Sprint 2	Fail	Pass	2 nd Class	First Class	
Planning	 Unsuccessful attempt, or no attempt for planning, Obvious lack of knowledge Misunderstanding of fundamentals (0,1) 	 Successfully identified roles within the team. Several tasks are roughly identified. Identified tasks are assigned to the members. Product Backlog is rough (2) 	 Successfully identified the roles with more details. A reasonable Product backlog is setup. Tasks and deliverables are rigorously identified. All tasks are assigned to the members. A delivery schedule is put together. (3, 4) 	 Comfortably and optimally identified roles. Excellent Product Backlog is setup. Tasks and deliverables are optimally identified and described. Tasks are optimally assigned to the members. A clear schedule of delivery is worked out. (5) 	/5
Use of Database and Login Function	 No use or unsuccessful use of DB and Model classes Misunderstanding or unawareness of the fundamentals (0,1) 	 Connection to DB achieved. Successfully running Login operation through DB via Model classes Basic awareness of the knowledge and DB technology (2) 	 Successful connection to DB and use Model classes including all relevant CRUD operations for Login functions. DB is successfully containerised. Clear view and plan on further use of DB for the rest of the WebApp Awareness of limits and implications (3, 4) 	 Comfortable and optimal use of DB operations, well-embedded in model classes, and successfully displaying the results via existing viewers, Login/Logout functions. DB is optimally containerised, and well connected. Clear understanding of wider context of the knowledge with all relevant limits and implications. (5) 	/5

Sprint 3	Fail	Pass	2 nd Class	First Class	
Planning	 Unsuccessful attempt, or no attempt for planning, Obvious lack of knowledge Misunderstanding of fundamentals (0, 1) 	 Successfully identified roles within the team Product backlog is roughly updated. Several tasks are roughly identified. Identified tasks are assigned to the members based on their roles. (2, 3) 	 Successfully identified the roles with more details. Product Backlog is reasonably updated. Tasks are rigorously identified. All roles are mapped with the tasks. A delivery schedule is put together. (4, 5, 6) 	 Comfortably and optimally identified roles. Product Backlog is excellently updated. Tasks are optimally identified and described. A clear schedule of delivery is worked out. (7, 8) 	/8
Deliverables	 Unsuccessful of very little successful delivery of tasks identified. No evidence of effort put together for delivery. (0, 1, 2, 3) 	 A few model, view and template classes are successfully delivered. The architecture is made clear. Evidence of a number of partially completed tasks. More new tasks identified, few unattempted /outstanding tasks. (4, 5, 6, 7) 	 Successful delivery of the majority of the tasks including backend and frontend components The architecture is crystal clear with containerised structure. Further tasks are identified and few unattempted/outstanding tasks planned ahead Few work-in-process / incomplete tasks on the track a little behind the target (8, 9, 10, 11) 	 Successful delivery of the majority or almost all of the tasks, Architecture is optimally designed. No new tasks identified. Few tasks to be tweaked / fine-tuned. Viewer classes are to be improved. Deliverables for use of "services" and security measures are planned ahead. (12, 13, 14, 15) 	/15

Teamwork	Complete confusion in role	Basic role playing, some tasks are	All conscious about the roles,	All members are clear on their roles, and	
Effort	playing.	replicated due to confusions.	All clear about the tasks and deliverables	the outstanding tasks to be completed by	
	Not much communication	Require further clarifications about	assigned to each.	each member,	/7
	among the group members	the role.	There is a little adjustment needed to	A very good harmony achieved among the	
	No or less attendance to	Adjustments needed for fairness and	improve fairness,	members.	
	stand-ups.	balance.	Good attendance to Stand-ups and good	Reasonable adjustments made and	
	(0, 1)	Minimal attendance to Stand-ups	quality of reporting the progress.	justified.	
		(2, 3)	(4, 5)	Optimal attendance of Stand-ups with	
				excellent progress reporting.	
				(6, 7)	

Sprint 4	Fail	Pass	2 nd Class	First Class	
MVT pattern	 Unsuccessful attempt, or no attempt for implementing MVC (MVT) patterns. Obvious lack of knowledge Misunderstanding of fundamentals (0, 1, 2, 3, 4) 	 Successfully working view class and functions in-line with mixture of templates, form, and model classes Basic grasp of knowledge, but inaccuracy in use of jargon (5, 6, 7, 8) 	 Successful use of MVT patterns, clear split of the roles for models, view, and templates Clear explanations in the wider context of the terms, Being aware of implications of the techs. (9, 10, 11, 12) 	 Comfortable and optimal use of MVT patters, clear split of the roles over M, V and T. Clear explanations of the terms, and concepts with all limits and opportunities. (13, 14, 15) 	/15
DB CRUD operations, Use Containers	 No use or unsuccessful use of DB and model classes Misunderstanding or unawareness of the fundamentals No use of containers (0, 1, 2) 	 Partially implemented DB and model classes, some supported with forms and templates. Successfully running some of DB CRUD operations Basic awareness of the knowledge and DB technology Basic use of containers (3, 4, 5) 	 Successful use of DB and model classes inline with forms and templates for all relevant CRUD operations Connection to DB is managed anyhow. Clear explanations of the terms in the wider context Awareness of limits and implications Successful use of containers (DB and WebApp distributed) (6, 7, 8) 	 Comfortable and optimal use of DB, well-embedded in model and relevant form classes, and successfully displaying the results via viewer classes and templates. Connection is managed once in application scope and used ahead. Clear understanding of wider context of the knowledge with all relevant limits and implications Optimal use of containers (DB, App, Web separated) (9, 10) 	/10
Sessions	 No use of Sessions, or unsuccessful use Not being aware of session concepts (0, 1) 	 Basic use of sessions, partially functioning sessions, Not inactivated with change of users. (2) 	 Successful use of sessions, activated/inactivated on change of users. Successful use of session scope for attributes Good awareness of the session term and its limitations (3, 4) 	 Comfortable and optimal use of sessions, successfully activated/inactivated on change of users. Comfortably using the sessions scope for attributes Clear understanding of the knowledge and technology (5) 	/5
Developing and using "services"	No or unsuccessful use of "services"	Basic understanding of "services" concepts	Successful development, deployment and use of "services", and use of cloud service.	Comfortable development, deployment, and use of "services" for the aimed purpose Comfortable use of cloud service	/10

	No awareness of the fundamental knowledge of "services" (0, 1, 2)	 Partial success in development, deployment, and use of "services" Unsuccessful use of cloud service Limited understanding of limits and implications 	 Partial correctness of business logic or use of "services" for any purpose rather than the targeted purpose Good understanding of the concepts and awareness of limits and implications 	 Very good understanding of the terms and concepts Clear awareness of the limits and implications (9, 10) 	
		(3, 4, 5)	(6, 7, 8)	(3, 10)	
Authentication/ Authorisation	 No authentication process developed or unsuccessful use. No awareness of the fundamental knowledge (0, 1) 	 A Login function is developed and used for any purpose or not successfully used. Basic grasp of authentication with possible confusion (2) 	 Successful development and use of authentication and authorisation Clear understanding of relevant knowledge applied in the application. Awareness of limits and implications (3, 4) 	 Comfortable use of authentication and authorisation, Comfortable knowledge demonstrated in this respect. Clear awareness of the limits and implications (5) 	/5
Teamwork Evidence	 No or very limited records of teamwork, No or very rough task allocation. No or very little history provided. No or less attendance to Stand-ups. (0,1,2,3) 	 Limited records of contribution Work roughly divided into tasks. Limited history of collaboration Irregular communication pattern reported. Minimal attendance to Stand-ups (4, 5, 6, 7) 	 Clear records of individual contribution Tasks are well identified and allocated. Clear history of collaboration Good communication evidence Regular attendance to Stand-ups (8, 9, 10, 11) 	 Excellent records of individual contribution Tasks are clearly identified, allocated and workload balanced. Excellent history of collaboration Excellent communication Excellent Stand-up performance. (12, 13, 14, 15) 	/15

Individual Contribution Reference Scheme

Contribution	Minimal Contribution	Moderate Contribution	Equal Contribution	Exceptional Contribution
	0.01 – 0.34	0.35 – 0.69	0.70 – 1.00	1.00 +
	All or majority agreed on that no	No clear agreement on the	All or majority agreed on	All agree on exceptional
	or minimal contribution made,	level of contribution	that maximal	contribution,
	No or very late replies to the	made,	contribution made,	Lead figure in the group
	messages,	Not very frequent replies	Regular replies to the	Full attendance to the
	No attendance to the meetings	to the messages,	messages,	meetings,
Criteria	Neither intellectual nor practical	Few attendances to the	Regular attendance to	 Intellectually and
	contribution reported,	meetings,	the meetings,	practically dominated
	No or very little evidence	Moderate level intellectual	Clear intellectual and	the group,
	collected from GitHub.	and/or practical,	practical contribution	GitHub reports all
		contribution reported,	through all Sprints,	commits, branches etc
		Some evidence can be	Clear evidence seen on	conducted.
		collected from GitHub.	GitHub.	

Overall group mark = (Sprint 2 + Sprint 3 + Sprint 4) (60%) + Software Performance (40%)

Contribution = Peer Assessment (40%) + Stand-up performance (40%) + Tutor observation (20%)

Individual Mark = Contribution x Overall Group Mark

Notes:

- 1. Individual contribution will be evidenced with (a) Task Delivery Tables, (b) traces of commits, pull and push operations on GitHub, (c) confidentially submitted Peer Assessment Forms, and (d) Sprint Review Forms.
- 2. All members will receive the same mark in the cases of equal contribution,
- 3. If one member has performed exceptional contribution, he/she will get higher mark than the group, while the others will receive proportionally lower marks.
- 4. This procedure will be applied to full disagreement cases, and clear lower or exceptional contribution cases.

Stand-up Reference Scheme

Stand-up performance = select one mark form (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

- 0 → No attendance
- 1 → Attendance with no/minimal progress report
- 2 → Attendance with basic progress report
- 3 → Attendance with good progress report
- 4 → Attendance with very good progress report
- 5 → Attendance with excellent progress report