

# Homework 4

## Problem 1

Consider the two-parameter linear model

$$Y \sim N(\theta_1 + \theta_2, 1),$$

with prior distributions  $\theta_1 \sim N(a_1, b_1^2)$  and  $\theta_2 \sim N(a_2, b_2^2)$ , with  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  independent.

1. Clearly  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are individually identified only by the prior; the likelihood provides information only on  $\mu = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ . Still, the full conditional distributions,  $p(\theta_1 | \theta_2, y)$  and  $p(\theta_2 | \theta_1, y)$  for available in closed form. Derive these distributions.
2. Now derive the marginal posterior distributions:  $p(\theta_1 | y)$  and  $p(\theta_2 | y)$ . Do the data update the prior distributions for these parameters?
3. Set  $a_1 = a_2 = 50, b_1 = b_2 = 1000$ , and suppose we observe  $y = 0$ . Run the Gibbs sampler defined in part (a) for  $t = 100$  iterations, starting your chains near the prior mean (say, between 40 and 50), and monitoring progress of  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\mu$ . Does this algorithm “converge” in any sense?. Estimate the posterior mean of  $\mu$ . Does your answer change using  $t = 1000$  iterations.
4. Now keep the same values for  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , but set  $b_1 = b_2 = 10$ . Again run 100 iterations using the same starting values as in part (c). What is the effect on convergence?. Repeat for  $t = 1000$  iterations; is your estimate for  $E(\mu | y)$  unchanged?

## Problems 2

Problem 9.2 from Hoff

## Problem 3

Problem 9.3 from Hoff

## Problem 4

Prove the identity in equation 9.9 (Page 165) in Hoff’s book