

Exercises for 5.7 to 5.10

1. Consider: derivation: everyone gets grumpy when they are hungry; Erika is hungry; so someone is grumpy. Add the missing annotations in the formalization of this (e is a constant referring to Erika; Hx means 'x is hungry', Gx that 'x is grumpy'; e does not occur in Γ, Δ):

1. Γ	$\vdash \forall x(Hx \supset Gx)$ premise
2. Δ	$\vdash He$ premise
3. Γ	$\vdash He \supset Ge$
4. Γ, Δ	$\vdash Ge$
5. Γ, Δ	$\vdash \exists xGx$

2. Consider: All philosophy majors take logic. So if everyone majors in philosophy, everyone takes logic. Below is a formalization this. Add the missing annotations: (Px means 'x majors in philosophy', Lx means 'x takes logic'):

1. Γ	$\vdash \forall x(Px \supset Lx)$ premise
2. $\forall xPx$	$\vdash \forall xPx$
3. $\forall xPx$	$\vdash Pa$
4. Γ	$\vdash Pa \supset La$
5. $\Gamma, \forall xPx$	$\vdash La$
6. $\Gamma, \forall xPx$	$\vdash \forall xLx$
7. Γ	$\vdash \forall xPx \supset \forall xLx$

3. Add missing annotations:

1. Γ	$\vdash \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ premise
2. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash Fa \wedge Ga$
3. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash Fa$
4. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists xFx$
5. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash Ga$
6. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists xGx$
7. $Fa \wedge Ga$	$\vdash \exists xFx \wedge \exists xGx$

6. Add missing items.

1. $\forall x(Fx \supset Gx)$	$\vdash \forall x(Fx \supset Gx)$ A
2. $\forall xFx$	$\vdash \forall xFx$ A
3. $\forall xFx$	$\vdash Fa$
4. _____	\vdash _____ 1, $\forall E$
5. $\forall x(Fx \supset Gx), \forall xFx$	$\vdash Ga$ 3, 4, $\supset E$
6. _____	\vdash _____ 5, $\forall I$
7. _____	\vdash _____ 6, $\supset I$
8. _____	$\vdash \forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \supset (\forall xFx \supset \forall xGx)$	
7, $\supset I$		

7. What's wrong with the following derivation (a is a constant not occurring in Γ):

1. Γ	$\vdash \exists xFx \supset \exists xGx$ premise
2. $\exists xFx$	$\vdash \exists xFx$ A
3. $\Gamma, \exists xFx$	$\vdash \exists xGx$ 1, 2, $\supset E$
4. Ga	$\vdash Ga$ A
5. Fa, Ga	$\vdash Ga$ 4
6. Ga	$\vdash Fa \supset Ga$ 5, $\supset I$
7. Ga	$\vdash \exists x(Fx \supset Gx)$ 6, $\exists I$
8. Γ, Ga	$\vdash \exists x(Fx \supset Gx)$ 7
9. Γ	$\vdash \exists x(Fx \supset Gx)$ 3, 8, $\exists E$

8. Give an example illustrating why line 9 in the previous problem does not follow from line 1.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

9. Here is something you might be tempted to do: from $\Gamma \vdash \forall x(Fx \vee Gx)$ derive $\Gamma \vdash \forall xFx \vee \forall xGx$. Explain using an example why this would be a fallacious inference.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....