

## Solutions -- Topic 9, Class 02/17: Heaps

Copyright (c) 2016 Dan Suthers. All rights reserved. These solution notes may only be used by students in ICS 311 Spring 2016 at the University of Hawaii.

### 1. (2 points) Heap-Delete( $A, i$ )

Procedure **Heap-Delete( $A, i$ )** deletes the node at index  $i$  in heap  $A$  (represented as an array). **Give an implementation of Heap-Delete that runs in  $O(\lg n)$  time** for a heap of size  $n = A.\text{heapSize}$ . You may use instance variable  $A.\text{heapSize}$  and any of the other procedures already defined in the text. You do not need to include error checking. You do not need to return anything. *Hint: What other heap procedure is similar?*

**2 points.** Take off 0.5 for minor code error, and give 1.0 if there was some right idea but a logic error.

**Heap-Delete( $A, i$ )**

```
1  $A[i] = A[A.\text{heapSize}]$ 
2  $A.\text{heapSize} = A.\text{heapSize} - 1$ 
3 Max-Heapify( $A, i$ )
```

### 2. (3 points) Heapsort on Sorted Data

Previously we noted that Insertion-Sort runs faster on already sorted data, but Merge-Sort does not. What about Heapsort? How is it affected by sorted data? **Give your reasoning: justification is more important than getting the answer right.** Refer to line numbers in code (appended) when discussing your analyses. *Hints: Consider Build-Max-Heap and the for loop separately. You may want to work examples, but don't get bogged down in details: return to asymptotic reasoning as soon as you see what is going on.*

**For each:** Give 0.5 points for saying  $O(n \lg n)$  and 1.0 points for argument (reduce this if the argument is lacking).

(a) What is the **asymptotic running time of Heapsort** using a Max-Heap on an array  $A$  of  $n$  elements that is already sorted in *increasing* order? Justify your claim.

**Solution:**  $O(n \lg n)$ . Build-Max-Heap is  $O(n)$  in general, and indeed it has to do

some work to convert the sorted list into a max heap (which generally has the larger items earlier in the array). But the loop that follows has  $O(n)$  passes, and each pass has a call to Max-Heapify at cost  $O(\lg n)$ , so the loop dominates with  $O(n \lg n)$ . (If you work out detailed examples, you will see that once Build-Max-Heap is done, the array looks similar to a reverse-sorted array, since larger elements must be higher up in the tree = more towards the left.)

**(b)** What is the **asymptotic running time of Heapsort** using a Max-Heap on an array  $A$  of  $n$  elements that is already sorted in **decreasing** order? Justify your claim.

**Solution:** Also  $O(n \lg n)$ . Build-Max-Heap has to do less work in this case, as a reverse sorted list is already a max heap, so it does not have to actually swap anything (each call to Max-Heapify is constant time for the two assignments and conditional test). However, this is a constant reduction: Build-Max-Heap is still  $O(n)$  on reverse sorted data as it still runs the loop through half the items. Furthermore, the time savings does not matter: the loop that follows is  $O(n \lg n)$ , as it includes an  $O(\lg n)$  Max-Heapify on each of the  $n$  items processed. (Another way to look at it: the sorting does not help because Max-Heapify is called after putting one of the leaves in the root position; the leaf keys are small; and it has to propagate down the tree.)

## Extra Credit

### 3. Ternary Heaps (2 points)

You have just studied binary max-heaps. Suppose we want to represent ternary max-heaps (each node has three children with smaller keys) in an array, using 1-based indexing (the root is at array index 1). Given the index  $i$  of a heap element, how do you compute the index of:

**0.5 points each. There may be algebraic variations of these!**

**(a)** The left child?

$$3i - 1$$

**(b)** The middle child?

$$3i$$

**(c)** The right child?

$$3i+1$$

(d) The parent of *any* node?

$$\text{floor}((i+1)/3)$$

Note: Homework will generalize to d-ary case.