Exercises for 5.7 to 5.10

1.	Consider: derivation: everyone gets grumpy when they are hungry;
	Erika is hungry; so someone is grumpy. Add the missing annotations in
	the formalization of this (e is a constant referring to Erika; Hx means
	'x is hungry', Gx that 'x is grumpy'; e does not occur in Γ, Δ):

1. Γ	$\vdash \forall x (Hx \supset Gx)$ premise
2. Δ	$\vdash He$ premise
3. Γ	$\vdash He \supset Ge$
4. Γ, Δ	⊢ Ge
5. Γ, Δ	$\vdash \exists xGx$

2. Consider: All philosophy majors take logic. So if everyone majors in philosophy, everyone takes logic. Below is a formalization this. Add the missing annotations: (Px means 'x majors in philosophy', Lx means 'x takes logic'):

1. Γ	$\vdash \forall x (Px \supset Lx)$ premise
2. $\forall x P x$	$\vdash \forall x P x$
3. $\forall x P x$	⊢ <i>Pa</i>
4. Γ	$\vdash Pa \supset La$
5. Γ , $\forall x P x$	⊢ <i>La</i>
6. Γ , $\forall x P x$	$\vdash \forall x L x$
7. Г	$\vdash \forall x Px \supset \forall x Lx$

3. Add missing annotations:

1. I	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \land Gx)$ premise
2. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash Fa \land Ga$
3. $Fa \wedge Ga$	⊢ <i>Fa</i>
4. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists x Fx$
5. $Fa \wedge Ga$	⊢ <i>Ga</i>
6. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists xGx$
7 $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists x F x \land \exists x G x$

8. Γ	$\vdash \exists x Fx \land \exists x Gx$
4. What is wrong with	the following attempted derivation?
1. Γ	$\vdash \forall x (Fx \lor Gx)$ premise
2. Γ	$\vdash Fc \lor Gc$
3. <i>Fc</i>	⊢ <i>Fc</i>
4. <i>Fc</i>	$\vdash \forall x F x$
5. <i>Fc</i>	$\vdash \forall x Fx \lor \forall x Gx \qquad \dots 4, \lor I$
6. <i>Gc</i>	⊢ <i>Gc</i> A
7. <i>Gc</i>	$\vdash \forall xGx$ 6, \forall I
8. <i>Gc</i>	$\vdash \ \forall x F x \lor \forall x G x \qquad \dots \dots$
9. Г	$\vdash \forall x Fx \lor \forall x Gx \qquad \dots 2,5,8,\lor E$
5. Add missing annotat	tions:
· ·	tions: $\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \dots \dots \dots \dots$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$	
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \qquad$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa 4. Fa	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa 4. Fa 5. Fa	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \qquad$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa 4. Fa 5. Fa 6. Ga	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \qquad \qquad _$ $\vdash Fa \lor Ga \qquad \qquad \qquad _$ $\vdash Fa \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \bot$ $\vdash \exists x Fx \qquad \qquad \qquad \bot$ $\vdash \exists x Fx \lor \exists x Gx \qquad \qquad \qquad \bot$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa 4. Fa 5. Fa 6. Ga 7. Ga	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \qquad -$ $\vdash Fa \lor Ga \qquad \qquad -$ $\vdash \exists x Fx \qquad \qquad -$ $\vdash \exists x Fx \lor \exists x Gx \qquad -$ $\vdash Ga \qquad \qquad -$
1. $\exists x (Fx \lor Gx)$ 2. $Fa \lor Ga$ 3. Fa 4. Fa 5. Fa 6. Ga 7. Ga 8. Ga	$ \vdash \exists x (Fx \lor Gx) \qquad \qquad$

6. Add missing items.	
1. $\forall x (Fx \supset Gx)$	$\vdash \forall x (Fx \supset Gx)$
2. $\forall x F x$	$\vdash \forall x F x \qquad \dots A$
3. $\forall x F x$	$\vdash Fa$
4	⊢1,∀E
5. $\forall x (Fx \supset Gx)$	$\forall xFx \vdash Ga \qquad \dots 3,4, \neg E$
6	⊢
7	⊢
8.	$\vdash \ \forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \supset (\forall xFx \supset \forall xGx)$
7,⊃I	
7. What's wrong with ring in Γ):	the following derivation (a is a constant not occur-
1. Γ	$\vdash \exists x Fx \supset \exists x Gx$ premise
2. $\exists x F x$	$\vdash \exists x F x$
3. $\Gamma, \exists x F x$	$\vdash \exists xGx$
4. <i>Ga</i>	⊢ <i>Ga</i> A
5. Fa, Ga	⊢ <i>Ga</i> 4
6. <i>Ga</i>	$\vdash Fa \supset Ga$
7. <i>Ga</i>	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \supset Gx)$ 6, $\exists I$
8. Γ , Ga	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \supset Gx)$
9. Г	$\vdash \exists x (Fx \supset Gx)$
8. Give an example illunot follow from line	nstrating why line 9 in the previous problem does 1.

9.	Here is something you might be tempted to do: from $\Gamma \vdash \forall x (Fx \lor Gx)$ derive $\Gamma \vdash \forall x Fx \lor \forall x Gx$. Explain using an example why this would be a fallacious inference.			