

Shreve – Stochastic Calculus for Finance, Vol. 1

Chapter 2 Solutions

Adam Crowe

June 12, 2024

Problem 2.1

(i) We have:

$$\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^C) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbb{P}(\omega) + \sum_{\omega \in A^C} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbb{P}(\omega) + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus A} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = 1$$

The result follows. □

(ii) We have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) = \sum_{\omega \in \bigcup A_n} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \tilde{A}_1} \mathbb{P}(\omega) + \cdots + \sum_{\omega \in \tilde{A}_n} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_1) + \cdots + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_n)$$

where $\tilde{A}_n = A_n \setminus (\bigcup_{1 \leq i < n} A_i)$. We then see that:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_n) - \mathbb{P}\left(A_n \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\right)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_n)$$

by non-negativity of \mathbb{P} . Thus $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_n)$ for all $1 \leq n \leq N$, so:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

Furthermore, if all A_n are disjoint, then $\tilde{A}_n = A_n$, which makes equality hold. \square

Problem 2.2

(i) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_3 = 32\} &= 0.125 & \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_3 = 8\} &= 0.375 \\ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_3 = 2\} &= 0.375 & \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_3 = 0.5\} &= 0.125\end{aligned}$$

\square

(ii) First we compute the distributions of S_1 and S_2 :

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_1 = 8\} = 0.5 \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_1 = 2\} = 0.5$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_2 = 16\} = 0.25 \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_2 = 4\} = 0.5 \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\{S_2 = 1\} = 0.25$$

Then:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}S_1 = (8)(0.5) + (2)(0.5) = 5$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}S_2 = (16)(0.25) + (4)(0.5) + 1(0.25) = 6.25$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}S_3 = (32)(0.125) + (8)(0.375) + (2)(0.375) + (0.5)(0.125) = 7.8125$$

i.e: $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}S_n = (1 + r)S_{n-1}$.

\square

(iii) The distributions are:

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 8\} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}\{S_1 = 2\} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 16\} = \frac{4}{9} \quad \mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 4\} = \frac{4}{9} \quad \mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 1\} = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{S_3 = 32\} &= \frac{8}{27} & \mathbb{P}\{S_3 = 8\} &= \frac{4}{9} \\ \mathbb{P}\{S_3 = 2\} &= \frac{2}{9} & \mathbb{P}\{S_3 = 0.5\} &= \frac{1}{27}\end{aligned}$$

And so:

$$\mathbb{E}S_1 = (8) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + (2) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 6$$

$$\mathbb{E}S_2 = (16) \left(\frac{4}{9}\right) + (4) \left(\frac{4}{9}\right) + 1 \left(\frac{1}{9}\right) = 9$$

$$\mathbb{E}S_3 = (32) \left(\frac{8}{27}\right) + (8) \left(\frac{4}{9}\right) + (2) \left(\frac{2}{9}\right) + (0.5) \left(\frac{1}{27}\right) = 13.5$$

Problem 2.3 Let M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n be a martingale and let φ be a convex function.

$$\begin{aligned} &\xRightarrow{\text{Martingale}} M_n = \mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1} \\ &\xRightarrow{\varphi(\dots)} \varphi(M_n) = \varphi(\mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1}) \\ &\xRightarrow{\text{Jensen's Ineq.}} \varphi(M_n) = \varphi(\mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1}) \leq \mathbb{E}_n [\varphi(M_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we have $\varphi(M_n) \leq \mathbb{E}_n [\varphi(M_{n+1})]$, so that $\varphi(M_0), \varphi(M_1), \dots, \varphi(M_n)$ is a submartingale. □

Problem 2.4

(i) If $M_{n+1}(H), M_{n+1}(T)$ are the values of M_{n+1} depending on whether the outcome of the n -th toss is heads or tails respectively, then we have:

$$M_{n+1}(H) = M_n + 1, \quad M_{n+1}(T) = M_n - 1$$

Thus with the given probabilities:

$$\mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(M_n + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(M_n - 1) = M_n$$

Therefore M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots is a martingale. □

(ii) Again:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+1} &= e^{\sigma(M_n \pm 1)} \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right)^{n+1} = e^{\pm \sigma} \cdot e^{\sigma M_n} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right)^n \\ &= e^{\pm \sigma} \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) \cdot S_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_n S_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^\sigma \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) \cdot S_n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{2}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) \cdot S_n \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{e^\sigma}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) S_n + \left(\frac{e^{-\sigma}}{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}} \right) S_n = S_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots is a martingale.

□

Problem 2.5

(i) We notice that $M_{j+1} - M_j = X_{j+1}$. Furthermore, $M_0 = 0$ so we can change the starting index of the sum to $j = 1$. Therefore:

$$I_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j X_{j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^j X_i \right) X_{j+1} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^n X_i X_j$$

To see the last equality, notice that X_{j+1} is multiplied by exactly once every by X_i from 1 to j . We have:

$$M_n^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j} X_i X_j = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 + 2I_n$$

Since each $X_j = \pm 1$, we have $X_j^2 = 1$. Therefore:

$$M_n^2 = n + 2I_n \implies I_n = \frac{1}{2}M_n^2 - \frac{n}{2}$$

□

(ii) We have:

$$I_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (M_n \pm 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n+1) = \frac{1}{2}M_n^2 \pm M_n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = I_n \pm M_n$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}_n [f(I_{n+1})] = \frac{1}{2}f(I_n + M_n) + \frac{1}{2}f(I_n - M_n)$$

We want the LHS to be entirely in terms of I_n so use (i) to re-write $M_n = \sqrt{2I_n + n}$. Note that we can simply let M_n be the positive square root as the above equation is unchanged if we let $M'_n = -M_n$. Therefore our function $g(i)$ is:

$$g(i) = \frac{1}{2}f\left(i + \sqrt{2i - n}\right) + \frac{1}{2}f\left(i - \sqrt{2i - n}\right)$$

□

Problem 2.6 We calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_n I_{n+1} &= \mathbb{E}_n \left[\sum_{j=0}^n \Delta_j (M_{j+1} - M_j) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_n [\Delta_n (M_{n+1} - M_n)] + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Delta_j (M_{j+1} - M_j) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_n [\Delta_n (M_{n+1} - M_n)] + I_n \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used the linearity and the ‘taking out what is known’ properties of conditional expectations. Then:

$$\mathbb{E}_n [\Delta_n(M_{n+1} - M_n)] = \mathbb{E}_n [\Delta_n M_{n+1}] - \mathbb{E}_n [\Delta_n M_n] = \Delta_n \mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1} - \Delta_n M_n$$

but since M_0, M_1, \dots, M_N is a martingale, $\mathbb{E}_n M_{n+1} = M_n$, meaning the terms on the RHS cancel. Therefore $\mathbb{E}_n I_{n+1} = I_n$, and I_0, I_1, \dots, I_N is a martingale. □