs to solve $A\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{b}_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., 1000. Rather than solve the systems independently, they cleverly precompute $B = A^{-1}$ once, and then can solve for \mathbf{x}_i via $\mathbf{x}_i = B\mathbf{b}_i$ efficiently. What's a better way?