

Precision Scintillation Distance Measurements

Siqi Liu^{1,3*}, Ue-Li Pen^{1,2†}, J-P Macquart^{4‡}, Walter Brisken^{5§}, Adam Deller^{6¶}

¹ *Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto, M5S 3H8 Ontario, Canada*

² *Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Program in Cosmology and Gravitation*

³ *Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, M5S 3H4, Ontario, Canada*

⁴ *ICRAR-Curtin University of Technology, Department of Imaging and Applied Physics, GPO Box U1978, Perth, Western Australia 6102, USA*

⁵ *National Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O. Box O, Socorro, NM 87801, USA*

⁶ *ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands*

26 March 2015

ABSTRACT

We show how interstellar scintillations, combined with VLBI measurements, can be used to measure pulsar distances. Two lensing screens are needed. We apply the technique to archival data on PSR B0834+06. Rough distance estimates, consistent with direct parallax measurements, are obtained. If observations over a two week period had been made at that epoch, we anticipate a 1% distance determination.

With longer ground-based baselines and wider frequency coverage, we speculate that distance determination perhaps as accurate as 0.1% could be possible. This would enable coherent pulsar gravitational wave timing and imaging.

Key words: Pulsar

1 INTRODUCTION

Pulsars have long provided a rich source of astrophysical information due to their compact emission and predictable timing. One of the weakest measurements for most pulsars is their direct geometric distance. For some pulsars, timing parallax or VLBI parallax has resulted in direct distance determinations. For most pulsars, the distance is a major uncertainty for precision timing interpretations, including mass, moment of inertia, and gravitational wave direction (Boyle & Pen 2012).

Direct VLBI observation of PSR B0834+06 shows multiple images lensed by the interstellar plasma. Combining the angular positions and scintillation delays, the authors published the derived effective distance (Brisken et al. 2010) of approximately 1168 ± 23 pc. This represents a precise measurement compared to all other attempts to derive distances to this pulsar. This effective distance is the harmonic sum (twice the harmonic mean) of pulsar-screen and earth-screen distances, and does not allow a separate determination of the individual distances.

A second lensing screen breaks the degeneracy.

2 LENSING

3 B0834+06

Our analysis is based on the reduced apex catalog from Brisken et al. (2010). Each identified apex includes a delay, delay rate, RA and Dec, one for each of 4 frequency bands. We mapped a total of 9 apexes from the 0.4ms cluster, and 5 from the 1ms cluster, across the 4 frequency bands. This results in an estimate for the mean value, and standard deviation. These are listed in Table 1. A least squares effective distance results in $D_e^M = 1071 \pm 2.8$ for the main 0.4ms cluster and $D_e^S = 1243 \pm 64.1$ for the secondary 1ms cluster. This seems to indicate that the secondary screen is closer to the pulsar. The error bars are large enough to allow them to be at the same distance, or perhaps a reverse distance ordering. In this paper, we present two analyses for comparison: equidistant, and at the best fit distances. In the first case, no direct distance measurement is possible, but it nevertheless illustrates a robust interpretation of the data.

4 LENS SOLUTION

In order to interpret the data, we adopt the lensing model of Pen & Levin (2014). In the absence of a lens model, the fringe rate, delay and angular position cannot be uniquely related. In this model, the lensing is due to projected fold caustics of a thin sheet closely aligned to the line of sight.

* E-mail: sqliu@cita.utoronto.ca

† E-mail: pen@cita.utoronto.ca

‡ E-mail: J.Macquart@curtin.edu.au

§ E-mail: wbrisken@aoc.nrao.edu

¶ E-mail: deller@astron.nl

$f_D(\text{mHz})$	$\sigma_{f_D}(\text{mHz})$	$\tau(\text{ms})$	$\sigma_\tau(\text{ms})$	RA(mas)	$\sigma_{RA}(\text{mas})$	dec(mas)	$\sigma_{dec}(\text{mas})$
-35.06	0.52	0.95	0.002	-15.23	0.69	-21.06	1.34
-38.31	0.64	0.976	0.0009	-15.02	0.485	-20.74	0.27
-40.17	0.55	1.005	0.0079	-14.14	0.662	-22.27	0.452
-41.27	0.54	1.037	0.003	-11.28	0.93	-19.18	2.66
-43.08	0.44	1.066	0.005	-8.41	1.7	-24.14	2

Table 1. 1ms apex observation positions.

$f_D(\text{mHz})$	$\sigma_{f_D}(\text{mHz})$	$\tau(\text{ms})$	$\sigma_\tau(\text{ms})$	RA(mas)	$\sigma_{RA}(\text{mas})$	dec(mas)	$\sigma_{dec}(\text{mas})$
-12.94	0.19	0.0845	0.0005	2.87	0.11	-8.2	0.09
-16.8	0.28	0.14125	0.00085	3.86	0.07	-10.6	0.05
-18.92	0.23	0.188	0.002	5.06	0.2	-10.6	0.13
-20.4	0.49	0.222	0.003	5.55	0.3	-11.7	0.21
-21.17	0.61	0.236	0.002	5.12	0.43	-12.6	0.31
-22.32	0.47	0.2633	0.0003	6.16	0.14	-14.2	0.1
-24.63	0.4	0.3265	0.0025	6.49	0.29	-14.1	0.2
-24.94	0.44	0.33775	0.00025	8.29	0.42	-14.4	0.32
-26.09	0.36	0.37425	0.00063	8.53	0.52	-15.7	0.42

Table 2. 0.4ms observation positions.

5 DISCUSSION

The lens solution appears consistent with the premise of the inclined sheet lensing model (Pen & Levin 2014). The secondary lens only images a subset of the primary lens images. This could happen if the secondary lens screen is just under the critical inclination angle, such that only $3-\sigma$ waves lead to a fold caustic. If the primary lens were at a critical angle, the chance of encountering a somewhat less inclined system is of order unity.

More surprising is the absence of a single deflection image of the pulsar, which is expected at position E. This could happen if the maximum deflection angle is just below critical, such that only rays on the appropriately aligned double deflection can form images. This scenario predicts that at frequencies just below 300 MHz, or a few days earlier in time, the pulsar should be seen at position E.

6 POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENTS

We discuss several strategies which can improve on the solution accuracy. The single biggest improvement would be to monitor over a week, when the pulsar crosses each individual lens, including both lensing systems.

Angular resolution can be improved using longer baselines, for example adding a GMRT-GBT baseline doubles the resolution. Observing at multiple frequencies over a longer period allows for a more precise measurement: when the pulsar is between two lenses, the deflection angle is small, and one expects to see the lensing at higher frequency, where

the resolution is higher, and distances between lenses positions can be measured to much higher accuracy.

Holographic techniques (Walker et al. 2008; Pen et al. 2014) may be able to measure delays, fringe rates, and VLBI positions substantially more accurately. Combining these techniques, the interstellar lensing could conceivably achieve distance measurements an order of magnitude better than the current published effective distance errors. This could bring most pulsar timing array targets into the coherent timing regime, enabling arc minute localization of gravitational wave sources, lifting any potential source confusion.

Ultimately, the precision of the lensing results would be limited by the fidelity of the lensing model. In the inclined sheet model, the images move along fold caustics. The straightness of these caustics depends on the inclination angle, which in turn depends on the amplitude of the surface waves.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a new technique of two plane interstellar plasma lensing to determine distances to pulsars. We have tested this approach on archival data, showing that in principle solutions can be obtained. We conclude that multi-epoch observations over weeks, at a range of frequencies, might result in much more accurate distance determinations.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank NSERC for support.

REFERENCES

- Boyle L., Pen U.-L., 2012, Phys. Rev. D, 86, 124028
Briskin W. F., Macquart J.-P., Gao J. J., Rickett B. J.,
Coles W. A., Deller A. T., Tingay S. J., West C. J., 2010,
ApJ, 708, 232
Pen U.-L., Levin Y., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 3338
Pen U.-L., Macquart J.-P., Deller A. T., Briskin W., 2014,
MNRAS, 440, L36
Walker M. A., Koopmans L. V. E., Stinebring D. R., van
Straten W., 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1214