## A Grammar of Standard Classical Arabic

An online book to learn the language of the Quran, Hadith, and other Islamic texts

The Authors

2025-04-27

© 2023 Author Names All rights reserved.

This work may not be distributed or modified, without written permission from the copyright holder.

This book is typeset with KOMA-Script and XAPTEX (via Quarto and pandoc) in the Charis, Vazirmatn, and Amiri typefaces.



Pr	etace		9
1.	Introd	luction	11
	1.1.	History of Arabic	11
	1.2.	About this book	12
2.	The A	rabic script	15
	2.1.	The Arabic alphabet	15
	2.2.	Writing Arabic words	18
	2.3.	Vowels and pronunciation marks	23
	2.4.	Connecting hamzah	27
	2.5.	Connecting hamzah	27
	2.6.	Qur'ānic script	29
3.	Noun	s	31
-	3.1.	Introduction	31
	3.2.	Definiteness	31
	3.3.	State	34
	3.4.	Grammatical gender	35
	3.5.	Exercises	41
4.	Subje	ct-information sentences	43
•	4.1.	Introduction	43
	4.2.	Forming subject-information sentences	43
	4.3.	Matching the gender between the subject and the information	44
	4.4.	Detached pronouns	46
	4.5.	A definite noun as the information	50
	4.6.	An indefinite noun as the subject	50
	4.7.	'' wa- "and", فُ fa- "so"/"and then", and أُوْ vaw "or"	51
5.	Prepo	sitions	53
Ο.	5.1.	Introduction	53
	5.2.	True prepositions	53
	5.3.	Pseudo-prepositions	56
	5.4.	Attached pronouns	57
	5.5.	Translating prepositions	59
	5.6.	Sentences and phrases with prepositions	59
	5.7.	Sentences with an indefinite subject	60
	5.8.	Prepositions with multiple nouns/pronouns	61
	F 0	To have comothing	60

6.	Comp	leted-action verbs	65
	6.1.	Introduction	65
	6.2.	Arabic word roots	65
	6.3.	The form 1 completed-action verb	66
	6.4.	Verbal sentences	67
	6.5.	Verbs with doees	68
	6.6.	Verbs with doer pronouns	70
	6.7.	Verbs with doee pronouns	73
	6.8.	Multiple verbs for one doer	75
	6.9.	Order of words in a sentence	76
	6.10.	Negating completed-action verbs	79
	6.11.	The particle قَدْ $qad$	79
	6.12.	Separating doee pronouns from the verb	79
	6.13.	TODO	80
7.	Adject	tival nouns and descriptive noun phrases	81
	7.1.	Introduction	81
	7.2.	Adjectives in English	81
	7.3.	Terminology: the <i>describer</i> and the <i>describee</i>	82
	7.4.	Adjectival nouns in English	82
	7.5.	Adjectival nouns in Arabic and genderizability	83
	7.6.	The describer and the describee in descriptive noun-phrases	84
	7.7.	Adjectival nouns as the information of a sentence	86
	7.8.	Adjectival nouns used without a described noun	88
	7.9.	Adjectival nouns re-used as common nouns	88
	7.10.	Common-nouns used as describers in a noun-phrase	89
	7.11.	Multiple adjectival nouns describing the same noun	89
	7.12.	A prepositional phrase separating the describer from the describe	e 90
8.	Semi-	flexible nouns	91
	8.1.	Introduction	91
	8.2.	Feminine markers	92
	8.3.	Categories of semi-flexible nouns	93
		•	
9.	Duals		99
	9.1.	Introduction	99
	9.2.	Forming the dual	99
	9.3.	Dual describers and describees in descriptive noun-phrases	101
	9.4.	Duals in subject-information sentences	102
	9.5.	Detached dual pronouns	102
	9.6.	Attached dual pronouns	103
	9.7.	Verbs with dual doers	105
10		l plurals	109
	10.1.	Introduction	109
	10.2.	The $\bar{u}n$ sound plural	110
	10.3.	The $\bar{a}t$ sound plural	111
	10.4.	Conditions for forming the sound plural	114
	10.5.	Detached plural pronouns	118

			19
	10.7.	Verbs with plural doers	21
	D 1	1 1	
11.			27
	11.1.		27
	11.2.		27
	11.3.	±	28
	11.4.		43
	11.5.		45
	11.6.	Usage of plurals	47
12.	Annex	ration 1	53
	12.1.		53
	12.2.		53
	12.3.		54
	12.4.		54
	12.5.		56
	12.6.		56
	12.7.		59
	12.8.	Annexations with more than two nouns	60
		Pronouns as base nouns	60
	12.5.		63
	19 11		65
	19 19		67
	12.12.	osage of the uniteration	Ο,
13.	Irregu	lar nouns	69
	13.1.	Introduction	69
	13.2.		69
	13.3.		75
14.	Prope		79
	14.1.		79
	14.2.	1 1	80
	14.3.	O Company of the comp	80
	14.4.		81
	14.5.	The name فُلَان	85
	14.6.		86
	14.7.		86
	14.8.		87
	14.9.		90
			91
			91
			92
			94
			94 94
			94 95
	-7.10.		,,
15.	Callin	g out 19	97
		Introduction	

	15.2.	Calling out to specific persons	197
	15.3.	Calling out to unspecified persons	200
	15.4.	Omitting يَا $yar{a}$	200
	15.5.	Shortening the attached pronoun $\delta$ i "my"	201
	15.6.	Calling out to Allāh by name	201
16.	Pointi	ng nouns	203
	16.1.	Introduction	203
	16.2.	The pointing nouns in Arabic	203
	16.3.	Definiteness of pointing nouns	204
	16.4.	Pointing noun for plurals of non-intelligent beings	205
	16.5.	The pointing noun phrase	205
	16.6.	Pointing nouns as subjects	213
	16.7.	Pointing nouns as other parts of speech	215
	,		Ū
17.		e incomplete-action verbs	217
	17.1.		217
	17.2.	Pattern for form 1	217
	17.3.	Vowel-mark on the middle root letter	217
	17.4.	Verb state	218
	17.5.	With doer nouns	219
	17.6.	With doee nouns and pronouns	219
	17.7.	With doer pronouns	220
	17.8.	With doer pronouns	221
	17.9.	Negation	222
	17.10.		222
1 Q		erbal-noun of doing	223
10.	18.1.	Introduction	223
	18.2.	Patterns of the doing verbal-noun for form 1 verbs	223
	18.3.	Usage of the doing verbal-noun	225
	18.4.	Multiple doing verbal-nouns for the same verb	_
	•		229
	18.5.	Doing verbal-nouns re-used as common nouns	230
	18.6. 18.7.		231
	10./.	TODO	231
19.		e incomplete-action verbs	233
		Introduction	233
	19.2.	Forming the a-state incomplete-action verb	233
	19.3.	''an	235
	19.4.	After $\bigcup li$	238
	19.5.		239
	19.6.		239
	19.7.		242
	19.8.	After إِذَٰنْ 'idĥan	242
	19.9.	After وَ, وَٰ مُقَ , and وَّوْ , وَ , أَوْ , وَ , وَ مَا After وَ , وَ مَا إِلَّهُ , وَ After وَ , أَوْ	243

20	.o-state	e incomplete-action verbs	245
	20.1.	Introduction	245
	20.2.	Forming the o-state incomplete-action verb	245
	20.3.	With J for indirect commands	247
	20.4.	With $\dot{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ for prohibitions	247
	20.5.	With لَمْ for "did not"	248
	20.6.		248
	20.7.	Other uses of the 0-state incomplete-action verb	248
	20.8.	The verb of command	249
21.	The u	nknown-doer verb	253
	21.1.	Introduction	253
	21.2.	Forming the unknown-doer verb	253
	21.3.	The deputy doer	254
	21.4.	Impersonal use	256
	21.5.	States of the incomplete-action unknown-doer verb	258
	21.6.	Usage of the unknown-doer verb	259
		63	239
22.	Additi	ional forms of the verb	261
	22.1.	Introduction	261
	22.2.		261
	22.3.	The form 3 verb فَاعَلَ يُفَاعِلُ	262
22	The	erbal-nouns of the doer and the doee	263
۷3.			263
	23.1.	Introduction	
	23.2.	Pattern of the doer verbal-noun	263
	23.3.	The doer verbal-noun as a noun	264
	23.4.	The doer verbal-noun as a verb	265
	23.5.	The doee verbal-noun	270
	23.6.	Doer and doee verbal-nouns re-used as adjectival-nouns	272
	23.7.	Doer and doee verbal-nouns re-used as common nouns	274
24.	The ve	erb گَانَ	277
	24.1.	Introduction	277
	24.2.	كَانَ, its subject, and its information	277
	24.3.		282
	24.4.		285
	24.5.		
	24.6.	Time signification of the past verb کانَ	286
	24.7.	combined with other verbs	
	24.8.	with the ال of denial	294
		with the الله deserving	294
25	الله عادةً	l its sisters	297
-5.		Introduction	297
		انَّ :	298
		ُ 'anna	
	25.4.		304

	25.5.		304
	25.6.		304
	25.7.		305
	25.8.	Topic-comment sentences and the pronoun of the fact	305
	25.9.	The lightened versions كَأَنْ ,أَنْ ,إِنْ and كَكِنْ	307
26.	.The co	onnected nouns	313
	26.1.	Introduction	313
	26.2.	The specific connected nouns	314
	26.3.	The refer-back pronoun	316
	26.4.	and مَنْ and مَنْ	318
	26.5.	Deleting the refer-back pronoun	324
	26.6.	The general connected noun أَيّ	326
	26.7.	Separating the connecting sentence from the connected noun .	328
	26.8.	Deleting the connected noun and/or the connecting sentence .	328
	26.9.	Sentences without connected nouns	328
	26.10.	Notes and references $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	330
27.	Questi	ions	335
	27.1.	Introduction	335
	27.2.	and هَلْ questions	335
	27.3.	"Who" questions	335
	27.4.	"What" questions	337
	27.5.	"Which" questions	337
	27.6.		337
	27.7.		337
	27.8.		337
	27.9.	Other question words	337
		Differentiating question words from their other functions	337
28.	Yes/no	o questions	343
	,		0 10
۸	نامسمسان		0.45
ΑĽ	pendi	ces	345
A.	Rules	for writing hamzah	345
	A.1.	Seats of hamzah	345
	A.2.	Rules for determining the seat of hamzah	346
	A.3.	Why so complicated?	354
	A.4.	Typographical limitations	354
В.	Usage	and style	357
	B.1.	"There is a" sentences	357
	B.2.	Pronoun of separation after question nouns	359
	B.3.	"What happened to"	360
	B.4.	about	360
	RБ	"What about "	261

## **Preface**

The primary texts of Islām (the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth) are in Arabic. So too is much of its scholarly literature. However, there are many Muslims for whom Arabic is not a native language, yet who are familiar enough with English to study textbooks written in this language. The goal of this book is to help them learn Arabic at a beginner's level so that, together with a study of the appropriate expositional texts, they are one step closer to understanding the primary texts in their original language. We hope that this will, if Allāh wills, make them feel more connected to the primary texts and their teachings. Furthermore, they can be empowered to study the vast body of Arabic Islāmic literature.

Regarding the title of this book: the Arabic grammarians were describing an Arabic language, drawing upon a corpus that included transmitted texts and the dialectal speech of informants. We term the language of this corpus to be *Classical Arabic* because of its considerable volume and its agreed upon significance and eloquence. In the processing of describing and sifting through the dialectal variation of Classical Arabic, a normative variety emerged, and gained prevalence for composing and transmitting texts. It is the grammar of this *Standard Classical Arabic* that is the subject of this work.

This book is a learning or teaching grammar, as opposed to being strictly a reference grammar. Therefore, generally, topics are covered, and examples are given, in a manner that assumes an understanding of only preceding content. We have, however, generally tried to origanize content coherently for convenient reference during learning.

We have also aimed to make this a self-instruction textbook so that a diligent student should, if Allāh wills, be able to study it without an instructor. The target learner is someone who has not been exposed to grammatical terminology like *inflection*, *case*, *mood*, etc. While terminology is necessary for a rigorous non-immersive learning of language, we have tried to steer away from Latinbased terms like *accusative* and *jussive*. Such terms, when first encountered by an uninitiated learner, may deter him from proceeding further. So we have in some places translated the meaning of Arabic grammar terms to English. In other places, we have used established English grammar terms where the terms are basic enough. We have even, in places, invented terms where we deemed appropriate. The drawback to this non-standard approach, however, is that the student may not be able to immediately relate the terminology he has learned in this book to established terminology in other grammar textbooks. To remedy

10 Preface

this to some extent, we provide a glossary which maps the grammatical terminology used in this book to other, established, Latin-based and Arabic-based counterparts.

It may also be appropriate to inform the reader that we chose to present a subset, and sometimes a simplified version, of Arabic grammar. As such, the grammar presented here may not be entirely consistent with the comprehensive and harmonious framework developed by the Arab grammarians. We chose this approach because we felt that exposing the beginner to complex grammatical details at this stage would be more of a hindrance than a help in learning the language.

This book is a currently work in progress and is produced using the Quarto authoring and publishing framework. The code and text are open-sourced and developed at github.com/adamiturabi/arabic-tutorial-book. The typeset output is published at adamiturabi.github.io/arabic-tutorial-book/.

THE AUTHORS

## Chapter 1.

## Introduction

All praises are due to Allāh. We praise Him, seek His help, and ask for His forgiveness. We seek refuge in Allāh from the evil in our souls and from our sinful deeds. Whomever Allāh guides, no one can mislead. Whomever Allāh leads astray, no one can guide. I bear witness that there is no one worthy of worship except Allāh. I also bear witness that Muḥammad is His servant and messenger.

May the peace and blessings of Allāh be upon the Prophet Muḥammad, his family, his companions, and those who followed them with good conduct.

## 1.1. History of Arabic

Allāh, may He be glorified and exalted, revealed the Qur'ān 1400 years ago to the Prophet Muḥammad, may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him. The language of the Qur'ān is the Arabic language, as it was understood by the Arabs at that time. The sayings and actions of the Prophet, may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him, were recorded by his companions also in this Arabic language. Also, composed in this Arabic language, was a vast body of poetry that the Arabs would consider eloquent and worth transmitting. We will call the Arabic of this pre-Islāmic and early Islāmic era as Classical Arabic. The Classical Arabic language consisted of multiple dialects that were spoken by the different tribes and in the different regions of the Arabian peninsula.

All languages change naturally over time. For example, English has changed to such a degree that the Old English language spoken 1400 years ago would be unintelligible to us today. So too did the Classical Arabic dialects begin to change. But as part of preserving His religion, Allāh preserved the Arabic language as well. This was by means of the efforts of scholars who recorded the Classical Arabic language of the time of the revelation.

In the process of preserving Arabic, one particular variety became standardized and gained prevalence as a literary language over the other dialects of the Arabic of the early-Islāmic period. This standard Arabic, in its early period after standardization, is called *Standard Classical Arabic*. The pre-Islāmic and early Islāmic Arabic dialects (of which Standard Classical Arabic is but a standardized variety) are then referred to, collectively, as pre-Standard Classical Arabic. Standard Classical Arabic was used as the language of religious scholarship, science,

and literature in the Islāmic world. As scholars developed new branches of religious and secular sciences, new terms and meanings were added to it that are termed post-classical. A few words were also borrowed from foreign languages and Arabicized, as needed by the different scientific disciplines. (Pre-Standard Classical Arabic itself had a few Arabicized foreign borrowings from neighboring languages.) These additions were, by and large, deliberate, done by scholars who were experts in their fields and also well versed in Standard Classical Arabic, and validated by subsequent generations of scholarly discourse. Besides these needed additions, the grammar and core language remained remarkably unchanged.

While Standard Arabic was thus preserved from major change and was used for literary purposes, the language that was spoken by Arabs in their day-to-day lives continued to change over time from the pre-Islāmic Arabic dialects into the modern colloquial Arabic dialects. And so today, there exist two very distinct types of Arabic: the preserved Standard Arabic which is taught at schools and is primarily a written language, and the modern colloquial Arabic dialects which Arabs learn as their mother tongue and which are primarily only spoken and not written.



In modern times, many new words and meanings have been added to Standard Arabic, often via translation from Western languages, to keep up with technological advancements and modern media. This modern development of Standard Arabic is called Modern Standard Arabic. There are also a small amount of words, meanings, and grammatical usages, which existed in Classical Arabic, but which are deemed archaic, and are therefore largely unused, in Modern Standard Arabic.

Figure 1.1 (above) depicts this historical development of Standard Arabic.

#### 1.2. About this book

#### 1.2.1. Scope

In this book, we will study the grammar of Standard Classical Arabic. If Allāh wills, this will help you to begin to understand the language of the Qur³ān, the Ḥadīth, and Islāmic literature.

If your goal is to learn Modern Standard Arabic, then this book may still be of help because the core language and the grammar are essentially the same. However, you may prefer to study from a resource that focuses on the modern language.

This book does not touch at all upon the modern colloquial dialects that are spoken in the Arab world today.

1.2. About this book

#### 1.2.2. Current status

This book is currently a work in progress, and not yet ready for study. There is a watermark on all online published pages indicating this status. The preface and this introduction have been written prematurely as a reference for guiding principles that we can refer to during the writing process. We publish updates online while the book is still a work in progress in the hope that it will help in correcting errors.

## 1.2.3. Methodology

We will start, if Allāh wills, with the Arabic script and present, in each chapter, a new concept of Arabic grammar, together with examples. Though there is none right now, we will also give, if Allāh wills, a vocabulary list and exercises for each chapter.

In order to organize content in a manageable and referrable way, we will give a reasonably full treatment of every topic upon its first encounter. But in actuality, an exhaustive understanding of the topic may not be absolutely essential to the core understanding of grammar that a learner needs at that stage. An example of such a topic is *semi-flexible nouns* (*diptotes* in Western grammars). Although identifying such material may not be easy for the learner, you may feel free to skim over such material and refer back to it later, as needed.

Some of the sentences we present, both as examples and as chapter exercises, because of their contrived nature, may seem of dubious usefulness to a learner wanting to learn practical usage. Also, when translating examples, we usually steer toward a literal, word-for-word, translation rather than an idiomatic one. This is in order to illustrate the function of each word, and to show a correspondence between the words in the Arabic sentence and the English translation. The resulting English will then often sound awkward, and even sometimes ungrammatical. We request the reader's patience and indulgence in these and other matters.

### 1.2.4. How to study from this book

#### 1.2.4.1. Exercises

In answering the exercises, we strongly recommend that you memorize the vocabulary in full and write down the answers with pen and paper. We strongly recommend that you resist the tendency to answer the exercises only orally or mentally without writing them down, or look up the answers from the answer key before attempting to write the answer yourself, or look up words in the vocabulary list without memorizing them, or proceed to the next chapter before memorizing the vocabulary and going through the exercises. You may also find yourself having to go back a few chapters every once in a while and revising the

concepts therein. This is very normal and not a cause for any concern. It may also prove beneficial to re-do the exercises of that chapter when doing so.

#### 1.2.4.2. Vocabulary

Know that while Arabic grammar requires effort to master to a proficient degree, the real barrier to reading and understanding Arabic texts by oneself is vocabulary. Arabic is a very rich language and knowledge of a few thousand words is needed before the student can begin to read texts independently. In fact, we would not be too far off to say that grammar, at this stage, is only a tool to help you make sense of the vocabulary that you are acquiring. So strive to achieve a balance between learning grammar and acquiring vovabulary. In appendix @ref(vocabulary-and-reading), we suggest companion reading material, dictionaries, and techniques on acquiring and retaining vocabulary.

Motk in Progress

## Chapter 2.

# The Arabic script

## 2.1. The Arabic alphabet

The alphabet consists of both consonants and vowels. In the English word "banana", "a" is a vowel, and "b" and "n" are called consonants. The Arabic alphabet traditionally has 28 letters, shown in the table below.

	Arabic let-	Tran- scrip-		.055
No.	ter	tion	Name	Description
1	I	ā	alif أَلِف	A vowel like in English "man". But after these letters (خ،ر،ص،ض،غ،ق) it sounds like
				"awe" in English "awesome".
2	ب	b	bāʾ بَاء	Equivalent to English "b" in "boy".
3	ت	t	tāʾ تَاء	Similar to English "t" in "tall" but softer.
				Touch the tongue against the back of the top front teeth instead of just the gum.
4	ث	<i>î</i> h	ْ t͡hāʾ ثَاء	Similar to to English "th" in "think" but
4	J	uı	po tha	softer. Have your lips and cheek in a wide
			70	grin. Loosely bite the tip of your tongue
		4		between your front teeth and then force air
				out trying to hiss "ssss". Keep your tongue
				touching the top and bottom teeth and the
				hiss should come out like a "th" sound.
5	ج	j ḥ	جيم jīm مَاء بُأء	Equivalent to English "j" in "just".
6	ح	ņ	'na' حاء	Similar to English "h" in "hat" but
				pronounced from the bottom of the throat.
7	خ	kĥ	kĥāʾ خَاء	Take care there is no scraping as with $\dot{z}$ . Similar to "ch" in Scottish "loch". Try
/	C	Kit	so kila	saying "kh" but with a scraping sound.
8	د	d	dāl دَال	Similar to to English "d" in "dog" but softer.
				Just like with $\odot$ , touch the tongue against the back of the top front teeth instead of just the gum.
				J G

No.	Arabic let- ter	Tran- scrip- tion	Name	Description
9	ڬ	đĥ	d̂hāl ذُال	Place your tongue as in $\circ$ and force air out But this time instead of trying to hiss "ssss" try to buzz "zzzz" and again keep your tongue touching the top and bottom teeth.
10	ر	r	رَاءِ rāʾ	Equivalent to English "r" in "rat".
11	j	z	رَّاء زَاء	Equivalent to English "z" in "zoo".
12	س	s	sīn سِین	Equivalent to English "s" in "see".
13	ش	$\widehat{sh}$	shīn شِين	Equivalent to English "sh" in "show".
14	ص	ş	ṣād صَاد	An emphatic w that will be described later.
15	ض	<b>d</b>	ḍād ضَاد	An sound unique to Arabic that will be described later.
16	ط	ţ	ţā٬ طَاء	An emphatic ت that will be described later.
17	ظ	d̂h	ُ d͡hā٬ ظَاء	An emphatic خ that will be described later.
18	ع	ε	eayn عَيْن	A sound like "a" from the throat.
19	غ	gĥ	ghayn غَيْن	Somewhat like a "gh" sound but much softer. Try pronouncing $\dot{z}$ but without any scraping.
20	ف	f	fāʾ فَاء	Equivalent to English "f" in "fox".
21	ق	q	qāf قَاف	Similar to English "k" in "kite" but further back in the throat.
22	ك	k	kāf گاف	Equivalent to English "k" in "kite".
23	J	1	lām لَام	Equivalent to English "l" in "light".
24	م	m	mīm میم	Equivalent to English "m" in "man".
25	ن	n	nūn ئُون	Equivalent to English "n" in "nut".
26	٥	h	مَاء hā'	Equivalent to English "h" in "hat". Much softer than >
27	9	w/ū	وَاوِ wāw	As a consonant it is equivalent to English "w" in "water". It is also a vowel equivalent to English "oo" in "moon".
28	ي	y/ī	yā' يَاء	As a consonant it is equivalent to English "y" in "yellow". It is also a vowel equivalent to English "ee" in "meek".

Note that the letters  $_9$  (wāw) and  $_2$  (yā') are both vowels and consonants. But that alif (alif) is only a vowel.

The consonant corresponding to alif is  $\varepsilon$ . Although  $\varepsilon$  ought to be considered a letter in its own right, it was originally only pronounced and not written. So it is not traditionally considered part of the 28-letter script.

No.	Arabic let- ter	Tran- scrip- tion	Name	Description
_	٤	,	هَمْزَة hamzah	Technically called a glottal stop, it is the sound of the breath stopping in the beginning of, and between the syllables in, the utterance "oh-oh".

#### 2.1.1. Alternative order of letters

The above order of the letters in alphabetical sequence is currently used today. There is an alternative order that was more used in the past (from right to left):

This alternative order is discussed more in appendix @ref(abjad-order). (TODO: add appendix for أبجد order, discuss its use in lists and numerical value.)

#### 2.1.2. Pronunciation notes

Some of the sounds are similar to sounds in English but others are very different. Here we will attempt to describe the sounds but we recommend that you learn the correct pronunciation from an experienced Arabic or Qur'ān teacher. Online videos may also help in practicing the sounds.

2.1.2.1. ص ṣād, ط ṭāʾ, and خَ 
$$\widehat{qh}$$
āʾ

The letters  $\omega$  sīn,  $\Box$  tā', and  $\dot{a}$  dhāl are pronounced with the mouth and lips in a wide grin. Now try pronouncing them, in turn, with the lips round forming a small circle. The sounds will be emphatic and will be  $\dot{a}$ , and  $\dot{a}$  respectively.

 $\dot{\phi}$  dād is thought to be unique to Arabic. There are two ways to pronounce it. The first is similar to an emphatic  $\dot{\phi}$ . The second is almost similar to  $\dot{\phi}$ . We reiterate that it is best to use audio training to help with pronouncing these sounds.

## 2.2. Writing Arabic words

#### 2.2.1. Letters in different positions

Arabic is written right-to-left, unlike English and most other languages which are written left-to-right. When writing, the letters in a word are generally joined to each other, except for six out of the 28 letters, which join only to the letter preceding them but not to the letter following them. These six partially-joining letters are 9 (1) (2) (3) (4).

When joining the letters, letters are modified in order to join to the preceding and following letter. The fully-joining letters can be in four positions:

- 1. by itself (isolated),
- 2. in the beginning of a group of joined letters,
- 3. in the middle of a group of joined letters,
- 4. in the end of a group of joined letters.

In this book we will show a "Simplified Arabic" writing style where, in each of the four positions, the letter maintains its basic shape and is usually only slightly modified to join to the previous and following letter with horizontal lines.

To explain the method of modifying the letters when joining them, we will take  $\downarrow$  as an example and start with the isolated form:

Isolated form:  $\cup$ 

To modify this into the end form, we simply join a horizontal line to the right of the letter:

End form: حب

To get the middle form, we take the end form \_\_ and cut off its tail which is at its left, and replace it with a horizontal line. We also move the dot slightly to get:

سد: Middle form:

And finally, to get the beginning form, we take the middle form  $\downarrow$  and remove the horizontal line at the right:

Beginning form: ب

Now most of the letters follow this common technique but a few of them are modified a little further in each form. These, more complicated, letters are غ، غ and you can study them and the rest of the letters in the table below:

No.	Isolated	End	Middle	Beginning
1	1	L	none	none
2	ب	ـب	ب	ب
3	ت	ـت	ت	تـ
4	٠ د د خ.ح جه ك ت د	ـث	ェ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ ニ	י ה ה ה ה ה ה ה
5 6	ج	ـج	جـ	ج
	ح	_ح	ے	ح
7	خ	_خ	ـخـ	خ
8	د	بد	none	none
9	ذ	ـذ	none	none
10	ر	_ر	none	none
11	j	<u>;</u> _	none	none
12	w	سـ		سـ
13	ش	_ش_	_ش_	س ش ص ض ط ط خ خ خ غ غ غ
14	ص	_ص	_ص_	صـ
15	ض	∟ض	_ف_	ضـ
16	ط	_ط	_ط_	ط
17	ظ	ـظ	ـظـ	ط ظ
18	ع	_ع	모	ے ع
19	غ	غ	ൎ	_ė
20	ف	ے	ف	ف
21	ق	_ق	ق ق	ق
22	ك	ےك	ک	ک
23	ر ن ق ق ق ف ع ط ط ق ق ق ق ق ل ك ق ق ف ع ع ظ ط ق	ب ل ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك ك		ک ر م ن
24	م	م. ـم		مـ
25	ن	<u>-</u> ن	ند	نـ
26	٥	ه_	-&-	ھ
27	9	9-	none	none
28	ي	ڀ	ㅗ	يـ

You can see that each letter maintains a basic shape and is modified for each of the four positions.

#### 2.2.2. Joining the different forms to make a word

Notice that when we modified the isolated form to get to the beginning, middle, and end forms, we added a horizontal line to each or both sides. It is this horizontal line which joines to the horizontal line of the neighboring letter.

As an example, we would like to join the following letters (starting from the right):  $_{0}$ - $_{0}$ 

In this example, we needed the beginning, middle, and end forms of the letters. Isolated forms are used in a word when there is a partially-joining letter present that won't join to the following letter. The letter after a partially-joining letter will be in its beginning form even though it is in the middle of a word. But if it too is a partially-joining letter, or it is the last letter in the word then it will take its isolated form.

Let's take a look at some examples where a group of disjoint letters are joined to form a word:

Disjoint	Joined
 ذ-ل-ك	ذلك
ا-ح-م-د	احمد
ر-س-و-ل	رسول
و-ز-ي-ر	وزير
ر-ا-ز-ق	رازق

Notice that in the last example, all the letters were in the isolated form.

## 2.2.2.1. Simplified and Traditional writing styles

We have just shown how letters join to each other with a horizontal line in the Simplified Arabic writing style. Traditional Arabic writing styles are a little more complex than Simplified Arabic: some letters join almost vertically instead of horizontally. But when you get familiar with the Simplified Arabic writing style, if Allah wills, it will not be too difficult for you to read the Traditional Arabic writing style as well.

Here are some comparisions of letters joining to each other in the Simplified Arabic and Traditional Arabic writing styles.

	- 1 1 ( 1 10 1	- 1 1 ( 11 1 1
Disjoint	Joined (simplified)	Joined (traditional)
 ت-م-ر	تمر	 تمر
l-ل-ح-ج-ج	الحجج	الحجج
ا-ل-م-ا-س	الماس	الماس
ل-م-ح-ة	لمحة	غطح
س-ح-ر	سحر	سحو
ب-ح-ي-ر-ة	بحيرة	بحيرة
ف-ي	في	في
·	بتثبيتتين	بتثبيتين

#### 2.2.3. ة (closed tā')

 $\ddot{\circ}$  is a special letter which is merged from two letters of the alphabet. It is a  $\ddot{\circ}$  but it is written as a  $\circ$  with two dots above it.  $\ddot{\circ}$  is pronounced exactly as a  $\ddot{\circ}$ , except when it is at the end of a sentence in which case it is pronounced as a  $\circ$  as we'll explain later, if Allāh wills.  $\ddot{\circ}$  occurs only at the end of a word so it has only an end form and an isolated form (used when the letter before it is a partially-joining letter).

#### Examples:

- فاطمة •
- شحرة •
- فتاة •

We call  $\ddot{a}$  closed ta because it appears as if have taken  $\ddot{a}$  and pressed it until it closed on itself. In contrast,  $\ddot{a}$  is called *open* ta when needed to differentiate it from  $\ddot{a}$ .

### 2.2.4. Writing hamzah

We have mentioned that hamzah was a later addition to the Arabic alphabet and originally it was only sounded and not written. Hamzah can be written in a number of different ways:

- 1. "Seated" above (or below) a vowel letter: Hamzah can be written above the vowel letters thus: أَ وَ يُ . When written over ي, the ي will not have any dots, thus: أَ ـُـــُـنُ ــئَـ . It may also be written under an alif thus: إ. Examples: إن ,فئة ,سؤلك ,أفعال.
- 2. "Unseated" after a letter. This has two sub cases:
  - a. Standalone, after a partially-joining letter or at the end of a word. Examples: عبء ,توءم ,تساءل.
  - b. Inline, in the middle of a word after a fully-joining letter. In this
    case hamzah is written above the horizontal line that joins the letters.
    Examples: بريئين, شيئا, خطيئة.

In all cases it is pronounced the same. There are actually a set of fairly complicated rules that determine which of the above ways to choose when writing hamzah. We present these rules in Appendix @ref(hamzarules). We recommend that for now, you memorize the spelling of each word that we present that contains a hamzah. When you are sufficiently advanced, and curious enough, you may refer to Appendix @ref(hamzarules) to learn the full set of rules.

### 2.2.5. Disambiguating letters that look similar

Some letters are very similar to each other and only differ in their dots or other slight differences. You should take care to distinguish between these letters. We will describe their similarities and differences here.

Isolated	End	Middle	Beginnning
<del></del>	u.	<u> </u>	
ت	تــ	ت	ت
ث	ـث	ث	ث
ن	-ن	نـ	ن
ي	ڀ	ي	وي يـ

These groups of letters differ too, only in their dots:

- ج , and خ
- ذ and د
- , and ;
- ش and س
- ض and ص
- ظ and ط
- غ and ع •

The letters  $\Dots$  and  $\Dots$  are similar in the initial and middle positions except for the dots. But in the isolated and final positions, the tail of  $\Dots$  goes lower than that of  $\Dots$ .

Isolated	End	Middle	Beginnning
ف	؎	_ف_	 ف_
ق	ـق	<u> </u>	ق

Be careful also not to confuse  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  and  $\dot{\omega}$  in their middle forms. The loop for  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  is round where it is triangular and flat-topped for  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  (as it is for  $\varepsilon$ ). Compare their middle forms in the table below:

Isolated	Middle
غ ف	<u> </u>

The letters alif I and I and I and I could also be confused for each other. Their forms are shown here again for easy comparison:

Isolated	End	Middle	Beginnning
I	L	none	none
J	_ل	工	٦

### 2.2.6. Joining alif after lām

When the letter alif follows  $\overline{lam}$  we would expect them to be joined like this  $l+U \rightarrow U$ . But actually, they are joined in a special way

$$I+U \rightarrow V$$

When the combination occurs at the end of a group of joined letters, it will appear thus:

Л

## **Examples:**

- ألا •
- الإيمان •
- الصلاة •

## 2.3. Vowels and pronunciation marks.

## 2.3.1. Short Vowels

Arabic has six vowels. There are three short vowels which don't have letters in the alphabet. Instead they are shown with pronunciation marks:

- 1. a as the first vowel in English "manipulate", written with an a-mark  $\circ$  which is a small diagonal line above the letter like  $\preceq ma$ .
- 2. i as in English "bit", written with an i-mark  $\circ$  which is a small diagonal line under the letter like  $\downarrow$  bi.
- 3. u as in English "put", written with an u-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  which is like a tiny  $_9$  wāw above the letter like  $\mathring{=}$  fu.

Examples of words with short vowels:

- fataḥa فَتَحَ
- eamila عَملَ •
- gutila قُتلَ •

### 2.3.2. Long Vowels

There are also three long vowels which are part of the alphabet:

- 1.  $\bar{a}$  generally written with an unmarked alif I and with the preceding letter having an a-mark. Example هَ  $m\bar{a}$ . This vowel is mostly pronounced like the vowel in English "man". If however, it comes after these letters خرر، ص، ض، ط، ظ، غ، ق it is pronounced like English "awe".
- 2.  $\bar{i}$  like in English "meek" written with an unmarked ي  $y\bar{a}$  with the preceding letter having an i-mark. Example فِي  $f\bar{i}$ .
- 3.  $\bar{u}$  like in English "moon" written with an unmarked  $_9$  waw with the preceding letter having an u-mark. Example  $_9$   $\hat{b}$   $\hat{dh}\bar{u}$ .

Examples of words with long and short vowels:

- هَارُونُ hārūnu
- كَذَا kadhā
- سَرَادِيبَ sarādība

#### 2.3.2.1. $\bar{a}$ vowel written with a small alif

Sometimes the  $\bar{a}$  vowel is written as a small alif  $\dot{\circ}$ , called a "dagger alif", instead of a regular alif  $\vert$ . This is done only for a few commonly used words. Here are some examples:

- اهَـٰذَا hādhā
- ذَ'لِكَ dhālika

#### 2.3.2.2. $\bar{a}$ vowel written with a yā

In some other words, the  $\bar{a}$  vowel is written with a yā' instead of an alif!. When this happens, we will write the yā' without its dots and write a dagger alif  $\dot{a}$  above it, like this  $\dot{a}$ . Here are some examples:

- ealā عَلَىٰ •
- ramā رَمَىٰ •

#### 2.3.3. Zero-vowel written with a 0-mark

As we have seen above if an Arabic letter has a vowel after it it will take one of the three pronunciation marks:  $\circ$ ,  $\circ$ ,  $\circ$ . If, however, there is no vowel after the letter we will put a zero-vowel o-mark on it  $\circ$ . This mark can generally only occur if there is a short vowel before the letter. Examples:

- kam گھ •
- مُنْذُ mundhu
- منْهُمْ minhum

• منْهَا minhā

#### 2.3.4. Semi-vowels

Arabic has two short semi-vowels:

- 1. *aw* like in English "show". This is written with a wāw with a o-mark on it and a short *a* vowel before it. Example پُن *law*.
- 2. *ay* like in English "bait". This is written with a yā' with a O-mark on it and a short *a* vowel before it. Example كَيْ *kay*. Examples with short semi-vowels:
- وَيْحَكَ wayḥaka
- غَيْرُهُ ghayruhu
- قُوْلُهُ qawluhu

It also has two long semi-vowels:

- 1.  $\bar{a}w$  like in English "cow". This is written with a waw with a 0-mark on it and a long  $\bar{a}$  vowel before it. Example  $\partial w = w + w = w$
- āy like in English "bye". This is written with a yā' with a o-mark on it and a long ā vowel before it. Example شَائ shāy.

These long semi-vowels are rare and may only occur at the end of a sentence.

## 2.3.5. Doubled letters

- كَبَّرَ kabbara
- hadduhu حَدُّهُ •
- faɛɛāla فَعَالَ •
- سِكِّينُ sikkinu. Note that the *i*-mark is below the doubling mark but above the letter على. This is the most common way to write this, although having the *i*-mark below the letter is also sometimes done as well. (In this case, the doubling mark will still be above the letter.)
- مَنفُّودُ saffūdu
- ضَالِّينَ dāllīna
- مُزَّمِّلُ مستzammilu

#### 2.3.6. Nūnation

In the next chapter, we will learn, if Allāh wills, that nouns in Arabic are sometimes pronouned with an extra  $\odot$  sound at their end. This is called *nūnation*. Nūnation is indicated in writing, not by adding a the letter  $\odot$  at the end of the word, but by writing the final vowel mark twice, thus:

- 1. ْ un, for example كِتَابٌ kitābun.
- 2. أ an, for example شَحَرَةُ shajaratan.
- 3. ় in, for example بَيْت baytin.

The nunated a-mark ه has specific spelling rules: Generally, we will generally add a silent alif after it, for example سَالِمًا becomes سَالِمًا sāliman. This is done for all words except:

- 1. If the word ends with a ة . In this case we don't add the silent alif. For example, غَاضِبَةٌ becomes غَاضِبَةٌ becomes
- 2. If the word ends with a  $\bar{a}$  vowel, whether written with an alif l or as a yā' with dagger alif خ. In this case, the an mark is put on the letter before the alif l or yā' خ and the final vowel letter becomes silent and is not pronounced. For example, مُصْطَفَىٰ becomes مُصْطَفَىٰ mustafan, مُصُلِّمُ فَعُمًا.
- 3. If the word ends with a hamzah. In this case, we might or might not write a silent alif, depending on the following rules:
  - a. If there is an alif before an unseated hamzah اء, then we don't add a silent alif. For example اَدُ becomes كَامًا dā'an, not أَدَامًا .
  - b. Otherwise, we add a silent alif after the hamzah. However, this may affect the writing of the hamzah, for example مُبْتَدَءًا becomes مُبْتَدَةًا becomes مُبْتَدَةًا becomes mubtada'an. This is discussed further in appendix @ref(hamzarules).

Here are some examples of nunated words:

- سَعْدٌ saɛdun
- darban ضَرْبًا
- قَاض qāḍin
- مَعَةً
- duɛāʾan دُعَاءً •
- imra¹an إُمْرَءًا
- شُتُّا *shay* an
- sūʾan سُوءًا •
- غَنَنٌ ghabanun

## 2.4. Connecting hamzah

Some words in arabic begin with a o-mark. When this occurs a connecting hamzah  $\mathring{l}$  (written as a tiny  $\multimap$  on an alif) is put before it. If this word comes in the beginning of the sentence the connecting alif is pronounced as a hamzah. Otherwise this connecting hamzah is not pronounced and the word is connected to the final vowel of the previous word in pronunciation. In this tutorial we will transcribe the connecting hamzah with a hyphen "-". Examples of connecting hamzah:

```
اِفْتَحِ ٱلْبَابَ
'iftaḥi -lbāba
أُنْظُرُ
'undhur
```

If the previous word does not end with a vowel, then a helper vowel is added. The most common helper vowel is  $\circ$ . Example:

```
زَیْدٌ ٱلْکَرِیمُ
zayduni -lkarīmu
```

When one word ends in a long vowel and the next word begins with a connecting hamzah, the long vowel becomes a short vowel in pronunciation, but in writing the long vowel's letter is retained. For example:

```
أَخَذَ مِنَّا ٱلْكِتَابَ

'akhadha minna -lkitāba

ذُو ٱلْقَرْنَيْنِ

dhu -lqarnayni

فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ

fi -lbayti
```

## 2.5. Pronouncing the end of a sentence

When a word is at the end of a sentence and it ends with a long vowel, then the final long vowel is pronounced normally. However, when a word at the end of a sentence does not end with a long vowel, then the final letter's pronunciation mark is pronounced as a 0-mark when vocalizing the sentence. If the final letter is a  $\ddot{a}$  then it is pronounced as a a ha? with a 0-mark.

This change in pronunciation is only vocal, it does not affect how we write the pronunciation mark. Here we give some examples of words pronounced if they were at the end of a sentence:

```
فَتْحُ
fat·h
```

```
عُقْبَةٌ
دuqbah
وَالِدَايَ
wālidāy
وَالِدَيَّ
wālidayy
```

If however, the final letter's pronunciation mark is a an mark then it is pronounced as a long- $\bar{a}$  vowel. The only exception is if the final letter were  $\delta$ , in which case it is then pronounced as a  $h\bar{a}$  with a 0-mark  $\delta$ . Here are examples of words with an marks pronounced as if they were at the end of a sentence.

```
مَفْعُولًا
mafɛūlā
سَاجِدًا
sājidā
مُرْفُوعَةً
marfūeah
```

Note that the above exception is only for  $\ddot{a}$ . If a hamzah with an an mark occurs at the end of a word, then it too will be pronounced as if it had a long- $\ddot{a}$  vowel after it. Such is the case, whether or not a silent alif is written after the hamzah. Examples:

- اَمُبْتَدَءًا ais pronounced mubtada ُā
- دُعَاءً is pronounced duɛāʾā

Similarly, if the word has a final  $y\bar{a}$  that represents the long- $\bar{a}$  vowel, and the letter before has an an mark, it is pronounced with the long- $\bar{a}$  vowel at the end of the sentence. For example:

• مُصْطَفًى is pronounced *muṣṭafā* 

Except in this section, we will usually transcribe Arabic into English letters without modifiying the transcription for the last word in the sentence. This is because the last vowel mark is helpful for us to learn the grammatical function of the word. But when saying the sentence out aloud you should pronounce the ending of the final word as we have just described.

```
For example, the sentence:

ذَهَبَ إِلَى ٱلْبَيْتِ

will be transcribed, in the remainder of this book, as:
```

dhahaba 'ila -lbayti

but should be pronounced as  $\widehat{ah}ahaba$  'ila -lbayt

## 2.6. Qur'ānic script

In printed volumes of the Qur'an, the spelling words is a little different from non-Qur'anic Standard Arabic. The reasons for this are beyond the scope of this book. Here we'll just give a few examples and note that these differences are typically only found in printed volumes of the Qur'an.

Standard Arabic	Qur'ānic Arabic
ٱلصَّلَاةَ	 ٱلصَّلَوٰةَ
ٱلسَّمَاوَاتِ	ٱلسَّمَـٰوَ ٰتِ
يَا ٱبْنَ أُمَّ	يَبْنَؤُمَّ



Work in Progress

## Chapter 3.

## Nouns

#### 3.1. Introduction

A noun is a kind of word that is the name of something or someone.

Here are some examples of common nouns in Arabic:

Arabic word	Transcription	Definition
رَجُل	rajul	man
كِتُاب	kitāb	book
ِ بَيْت	bayt	house
شُحَة	shajarah	tree
صَبْر	şabr	patience
وَقْت	waqt	time
طَّعَام	ṭαεām	food
صَبْر صَبْر وَقْت طَعَام اِبْن	'ibn	son

Note that the final letter in each word, above, does not have a vowel mark. This is because, the final vowel mark is actually variable, as we shall see later in this chapter.

When we discuss nouns outside of sentences we shall pronounce the  $\ddot{o}$  as a h. Therefore,  $\ddot{o}$  "tree", in isolation, is pronounced  $\vec{shajarah}$ , not  $\vec{shajarat}$ .

Some nouns begin with a connecting hamzah, for example: اِبْن 'ibn "son". When in the beginning of a sentence, the connecting hamzah will be pronounced with an i-mark  $\circ$ .

#### 3.2. Definiteness

When talking about nouns it is necessary to introduce a topic called *definite-ness*.

A noun is *definite* when the person or thing it refers to is known. For example, if you say, "The man arrived." then the usage of the word "the" before "man"

32 Chapter 3. Nouns

tells us that the man is known to us. Therefore the noun "man" is definite in this sentence.

Conversely, if we had said "A man arrived." then the use of "a" before "man" tells us that the man is unknown to us. Therefore "man" is indefinite in this sentence.

"The" is called the definite article and "a" is called the indefinite article.

#### 3.2.1. Definite nouns in Arabic

The definite article in Arabic is اُلُ 'al. It corresponds to the English definite article "the". In order to make a noun definite, we attach الله 'al to its beginning.

For example, the definite noun "the book" in Arabic is اُلْكِتَابٍ 'alkitāb.

أَلْ  ${}^{\circ}al$  begins with a connecting hamzah; the hamzah will be pronounced only in the beginning of a sentence. And when it occurs in the beginning of a sentence, the hamzah is pronounced with a  $\circ$  a-mark.

#### 3.2.1.1. Sun letters and moon letters

The noun "man" in Arabic is رَجُل rajul. To make this noun definite, we add  $\mathring{l}$  'al to the beginning of the word. But instead of becoming 'alrajul the word becomes اَّلْرَجُل 'arrajul. The نَّال أَوْجُل becomes silent and the j gets doubled. This happens because the first letter j in the word رَجُل rajul is from a group of letters called "sun letters". For all nouns beginning with sun letters, when ' $\mathring{l}$  is put in the beginning, the  $\mathring{l}$  in  $\mathring{l}$  becomes silent and the sun letter becomes doubled.

The rest of the letters in the alphabet are called "moon letters" and for words that begin with moon letters, the  $\bigcup$  in  $\bigcup$  does not become silent and the moon letter does not become doubled. For example,  $\unlhd$  is a moon letter and we have already seen that كِتَاب kitāb "book" becomes أَلْكِتَاب 'alkitāb "the book".

The sun letters are ت ث د ذرزس ش ص ض ط ظ ل ن. The moon letters are ء ب ج ح خ ع غ ف ق ك م ه و ي.

The names "sun letters" and "moon letters" were given because of the Arabic words for "sun" and "moon" respectively. "The sun" in Arabic is  ${}^{\hat{j}}a\widehat{sh}$ - ${}^{\hat{j}}$  and "moon" respectively. "The sun" in Arabic is أَلُقْمُ to be silent. "The moon" is أَلُقْمَ 'alqamar which begins with ق which does not cause the J in أَلْ to be silent. Thus J represents the sun letters and J represents the moon letters.

Here are some examples of words that begin with sun letters:

Noun	Definite noun	
رَجُٰل <i>rajul</i> "man"	 arrajul "the man" ٱلرَّجُل	

3.2. Definiteness 33

Noun	Definite noun
َ tājir "trader" تَاجِر	'' <i>attājir</i> "the trader"
نامِئ <i>luɛbah</i> "toy"	'' <i>alluɛbah</i> "the toy"

# 3.2.1.2. The definite article ٱلْ 'al with nouns with an initial connecting hamzah

If the definite article  $\mathring{l}$  'al is with prefixed to nouns that have an initial connecting hamzah, then the  $\ \ \$  shall no longer have an o-mark  $\ \ \$ . Instead it shall have an i-mark  $\ \ \ \$ . Example:

اُلِاُبْن '*ali-bn* "the son"

#### 3.2.2. Indefinite nouns in Arabic

Arabic has no indefinite article corresponding to the English indefinite article "a". In order to make a noun indefinite in Arabic, it is simply written or pronounced without the definite article "a". For example, b b "a book".

## 3.2.3. Differences in definiteness between Arabic and English

The articles "a" and "the" are types of words called *determiners*. Besides "a" and "the", English has other determiners like "some", "this", "that", etc. that can make a noun definite or indefinite. For example:

"This man gave that boy some food."

In the above sentence "man" and "boy" are definite, and "food" is indefinite.

English can also have definite or indefinite nouns without determiners. The definiteness of the noun is then determined by the meaning of the sentence. Consider, for example, the sentence:

"Time is valuable."

Here, we are not talking about some indefinite amount of time, but rather the general concept of time, which is known to us. Therefore, the noun "time" here is definite.

Consider now the sentence:

"We don't have to leave just yet; we have time."

Here, "time" has an indefinite meaning "[some] time".

As opposed to this complicated situation in English, Arabic uses only the definite article الله 'al to make common nouns definite. So when translating sentences

34 Chapter 3. Nouns

from English to Arabic, you must first determine whether the noun is definite or not in English, and then use  $\hat{j}^{\dagger}$  al when the noun is definite.

#### **Examples:**

- "This man gave that boy some food."
  - man: definite; Arabic: ٱلرَّجُٰل 'arrujul – boy: definite; Arabic: ٱلْغُلَام 'alghulām – food: indefinite; Arabic: طَعَام taɛām
- · "Time is valuable."
  - time: definite; Arabic: ٱلْوَقْت 'alwaqt
- "We don't have to leave just yet; we have time."
  - time: indefinite; Arabic: وَقْت waqt

### 3.3. State

Nouns in Arabic have a property called *state*. The state of a noun is dependent on the function of the noun in a sentence. The state of a noun is indicated by the noun's ending. There are three states that a noun can be in. They are:

- the U-state, indicated, for most nouns, by a on the final letter of the noun.
- 2. the A-state, indicated, for most nouns, by a  $\circ$  on the final letter of the noun
- 3. the I-state, indicated, for most nouns, by a on the final letter of the noun.

When a noun is indefinite, then, for most nouns, it is also nūnated. Here, for example, is the noun كثاب kitāb "book" in its three states:

State	Indefinite "a book"	Definite "the book"
U-state A-state	kitābun کِتَابٌ kitāban کتَاتًا	alkitābu ٱلْكِتَابُ alkitāba ٱلْكتَابَ
I-state	kitābin كِتَابٍ kitābin	الجِناب 'alkitābi اَلْكِتَابِ 'alkitābi

The U-state is a noun's normal state in a sentence, and there needs to be a reason to take the noun out of this state into another state. We will begin to use state more in the next chapter if Allāh wills, where we learn how to form sentences.

## 3.4. Grammatical gender

Some nouns designate animate beings like "man", "woman", "boy", "girl", "dog", "cow", etc. Other nouns designate inanimate objects like "book", "house", "hand", "tree", "city", "food".

In dealing with the grammatical genders of such nouns, English uses three genders:

- 1. The masculine gender. This is used for nouns that designate male human beings and also some male animals. The pronouns used for the masculine gender are "he", "him", and "his".
- 2. The feminine gender. This is used for nouns that designate female human beings, and also some female animals. The pronouns used for the feminine gender are "she" and "her".
- 3. The neutral gender. This is used for nouns that designate inanimate objects and animals in general. The pronoun used for the neutral gender is "it".

In Arabic, there are only two grammatical genders: the masculine gender and the feminine gender. All nouns in Arabic are either masculine or feminine in gender. Nouns that designate male human beings are assigned the masculine grammatical gender. And nouns that designate female human beings are assigned the feminine grammatical gender. Nouns that designate animals sometimes conform to the gender of the animal. Other times, they have a fixed gender. As for nouns that designate inanimate objects, these, too, are assigned a gender. For example, وَقَالِهُ kitāb "book" in Arabic is masculine. And وَقَالِهُ kitāb "tree" in Arabic is feminine. We shall discuss this in more detail below.

## 3.4.1. Nouns that designate animate beings.

In Arabic, in terms of their form, nouns that designate animate beings are in three categories:

- 1. There are separate but matching nouns for the male and female animate beings.
- 2. There are separate and unrelated nouns for the male and female animate beings.
- 3. The same noun is used for both sexes.

We will discuss each of these categories below.

#### 3.4.1.1. Matching nouns for male and female animate beings

In Arabic for some nouns that designate animate beings, the nouns for both sexes match each other. Here are some examples:

36 Chapter 3. Nouns

#### Primitive nouns

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
 ibn	masc.	son
ibnah' ٱِبْنَة	fem.	daughter
ṭifl طِفْل	masc.	child
ṭiflah طِفْلَة	fem.	(female) child
insān <sup>'</sup> إنْسَان	masc.	human being
insānah' إِنْسَانَة	fem.	(female) human being
ḥūrr حُرّ	masc.	free man
ḥurrah حُرَّة	fem.	free woman
kalb كَلْب	masc.	(male) dog
kalbah كَلْبَة	fem.	(female) dog
hirr ھِرّ	masc.	(male) cat
hirrah هِرَّة	fem.	(female) cat

#### Derived nouns

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
 muɛallim مُعَلِّم	masc.	(male) teacher
muɛallimah مُعَلِّمَة	fem.	(female) teacher
tālib طَالِب	masc.	(male) student
tālibah طَالِبَة	fem.	(female) student
إية بقيارة بقاطي بقاط بقاطي بقاط بقل بقاط بقاط بقاط بقل بقاط بقاط بقل بقاط بقاط بقاط بقاط بقاط بقاط بقل بقاط بقاط بقل بقاط بقل بقل بقاط بقل بقاط بقل بقاط بقل بقل بقل بقاط بقل بقل بقاط بقاط بقل بقل بقل بقل بقا	masc.	(male) companion
ṣāḥibah صَاحِبَة	fem.	(female) companion
ṣadīq صَدِيق	masc.	(male) friend
ṣadīqah صَدِيقَة	fem.	(female) friend

In each of the words in the table above, the feminine noun is basically the same as the masculine noun but with the addition of a at the end. For example, طِفْل tifl is masculine, and its feminine is tiflah (fem.).

As a matter of fact, the  $\ddot{\circ}$  is called a feminine marker for singular nouns. There are a couple of other, less common, feminine markers besides  $\ddot{\circ}$  that we will learn them later, if Allāh wills.

Note that the vowel-mark before the ö is always an *a*-mark.

Note also that we have divided the nouns above into two categories:

- i. Primitive nouns
- ii. Derived nouns

Primitive nouns have a primitive meaning, without a primarily adjectival or verbal quality in the meaning, for example "human" "cat", etc. For derived nouns, their meaning is derived from an adjectival or verbal quality. For example, a

"teacher" is someone who teaches. A "friend" is someone who is friendly. And so on.

For derived nouns, the formation of the feminine noun by adding a feminine marker (like  $\ddot{\text{o}}$ ) to the masculine noun is normal and expected. Whereas, for primitive nouns, the fact that the feminine and masuline nouns match each other and differ only by the feminine marker  $\ddot{\text{o}}$  is something that, although somewhat common, is more of a coincidence.

Another noteworthy point is that, for many primitive nouns, sometimes only one of the masculine/feminine pair may be used to refer to beings of either sex. What we mean by this is that, for example, كُلْب kalb, while remaining a masculine noun, can be used to refer to both a male dog and a female dog, especially if the animal's physical gender is not obvious or particularly important. And كُلْبَة kalbah (fem.) "a female dog" is typically only used when it is needed to specify the gender of the animal. Conversely, هَوَّه hirrah "a (female) cat" may be used to refer to cat of either physical gender, especially if it is not obvious whether it is a male or female cat.

This preference of the noun of one gender to refer to beings of either physical gender is case-by-case. For example, طِفْل ṭifl (masc.) is commonly used to say "a child", regardless of whether the child is a boy or a girl. But طِفْلَة ṭiflah, although not uncommon, is used specifically for "a female child".

As another example, the word إِنْسَانَة 'insānah (fem.) "a female human being" is rarely used at all. Instead, the word إِنْسَان 'insān, while remaining a masculine noun, is almost always used to refer to "a human being" in general, regardless of actual gender.

On the other hand, ٱبْن 'ibn "son" and الله 'ibnah "daughter" are only ever used for their respective gender. So أَبْن 'ibn (masc.) "a son" is never used to mean "a daughter". And المند 'أَنْنَة hah (fem.) "a daughter" is never used to mean "a son".

As for derived nouns, they are typically only ever used for their respective gender. So, for example, مُعَلِّم muɛallim (masc.) is only used for "a (male) teacher". And مُعَلِّمَة muɛallimah (fem.) is only used for "a (female) teacher".

#### 3.4.1.2. Unrelated nouns for male and female animate beings

For other nouns that designate animate beings, the nouns for the male and female sexes are completely unrelated. Here are some examples:

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
ab' أَب	masc.	father
<i>umm</i> ' أُمّ	fem.	mother
ُ غُلَام <i>ghulām</i>	masc.	boy
jāriyah جَارِيَة	fem.	girl
eabd عَبْد	masc.	male slave

38 Chapter 3. Nouns

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
amah' أُمَة	fem.	female slave
asad' أُسَد	masc.	lion
labwah لَبْوَة	fem.	lioness
thawr ثَوْر	masc.	bull
baqarah بَقَرَة	fem.	cow

Even in these nouns you can see that the feminine noun usually ends with a  $\ddot{\delta}$  feminine marker. There are only a few commonly used feminine nouns that don't end with a feminine marker like  $\ddot{\delta}$ .  $\ddot{\delta}$  'umm "mother" is one of these exceptions.

## 3.4.1.3. Using the same noun for both sexes

There are other nouns for animate beings where the same word is used for both sexes. The word itself will still be either grammatically masculine or feminine. Here are some examples:

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
شَخْصَ nafs شَخْص nafs عَدُوّ عَدُوّ بَayawān عَنُوان بَعْ tā²ir قِرْد qird عَمَامَة بُعَمَامَة	masc. fem. masc. masc. masc. fem.	person self enemy animal bird monkey dove
namlah نَمْلَة	fem.	ant

So, for example, قِرْد qird "monkey" is grammatically masculine but it will be used for both a male and a female monkey. Similarly, شَخْص  $\widehat{shakhs}$  is a masculine noun meaning "person". While remaining grammatically masculine, it can be used to refer to persons of male or female persons. While,  $\widehat{uings}$  is a feminine noun meaning "self". While remaining grammatically feminine, it can be used to refer to persons of male or female selves

Note also that نَفْس nafs "self" is a feminine noun but it does not end in a ة. It is one of the small number of feminine nouns that don't have a female marker, like وَ ُ umm (fem.) "mother".

## 3.4.2. Nouns that designate inanimate objects

As mentioned earlier, nouns that designate inanimate objects are assigned a fixed grammatical gender. There is usually no discernable reason why some are assigned a masculine gender while others are assigned a feminine gender.

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
 kitāb كِتَاب	masc.	book
payt بَیْت	masc.	house
qalam قَلَم	masc.	pen
ṭaɛām طُعَام	masc.	food
' <i>mā</i> مَاء	masc.	water
madrasah مَدْرَسَة	fem.	school
madīnah مَدِينَة	fem.	city
ghurfah غُرْفَة	fem.	room
shajarah شَجَرَة	fem.	tree
آمُس <i>shams</i>	fem.	sun
qamar قَمَر	masc.	moon
عِلْم $arepsilm$	masc.	knowledge
quwwah قُوَّة	fem.	strength
ḥayāh حَيَاة	fem.	life
mawt مَوْت	masc.	death

In these nouns as well, we note that feminine nouns usually end with the feminine marker is. But here too, we find another exception: شَمْس shams "sun" which is feminine but does not end with a feminine marker. These exceptions are not very many and, if Allāh wills, we will not find it hard to memorize them.

There is a sub-group of nouns that designate inanimate objects, but can also be used to refer to animate beings. Here are a couple of examples:

Arabic word	Gender	Definition
rahīnah رَهِينَة	fem.	pledge
دُضُو εuḍw	masc.	member

رَهِينَة rahīnah is a feminine noun meaning "pledge". For inanimate objects it refers to something that is held as a security or a collateral. With its animate meaning, it is used to refer to a human hostage.

Similarly, عُضْو ευḍw is a masculine noun meaning "member". For inanimate objects it refers to a limb which is the member of a body. With its animate meaning it refers to a person who is a member of a professional organization.

40 Chapter 3. Nouns

Just like we saw for the nouns in section @using-the-same-noun-for-both-sexes, such nouns adhere to their fixed grammatical gender when used for either male or female persons.

## 3.4.3. Nouns with mismarked gender

We saw that there are some nouns that are feminine, but do not end with with a feminine marker like ö. These were:

- أُمّ '*umm* (fem.) "mother"
- تَفْسُ "self"
- شَمْس *shams* (fem.) "sun"

There are a few more nouns that are like this. One special category among them is body parts. Many prominent body parts that come in pairs or more, are grammatically feminine, whether or not they end with a feminine marker like  $\ddot{a}$ . Here are some examples:

- يَد yad (fem.) "hand" (sometimes "an arm")
- عَيْن (fem.) "eye"
- اُذُن '*udhun* (fem.) "ear"
- قَدَم gadam (fem.) "foot"
- رجْل rijl (fem.) "leg" (sometimes "foot")
- إِنْهَام 'bhām (fem.) "thumb"
- اِصْبَع 'iṣbaɛ (fem.) "finger, toe"
- "sinn (fem.) "tooth" سنّ
- الْكُنَة rukbah (fem.) "knee"

There are exceptions, however. The following body parts come in pairs yet are masculine.

- مَنْخُر mankhar (masc.) "nostril"
- مَرْفُق *mirfaq* (masc.) "elbow"

There are other such exceptions as well.

Body parts that don't come in pairs are typically more regular in their gender: they are feminine if they end in a feminine marker like ö, and masculine if they don't. Examples:

- رَأْس ra's (masc.) "head"
- أَنْف 'anf (masc.) "nose"
- بَطْن baṭn (masc.) "belly"
- الحْيَة lihyah (fem.) "beard"

Conversely, nouns that end with a feminine marker like  $\ddot{o}$ , yet are masculine are very rare. Some of the more common of them are:

- خَلِيفَة khalīfah (masc.) "caliph"
- عَلَّامَة عَلَّامَة eallāmah (masc.) "great scholar"

3.5. Exercises 41

• دَاعيَة dāɛiyah (masc.) "great preacher"

There are also a few words which can be optionally assigned a masculine or feminine gender. Among these are:

- سُوق sūq (masc. or fem.) "market" طَريق ṭarīq (masc. or fem.) "path"

A good dictionary should mention the gender of all these exceptional words. In addition, in appendix @ref(unmarked-fem-nouns) as well, we have a compiled a list of feminine nouns that don't end with a feminine marker. (TODO: get from Hava: pg. xi (fem) and xii (admitting either gender).)

## 3.5. Exercises

In the following English sentences, determine whether the underlined nouns will be translated with definite or indefinite nouns in Arabic. Motik in Progress

42 Chapter 3. Nouns

Motk in Probless

# Chapter 4.

# Subject-information sentences

#### 4.1. Introduction

In this chapter we will learn about a class of sentences called *subject-information sentences*. Subject-information sentences consist of two parts:

- i. The *subject*. This is the topic of the sentence.
- ii. The information. This gives us some information about the subject.

## 4.2. Forming subject-information sentences

Here is a subject-information sentence:

"The building is a house."

subject {

The subject of the sentence is "the building". This means that the sentence is about "the building".

The information is "a house". This means that the information that the sentence is giving us about the subject is that it is "a house".

Let's try to form this sentence in Arabic.

First we assemble the individual parts:

- i. "The building" in Arabic is ٱلْبنَاء 'albinā' (masc.).
- ii. "A house" is بَيْت bayt (masc.).

Next we put them both in the u-state. For subject-information sentences, both the subject and the information shall be in the u-state. Remember that the u-state is formed by putting a nūnated u-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  at the end of an indefinite noun, and a u-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  at the end of a definite noun. Here are the two nouns in the u-state:

i. ٱلْبِنَاءُ 'albinā'u (masc.) "the building" (u-state)

### ii. بَيْتٌ baytun (masc.) "a house" (u-state)

In order to form this sentence in Arabic, we put the subject first and then the information. So we get:

```
ارن استوری این این استوری این اس
```

'albaytu binā'.

"The building is a house."

But wait! Where is the Arabic word for "is"? It turns out that Arabic does not usually express any word for "is". Instead, the meaning of this word is implied.

Also, note that the final vowel mark at the end of the sentence is written but not pronounced. So we will write بَيْتُ but say bayt, not baytun. This is in accordance with what we learned in section @ref(pronouncing-the-end-of-a-sentence).

Now let's try reversing this sentence, and try making the sentence:

"The house is a building."

We follow the same procedure by assembling the individual parts of the sentence and putting them in the u-state:

- i. The subject: ٱلْسُنْتُ 'albaytu (masc.) "the house" (u-state)
- ii. The information: مْنَاءٌ bināʾun (masc.) "a building" (u-state)

And then we put them together, first the subject and then the information:

```
ٱلْبَيْتُ بِنَاءٌ.
'albaytu binā'.
"The house is a building."
```

and there we have our sentence.

# 4.3. Matching the gender between the subject and the information

In the sentences above, both the subject and the information were masculine nouns. Now let's try forming a sentence where the subject and the information have different genders. Let's try saying:

"The building is a school."

- i. The subject: ٱلْبِنَاءُ ' $albin\bar{a}$ 'u (masc.) "the building" (u-state)
- ii. The information: مَدْرَسَةٌ madrasatun (fem.) "a school" (u-state)

In the same manner as before, we form the sentence by first writing the subject and then the information:

```
ٱلْبِنَاءُ مَدْرَسَةٌ.
'albinā'u madrasah.
"The building is a school."
```

We can also reverse this sentence:

```
اًلُمْدْرَسَةُ بِنَاءٌ.
'almadrasatu binā'.
"The school is a building ."
```

So we see that it is quite normal to have a sentence where the gender of the subject does not match the gender of the information. This is because the words we have dealt with so far denote animate objects. If either the subject or the information denote animate beings, then in this case the subject and the information often do match each other in gender. For example, let's try to form the sentence:

"The mother is a teacher."

Here are the indiviual words that we will use to form the sentence:

- i. The subject: "the mother": ٱلْأُمُّ 'al'ummu (fem.) (u-state).
- ii. The information: "a teacher". We have two words for "a teacher" in Arabic:
  - مُعَلِّم muɛallium (masc.) "a (male) teacher"
  - مُعَلِّمَة muɛallimah (fem.) "a (female) teacher".

Obviously, مُعَلِّمَة *muɛallimah* would apply here so we put it in the u-state: مُعَلِّمَة *muɛallimatun* (u-state).

Now we can assemble the sentence:

```
ٱلْأُمُّ مُعَلِّمَةٌ.
'al'ummu muɛallimah.
"The mother is a teacher<sub>f</sub>."
```

In the reverse sentence "The teacher is a mother.", we again use the feminine noun مُعَلِّمَة muɛallimah (fem.) "a (female) teacher", which is now the subject of the sentence, to match the feminine noun in the information "alumm (fem.) "a mother". So we get:

```
ٱلْمُعَلِّمَةُ أُمُّ.
'almuɛallimatu 'umm.
"The teacher<sub>f</sub> is a mother."
```

Here is another example:

```
ٱلرَّجُلُ أَبٌ.
'arrujulu 'ab.
"The man is a father."
```

Now, let's try a sentence where we are still dealing with animate beings but the nouns mismatches in grammatical gender.

```
. اَلْأَمُّ شَخْصٌ.
'al'ummu shakhṣ.
"The mother is a person."
الَّشَخْصُ مُعَلِّمَةُ
'ashshakhṣu muɛallimah.
"The person is a (female) teacher."
الْمُعَلِّمَةُ شَخْصٌ.
'almuɛallimatu shakhṣ.
"The (female) teacher is a person."
```

In the above examples, the grammatical genders mismatch between the subject and the information. But this is because we are matching with the physical gender of the person represented by the masculine noun شَخْص shakhş "a person", not its grammatical gender.

The same effect is seen when using the word مَيُوان hayawān which is a masculine noun meaning "an animal". It can be applied to both male and female animals. So we can say:

```
اٌلْحَيُوانُ هِرٌّ.

''alḥayawānu hirr.

"The animal is a (male) cat."

and

اُلْحَيُوانُ هِرَّةٌ.

''alḥayawānu hirrah.

"The animal is a (female) cat."
```

## 4.4. Detached pronouns

Pronouns, in Arabic, are special nouns that can be used in place of other nouns when it is known who is being referred to. This means that they can replace definite nouns only. Pronouns in English include words like "he", "she", "it", "you", "I", etc.

In order to explain the usage of pronouns, we will first show a sentence with a noun subject:

"The man is a teacher."

Now we you can replace the definite subject noun "the man" with the pronoun "he":

"He is a teacher."

In Arabic there are a few different kinds of pronouns. Here we will learn *detached pronouns*. They are called detached pronouns because they are detached from other words. There are another set of pronouns called *attached pronouns* that we will learn later, if Allāh wills.

## 4.4.1. Participants

When talking about pronouns, it is beneficial to make use of a concept of grammar called *participants*.

In any kind of speech there are there can be up to three types of *participants* involved. A participant may be singular, i.e. consist of one individual, or plural, i.e., consist of more than one individual.

The three participants in speech are:

- The speaker-participant. This is the participant who is speaking. When the speaker-participant refers to himself or herself (or themselves if plural) in English, then he/she/they use the pronouns "I", "me", "we", and "us".
- 2. The *addressee-participant*. This is the participant whom the speaker-participant is directly speaking to. When the speaker-participant refers to the addressee-participant in English, he uses the "you" pronoun.
- 3. The absentee-participant. This is the participant who is not being directly spoken to. Their only participation in the speech is that they are being referred to. When the speaker-participant refers to the absentee-participant in English, he uses the pronouns "he", "him", "she", "her", "it", "they", and "them".

In this chapter we will learn the Arabic pronouns for the singular participants.

## 4.4.2. Detached pronouns for the singular absentee-participant

Here are the Arabic detached pronouns for the singular absentee-participant:

- singular masculine absentee-participant: هُوَ huwa "he".
- singular feminine absentee-participant: هي hiya "she".

Here are some examples of pair of sentences, each first with a noun, and then with a pronoun in place of the noun:

```
• اَلْرَجُلُ مُعَلِّمٌ.

"arrajulu muɛallim.

"The man is a teacher<sub>m</sub>."

مُوَ مُعَلِّمٌ.

مُو مُعَلِّمٌ.

"He is a (male) teacher<sub>m</sub>."
```

- ٱلْجَارِيَةُ طَالِبَةٌ. *aljāriyatu ṭalibah.* "The girl is a student<sub>f</sub>."
- يُويَ طَالِبَةٌ. hiya ṭalibah. "She is a student<sub>f</sub>."
- ٱلْبَيْتُ بِنَاءٌ. *`albaytu binā'*. "The house is a building."

َهُوَ بِنَاءٌ. huwa binā'. "It is a building."

Note that Arabic uses the pronoun هُوَ huwa "he" to refer to the inanimate object "the house". This is because, as we know, all nouns in Arabic are either masculine or feminine. In translating the sentence to English we will employ the neutral pronoun "it" to make the sentence sound natural.

أَلْبِنَاءُ مَدْرَسَةً.
 'albinā'u madrasah.
 "The building is a school."
 مُّوَ مَدْرَسَةٌ.
 huwa madrasah.
 or
 مُّونَ مَدْرَسَةٌ.
 "It is a school."

Note that either هُوَ huwa "he" or هِي hiya "she" can be used in the above sentence because the gender of the subject ٱلَّنِينَاء 'albinā' (masc.) "the building" mismatches the gender of the information مَدْرَسَة madrasah (fem.) "a school.".

In such cases where the genders of the subject and the information do not match, then, generally speaking, the pronoun for either gender could be employed with the following guideline:

Prefer to match the gender of the subject pronoun with the gender of the information, unless the noun being replaced with a pronoun is an animate being, in which case prefer to use the gender of the animate being.

So in the above sentence we will prefer to use هِيَ مَدْرَسَةٌ. *hiya madrasah.* because the information مَدْرَسَةٌ *madrasatun* "a school" is feminine.

• Here is an example with an animate being as the subject:

```
ٱلْجَارِيَةُ إِنْسَانٌ.
<sup>a</sup>djāriyatu insān.
"The girl is a human."
```

```
َهِيَ إِنْسَانٌ.
hiya insān.
"She is a human."
```

Here, if we replace the noun ٱلْجَارِيَة 'aljāriyah "the girl" with a pronoun, we will prefer to use هِيَ hiya "she", because the girl is an animate being, even though the information إِنْسَانٌ 'insānun "a human" is masculine.

# 4.4.3. Detached pronouns for the singular addressee-participant and speaker-participant

Here are the pronouns for the singular addressee-participant and speaker-participant:

- singular masculine addressee-participant: أَنْتَ  $^{\prime}$ anta "you $_{\mathrm{m}}$ ".
- singular feminine addressee-participant: أَنْتِ 'anti "you<sub>f</sub>".
- singular speaker-participant: أَنَا 'ana "I".

Note that the addressee-participant pronoun "you" has separate pronouns for the masculine and the feminine while the speaker-participant pronoun "I" has the same pronoun for both genders. Examples with these pronouns:

- أُنْتِ مُعَلِّمَةٌ. <sup>anti muɛallimah.</sup> "You<sub>f</sub> are a teacher<sub>f</sub>."
- أَنَا مُعَلِّمَة. ²ana muɛallimah. "I am a teacher<sub>f</sub>."

## 4.4.4. Definiteness of pronouns

We stated, and saw, that pronouns can replace definite nouns. This means that pronouns themselves are definite nouns (even though they are not prefixed by 'al "the").

This fact will be useful in later chapters, if Allāh wills.

## 4.4.5. Rigidity of pronouns

Remember in section @ref(flexibility-of-nouns), we talked about the flexibility of nouns. We said that nouns whose endings change with the noun's state are called flexible nouns. Most nouns fall into this category.

Pronouns, however, are nouns whose endings don't change with their state. Therefore they fall into the category of *rigid* nouns.

## 4.5. A definite noun as the information

In all the examples so far, the information has been an indefinite noun: "a building", "a teacher", "a cat", etc. It is also possible for the information to be a definite noun:

```
ٱلرَّجُٰلُ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُ.
'arrajulu -lmuɛallim.
"The man is the teacher<sub>m</sub>."
```

The above sentence, although correct, is ambiguous. It can also be interpreted as a noun-phrase, meaning "the teacher-man", instead of the complete sentence "The man is the teacher<sub>m</sub>." Therefore, in order to disambiguate and make it clear that we mean the complete sentence, a *disambiguating pronoun* is usually (but not always) inserted between the subject and the information. Disambiguating pronouns are detached pronouns that match the subject of the sentence in gender. With a disambiguating pronoun, the sentence above becomes:

```
. ٱلرَّجُلُ هُوَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُ
'arrajulu huwa -lmuɛallim.
"The man is the teacher<sub>m</sub>."
```

The disambiguating pronoun here is هُوَ huwa and is not translated. Here are some more examples of sentences with definite informations and disambiguating pronouns.

```
أَلْبِنَكُ هُوَ ٱلْبِنَاءُ.

'albaytu -lbinā'u.

"The house is the building."

ٱلْحَيَوَانُ هِيَ ٱلْهِرَّةُ.

'alḥayawānu hiya -lhirratu.

"The animal is the cat."
```

## 4.6. An indefinite noun as the subject

In all the sentences we have seen so far, the subject has always been a definite noun. This is usually the case. A subject needs a certain amount of *weight* in order to be the first word in a sentence. And being definite gives it this needed

51

weight. That is: "the man" is grammatically *heavier* than "a man". So it is easier to start a sentence with "the man".

So can we even have a sentence that has an indefinite subject? For example:

- A house is a building.
- · A man is the teacher.

Yes, it is possible, but sentences where the subject is an indefinite noun are not as straightforward to express in Arabic. We will explore some ways of expressing them later if Allāh wills.

4.7. وْ wa- "and", فْ fa- "so"/"and then", and وُ aw "or"

4.7.1. j wa- "and"

Arabic uses the particle  $\frac{1}{9}$  wa to mean "and". Being a one-letter particle, it is joined to the word after it without any space between it and the next word.

وَمَدْرَسَةٌ wamadrasatun "and a school"

 $\frac{1}{9}$  wa meaning "and" does not change the state of the noun following it. Examples:

ُ ٱلْبِنَاءُ مَسْجِدٌ وَمَدْرَسَةٌ. 'albinā'u masjidun wamadrasah.

"The building is a mosque and a school."

If there are more than two words, then in English, only the final word usually has "and" and the rest are separated by commas in writing. In Arabic, however, each must have § and commas are not typically used.

ٱلْبِنَاءُ مَسْجِدٌ وَمَدْرَسَةٌ وَمَكْتَبَةٌ.

'albinā'u baytun wamadrasatun wamaktabah

"The building is a mosque, a school, and a library."

We can also use  $\S$  to begin and connect sentences. The following example is tehcnically two sentences, both beginning with  $\S$ :

وَٱلرَّجُٰلُ إِنْسَانٌ وَٱلْكَلْبُ حَيَوَانٌ

warrujulu 'inṣānun wa-lkalbu ḥayawānun

"And the man is a human and the dog is an animal."

Unlike as in English, this is not considered poor style. When translating such sentences to English, the first  $\circ$  is often left out, thus: "The man is a human and the dog is an animal."

## 4.7.2. فَ fa- "so"/"and then"

The word  $\stackrel{.}{\circ}$  fa- "so"/"and then" is comparable to  $\stackrel{.}{\circ}$  wa- "and".  $\stackrel{.}{\circ}$  fa- "so"/"and then" gives a meaning of ordering, consequence, and subsequence that is missing in  $\stackrel{.}{\circ}$  wa- "and". For example,

ٱلْبِنَاءُ مَسْجِدٌ فَمَدْرَسَةٌ فَمَكْتَبَةٌ.

'albinā'u baytun famadrasatun famaktabah

"The building is a mosque, and then a school, and then a library."

أف fa- "so"/"and then", too, is used to begin and connect sentences. Example,

فَٱلرَّجُٰلُ إِنْسَانٌ وَٱلْكَلْبُ حَيَوَانٌ

farrujulu 'inṣānun wa-lkalbu ḥayawānun

"So the man is a human and the dog is an animal."



# Chapter 5.

# **Prepositions**

#### 5.1. Introduction

Prepositions are words like "in", "on", "from", etc. They are placed directly before a noun, for example: "in a house". The preposition "in" is placed directly before the noun "a house".

In Arabic prepositions, when placed before a noun, put it in the i-state. For example the preposition فِي fi means "in". We can put it before the noun بَيْت bayt "a house":

فِي بَيْتٍ fī baytin "in a house"

Note how the noun بَيْتٍ baytin "a house" is in the i-state because of the preposition فِي fi "in" before it. The i-state is indicated by the nūnated i-mark  $\circ$  on the final letter of بَنْت fi

Arabic has two types of prepositions: *true* prepositions and *pseudo*-prepositions.

## 5.2. True prepositions

True prepositions are *particles*. Particles are a class of words, like nouns and verbs. Particles don't have the properties of nouns. Thus, they cannot be definite or indefinite. They cannot be preceded by  $\mathring{\parallel} al$  nor may they be nūnated. And they don't have state (u-state, a-state, i-state).

Here is a list of the more common true prepositions:

Preposition	Meaning
— bi ب li لِ	with, by, next to
ا ل li	for, to
َوِيَّ fī دَيَّ <i>ɛalā</i>	in
عَلَىٰ $arepsilon alar a$	on
ilā' إِلَىٰ	to, toward
min مِّنْ	from

Preposition	Meaning
εan عَنْ	from, about
ka كَ	like

#### Notes:

• Prepositions that are a single letter (like  $\div bi$ ,  $\div li$ ,  $\div ka$ ) are joined to the following noun in writing. Example:

```
بِقَلَم
biqalamin
"with a pen"
الِرَجُٰلِ
lirajulin
"for a man"
کَابُنْ
ka-bnin
"like a son"
```

• When a single letter preposition comes before a definite noun with الله al, the preposition is generally joined to the alif in the الله al. The alif is now not pronounced (because as we know it has a connecting hamzah). Example:

```
بِٱلْقَلَمِ
bi-lqalami
"with the pen"
```

If the noun begins with a connecting hamzah then the  $\bigcup$  in  $\bigcup$  gets an i-mark  $\Diamond$  instead of its usual 0-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$ . We described this in section @ref(the-definite-article-with-nouns-with-an-initial-connecting-hamzah). Example:

```
كَٱلِٱبْنِ
ka-li-bni
"like the son"
```

• The only exception is the preposition  $\bigcup li$ . When joined to a definite noun with  $\hat{l}$   $\hat{l}$   $\hat{l}$  is dropped and we write the two  $l\bar{a}m$ s together. Example:

```
لِلرَّجُلِ
li-rrajuli
"for the man"
لِلْجَارِيَةِ
li-ljāriyati
"for the girl"
```

```
لِلِاَبْنِ
li-li-bni
"for the son"
```

However, in this case, if the noun too starts with a  $l\bar{a}m$ , then we drop the entire  $\hat{l}$  (in writing, not in meaning). This is to avoid having three  $l\bar{a}ms$  joined to each other. Example:

```
أَللَّعْبَةُ
'alluɛbatu
"the toy"
becomes
قِلْعُبَةُ
الْعُبَةِ
الْعُبَةِ
الْعُبَةِ
الْعُبَةِ
اللَّعْبَةِ
اللَّهُ
اللَّهُ
اللَّهُ
الْلَّالَةُ
```

ل + ٱللَّهِ which is formed from

- The prepositions عَلَىٰ عَلَىٰ عَلَىٰ 'ilā "to" have a long-ā vowel at the end but it is written with a dotless yā' ن instead of an alif. (We have already learned that some words are written this way in section @ref(a-vowel-written-with-a-ya).)
- Prepositions that are composed of multiple letters are not joined to the following noun. Example:

```
إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَةٍ
أlā madrasatin
"to a school"
```

• If a preposition ends with a long vowel, then, as usual, it get shortened to a short vowel when it is followed by a word which begins with a connecting hamzah. Examples:

```
فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ
fi -lbayti
"in the house"
إِلَى ٱبْنٍ
'ila -bnin
"to a son"
```

- If a preposition ends with a O-mark of and it is followed by a word that begins with a connecting hamzah, then the O-mark is changed to a short vowel according to the following rules:
  - The ending of the preposition عَنِ εan gets an i-mark and becomes عَنِ εani. Examples:

```
عَنِ ٱلرَّجُلِ

£ani -rrajuli

"from the man"

عَنِ ٱبْنٍ

£ani -bnin

"from the son"
```

– The ending of the preposition مِنْ min gets an a-mark if followed by the الله al of a definite noun. Otherwise it gets an i-mark if followed by any other connecting hamzah. Examples:

```
مِنَ ٱلرَّجُـٰلِ
mina -rrajuli
"from the man"
مِن ٱبْنٍ
mini -bnin
"from a son"
```

## 5.3. Pseudo-prepositions

Pseudo-prepositions are actually nouns but they are used as prepositions. The above rules of writing and pronunciation apply to them as well.

Here is a list of some common pseudo-prepositions:

Preposition	Transcription	Meaning
عِنْدَ	εinda	at
لَدَيٰ	ladā	at
عِنْدَ لَدَیٰ لَدُنْ مَعَ نَبْنَ	ladun	at
مَعَ	таға	together with
بَيْنَ	bayna	between, among

There are three different prepositions above that we have translated as "at". is relatively rarer compared to the others. Otherwise, they are largely interchangeable but there are some differences in meaning that we will explain later, if Allāh wills.

Here are some examples using pseudo-prepositions:

```
مَعَ ٱلْغُلَامِ
maɛa -lghulāmi
"with the boy"
عِنْدَ ٱلْبَيْتِ
عِنْدَ ٱلْبَيْتِ
einda -lbayti
"at the house"
لَدَى ٱلْبَابِ
lada -lbābi
"at the door"
بَيْنَ ٱلنَّاسِ
bayna -nnāsi
"among the people"
```

## 5.4. Attached pronouns

We have already learned detached pronouns هُوَي , and أَنَا in section @ref(detached-pronouns). Detached pronouns are the equivalent of "he", "she", and "I", etc. They are used in place of nouns that are in the u-state.

Now we will learn about *attached pronouns*. Attached pronouns are, more or less, the equivalent of "him", "her", and "me", etc. They are used in place of nouns that are in the a-state and the i-state. One place where attached pronouns are used is when the replace the noun directly following a preposition.

The singular attached pronouns are listed below. The detached pronouns are included as well for easy comparison.

Participant	Detached pronoun	Attached pronoun
Masc. absentee	مُوَ <i>huwa</i> "him"	هُ -hu "him"
Fem. absentee	مِيَ <i>hiya</i> "her"	مَا -hā "her"
Masc. addressee	'anta "you <sub>1,m</sub> "	ن -ka "you <sub>1,m</sub> "
Fem. addressee	'anti "you <sub>1,f</sub> " أَنْتِ	ن -ki "you <sub>1,f</sub> "
Speaker	من "ana" you <sub>1,f</sub> أَنَا 'ana "I"	به- بې "me"

## 5.4.1. Attached pronouns with prepositions

As mentioned above, one place the attached pronouns are used are after prepositions. Here are some notes regarding how they attach to prepositions:

 Generally, these pronouns attach to the last letter of the preposition before it. Examples:

- منْك minka "from you"
- مَعَهُ maɛahu "with him"
- عَنْهَا εanhā "from her"
- 2. The خ ā ending of prepositions become يْ -ay when attaching an attached pronoun. Examples:
  - الَيْهَا 'ilayhā "to her"
  - عَلَيْكَ εalayka "on you<sub>m</sub>"
- 3. The pronoun هُ -hu "him" becomes هِ hi when it is preceded by the vowels إِنَا عَالَى أَنْ -ay. So we get
  - به bihi "with him"
  - فِيَهِ *fīhi* "in him"
  - إلَيْهِ 'ilayhi "to him"
- 4. The attached pronoun for the speaker deserves special attention. The pronoun itself is the letter (5. But it has two variants:
  - i. ي -ī ii. ي -iya

Generally, both of these variants cause the final letter of the word before them, if a consonant, to have an *i*-mark  $\bigcirc$ , regardless of the whether or not that letter originally had an *i*-mark. Examples:

- لِيَ liya "for me" لِي liya "for me"
- ي. *biya* "with/by me" بى biya "with/by me"
- مَعِيَ maɛiya "together with me" مَعِيَ maɛiya "together with me"
- عنْدِي ɛindī and عِنْدِي ɛindiya "at me"

Between these two, variants,  $\wp \cdot \bar{i}$  is more commonly used generally, except in the cases described in the next point, below:

5. For any word that ends with a long vowel  $(-\bar{a}, -\bar{\iota}, \text{ or } -\bar{u})$  or a semi-vowel (-ay or -aw), the variant  $\circ -\bar{\iota}$  for the speaker attached pronoun is not used. Instead, only the variant  $\circ -ya$  may be used with such words.

Prepositions that fall under this category are فِي  $f\bar{i}$ , غِلَىٰ  $\epsilon al\bar{a}$ , إَلَىٰ  $\epsilon al\bar{a}$ , إِلَىٰ  $\epsilon al\bar{a}$ , غَلَىٰ  $\epsilon ad\bar{a}$ . Furthermore, the خ  $-\bar{a}$  ending in these will become ثِيْ ay instead when attaching the pronoun.

In addition, the pronoun  $\underline{\hat{g}}$  -ya will not cause the final letter of word before it to have an *i*-mark because it does that only to consonants, not to vowels or semivowels.

So we get:

- نِي + يَ fiyya "in me"
- ''ilayya "to me" إِلَيُّ = إِلَيْ + يَ
- عَلَىٰ = عَلَىٰ + يَ عَلَىٰ = عَلَىٰ + عَلَىٰ = عَلَىٰ + عَلَىٰ + عَلَىٰ + عَلَىٰ +

- رَح + رَح الْحَيَّ = لَدَيْ ladayya "at me".
- 6. The preposition *≦ ka* "like" is not used with any attached pronoun. So, for example, we don't say:
  - × گهٔ kahu for "like him."

Instead, we will learn another method to express this meaning in later chapters, if Allāh wills.

- 7. The word "between", because of its meaning, is typically used with two or more individuals. For example, "between us", "between you and him", etc. In Arabic, when the pseudo-preposition بَيْنُ bayna is used with a singular attached pronoun, it is repeated. For example,
  - بَيْنِي وَبَيْنَكَ baynī wabaynaka "between me and you"

## 5.5. Translating prepositions

For each preposition that we have listed above, we have also given its meaning. For example,

```
• فِي fī "in"
• ب bi "with", "by", "next to"
```

These meanings are not always fixed. And there is some degree of overlap in meanings as well. For example, in order to say "in the city" we will usually say meanings as well. For example, in order to say "in the city" we will usually say fi -fi -

Similarly, sometimes we have two or more prepositions with almost the same meaning. For example,

```
• مِنْ min "from"
• عَنْ ɛan "from", "about"
```

Knowing when to use one or the other will also take practice.

## 5.6. Sentences and phrases with prepositions

We have seen how a noun can be used after a preposition to get a prepositional phrase, for example:

```
فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ
fi -lbayti
"in the house"
```

We can put an indefinite noun in front of this structure:

```
رَجُلٌ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ
rajulun fi -lbayti
"a man in the house"
```

This is a phrase and not a complete sentence. Note that the preposition فِي fi "in" only puts the noun after it (ٱلْبَيْتِ "the house") in the i-state. It has no effect on the state of the noun before it (رَجُلُ rajulun "a man"). In this case, it is in the u-state.

Instead of an indefinite noun, we can also put a definite noun in front of the prepositional phrase. Now the resulting structure can, in general, have two meanings: (i) a complete sentence, and (ii) an incomplete sentence. For example,

```
ٱلْرَجُٰلُ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ

'arrujulu fi -lbayti

(i) "The man is in the house."

(ii) "The man in the house"
```

Usually, it will be clear from the context which of the two meanings is valid. For example, the second meaning, "The man in the house", can be part of a complete sentence:

```
ٱُلرَّجُلُ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ مُعَلِّمٌ.
arrujulu fi -lbayti muɛallim.
"The man in the house is a teacher<sub>m</sub>."
```

## 5.7. Sentences with an indefinite subject

We said, in section @ref(an-indefinite-noun-as-the-subject), that the subject of a sentence is usually a definite noun. Now, we shall explore one way of allowing a sentence with an indefinite subject.

We have seen that if an indefinite noun is placed in front of a prepositional phrase, we get an incomplete sentence. For example,

```
رَجُلٌ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ
rajulun fi -lbayti
"a man in the house"
```

Now we will see how to make the complete sentence (with an indefinite subject):

"A man is in the house."

In order to express this sentence, we put the prepositional phrase first, and place the indefinite subject after it:

```
فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ رَجُٰلٌ.
fi -lbayti rajul.
"In the house is a man." = "A man is in the house."
```

61

In English, it may sometimes be more convenient to translate this type of sentence using the expression "there is":

"There is a man in the house."

## 5.8. Prepositions with multiple nouns/pronouns

In English, we can use a preposition with multiple nouns separated by "and", thus:

"The boy went to the school and the house."

A similar meaning can be achieved by repeating the preposition before each noun:

"The boy went to the school and to the house."

In Arabic as well, if there are multiple nouns associated with a preposition then you may choose to repeat the preposition or not. Examples:

```
إِلَى ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ وَإِلَى ٱلْبَيْتِ
'ila -lbayti walmadrasati
"to the school to and the house"
```

```
إِلَى ٱلمَدْرَسَةِ وَٱلْبَيْتِ
'ila -lbayti walmadrasati
"to the school and the house"
```

Note that when you don't repeat the preposition, the second noun is still in the i-state.

In English, you have a similar option when you use pronouns instead of nouns. All of the following should be acceptable:

```
"to the boy and me"
"to the boy and to me"
"to him and me"
"to him and to me"
```

In Arabic, however, if one or more pronouns is used then the prepositions must be repeated. Examples:

```
إِلَيَّ وَإِلَى ٱلْغُلَامِ

'ilayya wa'ila -lghulāmi

"to me and to the boy"

إِلَيَّ وَإِلَيْهِ

'ilayya wa'ilayhi

"to me and to him"
```

## 5.9. To have something

English uses the verb "have" or "has" to express that someone Arabic does not have a verb for "have" or "has". In order to express sentences like

```
"I have a book."

"The boy has a father."
```

Arabic uses prepositions like

- ၂ *li* "for"
- عنْدُ einda "at"
- لَدُيٰ ladā "at"
- مَعَ maea "together with"

Here are some examples:

```
الِلْغُلَامِ أَبُ.

li -lghulāmi ʾab.

"The boy has a father." (literally: "For the boy is a father.")

عِنْدَ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ كِتَاب

einda -rrajuli kitāb.

"The man has a book." (literally: "At the man is a book.")

مَعَ ٱلْجَارِيَةِ لُغَبَةٌ.

maɛa -ljāriyati luɛbah.

"The girl has a toy." (literally: "With the girl is a toy.")
```

Here are some notes that can help you choose which preposition to use to express "has" or "have":

•  $\bigcup$  *li* "for" is used to express personal relationships, like "I have a friend", "I have a son", etc. It is also used when you wish to imply that you own the object. For example, the sentence

```
لِلرَّجُٰلِ كِتَابٌ.
li -rrajuli kitāb.
```

implies that the man owns a book. But it is possible that he has lent it to someone else so he does not actually have it on his person or at his house, etc.

• عِنْد einda "at" is used to express that the person has the object in his possession, but not necessarily that he has it with him right now. For example the sentence

```
عِنْدَ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ كِتَابٌ.
ɛinda -rrajuli kitāb.
```

implies that the man has a book in his possession. But it is possible that it may not be with him right now. It may be at his house or elsewhere.

• لَدَىٰ ladā "at" is used to express that the person has the object in his possession and that he has it with him right now. For example the sentence

implies that the man has a book in his possession and that he has it with him right now.

• وَمَ maɛa "together with" is used to express that the person has the object with him right now. But it doesn't necessarily imply ownership. For example, the sentence

```
مَعَ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ كِتَابٌ.
maɛa -rrajuli kitāb.
```

means that the man has a book with him right now. But it is possible that he does not own it and that someone else has lent it to him.

There is some degree of overlap in meaning and you will get a feeling of which preposition is more appropriate in which circumstance as you progress in your learning, if Allah wills. For now, if you find that the object can be used with all of these prepositions, you might go with عِنْدَ einda as it is the more commonly used.

Work in Progress

# Chapter 6.

# Completed-action verbs

#### 6.1. Introduction

Verbs are action words. Verbs can be either *completed-action* verbs where the action of the verb has been completed, e.g., "The boy went." or *incomplete-action* verbs where the action of the verb is on-going or not yet completed, e.g., "The boy goes." In this chapter we will study *completed-action* verbs.

#### 6.2. Arabic word roots

We take this opportunity to learn about Arabic roots. Native Arabic words, both nouns and verbs, are generally derived from roots. Most roots are comprised of three letters. A smaller number are comprised of four or more letters.

Words are derived from their roots according to patterns. In traditional Arabic grammar studies, the root فعل is used as a paradigm for three-letter roots to showcase word and meaning patterns.

So for example, the word بَيْت bayt "a house" is derived from the root بيت. Using the paradigm root بيت, we can see that the pattern of the word فَعْل bayt is فَعْل faɛl. The عَمر 'amr "a matter" is derived from the root عَمر Its pattern is also فَعْل faɛl.

Similarly, the word مَكْتَب maktab "a library" is derived from the root كتب. And مَلْعَب Using the paradigm مَلْعَب using the paradigm root لعب, we can see that the pattern of both these words is فعل, we can see that the pattern of both these words is مُفْعَل mafeal. Here, the letter paradigm is an extraneous letter added to form the words and is not part of their roots.

Not only nouns, but verbs, too, are derived from roots. All verbs are derived from their roots in a fixed set of patterns called *forms* which are numbered 1 onward. For example, the completed-action form 2 verb pattern is  $\vec{b}$  *faɛɛala* and the completed-action form 3 verb pattern is  $\vec{b}$  *faɛala*. There are approximately 9-10 forms that are in common usage. In addition, there are a few higher order forms (11 onward) that are less common. In this chapter will study the completed-action form 1 verb only.

## 6.3. The form 1 completed-action verb

Here are some examples of completed-action form 1 verbs in Arabic:

Root	Completed-action form 1 verb	Meaning
 فعل	فَعَلَ faɛala	"did"
ذهب	ذَهَبَ d̂hahaba	"went"
كتب	كَتَبَ kataba كَتَبَ	"wrote"
قرء	قَرَأَ qara³a	"read"
جلس	jalasa جَلَسَ	"sat"
سءل	سَأَل sa'ala	"questioned"
سكت	َ sakata سَكَتَ	"became quiet"
جعل	jaɛala جَعَلَ	"made"
علم	عَلِمَ εalima	"knew"
عمل	عَمِلَ εamila	"worked"
کبر	kabura كَبُرَ	"grew"

Note that فعل, in addition to being used as a paradigm root, also has a verb in its own right: فُعَلَ faeala "did".

Note, also, that the completed-action form 1 verb consists only of the three letters of the root. The first and the final letter always have an *a*-mark while the middle letter's vowel is variable. It may have an *a*-mark, *i*-mark, or an *u*-mark, depending on the verb. Using the paradigm root فعل, we can say that the form 1 verb occurs in the patterns فَعِلَ, فَعَلَ, and فَعِلَ, فَعَلَ.

A good dictionary will tell us the middle vowel mark of a particular verb. However, as a trend, the a-mark is the most common for the middle vowel mark, followed by the i-mark, while the u-mark is the least common.

Interestingly, there can exist multiple verbs from the same root, each with its own distinct meaning, that differ only in the vowel mark on the middle letter. An example of two such verbs is:

- مَسَبَ ḥasaba "calculated"
- مَستَ hasiba "deemed"

You can see above how the verb كَتَبَ kataba "wrote" is derived from the root كتب. We have already, by the way, learned another word derived from this root: the noun كِتَاب kitāb "a book", which is on the pattern فِعَال fiɛāl. Note how both the verb and the noun derived from this root have a meaning that is common and has to do with writing or of something written. In a similar manner, you will often see that words derived from the same root generally share some common meaning, although this common meaning may not always be obvious or straightforward.

6.4. Verbal sentences 67

#### 6.4. Verbal sentences

We have already learned of subject-information sentences. Here we will learn of a new type of sentence called a *verbal sentence*. A verbal sentence is one that begins with a verb.

When a verb is in a sentence, it requires a doer. The doer is a noun which represents the person who does the action of the verb. For example, in the sentence "The boy went.", the noun "the boy" is the doer of the verb.

#### 6.4.1. Verbs with a masculine doer noun

Consider the sentence:

"The boy went."

In order to express this sentence in Arabic, we will say:

َ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ. dhahaba -lghulām. "The boy went."

ذَهَبَ dhahaba "went" is the verb and الْغُلَامُ alghulāmu "the boy" is the doer. Note how the doer is in the u-state. Also note that in English the doer comes before the verb whereas in Arabic the doer comes after the verb in sentence word order. We can state this as a rule of Arabic grammar:

In Arabic, every verb in a sentence shall have a doer noun. The doer noun shall be in the u-state and shall come after the verb in sentence word order.

In the above example the doer noun was definite, but a doer may be indefinite too. Example:

َ ذَهَبَ رَجُلٌ إِلَى ٱلسُّوقِ. Mahaba rajulun 'ila -ssūq. "A man went to the market."

In the above sentence, the doer noun رَجُلُ rajulun is indfinite.

#### 6.4.2. Verbs with a feminine doer noun

Now consider the sentence:

"A girl went."

In order to express this sentence in Arabic, we will say:

ُ ذَهَبَتْ جَارِيَةٌ. *dhahabat jāriyah*. "A girl went." Note that we have modified the verb by adding on the letter تْ at the end. This تْ is used when the doer is ia feminine noun. It is called the تْ of femininity.

If the word following the noun begins with a connecting hamzah then we add a helper vowel to the تْ and it becomes ت. Examples:

. جَلَسَتِ ٱلْهِرَّةُ عَلَى ٱلْكُرْسِيِّ jalasati -lhirratu ɛala -lkursiyyi. "The cat<sub>t</sub> sat on the chair."

َيَتِ ٱلطِّفْلَةُ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ. laɛibati -ṭṭṭflatu fi -lbayt. "The child, played in the house."

## 6.5. Verbs with doees

## 6.5.1. Direct doees

Consider the sentence:

"The man wrote a book."

In this sentence, "wrote" is the verb, "the man" is the doer, and "a book" is what we shall call the *doee*. In fact, it is what we shall call a *direct doee* because the verb directly takes the doee without an intervening preposition. A doee is the noun to whom the action of the verb is done.

In Arabic, we will express the sentence "The man wrote a book." by saying:

گَتَبَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ كِتَابًا. kataba -rrajulu kitābā. "The man wrote a book."

Note how in Arabic the doee كِتَابًا لِاللهِ kitāban "a book" is in the a-state. This is because, in Arabic, verbs shall cause a direct doee to be in the a-state. This is true whether the direct doee is definite or indefinite. Here is another example:

َ سَأَلَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ ٱلْجَارِيَة. sa'alati -l'ummu -ljāriyah. "The mother questioned the girl."

Note again how ٱلْجَارِيَة  $alj\bar{a}riyata$  "the girl" is in the a-state because it is a direct doee.

## 6.5.2. Multiple direct doees

Some verbs can take more than one direct doee. In this case, all direct doees shall be in the a-state. For example,

```
جَعَلَ ٱللَّـٰهُ ٱلرَّجُلِّ مُسْلِمًا.
jaɛala -llāhu -rrajula muslimā.
"Allāh made the man a Muslim."
```

In this sentence both ٱلرَّجُلُ arrajula "the man" and مُسْلِمًا musliman "a Muslim" are direct does of the verb مَعْقَل  $ja\varepsilon ala$  and therefore both are placed in the a-state.

#### 6.5.3. Indirect doees

Instead of, or in addition to, direct doees, some verbs take an *indirect doee*. An indirect doee is one before which there is a preposition. For example, in English we might say:

"The man looked at the moon."

In this sentence, "the moon" is an indirect doee because it is preceded by the preposition "at". Similarly, in Arabic, we will say:

```
. يَظَرَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ إِلَى ٱلْقَمَرِ
na͡dhara -rrajulu ʾila -lqamar.
"The man looked at the moon."
```

In this sentence اَلْقَمَرِ alqamari "the moon" is an indirect doee of the verb نَظَرَ  $na\bar{q}hara$  "looked" because it is preceded by the preposition إِلَىٰ  $il\bar{a}$  "to". The preposition, as usual, causes the word after it (the indirect doee الْقَمَرِ 'alqamari) to be in the i-state, as opposed to the a-state of the direct doee.

Note also, that the verb "looked" in English used the preposition "at" whereas the Arabic verb نَظَرَ naahara used the preopsition "id" "to" for the same meaning. This is very common and you should not expect Arabic to use exact counterparts of the prepositions used in English. In fact, everytime you learn a new verb, you should also learn the prepositions that go with it.

It is also possible for the same verb to take different prepositions with possibly different meanings. So, for example, we can say:

```
نَظَرَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ فِي ٱلْأَمْرِ.
naḍĥara -rrajulu fi -l'amri.
"The man looked into the matter."
```

It may also be possible for the same verb to take a direct doee. So we could also say:

```
نَظَرَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ ٱلْمَكْتُوبَ فِي ٱلْكِتَابِ.
```

naḍĥara -rrajulu -lmaktūba fi -lkitābi.

"The man viewed what was written in the book."

A good dictionary will tell us which prepositions are used with indirect doees with a given verb and also whether it takes a direct doee.

Some verbs take a direct doee and another indirect doee, both at the same time. For example,

```
َ سَأَلَ ٱلْغُلَامُ ٱلْمُعَلِّمَةَ عَنْ أَمْرٍ.
sa'ala -lghulāmu -lmuɛallimata ɛan 'amr.
"The boy asked the teacher, about a matter."
```

a-state. أَمْعَلَّمَة 'amrin "a matter" is an indirect doee, and therefore it is in the jreposition عَنْ εan is translated, here, as "about".

It is also possible that an English verb may take a direct doee, while the corresponding Arabic verb may only take an indirect doee. The reverse is also quite possible. For example,

```
ِغَفَرَ ٱلنَّـٰهُ لِلْمُسْلِمِ.
ghafara -llāhu lilmuslimi.
"Allāh forgave the Muslim."
```

The verb "forgave" in English takes a direct doee for the person who is forgiven. In Arabic, however, the corresponding verb  $\hat{j}$   $\hat{gha}$  fara "forgave" takes the forgiven person as an indirect doee, using the preposition j li.

## 6.6. Verbs with doer pronouns

We have learned that a pronoun is a special kind of noun that can be used to replace a definite noun. And we have already learned two category of pronouns in Arabic:

- i. Detached pronouns, like هِيَ, هُوَ, etc.
- ii. Attached pronouns, like هُا ,هُ, etc.

Now we would like to replace the doer noun of a verb with a pronoun. For example, instead of saying:

"The man went."

we would like to say:

"He went."

For this we will have to learn a third category of pronoun pronouns called *doer pronouns* for completed-action verbs. Doer pronouns are of two types: (i) expressed and (ii) implied.

Here we list the singular doer pronouns in Arabic.

Singular participant	Doer pronoun
Masc. absentee ("he") Fem. absentee ("she")	implied implied
Masc. addressee ("you <sub>1,m</sub> ")	iniphed ت -ta
Fem. addressee ("you <sub>1,f</sub> ")	تِ -ti
Speaker ("I")	tu- ٿ

We will now give an explanation of the above doer pronouns.

# 6.6.1. Doer pronouns for the singular absentee-participant ("he"/"she")

The doer pronouns of the absentee-participant are the equivalent of "he" and "she". For example, let's try to replace the doer-noun "the man" in the sentence: "The man went."

```
ُ ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ.
dhahaba -rrajul.
"The man went."
```

When we replace the doer noun ٱلرَّجُٰل 'arrujul "the man" with the doer pronoun "he", we get:

```
َ ذَهَبَ.
dhahab.
"[He] went."
```

As you can see, all we did was omit the doer-noun ٱلرَّجُٰل 'arrujul, and we didn't add any word to replace it as the doer pronoun. This is because the doer pronoun for "he" is implied and automatically comes into place when we omit the doer noun.

The doer pronoun for "she" is similarly implied. For example, if we replace the doer noun in the sentence:

```
قَرَأَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ كِتَابًا.
qara'ati -ljāriyatu kitābā.
"The girl read a book."
```

we get:

```
قَرَأَتْ كِتَابًا.
qara<sup>3</sup>at kitābā.
"[She] read a book."
```

#### 6.6.1.1. Explanation of implied pronouns

Why do we have to go to all the trouble of saying that the doer-pronouns of the singular absentee-participants "he" and "she" are implied? Why can't we simply say that there are no doer-pronouns for the singular absentee-participants?

The reason is that we need to be able to state, as a rule of grammar, that every verb needs to have its own doer, whether expressed or implied. Later, if Allāh wills, when we study verbs with dual and plural doers we will see that this will make a difference in the formation of the verb.

# 6.6.2. Doer pronouns for the singular addressee ("you<sub>1</sub>") and speaker ("I") participants

It is only the doer pronouns for the singular absentee participant that are implied for completed-action verbs. The doer pronouns for the singular addressee and speaker participants are expressed. The expressed doer pronouns are attached to the verb.

Here we show how the expressed doer pronouns are attached to the verb using the root paradigm (3). The middle root letter (3) has an a-vowel (5) here but this vowel will vary for other verbs.

Singular participant		Doer pronoun	Doer pronoun with verb
Addressee "you <sub>1,m</sub> "	1	-ta	 faɛalta فَعَلْتَ
Addressee "you <sub>1,f</sub> "	1/L	تِ -ti	أفَعَلْتِ faɛalti
Speaker "I"	1	ٿ -tu	faɛaltu فَعَلْتُ

Note also how the expressed singular doer pronouns modify the verb by replacing the a-mark  $\circ$  on its final letter by a o-mark  $\circ$ .

Furthermore, note how the doer pronoun for the addressed person "you" is differentiated for masculine and feminine doers whereas the doer pronoun for the speaking person "I" is the same for both genders.

Here are some examples of sentences with expressed doer pronouns:

َ كَتَبْتَ كِتَابًا. katabta kitābā. "You<sub>m</sub> wrote a book." ذُهَبْتُ. đ**h**ahabt. "I went."

The above sentence ends with the doer pronoun, so the vowel-mark on the doer pronoun is not pronounced ( $\widehat{dhahabt}$ ). So, how would be know which doer

pronoun it is? That is, does the sentence say "I went." or "You $_{\rm m}$  went." or "You $_{\rm f}$  went."? The answer is that the sentence by itself is ambiguous and context would tell us which of the three options is intended.

Take care to note that the singular doer pronouns modify the final letter of the basic verb, whereas the  $\mathring{\ }$  of femininity does not. So make sure you see the difference in the following two sentences:

```
َ الْكِتَابُ

qara'ti -lkitāb.

"You<sub>f</sub> read the book."

وَّ رَأْتِ ٱلْكِتَابُ

qara'ati -lkitāb.

"She read the book."
```

#### 6.6.3. Assimilation of the doer pronoun

If the final letter of the root of a verb is  $\Box$ , then it gets assimililated with the  $\Box$  which is the doer pronoun and only one  $\Box$ , representing both, is written. Consider the verb:

```
"sakata "became quiet سَكَتَ سكت
```

When we add a expressed doer pronoun to this verb, we get:

```
َ سُكَتَّ sakattu
"I became quiet"
سُكَتَّ sakatta
"You<sub>1,m</sub> became quiet"
سُكَتْ
sakatti
"You<sub>1,f</sub> became quiet"
```

Assimilation is treated in more detail in chapter/appendix TODO.

# 6.7. Verbs with doee pronouns

Just like doer nouns may be replaced with doer pronouns, so, too, may doee nouns be replaced with *doee pronouns*. Doee pronouns are also attached to the end of the verb but they don't modify the vowel on the final letter of the verb. The doee pronouns are the same attached pronouns that are also used with prepositions:

Singular participant	Doee pronoun
Masc. absentee	<i>hu</i> "him" ءُ
Fem. absentee	<i>hā</i> "her" هَا
Masc. addressee	<i>ka</i> "you <sub>1,m</sub> " - ك
Fem. addressee	ki "you <sub>1.f</sub> " كِ
Speaker	"me" ي

#### Here are some notes regarding their usage:

 Doee pronouns shall always be attached to the verb. So if there is a doer noun then it shall be placed after the attached doee pronoun. For example:

```
َسَأَلُهُ ٱلْغُلَامُ.
sa'alahu -lghulām.
"The boy asked him."
```

• If however, the doer is also a pronoun, then it shall be attached first to the verb and then the doee pronoun shall be attached to the doer pronoun. For example,

```
َ سَأَلْتُكِ.
sa<sup>,</sup>altuk.
"I asked you<sub>t</sub>."
```

• If the doer pronoun is implied, then the doee pronoun shall be attached to the verb again directly with only a possible  $\mathring{\ \ }$  of femininity intervening. For example:

```
َسَالَهَا.
sa'alahā.
"He asked her."
سَأَلَتْكَ.
sa'alatk.
"She asked you<sub>m</sub>."
```

• If the doee pronoun  $\circ$  -hu "him" is preceded by the vowels i,  $\bar{i}$ , or ay then it shall instead become  $\circ$  hi with no change in meaning. (We've already learned this rule.) For example,

```
َ سَأُلْتِهِ.
sa<sup>,</sup>altih
"You<sub>f</sub> asked him."
```

• An intervening  $\dot{}_{\circ}$  is always used between the verb and the speaker-participant doee pronoun variants  $\dot{}_{\circ}$   $\dot{}_{\circ}$  - $\dot{}_{\circ}$  and  $\dot{}_{\circ}$  - $\dot{}_{\circ}$  - $\dot{}_{\circ}$  Remember that these pronouns force any consonant before it to have a i-mark  $\dot{}_{\circ}$ . Therefore, the combination will be written as  $\dot{}_{\circ}$  - $n\bar{i}$  and  $\dot{}_{\circ}$  -niya respectively. For example:

َ سَأَلَنِي رَجُٰلٌ. sa<sup>ı</sup>alanī rajul. "A man asked me."

َ سَأَلَنِيَ ٱلرَّجُلُ. sa'alaniya -rrajul. "The man asked me."

If there is an expressed doer pronoun, the intervening  $\dot{}_{0}$  shall come after it so that the  $\dot{}_{0}$  is always connected to the doee pronoun. For example,

َسَأَلْتَنِي. sa<sup>'</sup>altanī "You<sub>m</sub> asked me."

By the way, we have already seen this intervening ن before when it was used with some prepositions, e.g. مِنِّى *Eannī*, and لَدُنِّى *ladunnī* 

The variant رِيَ -i is, in general, more commonly used, However, when the noun following it begins with a connecting hamzah then the variant رِيَ -ya is preferred. That is why we used the variant رِيَ -ya when it was followed by a connecting hamzah (سَالَنِي ٱلرَّجُلُّ), and the variant رِيَ -i when it was not followed by a connecting hamzah (سَالَنِي رَجُلُّ). But this preference is not mandatory. So it is allowed for -i to be used when followed by a connecting hamzah. When this happens, the long vowel -i will be shortened to -i in connecting it to the next word, although the -i is retained in writing. For example,

َ سَأَلَنِي ٱلرَّجُلُ. sa'alani -rrajul. "The man asked me."

# 6.8. Multiple verbs for one doer

In this section we will use the verbs:

Root	Completed-action form 1 verb	Meaning
دخل	dakĥala دَخُلَ	"entered"
خرج	خَرَجَ k̄haraja	"exited"
ءکل	على 'akala' أُكلَ	"ate"
شرب	َ هَرِبَ shariba	"drank"

Consider, now, the sentence:

"I entered the room, ate, drank, and exited."

The doer in this sentence is the pronoun "I". This same doer is doing the action of multiple verbs: "entered", "ate", "drank", and "exited". When we try to express

this sentence in Arabic we must remember that every verb shall have its own doer, and that the doer shall occur after it in sentence word order. So we will say:

دَخَلْتُ ٱلْغُرْفَةَ فَأَكَلْتُ فَشَرِبْتُ فَخَرَجْتُ.

dakhaltu -lghurfata fa'akaltu fasharibtu fakharajt.

"I entered the room and then I ate and then I drank and then I exited."

Note also, that we need to replace the commas by connecting particles like  $\circ$  *wa*-"and", or  $\circ$  fa- "so"/"and then", etc. We chose  $\circ$  fa- which implies consequence or subsequence between the individual events.

Let's now try this sentence with a doer noun instead of a doer pronoun:

"The girl entered the room, ate, drank, and exited."

Here is our translation:

دَخَلَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ ٱلْغُرْفَةَ فَأَكَلَتْ فَشَرِبَتْ فَخَرَجَتْ.

dakhalati -ljāriyatu -lghurfata fa'akalat fasharibat fakharajat.

"The girl entered the room and then she ate and then she drank and then she exited."

Each verb again has its own doer, which is coming after the verb in sentence word order. The doer of the first verb  $\hat{c}$   $\hat{$ 

#### 6.9. Order of words in a sentence

#### 6.9.1. Changing the order of words for emphasis

In Arabic, the doer always follows the verb. So the normal order of a sentence is verb-doer-doee. For example,

گَتَبَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ كِتَابًا. kataba -rrajulu kitābā. "The man wrote a book."

However, we will often come across sentences like:

. ٱُلرَّجُٰلُ كَتَبَ كِتَابًا arrajulu kataba kitābā.

It may appear as if ٱلرَّجُلُ arrajulu is the doer and it is coming before the verb كَتَبَ kataba. But actually, this is not the case. As a matter of fact, this sentence is basically a subject-information sentence.

Here ٱلرَّجُلُ arrajulu "the man" is the subject of the sentence, and ٱلرَّجُلُ kataba kitāban "he wrote a book", itself a verbal sentence with an implied doer pronoun, is the information about the subject. So the translation of the sentence is technically:

"The man, he wrote a book."

However, this can be an awkward translation so we will usually translate it as "The man wrote a book."

The question arises: if both sentences above have the same translation, then why would we say ٱلرَّجُلُ كَتَبَ كِتَابًا 'arrajulu kataba kitāban instead of the more normal كَتَبَ ٱلرَّجُلُ كِتَابًا kataba -rrajulu kitāban? The answer is that this change in the sentence's word order is done in order to give more emphasis to the doer, as if to say:

"The man wrote a book."

So in Arabic, the order of words is generally more flexible than in English and this is often used to give emphasis to certain words.

#### 6.9.2. Verbs pull definite nouns towards them

When a verb has a doer noun and a doee noun, the normal order of words in a sentence is: verb, doer noun, doee noun. For example,

رَّجُلُ ٱلْكِتَابَ. *kataba -rrajulu -lkitāba.* "The man wrote the book."

There is a tendency, in Arabic, for verbs to *pull* definite nouns towards them. This means that if there are any indefinite nouns, they have a tendency to get pushed father away. So, for example, if a verb's doer is an indefinite noun and the doee is a definite noun, the doee will often (but not always) precede the doer. For example,

. گَتَبَ ٱلْكِتَابَ رَجُلُ kataba -lkitāba rajul. "A man wrote the book."

The vowel-marks at the end of the nouns, and context, will tell us which is the doer and which is the doee. In this particular example, it was optional, and not mandatory to make the definite doee precede the doer in sentence word order. So we could have also said, instead:

َكْتَبَ رَجُٰلٌ ٱلْكِتَابِ. kataba rajuluni -lkitāb. "A man wrote the book."

Now let's take a look at sentences with pronouns. Remember that pronouns are a category of nouns, and also (from section @ref(definiteness-of-pronouns)) that they are definite nouns. In fact they are stronger in definiteness than words

that are made definite using j. This because if when we say "The man wrote the book." instead of "A man wrote the book.", we assume that everyone knows which man we are referring to. Now if we replace "the man" with the pronoun "he": "He wrote the book.", then this assumption becomes stronger. "He" is, in a sense, more definite than "the man.".

So now, when the direct doee noun ٱلْكِتَابُ 'alkitāba "the book" is replaced with the pronoun "it", the doee pronoun must be attached to the verb, and then the doer noun follows the doee pronoun:

```
كَتَبَهُ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
katabahu -rrajulu.
"The man wrote it."
```

This can be seen as a mandatory case of the verb pulling the definite noun toward it.

Now, consider a sentence with an indirect doee. Again, the normal order of words in a sentence is verb, doer noun, preposition, doee noun. For example,

```
َ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَى ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ.

أَنْهُ اللهُ الْمُدْرَسَةِ.

"The boy went to the school."
```

Now, if we replace the indirect doee noun ُ ٱلْمُدُرَسَةِ. 'almadrasati "the school" with the pronoun "it", the indirect doee pronoun أَلْمُدُرَسَة - $h\bar{a}$  "it" is attached, not to the verb, but to the preposition إِلَيْهَا  $ilayh\bar{a}$  "to it". So it possible to preserve the original order of words in the sentence:

```
َ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَيْهَا.
aĥahaba -lgĥulāmu ʾilayhā.
"The boy went to it."
```

While the above sentence is correct, it is in fact more common to place the preposition and doee pronoun إِلَيْهَا 'ilayhā "to it" right after the verb, and before the doer noun, thus:

```
ُ ذَهَبَ إِلَيْهَا ٱلْغُلَامُ.
aĥahaba ʾilayha -lghulāmu.
"The boy went to it."
```

This is because the pronoun هَ -hā "it" is stronger in definiteness than ٱلْغُلَام 'alghulām "the boy". So the verb has a stronger pull towards it.

This ordering of words due to the attractive pull of the verb is largely learned by experience. The more you read Arabic, the better feel you will get for it, if Allāh wills.

#### 6.10. Negating completed-action verbs

In order to negate a completed-action verb, the particle ideta is placed before it. This gives the meaning of the action of the verb did not get, or has not got, done. So for example:

```
مَا ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
mā đhahaba -rrajulu.
"The man did not go." or,
"The man has not gone."
```

# 6.11. The particle قَدْ qad

The particle قُدُ qad, when placed before a completed-action verb emphasizes that the action of the verb has already or definitely occured.

```
قَدْ ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
qad dhahaba -rrajulu.
"The man has already gone." or,
"The man did go."
```

#### 6.12. Separating doee pronouns from the verb

FIXME: move to imperfect verb chapter

We have mentioned that doee pronouns are attached to the verb. Sometimes there is a need to separate the doee pronoun from the verb. When separating the doee pronoun from the verb, it is instead attached to the prefix  $\frac{1}{2}iyy\bar{a}$ . So then we get the following doee pronouns:

Person	Doee pronoun
Absent person (masc.) "him"	'iyyāhu إِيَّاهُ 'iyyāhā إِيَّاهَا
Absent person (fem.) "her"	iyyāhā' إِيَّاهَا
Addressed person (masc.) "you <sub>masc.</sub> "	'iyyāka إِيَّاكَ
Addressed person (fem.) "you <sub>fem.</sub> "	'iyyāki إِيَّاكِ
Speaking person (masc. and fem.) "me"	iyyāya ِ اِیَّايَ

Note that for the speaking person "me", there is no intervening  $_{\dot{U}}$  between the prefix  $\ddot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{i}yy\bar{a}$  and the doee pronoun. Note also that only  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$  -i is allowed to be attached to the prefix  $\ddot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$  This is because  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$  -i is not permitted to be used with words that end in a long vowel  $(-\bar{a}, -\bar{i}, \text{ or } -\bar{u})$  or a semi-vowel (-ay or -aw). And the prefix  $\ddot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\dot{I}}$   $\dot$ 

But we may ask why is there a need to separate the doee pronoun from the verb? This can occur for a couple of reasons:

i. If there are multiple doee pronouns, only one of them can be attached to the verb. Example,

```
ضَرَبَتْنِي وَإِيَّاهُ.
darabatnī wa 'iyyāhu.
"She hit me and him."
```

ii. If the doee is placed before the verb for emphasis. Example,

```
إِيَّايَ ضَرَبَتْ.
'iyyāya darabat.
"She hit me."
```

#### 6.13. TODO

- 1. Multiple verb doers: Copy over from sound plurals and rework. Motik in Progress
- جواز تأنيث الفعل ووجوبه .2

# Chapter 7.

# Adjectival nouns and descriptive noun phrases

#### 7.1. Introduction

So far we have studied common nouns like رَجُٰل rajul "a man" and بَيْت bayt "a house".

In this chapter we will study *adjectival nouns*. Adjectival nouns are a class of nouns that don't denote objects. Rather they describe some quality of an object.

### 7.2. Adjectives in English

In English we usually use adjectives to describe nouns. For example, the word "big" is an adjective. It can be used in a couple of different ways:

It can be used to describe a noun in an descriptive noun-phrase. For example:

"a big car"

2. The adjective "big" can also be used as the information of a sentence, describing the subject noun. For example:

"The car is big."

But the adjective "big" cannot be used by itself as a noun, for example, as the subject of a sentence. So we can't say:

× "The big is fast."

We would have to say something like:

"The big car is fast."

instead.

#### 7.3. Terminology: the describer and the describee

We take this opportunity to introduce some grammatical terminology. The descriptive noun-phrase "a big car" consists of two parts:

- i. The adjective "big". It is describing the car. We will call it the *describer* in the noun-phrase.
- ii. The common noun "a car": It is being described by the describer. We will call it the *describee*.

# a big car $\left\{\begin{array}{l} car \\ car \end{array}\right\}$ escribee

We will reserve this terminology of *describer* and *describee* only for the noun and adjective in an descriptive noun-phrase. So we won't use this terminology for the sentence: "The car is big."

Instead, here we will continue to use the existing terminology of *subject* and *information*. The definite noun "the car" is the subject of this sentence, and the adjective "big" is the information.

```
The car is big.
```

# 7.4. Adjectival nouns in English

Consider the English word "antique". It is what we will call a *adjectival noun*.

It can be used just like an adjective to describe a noun as part of a noun-phrase. For example:

"The antique table is expensive."

In the above sentence the adjective "antique" is a describer and is describing the noun "table".

It can also be used as the information of a sentence, just like an adjective. For example:

"The table is antique."

But what makes it different from an normal adjective is that it can also be used by itself as a noun. For example:

"The antique is expensive."

Here "the antique" could refer to any entity that can be described by the quality of being old and valuable. The adjectival noun does not require any other noun in this sentence and can stand on its own as the subject of the sentence.

Adjectival nouns are rare in English. Instead, adjectives are usually used when we want to describe a noun.

#### 7.5. Adjectival nouns in Arabic and genderizability

Arabic does not have adjectives. It only has adjectival nouns.

The word مَغِير saghīr is an example of an indefinite adjectival noun in Arabic. It describes the quality of being "small" or "little". It can be used to denote any person, animal, or things that can be described as being small. Technically we could translate it as "a little one<sub>m</sub>" or "a small one<sub>m</sub>".

Being a noun صَغِير ṣaghīr, like all other nouns in Arabic, will have a grammatical gender. Since it does not end with a feminine marker like s, we can state that saghīr is a masculine noun.

Adjectival nouns, typically, are genderizable. This means that we can feminize مَغِير saghir (masc.) to get the feminine noun. We will feminize مَغِير saghir (masc.) with the feminine marker ة to get the feminine adjectival noun مَغِيرَة saghirah (fem.) "a little one".

Generally, the dictionary will typically only supply the masculine adjectival noun. And we are expected to know how to feminize it to get the feminine adjectival noun.

As opposed to adjectival nouns, common nouns are not genderizable. So, for example, if we know that the noun عُلَام ghulām "a boy" exists, we cannot assume that we can feminize it, by using the feminine marker  $\ddot{o}$ , for example, getting:  $\times$  غُلاَمَة  $\hat{g}$ hulāmah. This would be a misguided attempt to obtain the meaning for "a girl" in Standard Arabic. Instead, we have to look up the Arabic word for "a girl" in the dictionary separately, and we find that it is  $\ddot{o}$   $\ddot$ 

Many times times, a masculine/feminine common noun pair will exist, that differ only by the feminine marker ö. For example:

- اَبْنَة 'ibnah "a daughter". أُبْنَة 'ibnah "a daughter".
- مُعَلِّم muɛallim "a teacher $_m$  " and مُعَلِّمة muɛallimah "a teacher $_f$

This does not indicate that the common noun is genderizable. Rather, when the common noun masc./fem. pair has a meaning that is derived from a verb or an adjective (like مُعَلِّمَةُ/مُعَلِّم), then the masculine/feminine pair are co-derived as separate non-genderizable words. We will discuss this in more detail in later chapters, if Allāh wills.

And when the common noun masc./fem. pair has a primitive (non-verbal and non-adjectival) meaning, (like الْبُنَة /أَبْن ), then this is only a coincidence. We

alluded to this in section @ref(related-nouns-for-male-and-female-animate-beings).

### 7.5.1. Examples of Arabic adjectival nouns

Here are some examples of Arabic adjectival nouns that we will use in this chapter.

Arabic adjectival noun	Meaning
گبير <i>kabīr</i>	a big one
ṣag͡hīr صَغِير	a small one
tayyib طَيِّب	a good one
qadīm قَدِيم	an old one
jadīd جَدِيد	a new one
tawīl طُويل	a long/tall one
وَاسِع wāsiɛ	a wide one
earabiyy عَرَبيّ	an Arab
mash·hūr مَشْهُور	a famous one

# 7.6. The describer and the describee in descriptive noun-phrases

Let's learn how descriptive noun-phrases are formed in Arabic.

We learned in section @ref(terminology-the-describer-and-the-describee) above that descriptive noun-phrases consist of a describer and a describee.

In English descriptive noun-phrases, like "the small house", the adjective describer ("small") comes before the describee ("house"). Also, only one definite article ("the") is used before the entire noun-phrase.

Here is the equivalent Arabic descriptive noun-phrase:



"the small house" (literally: "the small-one house")

Note the following:

- The adjectival noun describer اُلَصَّغِير 'aṣṣaghir "the small one<sub>m</sub>" comes after the describee الَّبْيُت 'albayt "the house".
- Both the adjectival noun describer ٱلصَّغِير ʾaṣṣaghir "the small one<sub>m</sub>" and the describee ٱلْبَيْت ʾalbayt "the house" get the definite article ٱلْبَيْت 'the".
- The adjectival noun describer ٱلصَّغير ʾaṣṣaghīr "the small one<sub>m</sub>" is genderized to match the describee ٱلنَّتُت ʾalbayt "the house" in gender.
- The adjectival noun describer ٱلصَّغِير 'aṣṣaghīr "the small one<sub>m</sub>" matches the describee ٱلْبَيْت 'albayt "the house" in state. In this example, they were both in the u-state but we will see examples in the other states as well.
- The word-for-word equivalence of the above descriptive noun-phrase is "the small-one house" but we will usually give the more natural translation: "the small house"

Let's try another example: let's try to translate the sentence: "The little girl took a new book from the good mother."

Here is the sentence in Arabic:

```
أَخَذَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ ٱلصَّغِيرَةُ كِتَابًا جَدِيدًا مِنْ ٱلْأُمِّ ٱلطَّيِّبَةِ.
²akhadhati -ljāriyatu -ṣṣaghīratu kitaban jadīdan mina -l'ummi -ṭṭayyibah.
"The little girl took a new book from the good mother."
```

This sentence has three descriptive noun-phrases. We will analyze each one individually:

i. ٱلْجَارِيَةُ ٱلصَّغِيرَةُ 'aljāriyatu -ṣṣa͡ghīratu "the little girl"

In this phrase the definite feminine noun مُ الْبَجَارِيَةُ 'aljāriyatu is the doer of the verb أَخَذَ 'akĥadĥa "took". Therefore it is in the u-state. It is also the describee in the descriptive noun-phrase. Its describer أُلَصَّغِيرَةُ 'aṣṣaghīratu follows the describee and is made to match the describee in state (u-state), gender (feminine), and definiteness (definite).

ii. کِتَابًا جَدِیدًا kitāban jadīdan "a new book"

In this phrase the indefinite masculine noun لِكِتَاكِمُ kitāban is the doee of the verb أَخَذُ 'akhadha "took". Therefore it is in the a-state. It is also the describee in the descriptive noun-phrase. Its describer جَدِيدًا jadīdan follows the describee and is made to match the describee in state (a-state), gender (masculine), and definiteness (indefinite).

iii. ٱلْأُمِّ ٱلطُّيِّبَةِ <sup>2</sup>al<sup>2</sup>ummi -ṭṭayyibati "the good mother"

In this phrase the definite feminine noun ٱلْأُمِّ ʾalʾummi is following the preposition مِنْ min "from". Therefore it is in the i-state. It is also the

describee in the descriptive noun-phrase. Its describer اُلطُيِّبَةِ 'aṭṭayyibati follows the describee and is made to match the describee in state (i-state), gender (feminine), and definiteness (definite).

Note carefully that the describer matches the describee in gender, not necessarily in having the same ö ending. The feminine adjectival noun describer أَلْطَيِّبَة 'aṭṭṭayyibah is still formed using the feminine marker ö, despite the feminine describee أَلْأُمِّ not having the ö feminine marker.

Sometimes, a common noun of one gender is used to refer to persons of either gender. For example:

• the noun شَخْص shakhṣ is itself a masculine noun but it may be used to refer to both male and female persons.

If such a noun is a describee, then we will prefer to match the describer to the grammatical gender of the noun, not the physical gender of the person it is referring to. For example:

```
ٱلْجَارِيَةُ شَخْصٌ طَيِّبٌ.
'aljāriyatu shakhṣun ṭayyib.
"The girl is a good person."
```

See how we preferred to use the masculine adjectival noun طُيِّب tayyib instead of using the feminine طُيِّبَة ṭayyibah.

# 7.7. Adjectival nouns as the information of a sentence

#### 7.7.1. Indefinite adjectival noun

Let's see how to use Arabic adjectival nouns as the information of a sentence.

```
information
چې
څې
چې
چې
پېژنې
په علاق
```

"The house is small." (literally: "The house is a small-one.")

In the above sentence, the indefinite adjectival noun مَغِير ṣaghūr "a small one" is used as the information of a sentence. Its indefiniteness and u-state is indicated by the nūnated u-mark  $\circ$  on its end.

When an adjectival noun is the information of a sentence, then it shall be genderized to match the gender of the subject noun. The subject noun in this case

(اَلْبَيْت) is masculine. Therefore, the masculine adjectival noun (صُغِير) is chosen.

Technically, the translation of this sentence is "The house is a small one." However, because Arabic has only adjectival nouns and not adjectives, it is how we can express the English sentence "The house is small." Therefore we can also translate it into English as such.

Now let's try a sentence with a feminine subject:

```
. ٱلْجَارِيَةُ صَغِيرَة
<sup>a</sup>ljāriyatu ṣaghirah
"The girl is a little one<sub>f</sub>." = "The girl is little."
```

In the above example the subject (ثَالَةُ "the girl") was feminine. Therefore, we feminized the masculine adjectival noun مُغِير saghīr with the feminine marker o get the feminine adjectival noun مُغِيرَة ṣaghīrah "a little onef" and used the feminine adjectival noun in the sentence.

#### 7.7.2. Definite adjectival noun

Let's see if a definite adjectival noun can be used in the information. For example, we would like to say "The old tree is the big one."

The subject of the sentence is ٱلشَّجَرَةُ ٱلْقَدِيمَةُ 'ashshajaratu -lqadīmuiatu "the old tree". And the information is ٱلْكَبِيرَةُ 'alkabīratu "the big one". When we put the two together we get:

```
ٱلشَّجَرَةُ ٱلْقَدِيمَةُ ٱلْكَبِيرَةُ
'ash͡shajaratu -lqadīmatu -lkabīratu
```

The problem is that the above could also be interpreted as one phrase "the big old tree", and not as the complete sentence "The old tree is the big one." This is the same problem that we highlighted in section @ref(chap-smp-sent-sec-def-info).

The solution, too, is the same. We insert a detached pronoun, that matches the gender of the subject, between the subject and the information. So in order to get our intended meaning, we will say:

```
. أَلشَّجَرَةُ ٱلْقَدِيمَةُ هِيَ ٱلْكَبِيرَةُ.
^ash͡shajaratu -lqadīmatu hiya -lkabīratu.
"The old tree is the big one."
```

### 7.8. Adjectival nouns used without a described noun

We have mentioned that adjectival nouns are just like other nouns that we have learned so far, in that they have gender, state, and definiteness. Can we then use an adjectival noun by itself and not when it is describing another noun?

The answer is yes, we can. So for example, you can say:

```
َ اُلصَّغِيرُ حَلِيبًا.
shariba -ṣṣaghīru ḥalībā.
"The little one drank some milk."
```

The above is a correct sentence. But, by itself, it is not very clear. What do we mean by "the little one"? Is it a little boy, or a little cat, or something else? So, context would be needed to know what exactly is being denoted by the adjectival noun when it is used by itself independently.

Here is the same sentence again, but this time with some clarifying context.

```
َ حَمَلَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ ٱلصَّغِيرَ. وَشَرِبَ ٱلصَّغِيرُ حَلِيبًا.
ḥamalati -l'ummu -ṣṣaghīra. washariba -ṣṣaghīru ḥalibā.
"The mother carried the little one. And the little one drank some milk."
```

So now we can tell that what is meant by ٱلصَّغِير 'aṣṣagh̄ir "the little one" here is "the baby".

# 7.9. Adjectival nouns re-used as common nouns

Sometimes, an adjectival noun, through much usage, acquires the meaning of a common noun. It then gets listed with this meaning in the dictionary. We actually just saw an example above. The adjectival noun مُغير ṣaghīr "a little one" is commonly used to mean "a baby". Of course, context would be needed to know whether, in a particular sentence, it has its common noun meaning: "a baby", or its general adjectival noun meaning: "a little one".

The opposite of مَغِير ṣa͡ghīr "a little one" is كَبِير kabīr "a big one". It too has acquired the common noun meaning of "an elder person". Here is an example of its usage:

```
َ قَدِمَ ٱلْكَبِيرُ وَوَعَظَ ٱلْغُلَامَ.
qadima -lkabīru wawaɛaḍ̄ha -lghulāma.
"The elder arrived and admonished the boy."
```

When an adjectival noun gets re-used as a common noun, it loses its genderizability. For example, the feminine adjectival noun مُسَنَة hasanah (fem.) "a good one" is re-used as a common noun meaning "a good deed". So we can use it in a sentence:

```
ِ ٱلصِّيَامُ حَسَنَةٌ.
'aṣṣiyāmu ḥasanah.
"Fasting is a good deed."
```

The subject in this sentence is the masculine noun الصّعة 'aṣṣiyām "fasting". And the information is the feminine noun مَسْنَة hasanah "a good deed". Note that the information does not match the subject in gender. This is because it lost its genderizability since it is no longer acting as an adjectival noun "a good one f", but rather as the common noun "a good deed".

What if we have the sentence:

```
ِ ٱلصَّدَقَةُ حَسَنَةٌ.
assadagatu hasanah.
```

The feminine gender of the subject ُ مَّهِ: aṣṣadaqah "charity" now matches the gender of the information مَسْنَة ḥasanah. So now, technically, the information could be the adjectival noun, meaning "a good one f". So the sentence could mean:

"Charity is good."

Or the information could be the common noun, meaning "a good deed". Then the sentence would mean:

"Charity is a good deed."

Context would be needed to tell us which meaning is intended.

# 7.10. Common-nouns used as describers in a noun-phrase

Usually, adjectival nouns are used as the describer in an descriptive noun-phrase. However, we also often find a common noun used as a describer. For example,

```
هُوَ رَجُلُّ مُعَلِّمٌ.
huwa rajulun muɛallim.
"He is a teacher<sub>m</sub> man."
= "He is a man who is a teacher<sub>m</sub>."
```

# 7.11. Multiple adjectival nouns describing the same noun

In English we can have a noun described by multiple adjectives separated by commas and the word "and". For example, "The building is big, tall, and wide." In Arabic we will separate the multiple adjectival nouns with 6 wa- "and":

```
َ ٱلْبِنَاءُ كَبِيرٌ وَطَوِيلٌ وَوَاسِعٌ.
albinā'u kabīrun waṭawīlun wawāsi'un
"The building is big and tall and wide."
```

In an English descriptive noun-phrase, multiple describers may describe the same describee, without being separated by the word "and". For example, "The man is a famous Arab writer." In Arabic, we can do the same, except the describees will be in the reverse order:

```
ِ ٱُلرَّجُٰلُ كَاتِبٌ عَرَبِيٌّ مَشْهُورٌ.
arrujulu kātibun ɛarabiyyun mash·hūr:
"The man is a famous Arab writer."
```

# 7.12. A prepositional phrase separating the describer from the describee

#### Consider the phrase:

```
كِتَابٌ مِنَ ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ
kitābun mina -lmaktabati
"a book from the library"
```

If we want to add a adjectival noun as to describe "the book", we may add it either before or after the prepositional phrase describer. Here are both examples as complete sentences:

```
قَرَّأُ كِتَابًا صَغِيرًا مِنَ ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ.
qara'a kitāban ṣaghīran mina -lmaktabati.
AND
قَرَأً كِتَابًا مِنَ ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ صَغِيرًا.
qara'a kitāban mina -lmaktabati ṣaghīran.
"a small book from the library"
```

The first option is usually chosen as a matter of preference but the second option is legitimate too.

# Chapter 8.

#### Semi-flexible nouns

#### 8.1. Introduction

Nouns are of two main categories of nouns, with regard to their endings in the different noun states:

- 1. Rigid nouns.
- 2. Flexible nouns. These are further sub-divided into:
  - i. Fully-flexible nouns.
  - ii. Semi-flexible nouns.

So far we have been mostly studying fully-flexible nouns. In this chapter we will learn about semi-flexible nouns.

Here is an example of the kind of nouns we have learned so far:

State	Indefinite	Definite
u-state a-state i-state	رَجُلٌ رَجُلًا رَجُل	 اَّلرَّجُلُ اَّلرَّجُل
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

As you can see, the noun is nunated when it is indefinite, and also, the vowel mark on the last letter changes for each state that the noun is in. These kinds of nouns are called *fully-flexible* nouns. They are by far the most common type of noun.

There are some nouns, however, that are *semi-flexible*. Here is an example of a semi-flexible noun, مَحْرَاء ṣaḥrāʾ "a desert":

State	Indefinite	Definite
u-state a-state i-state	صَحْرَاءُ صَحْرَاءَ صَحْرَاءَ	ٱلصَّحْرَاءُ ٱلصَّحْرَاءَ ٱلصَّحْرَاءِ

As you can see, when غَصْرًاء ṣaḥrāʾ is indefinite, it is not nūnated. Also, when it is indefinite and in the i-state, the vowel mark on its final letter is not  $\varsigma$ , as you might expect but  $\circ$ . And so the noun looks identical in the a-state and i-state when it is indefinite.

When it is definite, however, it looks just like fully-flexible nouns.

So there are two differences between fully-flexible and semi-flexible nouns:

- 1. When indefinite, a semi-flexible noun is not nunated.
- 2. When indefinite and in the i-state, a semi-flexible noun's final letter does not have an *i*-mark. Instead it shall have an *a*-mark, just like when it is in the a-state.

The other category of nouns are *rigid* nouns. Rigid nouns don't change their endings due to their state. They are much fewer in number compared to flexible nouns. Pronouns are an example of rigid nouns.

#### 8.2. Feminine markers

Before we discuss semi-flexible nouns in more detail, we will discuss feminine markers. We already know of one feminine marker: the  $\ddot{\delta}$ . When a singular noun ends with  $\ddot{\delta}$ , then that is an indication, with very few exceptions, that it is a feminine noun. Examples are:

Root	Feminine noun	Masculine noun from same root (if any)
جري علم	" جَارِيَة "a girl <sub>f</sub> "	- u
	"a scholar <sub>f</sub> " عَالِمَة	"a scholar <sub>m</sub> " عَالِم
کلب	كَلْبَة "a $dog_f$ "	"a dog <sub>m</sub> " گُلْب
شجر	"a tree" شَجَرَة	_
صغر	صَغِيرَة $\mathit{adj}$ . " $\mathit{small}_{\mathrm{f}}$ "	صَغِير adj. "small <sub>m</sub> "

As you can see, the feminine marker  $\ddot{\circ}$  is never part of the noun's root. It is thus considered *extrinsic* to the root. Also, sometimes, but not always, the feminine noun is formed by adding the feminine marker  $\ddot{\circ}$  to the end of a masculine noun.

It is also important to note that  $\ddot{\circ}$  is only a feminine marker for singular nouns. When we learn plurals, if Allāh wills, we will see that  $\ddot{\circ}$  is used frequently with masculine plurals.

Now we will learn of two more feminine markers: and is.

Here are some examples of nouns that end with these two feminine markers:

Root	Feminine noun	Masculine noun (if any)
صحر	"a desert" صَحْرَاء	_
حمر	مَّمْرَاء <i>adj</i> . "red <sub>f</sub> "	ُ adj. "red <sub>m</sub> " أَحْمَر
ذکر	"a remembrance " ذِكْرَىٰ	_
غضب	غَضْبَیٰ $\mathit{adj}$ . "very angry $_{\mathrm{f}}$ "	غَضْبَان $\mathit{adj}$ . "very $\mathit{angry}_{\mathit{m}}$ "

When extrinsic to the word's root, <code>| and b | are feminine markers, just like a. However, one important difference from a is that sometimes | and b | may not be extrinsic to the word's root. In this case, they will not be feminine markers, and the noun will regularly be a masculine noun. Examples:</code>

Root	Noun	فعل Pattern using paradigm
هدي خبء	(masc.) "the guidance" ٱلْهُدَىٰ (masc.) "a tent"	ٱلْفُعَل فِعَال فِعَال

These cases will become more clear, if Allāh wills, when we study weak roots (roots that contain a weak letter like (3) (5).

Otherwise, when extrinsic to the word's root, اء, and ن are consistently feminine markers, just like ة.

Also, just like  $\ddot{a}$ ,  $\ddot{a}$  and  $\ddot{b}$  are only feminine markers for singular nouns. We will see, if Allāh wills, that they are used frequently with masculine plurals.

By the way, another difference from  $\ddot{o}$  is that when  $\ddot{o}$  are feminine markers, and a masculine counterpart exists, then the feminine noun is not formed by simply adding the feminine marker to the end of the masculine noun. The masculine and feminine nouns are different internally as well. For example, the feminine noun  $\ddot{o}$  adj. "red $_{\rm f}$ " is not formed simply by adding the feminine marker الله to the end of the masculine noun اء to the end of the masculine noun اء to the end of the masculine noun

We will discuss this in more detail below.

# 8.3. Categories of semi-flexible nouns

We now return to our discussion of semi-flexible nouns. Semi-flexible nouns, in terms of their formation, fall under different categories. We will discuss them below.

When discussing semi-flexible nouns in isolation we will add the numeral 2 as a superscript to their ending, thus:  $^2$ مَحْرَاء  $^{5}$   $^{2}$   $^{2}$ . This is to indicate their semi-flexibility.

#### 8.3.1. Nouns that end with an extrinsic

If a noun ends with an pl, which is extrinsic to the word's root, then it shall be a semi-flexible noun.

We have already seen an example of such a noun above:  $^2$ عَصْرًاء ṣaḥrā $^{22}$  "a desert". The root of this noun is صحر. You can see that the ending اء is not part of the root. Therefore it is a semi-flexible noun.

Furthermore, we have also learned that this <code>el</code>, which is extrinsic to the word's root, is a feminine marker for singular nouns, just like <code>\(\delta\)</code>, except that <code>\(\delta\)</code> does not generally make a noun semi-flexible.

Here is an example sentence with this noun:

```
ُ ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ إِلَىٰ صَحْرَاءَ وَاسِعَةٍ.
aĥahaba -rrajulu ʾilā ṣaḥrāʾa wāsiɛah.
"The man went to a wide desert."
```

Note that the vowel mark on the final letter of مَصْرًاء ṣaḥrā'a is ૾, not ૢ, even though it is indefinite and in the i-state (because it is preceded by the preposition 'tilā "to"). This is because it is a semi-flexible noun.

 $^2$ وَاسِعَةٍ  $sahra^{^2}$  in this sentence is also a describee, whose describer is وَاسِعَةٍ wasicatin "wide". The final vowel mark  $\circ$  on the describee  $\circ$   $sahra^{^2}a$  has no effect on the final vowel mark on the describer وَاسِعَةٍ wasicatin "wide". All that matters in this regard is the state of the describee.

Note, also, that the describer وَاسِعَة is feminine to match the gender of the describee  $^2$  صَحْرًاء $^3$  عَصْرًاء $^3$ 

Note, as well, that the describer وَاسِعَةِ is nūnated as it is indefinite and fully-flexible. The inability of its describee  $^{2}$  صُحْرًاء  $^{2}$  to be nūnated (because of its semi-flexibility) does not affect the describer.

Also, beware, as we've already mentioned, that there are some words where the اله ending may be part of the word's root, for example خِبَاء khibā' "a tent" from the root خِباء on the pattern خِباء . Such words will be fully flexible. Also, for the same reason, الله in this word is not a feminine marker, and the word is masculine.

#### 8.3.2. Nouns that end with an extrinsic 's

If a noun ends with an 's which is extrinsic to the word's root, then it shall be a semi-flexible noun.

We've already seen an example of such a word:  $^2$ ذِكْرَىٰ  $\widehat{dhikra}^2$  "a remembrance". The root of this word is ذكر and it is on the pattern . فِعْلَىٰ

We've also learned that, similar to اء, this خ, which is extrinsic to the word's root, is a feminine marker for singular nouns.

Since  $^2$  زَكْرَىٰ  $\widehat{dhikra}^2$  already ends with the vowel-mark  $\dot{\circ}$ , the last letter won't have any additional vowel markers and therefore the word will appear the same in all states:

State	Indefinite	Definite
u-state	ۮؚػ۠ۯؽ	 ٱلذِّكْرَىٰ
a-state	ۮؚػ۫ۯؽ	ٱڶذِّكْرَىٰ
i-state	ۮؚػ۠ۯؽ	ٱلذِّكْرَىٰ

Therefore, the state of such nouns cannot be determined by the vowel mark on their final letter, and has to be deduced otherwise by their function in the sentence. Nevertheless, these nouns are still included in the category of semiflexible nouns, and not rigid nouns. This is because rigid nouns are closed set consisting only of pronouns and other similar words.

Here is an example of this word in a sentence:

Beware also that, just like in the case of اَلْهُدَىٰ , there are some words where ن may be part of the word's root, e.g. مُدي 'alhudā "the guidance" whose root is مُدي. Because here the ن أَلْهُدَىٰ is part of the word's root, therefore it shall not be a semi-flexible noun. So, when it is indefinite, it will be nūnated: هُدُى hudan "a guidance". Also, for the same reason, ن in this word is not a feminine marker, and the word is masculine.

# 8.3.3. Nouns on the pattern أَفْعَل

If a noun is on the pattern أُفْكَل 'afɛal then it shall be a semi-flexible noun. By the way, there is no feminine marker on such words, so they will be masculine by default.

Most colors and many physical characteristics fall into this pattern. Colors and physical characteristics are adjectival nouns. The masculine noun for such adjectival-nouns is on the pattern أُفْعَل afeal. And the feminine adjectival noun is on the pattern فَعْدُل faela (which is itself a semi-flexible noun pattern because of the extrinsic او ending). Here are some examples of such adjectival nouns:

Root	Masc. Noun	Fem. noun	Meaning
حمر	أَحْمَر²	حَمْرَاء²	red
سود	أَسْوَد <sup>2</sup>	$^2$ سَوْدَاء	black

ٱلْكتَابُ ذكْرَىٰ جَميلةٌ.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;alkitābu dhikrā jamīlah.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The book is a beautiful remembrance."

Root	Masc. Noun	Fem. noun	Meaning
بیض	أُبْيَض²	<sup>2</sup> بَيْضَاء	white
عرج	أُعْرَج² أُعْرَج² أُحْوَر²	عَرْجَاء²	lame
حور	أَحْوَر <sup>2</sup>	عربــ حَوْرَاء <sup>2</sup> بَكْمَاء	beautiful eyed
بکم	أَبْكَم²	بَكْمَاء²	mute

#### Example:

َ لَبِسَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ قَمِيصًا أَبْيَضَ. *labisa -rrajulu qamīṣan ʾabyaḍ.* "The man wore a white shirt."

#### 8.3.4. Adjectival nouns that end with an extrinsic in

The letters 0 may be an extrinsic ending for nouns. This ending is not a feminine marker so the noun would typically be masculine. This ending may cause the noun to be semi-flexible.

This category is more complicated than the previous ones. The following conditions must be satisfied for a word that ends with old to be a semi-flexible noun:

- 1. The noun must be a adjectival-noun on the pattern فَعْلَان . So the common noun فَعْلَان  $\widehat{thueban}$  "a serpent" of the root ثعي is a common noun and therefore, not a semi-flexible noun.
- 2. The ان must be extrinsic to the word's root. So مِبَان jabānun "cowardly", an adjectival noun of the root جين, is not a semi-flexible noun.
- 3. The feminine of the adjectival noun shall not be formed by adding ة to the masculine noun. So نَدْمَان nadmān "regretful", an adjectival-noun from the root بندم, is not a semi-flexible noun, because its feminine is تَدْمَانَة nadmānah.

It is rare that this last condition fails. Most adjectival nouns that end with an extrinsic الن are of the pattern فَعْلَىٰ  $fael\bar{a}n$  and their feminine is of the pattern فَعْلَىٰ  $fael\bar{a}n$  (which is itself a semi-flexible noun pattern). These adjectival-nouns typically have an emphatic meaning. The following are examples of semi-flexible adjectival-nouns that fall into this category:

Root	Masc. Noun	Fem. noun	Meaning
غضب	غَضْبَان²	غَضْبَیٰ ²	very angry
عطش	عَطْشَان²	عَطْشَیٰ ²	very thirsty
جوع	جَوْعَان²	جَوْعَیٰ ²	very hungry

# فَفَافيف and فَفَافف عُمانِي عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ الْعَلَيْ

Nouns that are of the patterns فَفَافِيف and فَفَافِيف are also semi-flexible nouns. Here each letter of could be any letter of the alphabet.

Here are some examples of these nouns:

- ²مَسَاحِد "mosques"
   ²مَسَاحِد "mosques"
   مَفَاتِيح "keys"

These patterns are only used for plurals and we will study them in more detail in chapter @ref(broken-plurals), if Allāh wills.

Motik in Probless

Motk in Progress

# Chapter 9.

#### Duals

#### 9.1. Introduction

For any number greater than one, English uses the plural. For example, the plural of "house" is "houses". So in English we will say:

"two houses"

Arabic, on the other hand, uses the plural only for nouns in number three and higher. For nouns that are two in number Arabic uses the *dual*.

Since English does not have a dual, we will sometimes indicate it using the the subscript 2, thus: "houses<sub>2</sub>", to mean "two houses".

#### 9.2. Forming the dual

The dual is formed by appending the dual suffix الله -āni when the noun is in the u-state and يُنِيِّ -ayni when the noun is in the a-state or i-state. Definite nouns, which have الله in their beginning are dualized the same way.

For example, when we dualize بَيْت bayt "a house" in order to say "houses $_2$ ", we get:

States	Indefinite	Definite
u-state a- and i-states	بَيْتَانِ baytāni بَيْتَانِ baytayni	albaytāni ٱلْبَيْتَانِ 'albaytayni' ٱلْبَيْتَيْنِ 'albaytayni

Note that indefinite duals are not nūnated. The only difference between definite and indefinite duals is the definite article ٱلْنُ "the".

Here are examples of duals in sentences:

· u-state:

```
ٱلْكِتَابَانِ فِي ٱلْحَقِيبَةِ.
'alkitābāni fi -lḥaqībah.
"The books<sub>2</sub> are in the bag."
```

100 Chapter 9. Duals

#### · a-state:

. قَرَأُ ٱلْغُلَامُ كِتَابَيْنِ qara'a -lghulāmu kitābayn. "The boy read two books."

· i-state:

```
. غَضِبَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ عَلَى ٱلْجَارِيَتَيْنِ
gĥaḍibati -l'ummu ɛala -ljāriyatayn.
"The mother became angry at the girls<sub>?</sub>." ### Nouns ending in ة
```

If a noun ends with a ة, then it is converted to a ت before appending the dual suffix. For example, dualizing شَجَرَة  $\widehat{shajarah}$  "a tree", we get "trees<sub>2</sub>":

States	Indefinite	Definite
u-state a- and i-states	s̄hajaratāni شَجَرَتَانِ s̄hajaratayni شَجَرَتَانِ	'ashshajaratāni' ٱلشَّجَرَتَانِ 'ashshajaratayni' ٱلشَّجَرَتَيْنِ

#### Example:

ٱُلشَّجَرَتَانِ فِي ٱلْحَدِيقَةِ.

'ashsharatāni fi -lḥadīqah.

"The trees, are in the garden."

If a feminine noun does end with a ة then it will simply be appended with ان ان -āni and عني -ayni. For example, dualizing أُمّ 'umm "a mother" in order to get "mothers,", we get:

• u-state: أُمَّان '*ummāni* 

• a-state and i-state: أُمَّيْن 'ummayni

There are some nouns that end with an alif before the ة, like قَتَاة  $fat\bar{a}h$  "a young woman". We will learn how to dualize these nouns later, if Allāh wills.

#### اء 9.2.1. Nouns ending with

If a noun ends with the feminine marker | which is extrinsic to the word's root then the | shall be replaced with a | when forming the dual. Examples:

Root	Singular	Dual (u-state)	Dual (a- and i-states)
صحر	مَحْرَاء șaḥrāʾ "a desert"	صَحْرَاوَانِ ṣaḥrāwāni	صَحْرَاوَيْنِ ṣaḥrāwayni

Root	Singular	Dual (u-state)	Dual (a- and i-states)
حمر	جَمْرَاء أبamrāʾ "red <sub>f</sub> "	حَمْرَاوَانِ ḥamrāwāni	حَمْرَاوَيْنِ ḥamrāwayni

There are other words where the  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon$ I ending originates from the word's root. Example:

• غِبَاء خبء (masc.) "a tent", pattern: فِعَال

We will learn how to form duals of these words in later chapters, if Allāh wills.

#### 9.2.2. Nouns ending with is

If a noun ends with 's which is extrinsic to the word's root then the 's shall be changed to a 's when adding the dual suffixes. Examples:

Root	Singular	Dual (u-state)	Dual (a- and i-states)
غضب	غَضْبَىٰ <i>ḡhaḍbā</i> "very angry <sub>f</sub> "	غَضْبَيَانِ - عَنْسَبَيَانِ	غَضْبَيَيْنِ
ذکر	ِذِكْرَىٰ <i>đĥikrā</i> "a remembrance"	ghaḍbayāni ذِكْرَيَانِ d͡hikrayāni	ghaḍbayayni ذِكْرَيَيْنِ d͡hikrayayni

• ٱلْفُعَل (masc.) "the guidance", pattern: ٱلْفُعَل

We will learn how to form duals of these words in later chapters, if Allāh wills.

# 9.3. Dual describers and describees in descriptive noun-phrases

We learned that when an adjectival noun is a describer in an descriptive nounphrase, then it matches the describee in definiteness, state, and gender. For example:

ُ ذَهَبْتُ إِلَى ٱلْمَدِينَةِ ٱلْقَدِيمَةِ. dhahabtu 'ila -lmadīnati -lqadīmah.

"I went to the old city."

102 Chapter 9. Duals

To this we add that the describer shall also match the describee in number. So if the describee is a dual then the adjectival-noun describer shall be dualzed to match it. Examples:

```
. اَلْأَمَّانِ اُلطَّيِّبَتَانِ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ

'al'ummāni -ṭṭayyibatāni fi -lbayt.

"The good mothers<sub>2</sub> are in the house."

. قَرَأً ٱلْغُلَامُ كِتَابَيْنِ ثَقِيلَيْنِ قَدِيمَيْنِ

مِعْدَاءً الْغُلَامُ كِتَابَيْنِ ثَقِيلَيْنِ قَدِيمَيْنِ

gara'a -lghulāmu kitābayni thaqīlatayni qadīmatayn.

"The boy read two old heavy books."
```

### 9.4. Duals in subject-information sentences

In subject-information sentences, if the subject is a dual, and the information is a adjectival noun, then the information will typically match the subject in being a dual. For example:

```
. أَلْأُمَّانِ كَرِيمَتَانِ.

'al'ummāni karīmatān.

"The mothers<sub>2</sub> are generous."

. أَلْكِتَابَانِ ٱلْكَبِيرَانِ ثَقِيلَانِ

'alkitābāni -lkabīrāni thaqīlān.

"The big books<sub>2</sub> are heavy."
```

Such is usually also the case even when the information is a common noun, not an adjectival noun. For example,

```
. ٱلرَّجُلَانِ مُعَلِّمَانِ.
Parrujulāni muɛallimān.
"The men<sub>2</sub> are teachers<sub>m.2</sub>."
```

Sometimes, however, the subject and information may not match in number because of the meaning of the sentence. For example,

```
ٱلْوِسَادَتَانِ سَرِيرٌ.
'alwisādatāni sarīr.
"The two cushions are a bed."
```

In the above example, the information does not match the subject in both number, and, as it happens, in gender.

# 9.5. Detached dual pronouns

We have already learned the detached pronouns that are used in place of singular nouns. They are repeated here:

Singular participant	Detached pronoun	
Masc. absentee	هُوَ <i>huwa</i> "he"	
Fem. absentee	e hiya "she" هِيَ	
Masc. addressee	" 'anta "you <sub>m,1</sub> " أَنْتَ	
Fem. addressee	"anti "you <sub>f.1</sub> " أُنْتِ	
Speaker	''ana "I" أَنَا	

Now we will learn the detached pronouns for the dual participants:

Dual participant	Detached pronoun	
Absentee Addressee Speaker	هُمَا humā "they <sub>2</sub> " أَنْتُمَا 'antumā "you <sub>2</sub> " –	

Note that the dual detached pronouns are the same for both genders. Also, there is no detached pronoun for the dual speaker-participant. If the speaker-participant consists of two individuals then we will use the plural pronoun, which we will learn in the next chapter, if Allāh wills.

Here are some examples of their use:

```
. هُمَا ٱلرَّجُلَانِ
huma -rrajulān.
"They, are the men<sub>2</sub>."
```

. هُمَا مُعَلِّمَتَانِ گرِيمَتَانِ humā musallimatāni karīmatāni. "They<sub>2</sub> are noble teachers<sub>f</sub>."

. قَالَتِ ٱلأُمُّ لِلْجَارِيَتَيْنِ أَنْتُمَا قَرِيبَتَانِ مِنِّي. qālati -l'ummu liljāriyatayni 'antumā qarībatāni minnī. "The mother said to the girls<sub>2</sub>, 'You<sub>2</sub> are near me.'"

In the last example, the feminine adjectival-noun قُرِبَتَانِ  $qaribat\bar{a}ni$  is used because it is referring to the feminine noun الْجَارِيَتَيْن  $alj\bar{a}riyatayni$  "the girls $_2$ ".

# 9.6. Attached dual pronouns

We have also already learned the attached pronouns for the singular participant. They too are repeated here:

Singular participant	Attached pronoun	
Masc. absentee	<i>hu</i> "him" هُ	

104 Chapter 9. Duals

Singular participant	Attached pronoun	
Fem. absentee	-hā "her" هَا	
Masc. addressee	'-ka "you <sub>m.1</sub> "	
Fem. addressee	ان -ka "you <sub>m,1</sub> " ك -ki "you <sub>f,1</sub> "	
Speaker	"me" ي	

Now we will learn the attached pronouns for the dual participant:

Dual participant	Attached pronoun	
Absentee	مُمَا -humā "them <sub>2</sub> "	
Addressee	گُمَا -kumā "you <sub>2</sub> "	
Speaker	–	

#### Note the following points about them:

- Like the dual detached pronouns, the dual attached pronouns are the same for both genders. Also, there is no attached pronoun for the dual speaker-participant. Again, the plural pronoun will be used in this case.
- The dual absentee-participant detached and attached pronouns ("they<sub>2</sub>"/"them<sub>2</sub>") are the same هُما *-humā*.
- Just like the absentee-participant singular masculine attached pronoun 'hu "him", the dual absentee-participant attached pronoun "them<sub>2</sub>" فَمَا "humā becomes فِمَا "-himā when preceded by the vowels إِنَّ -i, or the semi-vowel فِيْ -ay. Examples:
  - بهما $bihim\bar{a}$  "with them $_2$ "
  - فِيَّهُمَا fīhimā "in them $_2$ "
  - إِلَيْهِمَا ' $ilayhimar{a}$  "to them $_2$ "
- The preposition  $\bigcup li$  "for" becomes  $\bigcup la$  when followed by the dual attached pronouns:
  - لَهُمَا lahumā "for them<sub>2</sub>"
  - لَكُمَا  $lakum\bar{a}$  "for you $_2$ "
- As expected, the long  $\bar{a}$  vowel at the ends of the dual attached pronouns becomes a short a vowel when followed by a connecting hamzah  $\hat{l}$ . Example:
  - ذَهَبَ إِلَيْكُمَا ٱلرَّجُلُ.
     Anahaba 'ilaykuma -rrajulu.
     "The man went toward you<sub>2</sub>."

105

#### 9.6.1. Dual doee pronouns

The dual attached pronouns that we have just learned are also used as doee pronouns. Examples:

```
َسَأَلَّهُمَا ٱلرَّجُلُ.

sa'alahuma -rrajulu.

"The man asked them<sub>2</sub>."

سَأَلْتُكُمَا.

sa'altukumā

"I asked you<sub>2</sub>."

سَأَلْتُكُمَا.

شَأَلْتُكُمَا.

sa'alatkumā.

"She asked you<sub>3</sub>."
```

#### 9.7. Verbs with dual doers

#### 9.7.1. Dual nouns for the doer

We learned that the completed-action verb for a masculine doer is on the pattern فَعَلَ. And when the doer is feminine, the ت of femininity is attached to the verb thus: فَعَلَتْ. We have used these verbs with singular doer nouns. The doer noun always comes after the verb and shall be in the u-state. Examples:

```
َ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ.

أَهُبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ.

"The boy went."

ذَهَبَتْ جَارِيَةٌ.

أَهُمْبَتْ جَارِيَةٌ.

"A girl went."
```

These same verbs are used when the doer noun is a dual. Examples:

```
. زَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامَانِ.

dhahaba -lghulāmāni.

"The boys<sub>2</sub> went."

نَهَبَتْ جَارِيَتَانِ.

dhahabat jāriyatāni.

"Two girls went."
```

#### 9.7.2. Dual pronouns for the doer

We have already learned the singular doer pronouns:

Singular participant	Doer pronoun	Meaning	Doer pronoun with verb
Masc. absentee Fem. absentee Masc. addressee Fem. addressee	invisible invisible ت -ta ت -ti	"he" "she" "you <sub>m,2</sub> " "you <sub>f,2</sub> "	أَعُكلَ faɛala ثَعَلَتْ faɛalat غَعلَتُ faɛalta ثَعلُت faɛalti
Speaker	ے ن <sup>ی</sup> -tu	"I"	بىندىت بىندىن faɛaltu فَعَلْتُ

#### Now we will learn the dual doer pronouns:

Dual participant	Doer pronoun	Meaning	Doer pronoun with verb
Absentee	ló -ā	"them <sub>2</sub> "	masc.: فَعَلَا faɛalā, fem: فَعَلَتَا faɛalatā
Addressee Speaker	<i>tumā - ت</i> ُمَا –	"you <sub>2</sub> " "us <sub>2</sub> "	فَعَلْتُمَا faɛaltumā –

Note the following regarding the dual doer pronouns:

The dual doer pronouns are the same for both genders.

However, when the absentee-participant doer pronoun ( $|\hat{\cdot}| - \bar{a}$ ) is used for a feminine doer, it is attached to the verb with an intervening  $\Box$  of femininity thus:  $\hat{b}$  facalatā "they<sub>f.2</sub> did" Here are some examples of the dual doer pronouns:

#### 9.7.3. Sentence word order with dual doers

As we've mentioned, the doer, whether a noun or a pronoun, always comes after the verb. Here are a couple of examples of verbal sentences with dual doers:

َ ذَهَبَا إِلَىٰ بَيْتٍ أَلَّهُ مَا إِلَىٰ بَيْتٍ dhahabā 'ilā baytin. "They<sub>2</sub> went to a house." َ ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُلَانِ إِلَىٰ بَيْتٍ. aĥahabā -rrujalāni ʾilā baytin. "The men, went to a house."

The above verbal sentence can be rearranged to be a subject-information sentence. This gives more emphasis to the subject. In this case, the verb shall follow the subject and will need a doer pronoun after it.

َ اُلرَّجُلَانِ ذَهَبَا إِلَىٰ بَيْتٍ. 'arrujalāni dhahabā 'ilā baytin. "The men<sub>2</sub>, they<sub>2</sub> went to a house." = "The men<sub>2</sub> went to a house."

If there are multiple verbs associated with the same doer in a verbal sentence, the doer noun will follow the first verb and the rest of the verbs will have doer pronouns. For example:

أَكُلُ ٱلرَّجُلَانِ وَشَرِبَا وَذَهَبَا. 'akala -rrajulāni washaribā wadhahabā. "The men<sub>2</sub> ate and they<sub>2</sub> drank and they<sub>2</sub> went." = "The men<sub>2</sub> ate and drank and went."

The above verbal sentence can be rearranged to be a subject-information sentence. In that case, all the verbs shall have doer pronouns. The sentence will have the same translation as above, except for an emphasis on the subject of the sentence.

اَّلرَّجُلَانِ أَكَلَا وَشَرِبَا وَذَهَبَا.  $^{\circ}$ arrajulāni 'akalā washaribā washahabā. "The men $_{2}$ , they $_{2}$  ate and they $_{2}$  drank and they $_{2}$  went." = "The men $_{2}$  ate and drank and went."

108 Chapter 9. Duals

Moik in Progress

# Chapter 10.

# Sound plurals

### 10.1. Introduction

Arabic uses the plural for nouns in number three and higher. The formation and use of plurals in Arabic can be somewhat complicated. One of these complications is that, in using plurals, Arabic distinguishes between intelligent beings and non-intelligent beings. Intelligent beings are those living beings that are endowed with reason like humans, angels, and jinn. Non-intelligent beings include animals, inanimate objects, abstract concepts, etc.

As a further complication, there is sometimes more than one way to use plurals. In this chapter we will explain the most common usages to keep things as simple as possible.

Arabic has two categories of plurals:

1. The *sound plural:* English regularly forms the plural by adding the plural ending "s" to the end of a singular noun. For example:

Singular	Plural
book	books
house	houses
boy	boys
girl	girls

Arabic also forms some plurals by adding plural endings to to the singular noun. This kind of plural is call a *sound* plural because the singular noun is kept more or less sound (intact) when adding the plural ending.

Arabic has two types of sound plurals:

- i. The *ūn* sound plural.
- ii. The *āt* sound plural.

We will describe each of these in this chapter.

2. The *broken plural*: When forming this plural the singular noun is not kept intact. We will learn about this plural in the next chapter, if Allāh wills.

## 10.2. The $\bar{u}n$ sound plural

The  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is formed by adding the ending  $\hat{0}_9$  - $\bar{u}na$  to the singular noun when it is in the u-state, and نين - $\bar{u}na$  when the noun is in the a-state or i-state. For convenience, we will call it the " $\bar{u}n$  sound plural" instead of the "- $\bar{u}na$ /- $\bar{u}na$  plural".

Here is the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural of مُعَلِّم muɛallim "a teacher<sub>m</sub>":

State	Indefinite <i>ūn</i> plural "teachers <sub>m</sub> "	Definite <i>ūn</i> plural "the teachers <sub>m</sub> "
u-state	muɛallimūna مُعَلِّمُونَ	ُ almuɛallimūna ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ
a- and i-states	muɛallimīna مُعَلِّمِينَ	almuɛallimīna ٱلْمُعَلِّمِينَ

Note that, just like for duals, the indefinite  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is not nūnated. The only difference between the definite and indefinite  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is the definite article  $\mathring{u}$  "the".

The duals of مُعَلِّم mueallim "a teacher $_m$ " are included here for comparison:

State	Indefinite $\bar{u}n$ sound plural "teachers <sub>m,2</sub> "	Definite <i>ūn</i> sound plural "the teachers <sub>m</sub> ,"
u-state	"""مَانِ muɛallimāni	almuɛallimāni ٱلْمُعَلِّمَانِ
a- and i-states	مُعَلِّمَيْنِ muɛallimayni	almuɛallimayni ٱلْمُعَلِّمَيْنِ

Here are some examples of the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural in sentences:

#### · u-state:

ِ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ فِي ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ. 'almuɛallimūna fi -lmadrasah "The teachers are in the school."

#### · a-state:

َ سَأَلَ ٱلْغُلَامُ مُعَلِّمِينَ عَنْ أَمْرٍ. sa'ala -lghulāmu muɛallimīna ɛan 'amr. "The boy asked some teachers about a matter."

#### · i-state:

اللَّهُ مِنَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمِينَ عِلْمًا. talaba -lghulāmu mina -lmuɛallimīna ɛilmā. "The boy sought some knowledge from the teachers."

### 10.2.1. Applicability of the $\bar{u}n$ sound plural

Except for very few exceptions, the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is used only for male intelligent beings.

The few exceptions of common nouns that denote non-male intelligent beings, yet have an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural include:

- أَرْضُونَ 'arḍ (fem.) "a land", "an earth" forms the  $\bar{u}n$  plural أَرْضُونَ 'arḍ $\bar{u}na$  "lands", "earths".
- أَهْلُ 'ahl "a family" forms the  $\bar{u}n$  plural أَهْلُونَ 'ahl "a families".

## 10.3. The āt sound plural

The  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural is formed by adding the ending  $\bar{a}t$  to the indefinite singular noun.

Here is the āt sound plural of حَيَوَان ḥayawān "an animal":

State	Indefinite <i>ūn</i> plural "animals"	Definite <i>ūn</i> plural "the animals"
u-state	ḥayawānātun حَيَوَانَاتٌ	اً ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتُ <sup>a</sup> lḥayawānātu
a- and i-states	ḥayawānātin	ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتِ ³alḥayawānāti

### Note that:

- Unlike the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural, the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural is nūnated when indefinite. Also, just like for singular nouns, the final vowel on the plural ending  $\bar{a}t$  indicates the state of the plural.
- The  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural does not take the a-mark  $\circ$  and the nūnated a-mark  $\circ$ . Instead the i-mark  $\circ$  and the nūnated i-mark  $\circ$ -mark are used to indicate both the a-state and the i-state.

State	the animal	the animals
u-state	alḥayawānu' ٱلْحَيَوَانُ	الْحَيَوَانَاتُ <sup>a</sup> alḥayawānātu² ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتِ
a-state	alḥayawāna ٱلْحَيَوَانَ	alḥayawānāti ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتِ
i-state	alḥayawāni' ٱلْحَيَوَانِ	alḥayawānāti ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتِ

### ة 10.3.1. Nouns ending in

If a noun ends with a  $\ddot{o}$ , then it is removed before appending the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural ending. Here, for example, is the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural of مُعَلِّمَة mueallimah "a teacher,":

State	Indefinite <i>ūn</i> plural "teachers <sub>f</sub> "	Definite <i>ūn</i> plural "the teachers <sub>f</sub> "
u-state a- and i-states	muɛallimātun مُعَلِّمَاتٌ muɛallimātin	almuɛallimātu ٱَلْمُعَلِّمَاتُ 'almuɛallimāti 'almuɛallimāti

Here are some examples of the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural in sentences:

### · u-state:

- . فِي ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ مُعَلِّمَاتٌ fi -lmadrasati muɛallimāt. "In the school are teachers."
- · a-state:
  - َ نُصَرَ ٱللَّـٰهُ ٱلْمُسْلِمِينَ. naṣara -llāhu -lmuslimīn. "Allāh aided the Muslims.
- · i-state:

```
ُ نَظَرَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَى ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتِ.
naḍĥara -lgĥulāmu ʾila -lḥayawānāt.
"The boy looked at the animals."
```

There are some nouns that end with an alif before the  $\ddot{o}$ , like فُتَاة  $fat\bar{a}h$  "a young woman". We will learn how to pluralize these nouns later, if All $\bar{a}h$  wills.

## اء 10.3.2. Nouns ending with

Consistent with what we learned for duals in section @ref(duals-of-extrinsic-alif-mamduda), if a noun ends with the feminine marker  $_{\varsigma}$ l which is extrinsic to the word's root then the  $_{\varsigma}$  shall be replaced with a  $_{\varsigma}$  when forming the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural. Example:

Root	Singular	āt sound plural
صحر	<sup>2</sup> مَحْرَاء <i>ṣaḥrā</i> "a desert"	<i>saḥrāwāt</i> صَحْرَاوَات

### ىٰ 10.3.3. Nouns ending with

Consistent with what we learned for duals in section @ref(duals-of-extrinsic-alif-maqsura), If a noun ends with  $\dot{b}$  which is extrinsic to the word's root then the  $\dot{b}$  shall be changed to a  $\dot{b}$  when when forming the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural. Examples:

Root	Singular	āt sound plural
ذکر	$^{2}$ ذِكْرَىٰ $\widehat{dhikra}^{2}$ "a remembrance"	زِكْرَيَات <i>đĥikrayā</i> t

# 10.3.4. Common nouns of the patterns وَعْلَة/فَعْل , فَعْلَة/فَعْل , and فُعْلَة/فُعْل فُعْلَة/فُعْل

Common nouns of the patterns فِعْلَة/فَعْل and فِعْلَة/فُعْل are treated specially when forming their āt sound plural.

If a common noun is of these patterns and the middle root letter is not  $_9$  or  $_9$ , and the middle and final root letters are not the same, then the word is modified internally when forming the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural.

There are two separate rules to consider:

- 1. If a common noun is of the pattern فَعُلْة fael or فَعُلْة fael or فَعُلْة fael on the middle letter shall be converted to an a-mark  $\circ$  when forming the at sound plural. For example:
  - نَحْلَات naḥlah "a bee" becomes نَحْلَات naḥalāt "bees", not × نَحْلَات naḥlāt.
  - مَرْبَات darbah "a strike" becomes صَرْبَات  $darab\bar{a}t$  "strikes", not  $\times$  صَرْبَات  $darb\bar{a}t$ .
  - مَفْحَات safhah "a page" becomes صَفْحَات safahat "pages", not imes مَفْحَات safhat.

If the middle root letter is 9 or 6, or the middle and final root letters are the same then this modification is not done. For example,

- جَوْزَات jawzah "a walnut" becomes جَوْزَات jawzāt.
- حَجَّات ḥajjah "a pilgrimage" becomes حَجَّات ḥajjāt.
- 2. If a common noun is of the pattern فِعْلَة fiɛl, فِعْلَة fiɛlah, فُعْلَة fuɛla, or فُعْلَة fuɛlah then the o-mark on the middle letter can, optionally, either:
  - i. be retained,
  - ii. be converted to an a mark, or
  - iii. be converted to the vowel mark on the first letter.

### For example:

• ظُلْمَات đhulmah "a darkness" can become, optionally, either ظُلْمَات đhulmah ضُلْمَات dhulamāt, or ظُلْمَات đhulamāt, or ظُلْمَات đhulamāt, or ظُلْمَات dhulamāt, or

کشروّه kisrah "a piece" can become, optionally, either کِسْرَات kisrāt or کسرَات kisarāt, or کسرَات kisirāt "pieces".

Note that this rule of changing the vowel mark is only true for common nouns. Adjectival-nouns on these patterns will retain the o-mark when forming the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural. So صَعْبَة  $\bar{s}a\epsilon b$  and ضَعْبَة  $\bar{s}a\epsilon bah$  "a difficult one" become only  $\bar{s}a\epsilon b\bar{a}t$ , not  $\times$  صَعْبَات  $\bar{s}a\epsilon b\bar{a}t$ .

### 10.3.5. Applicability of the $\bar{a}t$ sound plural

We had mentioned that the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is used, with very few exceptions, only for male intelligent beings. Conversely, the  $\bar{a}t$  is used for both female intelligent beings, and for non-intelligent beings (both masculine and feminine) like animals, inanimate objects, and abstract concepts. Rarely, it is also used for male intelligent beings.

## 10.4. Conditions for forming the sound plural

Many times, a noun can form both an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural and an  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural. However, there are many nouns that can form only one of the two sound plurals. And many nouns don't form either sound plural; they only form broken plurals. (We will learn about broken plurals in the next chapter, if Allāh wills.) There are even nouns that can form both sound and broken plurals.

Here we will learn some of the conditions which a noun needs to satisfy in order for it to form the sound plurals.

## 10.4.1. Conditions for the $\bar{u}n$ sound plural

The  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is used, with very few exceptions, only for nouns that denote male intelligent beings. These guidelines will help you determine which nouns form the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural.

We will treat common nouns and adjectival nouns separately.

### 10.4.1.1. Common nouns

With very few exceptions (some of which we saw in section @ref(applicability-of-the-un-sound-plural)), common nouns denoting male intelligent beings are disqualified from forming the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural if their feminine counterpart is not formed by adding a  $\ddot{v}$  to the masculine noun. So,  $\ddot{v}$   $\ddot{g}$   $\ddot{h}ul\bar{u}m$  "a boy" is disqualified from forming a  $\ddot{u}n$  sound plural because its feminine counterpart is  $\ddot{g}$   $\ddot$ 

Even if a common noun denotes a male intelligent being and its female counterpart is formed by adding a  $\ddot{a}$ , further conditions are imposed that can restrict its having a  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural. We will explain these restrictions below:

We learned in section @ref(related-nouns-for-male-and-female-animate-beings) that, in terms of their meaning, nouns that denote animate beings are of two kinds:

i. Nouns that have a primitive meaning. That is, their meaning is not derived from a verbal or adjectival meaning. Examples (for male intelligent beings whose feminine is formed by adding ö to the masculine noun):

Arabic word	Definition
ibn أَيْن	a son
ṭifl طِفْل	a child
إنْسَان ʾinsān إِنْسَان	a human being
hurr حُرّ	a free man

Such nouns, in general, won't be expected to form  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals, unless the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is explicitly allowed in their dictionary definition.

ii. Nouns that have a meaning that is derived from a verbal or adjectival meaning. Examples (for male intelligent beings whose feminine is formed by adding ö to the masculine noun):

Word	Definition	<i>ūn</i> plural
مُعَلِّم مُسْلِم گافِر لَاعِب	a teacher <sub>m</sub> a Muslim <sub>m</sub> (one who submits) a disbeliever <sub>m</sub> a player <sub>m</sub>	مُعَلِّمُونَ مُسْلِمُونَ كَافِرُونَ لَاعِبُونَ

Such nouns, in general, can be expected to form  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals.

The above defintion of primitve and derived nouns, as we have explained it, is somewhat imprecise. For example, the word غُرِّه hurr (masc.) "a free man" seems to have a meaning that is derived from the adjective "free" and it forms its feminine by adding  $\ddot{o}$  to it thus: غُرُّه hurrah (fem.) "a free woman". Yet it is considered a primitve noun, and thus does not form an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural.

Once you become more familiar with Arabic word patterns, distinguishing between primitive and derived nouns will become clearer, if Allāh wills.

### 10.4.1.2. Adjectival nouns

If an adjectival noun forms its feminine by adding the feminine marker  $\ddot{\circ}$  to the masculine noun, then we may assume that it forms the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural.

Most adjectival nouns satisfy this condition. For example, consider the adjectival noun:

• کبیر *kabīr* (masc.) "a big one"

It forms its feminine by adding a ö to the masculine noun, thus:

• كَبِيرَة kabīrah (fem.) "a big one"

The above condition is satisfied; therefore, کَبِير  $kab\bar{\nu}r$  (masc.) "a big one" forms the  $\bar{\nu}n$  sound plural خبيرُون  $kab\bar{\nu}r\bar{\nu}na$  "big ones".

By the way, it is only the masculine adjectival noun that will form the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural. Nouns with a  $\ddot{a}$  are not allowed to form the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural.

We have come across two patterns on adjectival nouns that don't form their feminine by adding 5 to masculine noun. These are:

- i.  $^2$ فَعْلَن  $fael\bar{a}n^2$ , whose feminine is on the pattern  $^2$ فَعْلَن  $fael\bar{a}^2$ . Example:  $^2$ فَعْلَن  $ghadb\bar{a}n^2$  (masc.) "very angry" whose feminine is  $^2$ غَضْبَان  $ghadb\bar{a}n^2$ .
- ii.  $^2$ فُعُلُاء $^2$  'afɛal $^2$ , whose feminine is on the pattern فُعْلَاء $^2$  faɛl $\bar{a}^{22}$ . Example: مُعْرَاء $^2$  hamra $^2$  (masc.) "red", whose feminine is  $^2$ مُرَاء $^2$  hamr $^2$ .

Because the above two patterns don't form their feminine by adding  $\ddot{a}$  to the masculine noun, therefore the masculine nouns don't form the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural. We will see in chapter @broken\_plurals, if Allāh wills, that they form broken plurals instead.

## 10.4.2. Conditions for the $\bar{a}t$ sound plural

Just like the  $\bar{u}n$  plural, there are conditions that should be fulfilled in order for a noun to form an  $\bar{a}t$  plural. We provide the following guidelines to help you determine if a noun can form an  $\bar{a}t$  plural.

### 10.4.2.1. Nouns that end with a feminine marker

Generally, all nouns that end with a feminine marker like  $\ddot{o}$ , and  $\dot{o}$  are able to form an  $\bar{a}t$  plural. Examples are:

Singular	āt sound plural
أَمْ ḥasanah adj. "a good one <sub>f</sub> " حَسَنَة ḥasanah (common noun) "a good deed" مُسَنَة ṣadīqah "a friend <sub>f</sub> "	ُ hasanāt حَسَنَات ḥasanāt ḥasanāt حَسَنَات ṣadīqāt

Singular	āt sound plural
يَّ عِمْرَاء saḥrā'² "a desert"	 ṣaḥrāwāt صَحْرَاوَات
$^2$ ذِكْرَىٰ $\widehat{dhikra}^2$ "a remembrance"	ذِكْرَيَات $\widehat{\mathit{dhikrayat}}$

The following are exceptions to this general rule, and don't form  $\bar{a}t$  sound plurals:

- Adjectival nouns of the pattern \*فَعْلَاء which is the feminine of the masculine adjectival noun pattern \*أفْعَل for example, مُمْرَاء , hamrā "red<sub>f</sub>".
- Adjectival nouns of the pattern  $^2$ فَعْلَىٰ which is the feminine of the masculine adjectival noun pattern  $^2$ فَعْلَانُ. For example, غَضْبَىٰ  $\widehat{ghadba}$  "very angry<sub>f</sub>".
- The following exceptional nouns:
  - أُمَّة <sup>'</sup>ummah "a nation"
  - أُمَة amah "a female slave"
  - شَفَة *shafah* "a lip"

There are a few more such nouns, some of which we will introduce later.

All these exceptional nouns form broken plurals instead of the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural.

### 10.4.2.2. Nouns that don't end with a feminine marker

#### Common nouns

Common nouns that don't end with a feminine marker will form the  $\bar{a}t$  plural only if they don't have a broken plural listed in the dictionary. Furthermore, it is preferred if the noun have five or more letters.

- مَيُوَانَات ḥayawān "an animal" forms the āt plural حَيُوَانَات ḥayawānāt "animals".
- مُمَّامُ ḥammām forms the  $\bar{a}t$  plural حَمَّامُات ḥammāmāt "bathrooms". (The doubled  $_{o}$  counts as two letters.)

### Masculine adjectival nouns

Masculine adjectival nouns are permitted to form an  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural, but only when they are applied to non-intelligent beings.

For example, if the masculine adjectival noun مَعْب ṣaɛb "a difficult one" is applied to "books", which is the plural of the masculine noun كِتَاب kitāb "a book", then the masculine adjectival noun مَعْب ṣaɛb is permitted to form the āt plural مَعْبَات saɛbāt "difficult ones".

By the way, note that both the masculine adjectival noun صَعْب عِده, and its feminine صَعْنات saɛbah form the same āt sound plural صَعْنات saɛbāt.

## 10.5. Detached plural pronouns

We have already learned the detached pronouns for singular and dual nouns. They are repeated here:

Speaker sing. اُلَنَا 'ana "I"		
Absentee sing. fem. (هِيَ hiya "she" Absentee dual (Addressee sing. masc. Addressee sing. fem. Addressee dual (Addressee dual Speaker sing. fem. (Addressee dual Speaker sing. $hiya$ "she" $hiya$ "they." $anta$ "you $_{1,m}$ " $anta$ "you $_{1,f}$ " $anta$ "you $_{1,f}$ " $anta$ "it" $anta$ "you $_{1,f}$ " $anta$ "it" $anta$ "it" $anta$ "it"	Participant	Detached pronoun
Speaker dilai –	Absentee sing. masc. Absentee sing. fem. Absentee dual Addressee sing. masc. Addressee sing. fem. Addressee dual	مُوَ huwa "he" هُوَ hiya "she" هِيَ hiya "she" هُمَا مُمَا "they <sub>2</sub> " أَنْتَ 'anta "you <sub>1,m</sub> " أَنْتِ 'anti "you <sub>1,f</sub> " أَنْتُمَا

Now we will learn the detached pronouns for the plural participants:

Participant	Detached pronoun
Absentee pl. masc.	هُمْ <i>hum</i> "they <sub>3,m</sub> "
Absentee pl. fem.	هُنَّ <i>hunna</i> "they <sub>3,f</sub> "
Addressee pl. masc.	"antum "you <sub>3,m</sub> " أَنْتُمْ
Addressee pl. fem.	'antunna "you <sub>3,f</sub> " أَنْتُنَّ
Speaker pl.	naḥnu "we" نَحْنُ

Note that the plural detached pronoun for the speaker participant نَحْنُ naḥnu "we" are the same for both genders.

Also, remember that there is no detached pronoun for the dual speaker-participant. So, if the speaker-pariticipant consists of two individuals then we will use the plural pronoun.

Here are some examples of their use:

```
. فَمْ مُسْلِمُونَ
hum muslimūn.

"They<sub>3,m</sub> are men<sub>3</sub>."

. تُمْعَلِّمَاتِ

الله شُنَّ مُعَلِّمَاتِ

"They<sub>3,f</sub> are teachers<sub>f</sub>."

أَنْتُمْ لَاعِبُونَ.

'datum lācibūn.

"You<sub>3,m</sub> are players<sub>3,m</sub>."
```

```
. اَ أَنْتُنَّ صَدِيقَاتِ
'antunna ṣadīqāt.
"You<sub>3,f</sub> are friends<sub>3,f</sub>."
بَنْ مُرْاَكُلُانِ فَقِيرَانِ
بمايات المايات المايات
```

## 10.6. Attached plural pronouns

We have also already learned the attached pronouns for the singular and dual participants. They too are repeated here:

Doutining	Attached mususus
Participant	Attached pronoun
Absentee sing. masc.	<i>hu</i> "him" ۔ هُ
Absentee sing. fem.	ُـــُـــُ <i>-hā</i> "her"
Absentee dual	هُمَا -humā "them $_2$ "
Addressee sing. masc.	ن -ka "you <sub>m,1</sub> "
Addressee sing. fem.	بُ -ki "you <sub>f,1</sub> "
Addressee dual	''kumā "you <sub>2</sub> " کُمَا
Speaker sing.	"me" ي
Speaker dual	<del>"</del>

Now we will learn the attached pronouns for the plural participant:

Participant	Attached pronoun
Absentee pl. masc. Absentee pl. fem. Addressee pl. masc. Addressee pl. fem. Speaker pl	ھُمْ -hum "them <sub>3,m</sub> -hunna "them <sub>3,f</sub> مُونَّ -kum "you <sub>3,m</sub> " کُمْ -kunna "you <sub>3,f</sub> " کُنَّ -nā "us"

Note the following points about them:

• The plural absentee-participant detached and attached pronouns ("they $_{3,m}$ "/"them $_{3,m}$ ") are the same:

```
– masculine: هُمْ -hum.
– feminine: هُنَّ -hunna.
```

- Just like هُ hu "him" and هُمَا  $-hum\bar{a}$  "them $_2$ ", the plural absentee-participant attached pronouns هُنَّ -hum "them $_{3,m}$ " and هُنَ -hunna "them $_{3,f}$ " become  $-him\bar{a}$  and هِمَا  $-him\bar{a}$  and  $-him\bar{$ 
  - بِهِمْ bihimā "with them<sub>3,m</sub>" – فِيهِنَّ fīhinna "in them<sub>3,f</sub>" – النّهمُ 'ilayhim "to them<sub>2,m</sub>"
- The final o-mark on the مْ in the masculine plural pronouns (اُنْتُمْ hum, هُمْ antum, and هُمْ antum, and هُمْ -kum) becomes a u-mark (كُمْ humu, أُنْتُمُ humu, أُنْتُمُ -kumu respectively) when followed by a connecting hamzah. Examples:
  - مُمُ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ.
     humu -lmuɛallimūn.
     "They<sub>pl. masc.</sub> are the (male) teachers."
     خَهَبَ إِلَيْكُمُ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
     dhahaba ʾilaykumu -rrajul.
     "The man went to you<sub>3,m</sub>."
     أَنْتُمُ ٱلْمُسْلِمُونَ.
     ʾantumu -lmuslimūn. "You<sub>3,m</sub> are the Muslims<sub>2,m</sub>."
- When the speaker plural attached pronoun \(\tilde{\psi}\) is attached to a word that ends with a \(\tilde{\psi}\) with a o-mark, there is only one \(\tilde{\psi}\) written and it is doubled with a doubling mark \(\tilde{\psi}\) on it. So we get:
  - مِنَّ + مَنْ + نَا مِنْ + نَا + عَنْ + نَا + عَنْ + نَا - لَدُنْ + نَا + نَا + نَا + نَا
- The preposition  $\bigcup$  li "for" becomes  $\bigcup$  la when followed by the plural attached pronouns:
  - لَهُمْ الْمَهُمْ الْمَهُمْ أَلَّهُمْ الْمُهْمَ الْمُهْمَ الْمُهْمَ الْمُهْمَ الْمُهُمَّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمَّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُعْمَى الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُعْمَى الْمُهُمِّ الْمُهُمِّ الْمُعْمَى اللهِ اللهِيمَا اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِيمَا اللهُ ال

## 10.6.1. Plural doee pronouns

The plural attached pronouns that we have just learned are also used as doee pronouns. Examples:

```
َسَأَلُهُمُ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
sa'alahumu -rrajul.
"The man asked them<sub>3.m</sub>."
```

```
َسَأَلْتُكُمْ.

sa'altukum

"I asked you<sub>3,m</sub>."

.َنْكُنَّدَ

sa'alatkunn.

"She asked you<sub>3,f</sub>."

.

نَالَانَا

شَأَلَانَا

sa'alānā.

"They<sub>2,m</sub> asked us."

.

نَالَتَاهُ.

"They<sub>3,m</sub> asked him."
```

## 10.7. Verbs with plural doers

### 10.7.1. Plural nouns for the doer

We learned that the completed-action verb for a masculine doer is on the pattern فُعَلَ . And when the doer is feminine, the ت of femininity is attached to the verb thus: فُعَلَتْ. We have used these verbs with singular and dual doer nouns. The doer noun always comes after the verb and shall be in the u-state. Examples:

```
ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ.
﴿ dَhahaba -lghulāmu.
"The boy went."

ذُهَبَتْ جَارِيَةٌ
﴿ dَhahabat jāriyatun
"A girl went."

نُهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامَانِ.
"The boys² went."

ذَهَبَتْ جَارِيَتَانِ.
"تُهمَتْ جَارِيَتَانِ.
"Two girls went."
```

These same verbs are used when the doer noun is a plural. Examples:

```
. ذَهَبَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ.
aĥahaba -lmuɛallimūn.
"The teacherm<sub>a,m</sub> went."
```

َ ذَهَبَتْ مُعَلِّمَاتٌ. *d͡ħaḥabat muɛallimāt.* "Teachers<sub>3,f</sub> went."

## 10.7.2. Plural pronouns for the doer

We have already learned the singular and dual doer pronouns. They are repeated here:

Participant	Doer pronoun	Meaning	Doer pronoun with verb
Absentee sing. masc.	invisible	"he"	faɛala فَعَلَ
Absentee sing. fem.	invisible	"she"	faɛalat فَعَلَتْ
Absentee dual	િં -ā	"them <sub>2</sub> "	masc.: فَعَلَا faɛalā, fem: فَعَلَتَا faɛalatā
Addressee sing. masc.	ت -ta	"you <sub>m,2</sub> "	faεalta فَعَلْتَ
Addressee sing. fem.	ب -ti	"you <sub>f,2</sub> "	jaɛalti فَعَلْتِ faɛalti
Addressee dual Speaker sing. Speaker dual	ئما -tumā ٿ -tu –	"you <sub>2</sub> " "I" "us <sub>2</sub> "	أعَلْتُمَا faɛaltumā فَعَلْتُ faɛaltu –

Now we will learn the plural doer pronouns:

plural participant	Doer pronoun	Meaning	Doer pronoun with verb
Absentee pl. masc.	9	"they <sub>3,m</sub> "	فَعَلُوا fa $arepsilon alar u$
Absentee pl. fem.	⊙ -na	"they <sub>3,f</sub> "	فَعَلْنَ faɛalna
Addressee pl. masc.	<i>tum</i> - تُمْ	"you <sub>m,3</sub> "	faɛaltum فَعَلْتُمْ
Addressee pl. fem.	tunna- تُنَّ	"you <sub>f,3</sub> "	faɛaltunna فَعَلْتُنَّ
Speaker pl.	نَ -nā	"we"	أعَلْنَا faɛalnā

Note the following regarding the plural doer pronouns:

• The  $\mathring{\ \ }$  of femininity does not attach to the absentee plural feminine doer pronoun  $\mathring{\ \ }$  -na "they $_{3,f}$ " . Example:

– ذَهَبْنَ  $\widehat{\textit{dhahabna}}$  "they $_{3.f}$  went"

This is different from the behavior of the absentee dual doer pronoun  $-\bar{a}$  "them<sub>2,f</sub>" which, for a feminine doer, does attach to the  $\dot{\circ}$  of femininity. Example:

- ذَهَبَتَا d̂hahabatā "they<sub>2.f</sub> went"
- The final o-mark on the مْ in the masculine plural doer pronoun تُمْ -tum becomes a u-mark تُمْ -tumu when followed by a connecting hamzah. Examples:
  - أَكْلُتُمْ خُبْرًا.
     'akaltum khubzā.
     "You<sub>3,m</sub> ate some bread."
     أَكُلْتُمُ ٱلْخُبْرَ.
     'akaltumu -lkhubz.
     "You<sub>3,m</sub> ate the bread."
- The absentee plural masculine verb doer pronoun "they<sub>3,m</sub>"  $_9$   $\bar{u}$  is written with a silent alif after it which is written only and not pronounced. This alif is dropped when a doee pronoun is attached. For example:
  - ضَرَبُوا ٱلرَّجُلَ.
     darabu -rrajul.
     "They<sub>3,m</sub> hit the man.
     ضَرَبُوهُ.
     darabūh.
     "They<sub>3,m</sub> hit him."
- The plural masculine verb doer pronoun for the addressed person "you $_{3,m}$ " منه -tum becomes عُمْ  $tumar{u}$  when a doee pronoun is attached. For example:
  - ضَرَبْتُمُ ٱلرَّجُلَ.
     darabtumu -rrajul.
     "You<sub>3,m</sub> hit the man."
     ضُرَبْتُمُوهُ.
     darabtumūh.
     "You<sub>pl. masc.</sub> hit him."
- The plural speaking participant doer pronoun  $\[ eta nar{a} \]$  is the same as the plural speaking participant attached pronoun  $\[ eta nar{a} \]$ . But you can tell them apart because the doer pronoun, when attached to the verb, causes the final letter of the verb to have a 0-mark. Consider the following two sentences:

```
َسَأَلْنَا.
sa'alnā.
"We asked."
```

َسَأَلَنَا. sa'alanā. "He asked us."

### 10.7.3. Sentence word order with plural doers

As we've mentioned, the doer, whether a noun or a pronoun, always comes after the verb. Here are a couple of examples of verbal sentences with plural doers:

```
ذَهَبَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَةٍ.

dhahaba -lmuɛallimūna ʾilā madrasah.

"The teachers<sub>3,m</sub> went to a school."

أَهُبُوا إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَةٍ.

dhahabā ʾilā madrasah.

"They<sub>3,m</sub> went to a school."

أَعِبُتِ ٱلصَّدِيقَاتُ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ.

لِعِبْنَ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ.

"The friends<sub>3,f</sub> played in the house."

أيعِبْنَ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ.

لَعِبْنَ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ.

"They<sub>3,f</sub> played in the house."
```

ٱَلْمُعَلِّمُونَ ذَهَبُوا إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَةٍ.

The above verbal sentences with plural doers can be rearranged to be a subject-information sentences. This gives more emphasis to the subject. In this case, the verb shall follow the subject and will need a doer pronoun after it.

```
{}^{\prime}almuɛallimūna} {}^{\prime}hahabū^{\prime} {}^{\prime}il\bar{a} {}^{\prime}madrasah. "The teachers_{3,m}, they_{3,m} went to a school." = "The teachers_{3,m} went to a school." = "The teachers_{3,m} went to a school." الصَّدِيقَاتُ لَعِبْنَ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ. {}^{\prime}assadiq\bar{a}tu laeibna fi -lbayt. "The friends_{3,f}, they_{3,f} played in the house." = "The friends_{3,f} played in the house."
```

If there are multiple verbs associated with the same doer in a verbal sentence, the doer noun will follow the first verb and the rest of the verbs will have doer pronouns. For example:

```
أَكَلَ ٱللَّاعِبُونَ وَشَرِبُوا وَذَهَبُوا.

''akala -llāɛibūna washaribū wadhahabū.

"The players<sub>3,m</sub> ate and they<sub>3,m</sub> drank and they<sub>3,m</sub> went."

= "The players<sub>3 m</sub> ate and drank and went."
```

The above verbal sentence can be rearranged to be a subject-information sentence. In that case, all the verbs shall have doer pronouns. The sentence will

have the same translation as above, except for an emphasis on the subject of the sentence.

```
الْلَّعِبُونَ أَكَّلُوا وَشَرِبُوا وَذَهَبُوا وَذَهَبُوا وَدَهَبُوا وَذَهَبُوا وَدَهَبُوا وَدَهِ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّا وَاللَّهُ وَاللّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللّهُ وَال
```

## 10.7.4. Verbs with multiple doers mentioned individually

If there are multiple doers of a verb, and each is mentioned individually, then there is often more than one way to handle them. Here we will give the more common usage.

If the verb is followed by multiple doers, only the first is the true doer with respect to modifying the verb according to its gender and number. Examples:

```
َ ذَهَبَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ وَٱلْغُلَامُ.

أَلْهُمُ وَٱلْغُلَامُ وَٱلْغُلَامُ وَٱلْأُمُّ .

"The mother and the boy went."

ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ وَٱلْأُمُّ .

أَلْهُمُ عَلَيْهُ لَا لَهُ الْمُعُلَامُ وَٱلْأُمُّ .

أَلْهُمُ عَلَيْهُ لَا لَهُ لَا لَهُ لَا لَهُ لَا لَهُ اللّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَٱلْأُمُّ .
```

"The boy and the mother went."

If the doers consist of different persons (speaking person, addressed person, and absent person), then they are placed in order of strength: The speaking person is stronger than the addressed person, who is stronger than the absent person. The verb doer pronoun of the first (true) doer is then used. Example:

```
َ ذَهَبْتُ أَنَا وَأَنْتَ وَهُوَ.
dhahabtu 'ana wa'anta wahuwa.
"I, you, and he went."
```

Note how the speaking person detached pronoun الله 'ana is used in addition to the doer pronoun '-tu in order to add ﴿ wa "and" to it.

If the sentence is a subject information sentence, and the verb is in the information, then the doer pronoun corresponding to the number of the subject is used. Examples:

اَنْتَ وَهُوَ ذَهَبْتُمَا. 'anta wahuwa dhahabtumā. "You<sub>1.m</sub> and he, you<sub>2</sub> went."

أَنَا وَمُحَمَّدٌ ذَهَبْنَا. 'ana wamuḥammadun dhahabnā. "I and Muhammad. we went."

الْأُمُّ وَٱلْجَارِيَةُ ذَهَبَتًا. 'al'ummu wa-ljāriyatu dhahabatā. "The mother and the girl went."

. ٱلْأُمُّ وَٱلْجَارِيَتَانِ ذَهَبْنَ 'al'ummu wa-ljāriyatāni dhahabna. "The mother and the two girls, they<sub>a,f</sub> went."

If the doers consist of both male and female persons, then the verb will have the masculine doer prenoun corresponding to the number of the doers. Example:

الْأُمُّ وَٱلْجَارِيَةُ وَٱلْغُلَامُ ذَهَبُوا. 'al'ummu wa-ljāriyatu wa-lghulāmu dhahabū. "The mother, the girl, and the boy, they<sub>3.m</sub> went."

# Chapter 11.

# Broken plurals

### 11.1. Introduction

In the previous chapter we introduced sound plurals, which are formed by appending suffixes to the singular noun. The singular noun in these plurals remains, more or less, intact when forming these plurals. The sound plurals correspond to English regular plurals which are formed by appending "s" to the singular noun. However, English has some plurals that are not formed by adding the plural ending "s". Here are some examples,

Singular	Plural
man	men
woman	women
child	children
mouse	mice

In these plurals, the singular noun is altered to form the plural.

Arabic also forms such plurals. They are called *broken* plurals because the singular noun is not kept intact but its structure is, in most cases, altered, or "broken-up" when forming the plural.

While English only forms such plurals for a handful of nouns, Arabic forms broken plurals for many nouns.

### 11.2. Review of word patterns and semi-flexible nouns

Before we begin our discussion about broken plurals, we will do a quick review of word patterns and semi-flexible nouns. This will, if Allāh wills, facilitate the explanation of broken plurals.

Most words in Arabic are formed from three letter roots. We use the paradigm root فعل to show word patterns. For example, the noun رَجُل rajul "a man" is formed from the root رجل on the pattern فُعُل facul.

Most nouns in Arabic are *fully-flexible*. This means that, when indefinite, they are nūnated and the indefinite i-state is shown by a nūnated *i*-mark  $\circ$  at the end

of the noun. For example, رَجُل rajul "a man" and تَيْت bayt "a house" are fully-flexible nouns. So, you can see, below, that they are nūnated, and the indefinite i-state is indicated by a nūnated *i*-mark :

```
َ ذَهَبَ رَجُلٌ إِلَىٰ بَيْتٍ.
Mahaba rajulun 'ilā bayt.
"A man went to a house."
```

Some nouns are *semi-flexible*. This means that they are not nūnated, and also, the indefinite i-state is indicated by an *a* mark of. Examples of such nouns are:

- $^{2}$ غَضْبَىٰ $^{2}$   $\widehat{ghadba}^{2}$  adj. (fem.) "a very angry one  $_{\mathrm{f}}$ " from the root غضب
- مُحْرَاء 'saḥrā'² (fem.) "a desert<sub>f</sub>" from the root صحر

```
َ ذَهَبَتْ جَارِيَةٌ غَضْبَىٰ إِلَىٰ صَحْرَاءَ.

أَلَمُ مَا مُثَاثِ عَارِيَةٌ غَضْبَىٰ إِلَىٰ صَحْرَاءَ مَا أَلَمُهُمُ الْمُعْمَالِهُمُ اللَّهُ مَا أَلَمُهُمُ

"A very angry girl went to a desert."
```

When definite, semi-flexible nouns are identical to fully-flexible nouns:

```
. ذَهَبَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ ٱلْغَضْبَىٰ إِلَى ٱلصَّحْرَاءِ.

أَلْمَاهِمَةُ - aĥahabati -ljāriyatu -lgĥaḍbā ʾila -ṣṣaḥrāʾ.

"The very angry girl went to the desert."
```

All nouns that have the endings s and s, that are extrinsic to the word's root, are semi-flexible. s and s are also feminine markers for singular nouns, just like s. (Except that s does not, in general, make a noun semi-flexible.)

It is important to note that  $\ddot{b}$ ,  $\ddot{b}$ , and  $\ddot{b}$  are only feminine markers for singular nouns. We will see that they are also endings for broken plural nouns and, in that case, they are not feminine markers. However,  $\ddot{b}$  and  $\ddot{b}$ , when endings for broken plural nouns, will make the broken plural nouns semi-flexible, just as they do for singular nouns.

Nouns that are of the patterns فَفَافِيف and فَغَافِيف are also semi-flexible nouns. Here each letter ف could be any letter of the alphabet. These are patterns for broken plurals, as we will see very soon. We had mentioned this in section @ref(fafafif-diptote).

This concludes our short review of word patterns and semi-flexible nouns. We will use these concepts in our discussion of broken plurals.

## 11.3. Patterns of the broken plural

Broken plurals occur in specific patterns, which we will show using the paradigm فعل for three-letter roots. Ararbic also has (comparatively fewer) four-letter roots and we will show patterns for broken plurals of four-letter roots using the paradigm root فعلل. We will also use the letter ف, when needed, to indicate any letter of the alphabet.

We now give all but the rarest broken plural patterns below.

# 1. فُعَل Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
صُورَة	صُّوَر	a picture	دَوْلَة	دُوَل	a dy- nasty/state
أُمَّة	أُمَم	a nation	رُكْبَة	رُگب	a knee

## 2. فُعْل Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
أَحْمَر <sup>2</sup>	حُمْر	red <sub>m</sub>	أَعْمَىٰ ²	عُمْی	blind <sub>m</sub>
$^2$ حُمْرَاء	حُمْر	$\operatorname{red}_{\mathrm{f}}$	عَمْيَاء <sup>2</sup>	عُمْي عُمْي	$\operatorname{blind}_{\operatorname{f}}$
أَحْوَر ²	حُور	a	$^2$ اًَصَمِّ	صُمِّ صُمِّ	deaf <sub>m</sub>
		beautiful	'	5	
		eyed		. 600	
		one <sub>m</sub>			
$^2$ حُوْرَاء	حُور	a	صَمّاء²	صُمّ	deaf <sub>f</sub>
		beautiful	A O		
		eyed one <sub>f</sub>			
أُسْوَد²	سُود	black <sub>m</sub>	أَبْكَم²	بُکْم بُکْم	mute <sub>m</sub>
$^{2}$ سَوْدَاء	سُود	black <sub>f</sub>	بَكْمَاء <sup>2</sup>	بُكْم	$mute_f$
أُبْيَض²	بِيض	white <sub>m</sub>	نَاقَة	نُوق	a came $l_f$
بَيْضَاء <sup>2</sup>	بِیض	$white_f$			

# 3. فُعُل Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
كِتَاب	كُتُب	a book	رَسُول	رُسُل	a messen- ger
جِدَار	جُدُر	a wall	سَفِينَة	سُفُن	a ship

# 4. فِعَل Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
قِطْعَة	قِطَع	a piece	سِيرَة	سِيَر	a course of life
ۿؚڒۘۘۊ	هِرَر	a cat <sub>f</sub>			

## 5. فِعَال fiɛāl. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
رَجُٰل	رِجَال	a man	حَسَن	حِسَان	adj. a good one <sub>m</sub>
ٱؚڡ۠ڔؘٲؘة	نِسَاء	a woman	حَسَنَة	حِسَان	<i>adj</i> . a good one <sub>f</sub>
أُنْثَىٰ 2	إِنَاث	a female	صَعْب	صِعَاب	<i>adj</i> . a difficult one <sub>m</sub>
	عِبَاد	a slave <sub>m</sub>	صَعْبَة	صِعَاب	<i>adj.</i> a difficult one <sub>f</sub>
أُمَة	إِمَاء	a slave <sub>f</sub>	صَغِير	صِغَار	<i>adj.</i> a small one <sub>m</sub>
جَبَل	جِبَال	a mountain	صَغِيرَة	صِغَار	<i>adj</i> . a small one <sub>f</sub>
ثُوب	ثِيَاب	a garment	گبِیر	کِبَار	adj. a big one <sub>m</sub>
رِيح	رِيَاح	a wind	كَبِيرَة	کِبَار	adj. a big one <sub>f</sub>
مَرَّة	مِرَار	an occasion	ضَعِيف	ضِعَاف	<i>adj</i> . a weak one <sub>m</sub>
بَحْر	پِخَار	a sea	ضَعِيفَة	ضِعَاف	<i>adj</i> . a weak one <sub>f</sub>
عَمُود	عِمَاد	a pillar	کَرِیم	کِرَام	adj. a generous one <sub>m</sub>
رَوْضَة	رِيَاض	a garden	غَضْبَان²	غِضَاب	<i>adj.</i> a very angry <sub>m</sub>
رُمْح	رِمَاح	a spear	<sup>2</sup> غُضْبَىٰ	غِضَاب	adj. a very angry <sub>f</sub>

# 6. فُعُول £fuɛūl. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
ً أَمْر بَيْت	أُمُّور بُيُوت	a matter a house	جَيْش قَلْب	جُيُوش قُلُوب	an army a heart
حَقّ	حُقُوق	a truth, a right	رَأْس	رُؤُوس	a head
مَلِك	مُلُوك	a king	شَهْر	شُهُور	a month
سَيْف	سُيُوف	a sword	نَفْس	نُفُوس	a self
شَيْخ	شُيُوخ	an old man	عَيْن	عُيُون	a (water) spring
شَاهِد	شُهُود	a witness			

# 7. فُعَّل Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
رَاكِع	رُکَّع	one who bowes <sub>m</sub>	غَائِب	غُيَّب	absent
راكعَة	رُکَّع	one who bowes <sub>f</sub>			

# 8. فُعَّال Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
قَارِئ	قُرَّاء	a reader <sub>m</sub>	كَافِر	كُفَّار	a disbeliever <sub>m</sub>
تَاجِر	تُجَّار	a trader <sub>m</sub>	جَاهِل	جُهَّال	an ignorant one <sub>m</sub>
عَامِل	عُمَّال	a worker <sub>m</sub>			m

# 9. فَعَلَة faɛalah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
سَاحِر	سَحَرَة	a 	قَاتِل	قَتَلَة	a killer <sub>m</sub>
عَامِل	عَمَلَة	magician <sub>m</sub> a labourer <sub>m</sub>	سَيِّد	سَادَة	a chief <sub>m</sub>

## 10. فُعَلَة fuɛalah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
قَاضٍ	قُضَاة	a judge <sub>m</sub>	رَاوٍ	رُوَاة	a narrator <sub>m</sub>

## 11. فَعَلَة fiɛalah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
دُبّ	دِبَبَة	a bear	قِرْد	قِرَدَة	a monkey
ۿؚڗ	هِرَرَة	a cat <sub>m</sub>			

## 12. فِعْلَة fiɛlah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular Plural	Meaning
أخ	ٳؚڂ۠ۅؘة	a brother	فِتْيَة فَتًى	a young man

# ر أَفْعُل عُل 'afɛul. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
ڔؚج۠ڶ	أُرْجُٰل	a leg	شَهْر	أًشْهُر	a month
نَفْس	أَنْفُس	a self	عَيْن	أُعْيُن	an eye

# 14. أَفْعَال 'a**fɛāl**. Examples:

Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
أَبْوَاب	a door	مَيِّت	أُمْوَات	dead
أَقْلَام	a pen	شَيْء	أَشْيَاء <sup>2</sup>	a thing
أَقْدَام	a foot	 ٱِسْم	أُسْمَاء	a name
أُصْحَاب	a	يَوْم	أَيَّام	a day
	$companion_m$		_	
أشْرَاف	a noble	عَدُوّ	أعْدَاء	an enemy
. 0 6	one <sub>m</sub>		. 6	
أطفال	a child	عَيْن	أَعْيَان	an .
				eminent
آبَار	a (water)			person
	أَبْوَاب أَقْلَام أَقْدَام أَصْحَاب أَشْرَاف أَطْفَال	a door اَبْوَاب a door اَقْلَام a pen اَقْدَام a foot a foot a companion a noble one a didible did a child	عَيْن a door أَبُورَاب أَبُورَاب a door أَبُورَاب أَبُورَاب a pen أَقْدَام م أَقْدَام a foot مَنْء a foot مَوْم و مَوْماب موصوب مَوْماب موسوب عَدُوّ a noble معدُوّ a noble معدُوّ a companion معدُوّ a noble معدُوّ a child معدُوْر الله معرفان معرفا	اً مُوَات مَيِّت a door الْبُوَابِ الْمُوَاتِ مَيِّة عَيْه مَيْء a pen الْقُلَامِ الْمُعْمَاء الْمِيْء a foot الْقْدَام الْمُعَاء الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال

# 15. أَفْعِلَة 'afɛilah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
	أُلْسِنَة	a tongue	طَعَام	أًطْعِمَة	a food
	أُئِمَّة	a leader <sub>m</sub>	إِلَـٰه	آلِهَة	a god

# 16. $^2$ فَوَاعِل $fawar{a}arepsilon il^2$ . (Semi-flexible because of $^2$ فَوَاعِل pattern.) Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
صَاحِبَة	صَوَاحِب²	a	عَامِل	عُوَامِل²	a factor
جَارِيَة	<sup>2</sup> جَوَارٍ	companion <sub>f</sub> a girl	شَاهِد	شَوَاهِد²	a corrob- orating evidence
أُمْر	$^2$ أُوَامِر	a com-	خَاتَم	خُوَاتِم²	a ring
نَادِرَة	نَوَادِر²	mand a joke, a witticism	فَارِس	فُوَارِس <sup>²</sup>	(jewelry) a horse- man
	•			7 7	

# 17. $^2$ فَعَائِل $fae\bar{a}$ ' $il^2$ . (Semi-flexible because of $^2$ فَعَائِل pattern.) Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning S	ingular	Plural	Meaning
حُرَة	حَرَائِر²	a free woman	جَزِيرَة	جَزَائِر²	an island
ضَرّة	ضَرَائِر²	a co-wife	رِسَالَة	رَسَائل²	a message
حَدِيقَة	حَدَائِق²	a garden	حَاجَة	حَوَائِج² دَلَائل²	a need
حَقِيبَة	حَقَائِب <sup>2</sup>	a bag	دَلِيل	دَلَائِل <sup>2</sup>	an evidence
گبِيرَة	گَبَائِر²	a major sin	خَلِيفَة	خَلَائِف²	a successor
گرِيمَة	گرَائِم <sup>2</sup>	a generous one <sub>f</sub>			

## 18. فِعْلَان fiɛlān. Examples:

Singula	r Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
	غِلْمَان غُ جِيرَان جَ	a boy a neighbor	ثَوْر غُرَاب	ثِيرَان غِرْبَان	a bull a crow

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
أُخ	إِخْوَان	a brother	فَأْر	فِئْرَان	a mouse

# 19. فُعْلَان *fuɛlān*. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
بَلَد	بُلْدَان	a country	شُجَاع	شُجْعَان	a brave one
جِدَار	جُدْرَان	a wall	شَابّ	شُبَّان	a young man

## 20. <sup>2</sup>غُعُلاء *fuɛalā*٬². Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
أَمِير	$^{2}$ أُمَرَاء	a	خَلِيفَة	خُلَفَاء <sup>2</sup>	a caliph
فَقِير	فُقَرَاء²	commander <sub>n</sub>	عَالِم عُالِم	عُلَمَاء <sup>²</sup>	a
بَخِيل ضَعِيف	$^2$ بُخَلَاء ضُعَفَاء $^2$	one <sub>m</sub> a miser <sub>m</sub> a weak	شَاعِر	شُعَرَاء <sup>²</sup>	scholar <sub>m</sub> a poet <sub>m</sub>
		one <sub>m</sub>			

## 21. <sup>²</sup> أُفْعِلَاء ُ '*afɛilā*'². Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
نَبِيّ	أُنْبِيَاء <sup>2</sup>	a prophet <sub>m</sub>	شَدِيد	$^2$ أُشِدَّاء	a forceful one <sub>m</sub>
صَدِيق	أَصْدِقَاء <sup>²</sup>	a friend <sub>m</sub>	قَوِيّ	أَقْوِيَاء <sup>²</sup>	a strong one <sub>m</sub>
غَنِيّ	أُغْنِيَاء <sup>2</sup>	a rich one <sub>m</sub>	ۺؘقؚؾۜ	أُشْقِيَاء <sup>2</sup>	a wretched one <sub>m</sub>

# 22. $^{2}$ فَعْلَىٰ $fa \epsilon l \bar{a}^{2}$ . Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
مَرِيض	مَرْضَىٰ <sup>2</sup>	a sick one <sub>m</sub>	جَرِيح	جَرْحَىٰ²	a wounded person
أُسِير	أُسْرَىٰ²	a captive			

# 23. $^2$ فَعَالِي $faealt^2$ . (Semi-flexible because of $^2$ فَعَالِي pattern.) Examples:

Singula	r Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
یْلَة	لَيَالٍ ²	a night	أُرْض	$^2$ أَرَاضٍ	a land, an earth
ھُل	أَهَالٍ ²	a family			an cartii

## 24. <sup>2</sup> فَعَالَىٰ faɛālā². Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular Plural	Meaning
صَحْرَاء <sup>2</sup>	صَحَارَىٰ <sup>2</sup>	a desert	فَتَاوَىٰ <sup>2</sup> فَتْوَىٰ²	a formal legal opinion
يَتِيم² 	يَتَامَىٰ²	an orphan	$^2$ هَدَايَا $^2$ هَدِيَّة	a gift

# 25. فَعِيل faɛīl (rare). Examples;

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
عَبْد	عَبِيد	a slave <sub>m</sub>	حِمَار	حَمِير	a donkey <sub>m</sub>

# 26. فُعُولَة fuɛūlah (rare). Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
بَعْل	بُعُولَة	a husband			

## 27. فَعَالَة fiɛālah (rare). Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
حَجَر	حِجَارَة	a stone			

## 28. فَعَل faɛal (rare). Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
حَلْقَة	حَلَق	a circular ring			

## 29. فَعْل (very rare). Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
صَاحِب	صَحْب	a companion			

### 30. <sup>2</sup> فَفَافَف *fafāfif*<sup>2</sup>. Includes the sub-patterns:

- faɛālil² فَعَالل
- ° أَفَاعل 'afāɛil أَفَاعل '
- تَفَاعِلُ tafāɛil²
- mafāɛil² مَفَاعِلَ

### Examples:

• ² فَعَالِل faɛālil² • أَفَاعِل ʾafāɛil² • ثَفَاعِل tafāɛil² • مَفَاعِل mafāɛil²					
Example	es:	<	5		
Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
ثَعْلَب	ثَعَالِب²	a fox	تَجْرِبَة	تَجَارِب²	an experi- ence
عَنْكَبُوت	عَنَاكِب <sup>2</sup>	a spider	مَسْجِد	مَسَاجِد² مَعْنًى²	a mosque
دِرْهَم	دُرَاهِم²	a dirham	مَعَانٍ	مَعْنِّى <sup>2</sup>	a meaning
جَوْهَر	جَِوَاهِر²	a gem	مَحَالّ	$^2$ مَحَلَّة	a locality
ٳؚڝ۠ڹؘع	جُوَاهِر² أُصَابِع²	a finger	مَعِيشَة	مَعَايِش²	a means of subsis- tence
أَنْمُلَة	أَنَامِل²	a finger tip			

## 31. $^2$ فَفَافِيف $faf\bar{a}f\bar{i}f^2$ . Includes the sub-patterns:

- faɛālīl² فَعَالِيلَ
- ° أَفَاعِيل afāɛīl أَفَاعِيل
- تَفَاعِيلُ tafāɛīl²
- mafāɛīl² مَفَاعِيلُ
- يَفَاعِيلُ yafāɛīl²
- ُ ُawāɛīl² فُوَاعِيلُ

### **Examples:**

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
سُلْطَان	سَلَاطِين²	a sultan	ٳؚڠ۠ڞؘار	أُعَاصِير <sup>2</sup>	a whirl- wind
شَيْطَان	شَيَاطِين²	a devil	تَأْرِيخ	تَوَارِيخ²	a history
سِکِّین	سَگَاکِین²	a knife	تَصُوِير	تَصَاُوِير²	a picture
دِينَار	دَنَانِير²	a dīnār	مِفْتَاح	مَفَاتِيَح²	a key
مِسْكِين	مَسَاكِين²	a needy	مَلْعُون	مَلَاعِين²	an
		person			accursed
ػ۠ڒڛؚؾۜ	<sup>2</sup> گرَاسِيّ	a chair	يُنْبُوع	<sup>2</sup> يَنَابِيع	one <sub>m</sub> a (water) spring
أُمْنِيَّة	أُمَانِيّ <sup>2</sup>	a wish	جَامُوس	جَوَامِيس²	a buffalo

### 32. فَعَالِلَة faɛālilah. Examples:

Singular	Plural	Meaning	Singular	Plural	Meaning
أُسْتَاذ	أَسَاتِذَة	a professor	مَلَك	مَلَائِكَة	an angel
فَيْلَسُوف	فَلَاسِفَة	a philoso- pher	جَبَّار	جَبَابِرَة	a tyrant

Note the following from the above broken plural patterns and examples:

- · Both common nouns and adjectival nouns form broken plurals.
- There are comparatively fewer broken plurals for female intelligent beings than for male intelligent beings. We will expand on this in a subsequent section.
- Some patterns of the broken plural are also patterns singular nouns. For example, the pattern فِعَالِ fiɛāl has both singular nouns, like كِتَابِ kitāb "a book" and broken plurals, like رَجَالِ rijāl "men"
- The broken plural patterns فَعُلَان fielān and فَعُلَان fuelān are fully-flexible nouns. Although they end with the ان ending which is extrinsic to the root, they are not semi-flexible nouns. Only singular adjectival nouns that end with an extrinsic old on the pattern فَعُلَان, and that also fulfil the other conitions listed in section @ref(adjectival-noun-an-diptote), are semi-flexible.
- There is often a correlation between the pattern of a singular noun and the pattern of its plural.

Sometimes this correlation is very strong:

– All singular nouns of the patterns ² فَعْلَاء 'afɛal² and فَعْلَاء faɛlā' that denote colors and physical characteristics, have broken plurals on the pattern فُعْل fuɛl. Example:

Singular	Plural
 red" حَمْرَاء ُ , أَحْمَر ُ	 حُمْر
"mute" بَكْمَاء ُ ,أَبْكَمَ	بُكْم

Singular nouns that have four or more consonant letters (excluding ة) regularly form their broken plurals on the patterns فَفَافِف and <sup>2</sup> فَفَافِيف. The pattern <sup>2</sup> فَفَافِيف is used when there is an intermendiate long vowel between the consonants. Examples:

Singular	Plural
a finger" إِصْبَع	أَصَابِع²
a key" مِفْتَاح	مَفَاتِيح²

- Singular nouns of the patterns وَعُلَة fielah and فُعُلَة fuelah regularly form their broken plurals on the pattern فِعَل fieal and فُعَل fuelah respectively. Examples:

Singular	Plural
"a piece" قِطْعَة "a knee" رُكْبَة	 قِطَع رُکَب

Other times, this correlation is more like a tendency:

Singular nouns on the pattern فَعِيلَة faɛīlah tend to form broken plurals on the pattern فَعَائِل faɛā'il². Examples:

Singular	Plural
"a garden" حَدِيقَة "a bag" حَقِيبَة	 حَدَائِق² حَقَائِب²

– Singular nouns on the pattern فَاعِل fāɛil, that denote male intelligent beings, tend to form broken plurals on the pattern فُعَّال fuɛɛal, فُعَّال fuɛɛal, and فَعَلَة faɛalah. Examples:

Singular	Plural
"absent" غَائِب "absent"	غُيَّب قُرَّاء
"a reader <sub>m</sub> " قَارِئ "a killer <sub>m</sub> " قَاتِل	قَتَلَة قَتَلَة

– Singular nouns on the pattern فَاعِلَة fāɛil and فَاعِلَة fāɛilah, that don't denote male intelligent beings, tend to form broken plurals on the pattern فَوَاعِل fawāɛil. Examples:

Singular	Plural
a companion <sub>f</sub> " صَاحِبَة "a factor" عَامِل	 صَوَاحِب² عَوَامِل²

فَارِس  $f\bar{a}ris$  "a horseman" with the plural  $\dot{b}$  is one of a number of exceptions.

- Some words have roots that have the same letter repeated in the root. These are called *doubled* roots.
  - For example:

Root	Word	Pattern
دبّ	"a bear" دُبّ	فُعْل مَفْعَلَة
حلّ	"a locality مُحَلَّة	معتد
أمّ	"a leader"	فِعَال
حقّ	َّحُقِّ "a truth, a right"	فَعْلَ
ھرّ	ھِرِّ "a cat <sub>m</sub> "	فِعْل

We will discuss doubled roots in detail in chapter @ref(doubled-roots). For now we will mention the following:

 The repeated letter in the word root may get doubled or separated in the word's pattern. Frequently, the repeated letter may be doubled in the singular, and separated in the plural. Examples:

Singular	Plural
َّ عَقِّ "a truth, a right" حَقِّ "a bear" دُبّ "a cat <sub>m</sub> "	حُقُوق دِبَبَة هِرَرَة

The reverse also occurs, where the repeated letter may be separated in the singular, and doubled in the plural. Examples:

Singular	Plural
"a leader <sub>m</sub> " إِمَام	أُئِمَّة

 The doubled letter may modify the basic word pattern somewhat. For example:

Root	Word pattern	Expected word	Actual word
صدّ	أَفْعِلَاء²	imes أُشْدِدَاء $ imes$ مُحَالِل $ imes$ $ imes$ أُصْمَم $ imes$	أَشِدَّاء <sup>2</sup>
حلّ	مَفَاعِل²		مَحَالٌ <sup>2</sup>
صمّ	أَفْعَل²		أَصَمَّ <sup>2</sup>

We have previously learned that the endings ö, sl, and c that are extrinsic
to the word's root are feminine markers for singular nouns. These extrinsic
endings also occur for broken plurals but there, they are not feminine
markers.

In fact, in a sort of role reversal, the endings  $\ddot{o}$  in a broken plural tends to indicate that the singular is a masculine noun. And the  $\ddot{o}$  ending is only for broken plurals of male intelligent beings. Examples:

Singular	Plural
 "a tongue" لِسَان "a cat <sub>m</sub> " هِرّ	أُلْسِنَة
"a cat <sub>m</sub> " هِرّ	ھِرَرَة
"a commander <sub>m</sub> " أُمِير	أُمَرَاء
"a friend <sub>m</sub> " صَدِيق	أُصْدِقَاء

• There often exist multiple broken plurals for the same singular noun. Many times, in fact, a singular noun may have a sound plural in addition to one or more broken plurals. Examples:

Singular	Plural
شَهْر	شُهُور ,أَشْهُر
عَيْن	أَعْيَانَ ,عُيُونَ ,أَعْيُن
عَامِل	عُمَّال ,عَمَلَة ,عَوَامِل² ,عَامِلُونَ

We will discuss how to manage these multiple plurals in a subsequent section.

 Occasionally, multiple singular nouns will share the same broken plural. Examples:

Singular	Plural
an office" مَكْتَب	مَكَاتِب²
"a library" مَكْتَبَة	مَكَاتِب²

Context will then tell us which of two meanings is intended.

- The letters  $_{5}$ ,  $_{1}$ ,  $_{9}$ , and  $_{5}$  are considered *weak* letters. Words that one or more these weak letters in their roots are called *defective* words. We will discuss defective words more completely in later chapters, if Allāh wills. For now, we will note the following:
  - Weak letters often get interchanged with one another when going from a singular to a plural. Examples:

Root	Singular	Plural
ــــــ أرخ نوق ثور	تَأْرِيخ نَاقَة ثَوْر	تَوَارِيخ² نُوق ثِيرَان

- Weak letters can affect surrounding vowels. For example:

Root	Word pattern	Expected word	Actual word
بیض	فُعْل	× بُیْض	بِيض

– The weak letter g, when followed by the g ending, usually modifies (in writing) it to an G instead. The pronunciation is the same. For example:

Root	Word pattern	Expected word	Actual word
هدي	فَعَالَىٰ²	$ imes$ هَدَايَىٰ $^2$	هَدَايَا²

– A  $_{\ \, \Box}$  at the end of a word, in some states, gets omitted and replaced by a nūnated *i*-mark  $_{\ \, \Box}$  on the preceding letter. This happens even when the  $_{\ \, \Box}$  is extrinsic to the root, and even if the word is semi-flexible (and thus would not normally be nūnated). Examples:

Root	Word pattern	Expected word	Actual word
قضي	فَاعِل	قَاضِي ×	 قَاض
 جري	ُ فَوَاعِلَ <sup>2</sup> فَعَالِى <sup>2</sup>	× <sup>2</sup> َجَوَارِي × کیَالِی	جَوَارٍ ۗ كَيَال <sup>2</sup>
ليل	فَعَالِي <sup>2</sup>	$ imes$ لَيَالِي $^2$	لَيَالٍ <sup>2</sup>

 Weak letters can also get omitted in the singular and resurface in the plural. Examples:

Root	Singular	Plural
أخو	أَخ	إِخْوَة ,إِخْوَان
أمو	أَمَة	إِمَاء

• If there are more than four consonant letters in a word, then only four of them are selected to form the broken plural. For example:

Singular	Plural
"a spider عَنْكَبُوت	عَنَاكِب <sup>2</sup>

- Some words have individual irrgularities as well and we will discuss them below:
  - The word إَمْرَأَة and its plural نِسَاء are both irregular and we will discuss them separately in chapter @ref(irregular-nouns).
  - The broken plural  $^2$ أَشْيَاء  $^2$   $^3$  $^3$  (of the singular noun شَيْء shay "a thing") is irregular in that it is semi-flexible. Otherwise its pattern أَقْعَال  $^3$ afɛāl is regularly fully-flexible.
  - The broken plural of the singular noun مَلَكُ malak "an angel" is مَلَائِكَة malā'ikah. It is on the pattern فَعَالِلَة faɛālilah. But it is unusual in that the plural has an extra letter علم that is missing in the singular. This is because the singular has a lesser-used variant: مَلْأَك mal'ak that is used to form the plural.
  - The broken plural of the singular noun دِنَانِيرِ "a dīnār" is "وينَار . It is on the pattern فَعَالِيل. It is irregular in that there are two 's' in the plural whereas the singular only has one.
  - The root of بِثْر bi'r "a (water) well" is بأز . The pattern of its broken plural is أَفْعَال. Based on its root letters, its plural on this pattern ought regularly to have been أَبْرَر 'ab'ār. And this plural exists but is not very commonly used. Instead, in forming the plural, the root letters ب and أ get swapped irregularly, and the more commonly used plural is actually 'rābār.

There are other words as well with similar irregularities.

### 11.4. Co-existence of multiple broken plurals

We noted that there are often multiple broken plurals for the same singular noun. Many singular nouns even have a sound plural in addition to one or more broken plurals. Here are some examples.

Singular	Meanings	Plural
جدَار	a wall	 جُدْرَان ,جُدُر
جِدَار شَهْر	a month	شُهُور ,أَشْهُر
ضَعِيف	a weak one <sub>m</sub>	ضُعَفَاء <sup>2</sup> , ضِعَاف
أُمْر	a matter; a command	أَوَامِر² ,أُمُّور
عَيْن	an eye; a (water) spring; an eminent person	أَعْيَان ,عُيُون ,أَعْيُن
عَامِل	a worker; a labourer; a factor	,عَمَلَة ,عَوَامِل² ,عَامِلُونَ عُمَّال عُمَّال

We will deal with the co-existence of sound and broken plurals in the next section. In this section, we will explain the existence of multiple broken plurals, and when one of them is preferred or required to be used over the other. Basically, there could be a few things going on:

- 1. Sometimes it is more or less optional which of the multiple broken plurals to use. For example, the singular noun جُدُر غُدُر Either could be used, more or less, interchangeably.
- 2. Sometimes, the usage of one of the plurals may be restricted. For example, فِعَافُ and فُعَفَاء are both broken plurals of the masculine adjectival noun فَعَيفُ "a weak one<sub>m</sub>". For male intelligent beings, like "weak men", either of the two plurals could be used. But remember that broken plurals that end with an extrinsic ا ending may only be used for male intelligent beings. So the plural فُعَفَاء may only be used for male intelligent beings like "men" or "boys", and not for masculine nouns that denote non-intelligent beings like "lions" or "pens", etc.
  - is also shared as the broken plural for the feminine adjectival noun ضَعِيفَة "a weak one<sub>f</sub>". So it can be used for plurals of feminine nouns, both for female intelligent beings like "women" and "girls", and for feminie nouns that denote non-intelligent beings like "trees".
- 3. Other times, the singular has multiple distinct meanings, and each of these distinct meanings is associated with its own broken plural(s). Here are some examples:

- The word أَمْر 'amr has two distinct meanings, each with it's own plural:
  - i. "a matter". This has the broken plural أُمُور 'umūr.
  - ii. "a command". This has the broken plural أُوَامِر $^{2}$  'awāmir $^{2}$ .
- The word عَيْن 'ayn has multiple distinct meanings. There are three main meanings, and they share the broken plural with each other in the following way:
  - i. "an eye". This meaning primarily uses the plural أَعْيُن 'aɛyun but it may also use the plural عُيُون ɛuyūn, and rarely also the plural أَعْنَان 'aɛyān.
  - ii. "a (water) spring". This meaning primarily uses the plural عُيُون عُيُون ²aɛyun, and rarely also أُعْيُن ²aɛyan, and rarely also أُعْيَان aeyān.
  - iii. "an eminent person". This meaning only uses the plural أَعْيَان ʾaɛyān.
- The word عَامِل εāmil has the following meanings and plurals:
  - i. "a worker<sub>m</sub>". Generally, this has the plural عُمَّال εummāl.
  - ii. "a labourer<sub>m</sub>". This uses the plural عَمَلَة εamalah.
  - iii. "a factor". This uses the plural عُوَامِل εαwāmil².
- 4. Arabic has what are known as *plurals of fewness*. These are specific patterns that may (sometimes, but not always) be used when the persons or things denoted by the plural are only a few (ten or less) and not many. These patterns are:
  - i. فعْلَة fiɛlah
  - afɛul أَفْعُل afɛul
  - iii. أَفْعَال 'afɛāl
  - afεilah أُفْعلَة

### For example:

- i. شُهُر شُهُر . The plural شُهُور أَشْهُر could be used when the number of months are only a few (ten or less), and the plural شُهُور could be used when the number of months are large.
- ii. The plurals عُيْن and عُيُون of the word عَيْن could also possibly be used similarly in this manner for both meanings: "an eye" and "a (water) spring". (But not for the meaning "an eminent person" which only uses the plural أَعْنَان).

Of course, this distinction only applies when the singular noun has additional plurals, not just one from the above four patterns. If a noun has only one of the about four plural patterns then it may be used indiscriminately and will not indicate any limitation in number.

## 11.5. Co-existence of sound and broken plurals

Some nouns have both sound and broken plurals for more or less the same meaning. Here are some examples:

Singular	Meaning	Sound plural	Broken plural
 قَاتِل	a killer	 قَاتِلُونَ	 قَتَلَة
گافِر	a disbeliever	كَافِرُونَ	كُفَّار
گَبِيرَ گبِيرَة صَغِير	a big one <sub>m</sub>	كَبيرُونَ	کِبَار
كَبِيَرَة	a big one <sub>f</sub>	گَبِيرَات	کِبَار
صَغِير	a small one <sub>m</sub>	صَغِيرُونَ	صِغَار
صَغِيرَة	a small one <sub>f</sub>	صَغِيرَات	صِغَار
رَاكِع	one who bows <sub>m</sub>	رَاكِعُونَ	رُگّع
رَاكِعَة	one who bows <sub>f</sub>	رَاكِعَات	رُکَّع
صَاحِبَة	a companion <sub>f</sub>	صَاحِبَات	صَوَاحِب <sup>2</sup>
جَارِيَة	a girl	جَارِيَات	جَوَارِ²
حَدِيقَة	a garden	حَدِيقَات	حَدَائِقً <sup>2</sup>

We will treat the  $\bar{u}n$  and  $\bar{a}t$  sound plurals separately.

## 11.5.1. ūn plurals and broken plurals

Remember from chapter @ref(sound-plurals) that  $\bar{u}n$  plurals are, with very few exceptions, only used for male intelligent beings.

If a singular noun has both an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural and one or more broken plurals, then the use of the broken plural is generally preferred. The sound plural is then, generally, reserved for certain verbal usages. (We will study these in later chapters, if Allāh wills.)

So, for example, قَتَلَة is preferred over قَاتِلُونَ generally for the meaning: "killers".

#### 11.5.2. *āt* plurals and broken plurals

 $\bar{a}t$  plurals are used for both female intelligent beings and non-intelligent beings. We will discuss each of these separately.

#### 11.5.2.1. Female intelligent beings

Remember from section @ref(conditions-for-the-at-plural) that, generally, all nouns that end with feminine markers (a, al, and (s) can form the at sound plural.

There are some nouns that are excepted from this statement. These nouns only have broken plurals and don't form sound plurals. For female intelligent beings, these nouns are:

- Adjectival nouns of the pattern فَعْلَاء which is the feminine of the masculine adjectival noun pattern أُفْعَلُ. For example, حَوْرًاء حور ḥawrāʾ "a hūr خُور beautiful eyed one " uses the broken plural عُور
- Adjectival nouns of the pattern فَعْلَىٰ which is the feminine of the masculine adjectival noun pattern <sup>2</sup>فَعْلَان. For example, غَضْبَىٰ غضب غضب ḡhaḍbā "very angry<sub>f</sub>" uses the broken plural غِضَاب *ghiḍāb*.
- The following exceptional nouns:

  - أُمَّة "a nation", broken plural: أُمَّة

In the case of these nouns we have no choice but to use the broken plural.

For other nouns that denote female intelligent beings, the use of the at sound plural is preferred over any broken plurals that the noun may have.

So, for example, the use of the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural صَغِيرَات is preferred over the for the adjectival noun صِغَار "a small one<sub>f</sub>". However, both are permitted.

The following are excepted from this general statement:

- إِنَاتُ "a female", plural: إِنَاثِ. The āt sound plural is almost unused for this
- Broken plurals of the patterns:
  - ²فَوَاعِل fawāɛil²
     ²فَعَائِل faɛāʾil²

These broken plural patterns are, in fact, predominantly used for female intelligent beings and non-intelligent beings, and only rarely for male intelligent beings. So the broken plural  $^2$   $\tilde{\gamma}$  "girls" may be used freely as the plural of جَارِيَات "a girl" and is not preferred over by جَارِيَات. Similarly,  $^2$ صَاحِبَة may freely be used as the plural of صَوَاحِب $^2$ .

Only a few nouns denoting male intelligent beings have broken plurals on these patterns, like:

```
– فَوَارِس a horseman", plural: <sup>2</sup> فَارِس
```

– خَلَائِفُ a successor", plural: 2 خَلِيفَة

In conclusion, with the general preference of using the  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural over the broken plural for female intelligent beings, you will find that i "women" is the only widely found broken plural for female intelligent beings in normal usage.

#### 11.5.2.2. Non-intelligent beings

For non-intelligent beings, the broken plural is preferred for use over  $\bar{a}t$  sound plurals.

So, for example,  $^2$ خَدائِق ḥadā'iq $^2$  is preferred over حَدِيقَات ḥadāqāt as the plural of جَدِيقَة, though both are correct.

## 11.6. Usage of plurals

The treatment of plurals in sentences is quite complicated. Here we will give a simplified explanation that should suffice for basic usage, until we give a fuller treatment in (TODO: give chapter reference).

We will discuss the plurals of intelligent beings and non-intelligent beings separately.

## 11.6.1. Plurals of intelligent beings in descriptive noun-phrases

Consistent with what we have learned so far, when the describee in a nounphrase is plural, then the describer comes after it, and matches it in state, definiteness, gender, and number.

Either or both of the describer and the describee may be sound plurals or broken plurals.

Here are some examples:

```
رِ. لَعِبَ ٱلطِّفْلُ ٱلصَّغِيرُ مَعَ ٱلْغِلْمَانِ ٱلْكِبَارِ.
laɛiba -ṭṭṭflu -ṣṣaghīru maɛa -lghilmāni -lkibār:
"The small child played with the big boys."
```

أَخَذَ ٱلتَّلْمِيذُ ٱلْعِلْمَ عَنِ ٱلْمُعَلِّمِينَ ٱلْكِرَامِ. Akĥadĥa -ttilmīdĥu -leilma eani -lmueallimīna -lkirām. "The pupil took knowledge from the noble teachers."

```
ِلْجَارِيَةِ صَوَاحِبُ طَيِّبَاتٌ.
liljāriyati ṣawāhibu ṭayyibāt.
"The girl has good companions<sub>f</sub>."
```

. فِي ٱلسُّوقِ تُجَّارٌ صَادِقُونَ fi -ssūqi tujjārun ṣadiqūn. "In the market are honest traders."

خَدَمَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ ٱلصَّالِحُ ٱلْغَنِيُّ ٱلْفُقَرَاءَ ٱلضِّعَافَ مِنَ ٱلْيَتَامَىٰ ٱلصِّغَارِ.

khadama -rrajulu -ṣṣāliḥu -lghaniyyu -lfuqarā'a -ḍḍiɛāfa mina -lyatāmā -ṣṣighār. "The rich righteous man served the weak poor ones from the little orphans."

### 11.6.2. Plurals of intelligent beings in subject-information sentences

If the subject of a sentence is a plural denoting intelligent beings then the information typically matches it in being a plural. This is especially the case if the information is an adjectival noun. For example:

َ ٱلْغِلْمَانُ أَطْفَالٌ طَيِّبُونَ. 'alghilmānu 'atfālun ṭayyibūn. "The boys are good children." اُلرِّجَالُ أَغْنِيَاءُ. 'aghniyā'.

. ٱلْمُعَلِّمَاتُ عَالِمَاتٌ '*almuɛallimātu ɛālimāt,* "The teachers<sub>r</sub> are scholars<sub>r</sub>."

"The men are rich."

Sometimes the information may not match the subject in plurality because of the meaning of the sentence. For example:

ٱلْمُسْلِمُونَ أَمَّةٌ. 'almuslimūna 'ummah. "The Muslims are a nation." ٱلْجِيرَانُ ٱلطَّيِّبُونَ نِعْمَةٌ مِنَ ٱللَّهِ. 'aljīrānu -ṭṭayyibūna niɛmatun mina -llāh. "Good neighbors are a blessing from Allah."

The use of detached pronouns is consistent with what we learned in section @ref(detached-plural-pronouns). Examples:

َّ اَنْتُنَّ نِسَاءٌ كَرِيمَاتٌ. ''antunna nisā'un karīmāt. "You<sub>3,f</sub> are generous women." اَنْتُمْ شُبَّانٌ شُجْعَانٌ ''antum shubbānun shujeānun "You<sub>m,3</sub> are courageous young men." اَلشَّيَاطِينُ هُمُ ٱلْمَلَاعِنُ. ''ashshayāṭinu humu -lmalāɛin. "The devils are the accursed ones." ِ هُنَّ نِسَاءٌ غَنِيَّاتٌ. hunna nisāʾun ghaniyyāt. "They<sub>3,f</sub> are rich women."

َنَحْنُ غِلْمَانٌ أَصْدِقَاءُ. naḥnu ghilmānun ʾaṣdiqāʾ. "We are boys who are friends."

### 11.6.3. Plurals of intelligent beings with verbs

We have already studied verbs with sound plurals in section @ref(verbs-with-plural-doers). The same discussion applies to broken plurals as well. The doer and doee pronouns are the same. Here are a couple of examples:

َ قَرَأْتِ ٱلنِّسَاءُ وَكَتَبْنَ. qara'ati -nnisā'u wakatabn. "The women read and wrote." اَلْغِلْمَانُ لَعِبُوا بِكُرَةٍ حَمْرَاءَ. ''algĥilmānu laɛibū bikuratin ḥamrā'. "The boys, they played with a red ball."

طَبَخَتِ ٱلنِّسَاءُ طَعَامًا لِلرِّجَالِ فَأَكْلُوهُ وَشَكْرُوهُنَّ. ṭabakhati -nnisā'u ṭaɛāman lirrijāli fa'akalūhu washakarūhunn. "The women prepared some food for the men, so they

"The women prepared some food for the men, so they  $_{3,m}$  ate it and they  $_{3,m}$  thanked them  $_{3,f}$ .

َ طُلَمَ ٱلْجَبَابِرَةُ ٱلْمُسَاكِينَ وَقَتَلُوهُمْ. Malama -ljabābiratu -lmasākīna waqatalūhum. "The tyrants wronged the needy ones<sub>3,m</sub> and killed them<sub>3,m</sub>."

## 11.6.4. Usage of plurals of non-intelligent beings

We now turn our attention to plurals of non-intelligent beings. The treatment of plurals of non-intelligent beings is very different from everything we have learned so far. In this basic, simplified, explanation: regardless of the grammatical or physical gender of the singular noun, plurals of non-intelligent beings are treated, for the purposes of matching adjectival nouns and pronouns, as grammatically feminine singular.

So, for example, the noun بَيت bayt denotes the inanimate object "a house". It's plural is بُيُوت. This plural is بُيُوت. This plural is treated as a feminine singular noun. This is despite the fact that the singular noun بَيْت bayt "a house" is grammatically masculine. See how the تُنُوت buyūt is used in the examples below:

ُ ٱلْبُيُوتُ كَبِيرَةٌ. "The houses are big."

```
َ سَكَنُوا فِي بُيُوتٍ صَغِيرَةِ.

"They<sub>3,m</sub> lived in small houses."

شَقَطَتِ ٱلْبُيُوث

"The houses fell."

"The houses, they fell."

هِيَ بُيُوتٌ لِلْفُقَرَاءِ.

"They are houses for the poor."
```

Plurals of inanimate objects and animals (both male and female) are treated the same way. It doesn't matter what the grammatical or physical gender of the singular is or whether it has a sound or broken plural. Examples:

```
هِيَ ثِيرَانٌ وَحُشَةٌ.
"They are wild bulls."
الَّهِرَرُةُ شَرِبَتِ ٱلْحَلِيبَ.
"The cats<sub>m</sub>, they drank the milk."
ألْهِرَرُ شَرِبَتِ ٱلْحَلِيبَ.
"The cats<sub>f</sub>, they drank the milk."
ألَّهُوْنُ طَوِيلَة.
"The ships are tall."
```

فِي ٱلصُّنْدُوقُ أَشْيَاءُ عَجِيبَةٌ. "In the box are wonderful things."

(Note how أُشْيَاء $^2$  is indefinite but is not nūnated. This is because it is irregularly semi-flexible.)

By the way, this rule only applies to adjectival nouns in the describee or the information. A common noun in the describer or information will continue match the describee or subject in gender and number.

For example, if you say:

```
ٱلْأَفْعَالُ ٱلصَّالِحَةُ هِيَ ٱلْحَسَنَةُ.
"The righteous acts are the good ones."
```

then حَسَنَة may only be the feminine adjectival noun "a good one".

If instead you want to use حَسَنَة with its common noun meaning of "a good deed", then you have the use the plural:

```
. ٱلْأَفْعَالُ ٱلصَّالِحَةُ هِيَ ٱلْحَسَنَاتُ.
"The acts are the good deeds."
```

The plural هِي may continue to be used instead of هُنَّ, although the latter is also valid:

```
َّ الْأَفْعَالُ ٱلصَّالِحَةُ هُنَّ ٱلْحَسَنَاتُ.
"The acts are the good deeds."
```

Similarly, if an adjectival noun connoting a non-intelligent being is used not as a describer or an information in a sentence, then it should be pluralized to indicate plurality.

ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتُ صَغِيرَةٌ وَكَبِيرَةٌ. ٱلْكَبِيرَاتُ وَحْشَةٌ. "The animals are big and small. The big ones are wild."

In the second sentence above, we could not have said (for the same meaning):

ٱلْحَيَوَانَاتُ صَغِيرَةٌ وَكَبِيرَةٌ. ٱلْكَبِيرَةُ وَحْشَةٌ. ×

It is important to note that treating non-intelligent beings as grammatically feminine is only for the plural. Singular and dual nouns for non-intelligent beings are treated according to the gender of singular noun, as we have learned in previous chapters. So, for example,

رَّابَيْتُ كَبِيرَ.
"The house is big."

not

× .قبِيرَةُ كَبِيرَةُ

أَكُلُ ٱلْأَسُدَانِ ٱلظَّبْيَ.
"The lions<sub>2</sub> ate the gazelle."

not

× .قَلَتِ ٱلْأَسَدَانِ ٱلظَّبْيَ.

Motk in Probless

# Chapter 12.

# Annexation

#### 12.1. Introduction

Consider the following expression:

"the boy's book"

This expression establishes a relation of *belonging* between the two nouns: (i) "the boy", and (ii) "the book". It says that the book *belongs* to the boy.

Arabic expresses this meaning using a construction called *annexation*. In this chapter we will learn about this construction.

## 12.2. Forming the annexation

The word "annexation" means the addition of a new *annexed* item to an existing *base* item. We use the term *annexation* in Arabic grammar when an *annexe* noun is annexed to a *base* noun by being placed right before it. Here is an example of an annexation:



"the boy's book"

The annexation construction consists of two nouns:

- 1. The annexe noun: This is the first noun in the annexation.
- 2. The base noun: This is the second noun in the annexation.

The annexe noun كِتَاب is annexed to, and belongs to, the base noun اللَّهُالَام You can use the alphabetical order (A, B) to help you remember that the annexe noun comes before the base noun.

#### 12.3. State of the annexe and base nouns

The base noun in an annexation is always in the i-state. The annexe noun may be in any state, depending on its function in the sentence. For example,

```
رِّبَّابُ ٱلْغُلَامِ ثَقِيلٌ.
"The boy's book is heavy."
(The annexe noun is in the u-state.)
أَخَذَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ كِتَابَ ٱلْغُلَامِ.
"The girl took the boy's book."
(The annexe noun is in the a-state.)

گَتَبَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُ فِي كِتَابِ ٱلْغُلَامِ.
"The teacher<sub>m</sub> wrote in the boy's book."
(The annexe noun is in the i-state.)
```

## 12.4. Definiteness of the annexation

Consider again the annexation expression we have been using so far:

```
كِتَابُ ٱلْغُلَامِ
"the boy's book"
```

The base noun ٱلْغُلَام is definite because it is prefixed by أَلْ "the". Therefore we have translated it as "the boy". The annexe noun كِتَاب is not made definite by أَلْ. Nor is it made indefinite by nūnation. Rather, its definiteness is determined by the base noun. Because the base noun الله is definite, therefore the annexe noun كِتَاب is also definite. The entire annexation is definite.

Consider now the case when the base noun is indefinite.

```
كِتَابُ غُلَامِ
"a bov's book"
```

In the above example, the base noun غُلَامٍ is indefinite because it is nūnated and because it does not prefixed by أُل . Therefore we have translated it as "a boy". The annexe noun كِتَاب is neither nūnated, nor does it have أُل . Its definiteness is, again, determined by the base noun. Because the base noun غُلُامٍ is indefinite, therefore the annexe noun كِتَاب is also indefinite. The entire annexation is indefinite.

We will see soon, if Allāh wills, why the definiteness of the annexe noun is important.

Here are some examples of definite and indefinite annexations.

```
َ لَبِسَ ٱلطِّفْلُ قَمِيصَ رَجُٰلٍ.
"The child wore a man's shirt."
```

155

أَخَذَ أَمِيرُ ٱلْجَيْشِ رَايَةَ ٱلْمَلِكِ وَرَفَعَهَا. "The army's commander took the king's flag and raised it." جَلَسَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ فِي ظِلِّ شَجَرَةِ. "The man sat in a tree's shade."

#### 12.4.1. Translating the annexation using "of"

So far we have been using the English "'s" to translate the Arabic annexation. Examples:

بَيْتُ رَجُٰلِ
"a man's house"

بَيْتُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ
"the man's house"

Instead of using "'s" we may use "of" as well. For example:

بَيْتُ رَجُٰلِ
"a/the house of a man"

بَیْتُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ
"a/the house of the man"

Note that the annexe noun "house" may be prefixed with either "a" or "the". This will depend on what is more natural in English. Often time both will fit. Here are some examples:

```
. لَكِسَ ٱلطِّفْلُ قَمِيصَ رَجُّلِ.
"The child wore a/the shirt of a man."

أَخَذَ أَمِيرُ ٱلْجَيْشِ رَايَةَ ٱلْمَلِكِ وَرَفَعَهَا.
"The commander of the army took the flag of the king and raised it."
جَلَسَ ٱلرَّجُلُ فِي ظِلِّ شَجَرَةٍ.
"The man sat in the shade of a tree."

فَتَحَ ٱللَّصُّ شُبَّاكَ ٱلْبَيْتِ وَدَخَلَ ٱلْبَيْتِ وَدَخَلَ ٱلْبَيْتِ وَدَخَلَ ٱلْبَيْتِ وَدَخَلَ ٱلْبَيْتِ The thief opened a/the window of the house and entered the house."
```

It is important to understand that translating the annexe noun into English with "a" or "the" is purely for the reason of obtaining a natural translation. This does not affect whether or not the annexe noun is grammatically considered definite in Arabic.

As we mentioned earlier, the definiteness of the annexe noun in Arabic depends only on the definiteness of the base noun. If the base noun is definite then the annexe noun shall be considered definite as well. And if the base noun is indefinite then the annexe noun shall be considered indefinite as well.

The need to maintain this distinction will become apparent in the next section.

If the base noun is definite, and it is desired to make the annexe noun grammatically indefinite, then it is necassary to break the annexation, and use a prepositional phrase instead, usually with the preposition (), which, here, will mean "of". Example:

```
ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ لِلرَّجُلِ.
"The boy went to a house of the man."
```

فَتَحَ ٱللِّصُّ شُبَّاكًا مِنَ ٱلْبَيْتِ وَدَخَلَ ٱلْبَيْتَ.

"The thief opened a window of the house and entered the house."

## 12.5. Broken plurals and $\bar{a}t$ sound plurals in annexations

There is no special rules for broken plurals and  $\bar{a}t$  sound plurals in annexations. They behave just like singular nouns. Remember only that  $\bar{a}t$  plurals end with o and o in the a-state. Here are some examples:

```
حَبَوَانَاتُ ٱلْغَابَة وَحْشَةٌ.
```

"The animals of the forest are wild."

قَرَأَتْ طَالِبَاتُ ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ صَفَحَاتِ ٱلْكُتُب

"The school's students, read the pages of the books."

ُ فِي ٱلْخِزَانَةِ أَقْلَامُ مُعَلِّمَاتٍ. "In the cupboard are teachers'<sub>f</sub> pens.

Contrary to broken plurals and  $\bar{a}t$  plurals, duals and  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals behave differently in annexations. We will deal with them in section @ref(duals-andsound-un-plurals-in-annexations)

#### 12.6. Describers in an annexation

## 12.6.1. Describing the base noun

Consider the following expression:

كِتَابُ ٱلْجَارِيَةِ "the girl's book"

Now say that we want to form an descriptive noun-phrase "the small girl's book". the girl" with the adjectival "أُجُارِيَة Basically, we want to describe the base noun ٱلْجَارِيَة noun صَغير "a small one". Here is how we will express this in Arabic:

```
كِتَابُ ٱلْجَارِيَةِ ٱلصَّغِيرَةِ
"the small girl's book"
```

In the manner we are already familiar with, we place the describer صُغِير "a small one" after the describee ٱلْجَارِيَة "the girl" and match the describer with the describee in definiteness, state, gender and number (singular, dual, or plural).

Similarly, if we had an indefinite annexation, we would get:

```
كِتَابُ جَارِيَةٍ صَغِيرَةٍ
"a small girl's book"
```

Here are some more examples:

```
. لَعِبَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ فِي حَدِيقَةِ ٱلْبَيْتِ ٱلْكَبِير.
"The girl played in the garden of the big house."
```

. قَرَأَ ٱلْغُلَامُ سُورَةَ ٱلْقُرْآنِ ٱلْكَرِيمِ. "The boy read the sūrah of the Noble Qur'ān."

جَلَسَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ فِي ظِلِّ شَجَرَةٍ عَرِيضَةٍ وَسِيعَةٍ. "The man sat in the shade of a wide broad tree."

### 12.6.2. Describing the annexe noun

Consider, again, the same annexation:

```
كِتَابُ ٱلْجَارِيَةِ
"the girl's book"
```

Say, now, that we want to describe the annexe noun كِتَابِ "book" with the adjectival noun عَغِيرِ "a small one". Normally, nothing can come between the annexe noun and the base noun in an annexation. So, the describer needs to be placed, again, after the base noun. However, this time it will match the annexe noun, not the base noun, in state, definiteness, gender, and number. So we get:

```
كِتَابُ ٱلْجَارِيَةِ ٱلصَّغِيرُ
"the girl's small book"
```

Note how the describer الصَّغِيرُ matches the annexe noun كِتَابُ in state and gender. Note also how the describer is definite with an الَّلْ. This is because it is matching the annexe noun كِتَابُ in definiteness. The annexe noun كِتَابُ is definite, not with الله لله بالله إلى "the girl". We've already learned this rule in section @ref(definiteness-of-the-annexation) above.

Similarly, if we describe the annexe noun كِتَاب in an indefinite annexation, we get:

```
كِتَابُ جَارِيَةٍ صَغِيرٌ
"a girl's small book"
```

This time the describer صَغِيرٌ is indefinite with a nūnated u-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$ . This is because the annexe noun كِتَابُ is indefinite. It is indefinite because base noun " $\dot{\varphi}$  a girl" is indefinite.

Now, you might be foreseeing a problem. What if the annexe noun and the base noun have the same gender, and the annexe too is in the i-state? For example, in the sentence:

```
ُ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ ٱلْكَبِيرِ.
"The boy went to the big/old man's house."
```

or

"The boy went to the man's big house."

How do we know whether the describer كَبِير is meant to describe the annexe noun بَيْتِ or the base noun ٱلرَّجُل The annexe noun بَيْتِ and the base noun ٱلرَّجُل are both masculine, singular, definite, and in the i-state.

The answer is that in such cases, context will have to be clear to tell us which of the two meanings is intended. If the context makes it clear then there is no harm in using such a sentence for either of the two meanings.

Also, sometimes, the meaning of the describer is such that it will likely apply to only one of the two nouns. For example,

```
ِ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ ٱلْكَرِيمِ.
"The boy went to a noble/generous man's house."
```

In the sentence above the describer کَرِیم "noble/generous" is likely to apply to a man, and not to a house.

If, however, the context is not clear, and the meaning of the describer can apply to both the annexe noun and the base noun, then the describer is likely to apply to the base noun and not to the annexe noun. So then, this interpretation is more likely:

```
ُ ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ ٱلْكَبِيرِ.
"The boy went to the big/old man's house."
```

In order to apply a describer to the annexe noun in such a case, it is better to break the annexation and form a prepositional phrase instead, usually with the preposition  $\bigcup$ , which, here, will mean "of". Example:

```
. ذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ ٱلْبَيْتِ ٱلْكَبِيرِ لِلرَّجُلِ
"The boy went to the big house of the man."
```

Here are some more examples:

```
لَعِبَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ بِكُرَةِ ٱلْغُلَامِ ٱلْحَمرَاءِ.
"The girl played with the boy's red ball."
(Note that حَمْرَاء feminine to match
```

. سَقَطَتْ وَرَقَةُ ٱلشَّجَرَةِ ٱلْخَضْرَاءُ عَلَىٰ مَاءِ ٱلنَّعْرِ ٱلْعَرِيضِ "The green leaf of the tree fell on the water of the broad river." (Note that خَضْرَاء is in the u-state to match وَرَقَة

```
حَمَلَ ٱلْغُلَامُ حَقِيبَةَ ٱلْمُدْرَسَةِ ٱلثَّقِيلَةَ.
"The boy carried the heavy school-bag."
(literally: the heavy bag of the school).
```

```
كَتَبَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ عَلَىٰ صَفْحَةِ كِتَابِ بَيْضَاءَ.
```

"The man wrote on the white page of a book."

(Note that ثِيْضَاءَ is feminine to match صَفْحَة. However, also note that it has an a-mark ် in the i-state because it is semi-flexible.)

#### 12.7. Semi-flexible nouns in an annexation

Remember that semi-flexible nouns are not nunated and that when indefinite, their i-state is indicated by an a-mark of. But when definite with أَلُّ then they صَحْرًاء behave just like fully-flexible nouns. Example of the semi-flexible noun 2 "a desert":

Indefinite	Definite
صَحْرَاءُ صَحْرَاءَ صَحْرَاءَ	ٱُلصَّحْرَاءُ ٱلصَّحْرَاءَ ٱلصَّحْرَاءِ
	صَحْرَاءُ صَحْرَاءَ

We will now see how semi-flexible nouns behave in an annexation.

#### 12.7.1. A semi-flexible noun as the base noun

a desert" as the base noun "صَحْرَاء" a desert as the base noun in an annexation:

اًلُقَرْيَةُ فِي وَسَطِ ٱلصَّحْرَاءِ. "The village is in the middle of the desert."

شَرِبَ ٱلْأَعْرَابِيُّ مَاءً مِنْ بِئُرٍ صَحْرَاءَ. "The bedouin drank some water from a desert's well."

As you can see, when  $^2$ قَحْرَاء is definite, then its i-state is indicate by an i-mark o, just like fully-flexible nouns. However, when it is indefinite, then its i-state is indicate by an a-mark 6.

This is consistent with the general behavior of semi-flexible nouns that we are familiar with.

#### 12.7.2. A semi-flexible noun as the annexe noun

Contrary from expected behavior, a semi-flexible annexe noun, even when indefinite, takes an *i*-mark o in the *i*-state instead of an *a*-mark o. Example,

قَدِمَ ٱلْأَعْرَابِيُّ مِنْ صَحْرَاءِ أَرْض بَعِيدَةٍ.

"The bedouin came from the desert of a far land."

In the above example, <sup>2</sup>صَحْرَاء "a desert" is indefinite because it is the annexe noun to an indefinite base noun أَرْض "a land". It is in the i-state because it is preceded by the preposition مِنْ "from". Nevertheless, it takes an *i*-mark مِنْ صَحْرَاءِ أَرْض, not an a-mark, which would be incorrect: imes مِنْ صَحْرَاءَ أَرْض.

#### 12.8. Annexations with more than two nouns

So far we have seen annexations with two nouns. Annexations may be arbitrarily long. Here is an example of a noun-chain with more than two nouns:

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \ddot{\phi} \\ \dot{\phi} \\$$

"the house's door's key"

The above annexation consists of three nouns. It may be divided into two subannexations:

- i. مِفْتَاحُ بَابِ "door's key". Its annexe noun  $a_1$  is مِفْتَاح and its base noun  $b_1$  is بَاب.
- ii. بَابِ أَلْبَيْتِ "the house's door". Its annexe noun  $a_2$  is بَابِ and its base noun  $b_2$  is نَائِيْتُ.

The noun بَابِ "door" is common to both sub-annexations. It is the base noun of the first sub-annexation مِفْتَاحُ بَابِ "door's key". At the same time, it is also the annexe noun of the second sub-annexation "بَابِ ٱلْنَيْتِ "the house's door".

Only the final base noun may have  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  or be nunated. If the final base noun has  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  (as above) then all the nouns in the annexation are definite.

And if the final base noun is indefinite, as in the example below, then all the nouns in the annexation are indefinite.

All the nouns except the first annexe noun must be in the i-state. Consistent with section @ref(a-semi-flexible-noun-as-the-annexe-noun) if a semi-flexible noun is any of the annexe nouns and is in the i-state, then its i-state is indicated by an *a*-mark  $\circ$ . Example:

#### 12.9. Pronouns as base nouns

Consider the expression:

"his book"

This expression is very similar to the annexation:

The difference is that we would like to replace the base noun ٱلْغُلَامِ "the boy" with the pronoun "his". For this we use the attached pronoun هُ. When we place this pronoun as the base noun, we get:

```
كِتَابُهُ
"his book"
```

This annexation follows the same rules as the other annexations we have been studying so far:

- The annexe noun may be in any state, depending on its function in the sentence.
- The base noun is in the i-state. But because the base noun is a pronoun, and pronouns are rigid nouns (see section @ref(rigidity-of-pronouns) that don't change their ending based on their state, therefore it's i-state will not be apparent.

Here are some examples of this annexation used in sentences:

```
كِتَابُهُ قَفِيلٌ.
"His book is heavy"
قَرَأَ ٱلرَّجُلُ كِتَابَهُ.
"The man read his book."
كَتَبَ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُ فِي كِتَابِهِ.
"The teacher<sub>m</sub> wrote in his book."
```

If the annexe noun ends with  $\ddot{\circ}$  then it is converted to a  $\ddot{\circ}$  when annexing it to an attached pronoun. For example:

```
ُ ذَهَبُوا إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَتِهِمْ.
"They went to their school."
```

Here are some more examples of annexing to the different attached pronouns:

```
َكَفُلْتَ بَيْتَكَ

"You<sub>1,m</sub> entered your<sub>1,m</sub> house."

أُكَلْتَا طَعَامَهُمَا.

"They<sub>2,f</sub> ate their<sub>2</sub> food."

قَدِمْتُ إِلَىٰ مَدِينَتِكُمْ

"I have arrived to your<sub>3,m</sub> city."

هُوَ إِمَامُ مَسْجِدِنَا.

"He is the 'Imām of our mosque."
```

If the annexe noun is semi-flexible then it gets a إِنَّ in the i-state, as we've already learned. Example with the semi-flexible broken plural ° وَدَائِق "gardens".

```
لَعِبْنَ فِي حَدَائِقِهِنَّ.
"They<sub>3,f</sub> played in their<sub>3,f</sub> gardens."
```

If an annexe noun ends with ن then it gets converted to an alif when annexing it to an attached pronoun. Example with <sup>2</sup> فُتَاوَىٰ "legal opinions":

```
كَتَبَ تَلَامِذَةُ ٱلشَّيْخِ فَتَاوَاهُ فِي كُتُبِهِمْ.
```

"The pupils of the religious scholar wrote down his legal opinions in their books."

For the singular speaker-participant there are two variants for the attached pronoun:

The first  $(\underline{\upsilon} - \overline{\iota})$  is more commonly used. Example:

```
قَرَأْتُ كِتَابِي
"I read my book."
أَقْلَامِي قَصِيرَة.
"My pens are short."
```

```
أَعْجَبَتْهُمْ هَدَايَايَ.
"My gifts pleased them."
```

#### 12.9.1. Describers with annexations to pronouns

Consider the annexation:

```
كِتَابُهُ
"his book"
```

The annexe noun is كِتَابِ and the base noun is the pronoun ه. We would like add a describer to this expression. Remember from section @ref(definiteness-of-pronouns) that pronouns are definite nouns. That makes the annexe noun كِتَابِ also definite. Therefore, any describer for this annexation will need to be definite too.

Here is a new rule: Pronouns may not be describees. That is: they are not allowed to have describers. Even in English you may say:

"The good boy went."

but you can't say:

```
× "The good he went."
```

So, any describers for the annexation must necessarily only describe the annexe noun, not the base pronoun. Example:

```
كِتَابُهُ الأَحْمَرُ "his red book"

Here are some more examples:

كَتَبْتُ بِقَلَمِيَ ٱلْأَسْوَدِ

"I wrote with my black pen."

مَمَلَ غِلْمَانُ ٱلْقَرْيَةِ حَقَائبَهُمُ ٱلثَّقِيلَة إِلَىٰ مَدْرَسَتِهِمُ ٱلْبَعِيدَةِ.

"The village boys carried their heavy bags to their distant school." (literally: the village's boys.)
```

### 12.10. Duals and $\bar{u}n$ sound plurals in annexations

We have already dealt with broken plurals and  $\bar{a}t$  sound plurals in annexations in section @ref(broken-plurals-and-at-sound-plurals-in-annexations).

In this section we will deal with duals and  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals in annexations.

## 12.10.1. Duals and $\bar{u}n$ sound plurals as base nouns

As base noun, duals and  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals behave no differently than other nouns. Being base nouns they will be in the i-state and this shall be indicated by:

```
i. يُنِنَ -ayni for duals
ii. يَنِينَ -īna for ūn sound plurals
```

Here are some examples:

```
لَجِئَ ٱلْمُظْلُومُنَ ٱلصُّّعَفَاءُ فِي بِلَادِ ٱلْمُسْلِمِينَ ٱلْآمِنَةِ.
"The weak wronged ones took refuge in the secure lands of the Muslims."
أُخْتُ ٱلْغُلَامَيْنِ ٱلطَّوِيلَيْنِ صَغِيرَةِ.
"The tall boys' sister is little."

هِيَ طَالِبَةٌ مُعَلِّمَتَيْنِ كَرِيمَتَيْنِ.
"She is the student of noble teachers s,"
```

#### 12.10.2. Duals and $\bar{u}n$ sound plurals as annexe nouns

When duals and  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals are annexe nouns, then their final  $_{\dot{U}}$  is treated as a sort of nunation and is, therefore, deleted before annexing them to a base noun. For example:

```
بَيْتَا ٱلرَّجُٰلِ
"the man's houses<sub>2</sub>"
not
× بَیْتَان ٱلرَّجُل
```

Note, also, that because the base noun ٱلرَّجُٰلِ begins with a connecting hamzah ٱ, therefore the long vowel  $\bar{a}$  at the end of بَيْتًا is pronounced as a short vowel a, thus:

```
bayta -rrajuli
not
× baytā -rrajuli
```

If the dual annexe noun were in the i-state then the final g gets an i-mark g if there is following connecting hamzah. Example:

```
. قَرَأْتُ كِتَابَيِ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ
qara'tu kitābayi -rrajul
"I read the man's books<sub>a</sub>."
```

Here are some more examples including un sound plurals:

```
مُعَلِّمُو ٱلْغُلَامِ كِرَامٌ.
muɛallimu -lghulāmi kirām.
"The boy's teachers<sub>3</sub> are noble."
```

(Note that there is no silent alif after مُعَلِّمُو as there is after a verb with a plural absentee-participant doer pronoun, e.g. لَعِبُوا "they<sub>3,m</sub> played")

```
لَعِبَ ٱبْنَا ٱلرَّجُٰلِ مَعَ لَاعِبِي مَدِينَتِهِمْ.
laɛ́iba -bna -rrajuli maɛa lāɛibī madīnatihim.
"The man's sons, played with the players of their city."
```

#### 12.10.2.1. Annexing duals and $\bar{u}n$ sound plurals to pronouns

Duals and  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals can be annexed to attached pronouns, and in this case too, they will lose their final  $\dot{u}$ . Examples:

```
رَيْعُلُمُونَا طَيِّبُونَ. مُعَلِّمُونَا طَيِّبُونَ طَيِّبُونَ اللهُ مَعَ صَدِيقَتَيْهَا لَعِبَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ مَعَ صَدِيقَتَيْهَا لَعِبَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ مَعَ صَدِيقَتَيْهَا "The girl played with her friends<sub>2,f</sub>."

. بَيْتَايَ كَبِيرَانِ.
"My houses_2 are big."
(Note that only the _{\underline{G}} variant is allowed to be used because of بَيْتَا ending with a long vowel.)

قَرَأْتُ كِتَابَىْ becomes كِتَابَىْ becomes كِتَابَىْ becomes كِتَابَىْ وَلَا الْكِيْرَانِ.)
```

There are also two special cases in this category and we will examine them below:

Annexing an un sound plural to the singular speaker participant pronoun

When an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural is annexed to the singular speaker participant pronoun, then again, only the  $\underline{\varsigma}$  variant can be used. However, in addition, the expression will appear the same regardless of the state of the annexe noun. So for all states (u-state, a-state, and i-state), we will get:

```
مُعَلِّمـِ ۖ
```

We don't say  $\times$  مُعَلِّمُونَ for the u-state. Examples:

```
مُعُلِّمِيَّ كِرَامٌ.

"My teachers<sub>3,m</sub> are noble."

(u-state)

سَأَلْتُ مُعُلِّمِيَّ

"I asked my teachers<sub>3,m</sub>."

(a-state)

أَخَذْتُ كِتَابًا مِنْ مُعُلِّمِيَّ

"I took a book from my teachers<sub>3,m</sub>."

(i-state)
```

Annexing an dual noun to a dual pronoun

When a dual noun is to be annexed to a dual pronoun, then the dual annexe noun is often converted to a plural. For example, instead of saying

```
نَظَرْتُ إِلَىٰ رَأْسَيْهِمَا "I looked at their_2 heads_2." it is in fact, more common, to say "I looked at their_2 heads_3."
```

Although the former is also correct. This is because the annexation of a dual to a dual is considered burdensome upon the tongue to utter, and so the plural is prefered.

#### 12.11. Annexations with "and"

#### 12.11.1. Multiple annexe nouns and one base noun

In English we can have an expression like "the pen and the book of the boy" = "the boy's pen and book". In this sentence there are two annexe nouns and one base noun.

In order to express this in Arabic, we will say:

```
قَلَمُ ٱلْغُلَامِ وَكِتَابُهُ
qalamu -lghulāmi wa kitābuhu
"the boy's pen and his book" = "the boy's pen and book"
```

Note that the annexation is not broken by the insertion of  $\S$  wa "and". Rather a second annexation is used and the two are separated by  $\S$  wa "and". This is the preferred way of expressing such expressions.

There is another, less preferred way of expressing this. And this is by breaking the first annexation and inserting 6 wa "and":

```
مَلَمُ وَكِتَابُ ٱلْغُلَامِ
qalamu wa kitābu -lghulāmi
"the boy's pen and book"
```

This second method is not considered as eloquent. Some even consider it incorrect. So we advise you to use the first method whenever possible.

#### 12.11.1.1. With pronouns

If the base noun in the first annexation is replaced with a pronoun then only the first method is allowed. For example,

```
قَلَمُهُ وَكِتَابُهُ
qalamuhu wakitābuhu
"his pen and his book"
```

## 12.11.2. One annexe noun and multiple base nouns

We can also have expressions like "the house of the boy and the girl". In this sentence there is one annexe noun and two base nouns.

To express this in Arabic we will say:

```
بَيْتُ ٱلْغُلَامِ وَٱلْجَارِيَةِ
baytu -lghulāmi wa-ljāriyati
"the house of the boy and the girl"
```

Note that both ٱلْغُلَامِ 'alghulāmi and ٱلْجَارِيَةِ 'aljāriyati are in the i-state because they are both base nouns in the annexation.

#### 12.11.2.1. With pronouns

If one or both of the base nouns in the annexation is replaced with a pronoun then the first noun must be repeated. For example,

```
بَيْتُ ٱلْغُلَامِ وَبَيْتُهَا
"the boy's house and her house"
مُنْهُمُ مَنْهُمُ
```

بَيْتُهُ وَبَيْتُهَا baytuhu wabaytuhā "his house and her house"

## 12.12. Usage of the annexation

## 12.12.1. Primarily belonging

Don't become definite when annexed to pronoun

"self نفس "self"

ضَرَبا أنفسهما

قالت لِی نَفسی

# A North In Probless 12.12.4. annexation of material

خاتمُ ذَهَب

خاتمٌ ذَهَبٌ

خاتمٌ مِن ذَهَب

مَدينَةُ دَمشق .12.12.5

مجرد ترفیه .12.12.6

Motik in Progress

# Chapter 13.

# Irregular nouns

#### 13.1. Introduction

There are some nouns in Arabic which are *irregular* and behave a little differently than other *regular* nouns. In this chapter we will study these irregular nouns.

#### 13.2. The five nouns

There are five nouns in Arabic which are irregular in the same basic way. Collectively, they are called "the five nouns". They behave a little differently from regular nouns in how they display their state.

The first three nouns that we will talk about are:

```
    i. أبو 'ab "a father" (root: أبو
    ii. أخو 'akĥ "a brother" (root: أخو)
    iii. ممو ḥam "a father-in-law" (root: حمو)
```

The final root letter of all three of these nouns is 9. However, irregularly, it is omitted in most formations of the word. It does resurface in some cases as we will describe below.

Without the final root letter 9, these nouns display their state like regular nouns. Here are some examples:

```
لِلْجَارِيَةِ أَبٌ كَبِيرٌ وَأَخٌ صَغِيرٌ.

liljāriyati 'abun kabīrun wa'akhun ṣaghīr

"The girl has an old father and a young brother."

ضَرَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ أَخًا لَهُ.

ḍaraba -lghulāmu 'akhan lahu.

"The boy beat a brother of his."
```

```
ٱلْحَمُ وَٱلْأَبُ فِي بَيْتِ ٱلْأَخِ.
```

'alḥamu wal'abu fī bayti -l'akh.

"The father-in-law and the father are in the brother's house."

Where the nouns behave irregularly is when they are an annexe noun in an annexation. Then instead of displaying their state with  $\mathring{\circ}$ ,  $\mathring{\circ}$ , and  $\mathring{\circ}$ , they display their state using the long vowels  $_9$   $\bar{u}$ ,  $|\bar{a}$ , and  $_{\cite{S}}$   $\bar{i}$  instead. Here are some examples:

```
هُوَ أَخُو ٱلْجَارِيَةِ.
huwa ʾakhu -ljāriyah
"He is the girl's brother."
```

```
َ سَأَلْتُ أَبَا صَدِيقِي عَنْ أَمْرٍ.
sa'altu 'abā ṣadīqī ɛan 'amr.
"I asked my friend's father about a matter."
```

```
َ ذَهَبْتُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ حَمِي ٱلرَّجُلِ.
Mahabtu ʾilā bayti ḥami -rrajul.
"I went to the man's father-in-law's house."
```

When these nouns are annexed to attached pronouns, then in most cases they will behave as above. So, for example,

```
أَبُوهُ

'abūhu

"his father" (u-state).

أَخَانَا

'akhānā

"our brother" (a-state).
```

However, if the attached pronoun is g (for the singular speaker participant), then in that case, the attached pronoun g attaches to the annexe noun directly, without any intervening long vowel:

```
َاخِي
'akhī
"my brother" (u-state, a-state, and i-state).
پُوا
'abī
"my father" (u-state, a-state, and i-state).
مُمِي
إُمِسَة
شَعْرِي
```

Here are some more examples in sentences:

أَخُوهُ طَويلٌ وَأَخُوهَا قَصِيرٌ وَأَخِي كَبيرٌ.

'akhūhu ṭawīlun wa'akhūhā qaṣīrun wa'akhī kabīr.

"His brother is tall and her brother is short and my brother is big."

سَأَلَ أَخَاهُمْ وَأَخَانَا.

sa'altu 'akhāhum wa'akhānā.

"I asked their $_{m,3+}$  brother and our brother."

شَكَرَ أَخِي أَبِي.

shakara 'akhi 'abi.

"My brother thanked my father."

ذَهَبْتُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ أَخِيهِنَّ.

dhahabtu 'ilā bayti 'akhīhinn.

"I went to their 3+ brother's house."

The above irregular behavior of these three nouns is only when they are annexe nouns. When they happen to be base nouns in annexations, then they again they behave like regular nouns and their state is displayed by the short vowel marks  $\mathring{\circ}$ ,  $\mathring{\circ}$ , and  $\mathring{\circ}$ , when definite, and by nūnation  $\mathring{\circ}$ ,  $\mathring{\circ}$ , and  $\mathring{\circ}$ , when indefinite. Examples:

بَيْتُ ٱلْأَخِ كَبيرٌ.

baytu -l'akhi kabir.

"The brother's house is big."

ذَهَبْتُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ أَخ.

dhahabtu 'ilā bayti 'akh.

"I went to a brother's house."

When these nouns form their duals and plurals, then the final root letter 9 is resurfaces. In forming the broken plural, the final root letter 9, being a weak letter, sometimes converts to a 5. The following table shows their duals and plurals.

Word	Dual (u-state)	Dual (a-state and i-state)	Plural
'ab' أُب 'akh' أُخ	abawāni أَبَوَانِ 'aƙĥawāni' أَخَوَانِ	<sup>a</sup> bawayni أَبُوَيْنِ مَّخُوَيْنِ 'akĥawayni' أَخُوَيْنِ	َ 'ābā' إِخْوَان ,'ikhwah إِخْوَة 'ikhwān
ḥam خَم	حَمَوَانِ ḥamawāni	بَمُوَيْنِ ḥamawayni	'aḥmā' أَحْمَاء

One special note regarding the dual أَبُوَيْنِ/أَبُوَانِ: in addition to meaning "two fathers", they can also mean "both parents", i.e., "a father and a mother". Here are examples of these words in sentences:

َ ذَهَبَ ٱلْأَخَوَانِ إِلَى ٱلْمَسْجِدِ. *dĥahaba -l'akĥawāni fi -lmasjidi.* "The brothers<sub>2</sub> went to the mosque."

َ سَأَلْتُ أَخَوَيَّ عَنْ أَمْرٍ sa<sup>v</sup>altu <sup>v</sup>akhawayya ɛan vamrin. "I asked my brothers<sub>2</sub> about a matter."

شَكَرْتُ لِأَبَوْيْهِ *shakartu li'abawayhi.* "I thanked his parents."

# 13.2.2. ذُات $\widehat{dha}$ and ذُو $\widehat{dha}$

The fourth irregular noun from "the five nouns" is the masculine noun  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  and its feminine counterpart خُو and  $\hat{d}h\bar{a}t$ . The words خُو  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  and خُو  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  and خُو  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  and خُو  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  or "possessor of".

So, for example, ذُو ٱلْمَالِ  $d\bar{h}u$  -lmāli means "possessor<sub>m</sub> of wealth" or "wealthy person<sub>m</sub>". The singular, dual, and plural of غُو  $d\bar{h}\bar{u}$  in all three states is shown in the table below:

State	Singular	Dual	Plural
u-state	d̂hū ذُو	غَوَا dhawā	 غۇو <i>đĥawū</i>
a-state	َ غَا dَhā	dhaway ذَوَيْ	ذَوِي $\widehat{dh}$ aw $i$
i-state	ِغَ đĥi ذِي	same as a-state	same as a-state

The noun  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$  and its duals and plurals are only ever used as annexe nouns in annexations. Furthermore, they may not be annexed to pronouns. Here are some examples:

ٱلرَّجُلُ ذُو ٱلْمَالِ.

'arrujulu dhu -lmāl.

"The man is the possessor of wealth." = "This man is wealthy."

The word ذَات is the feminine of ذُو . When used as an annexe noun, its states, duals, and plurals are as in the table below:

State	Singular	Dual	Plural
u-state	d͡hātu ذَاتُ d̄hāta	ظُوَاتًا d̂hawātā ذَوَاتًا	ذَوَاتُ d͡hawātu
a-state	ذَاتَ d̄hāta	غَوَاتَيْ d̂hawātay	ذَوَاتِ d͡hawāti
i-state	ذَاتِ d̄hāti	same as a-state	same as a-state

#### **Examples:**

هَـٰذِهِ ٱلشَّجَرَةُ ذَاتُ ثَمَر كَثِيرٍ.

hādhihi -shshajaratu dhātu thamarin kathirin.

"This tree is the possessor of much fruit." = "This tree is very fruitful."

As opposed to کُو which is only an annexe noun, خُو may be used a noun in its own right. In this case it means "personality" or "essence". This usage is often found in theological or philosophical works. And, as such, unlike فُو which can't be annexed to attached pronouns, خَات can be annexed to attached pronouns. Examples:

# fam فَم

The fifth of "the five nouns" is  $\hat{b}$  fam "a mouth". It is the most irregular of "the five nouns".

In some ways, the word فَم fam is regular. It is only irregular when it is a singular annexe noun. Let's first see its regular bahavior.

عَلَى ٱلْوَجْهِ فَمٌ وَفِي ٱلْفَم لِسَانٌ.

εala -lwajhi famun wafi -lfami lisān

"On the face is a mouth, and in the mounth is a tongue."

It is a base noun in an annexation regularly:

نَطَقَ لِسَانُ ٱلْفَم.

nataga lisānu -lfam.

"The mouth's tongue articulated [speech]."

It forms duals regularly, which are used in annexations regularly

فَمَا ٱلنَّهْرَيْنِ كَبيرَانِ.

fama -nnahrayni kabīrāni.

"The mouths, of the rivers, are big."

Let's now see its irregular behavior.

When  $\rho$  is a singular annexe noun, then it is usual for it to follow the example of the rest of the five nouns.

Here is how it will appear as a singular annexe noun in the three states:

u-state	a-state	i-state
لو fū فُو	أَ fā	fī فِي

Examples of usage:

ُفُو ٱلنَّهْرِ كَبِيرٌ. fu -nnahri kabīr.

"The mouth of the river is big."

```
رُوهَا جَمِيلٌ.
fūhā jamīl.
"Her mouth is beautiful."
أَهُ فَتَحَ فَأَهُ
fataḥa fāh.
"He opened his mouth."
. جَعَلَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ لُقْمَةَ طَعَامٍ فِي فِي ٱبْنَتِهَا.
jaɛalati -Pummu luqmata ṭaɛāmin fī fi -bnatihā.
"The mother put a morsel of food in her daughter's mouth."
```

When the attached pronoun for the speaking person ي is attached to فَ  $f\bar{u}$ , or  $f\bar{u}$ , if the combination is always فِي  $f\bar{u}$ , in all three states. Examples:

```
ُ فِيَّ مَفْتُوحٌ.

fiyya maftūh.

"My mouth is open."

فَتَحْتُ فِيَّ.

fataḥtu fiyy.

"I opened my mouth."

أَكْلُتُ بِفِيَّ.

'akaltu bifiyy.

"I ate with my mouth."
```

فَمُ ٱلنَّهْرِ كَبِيرٌ.

In addition to the above irregular behavior, it is permissible, but less common, to treat  $\dot{\Phi}$  regularly as an annexe noun in an annexation. So it is permissible to also say:

```
famu -nnahri kabīr.

"The river's mouth is big."

فَمِي مَفْتُوحٌ.

"My mouth is open."

فُمْهَا جَمِيلٌ.

"My mouth is open."

فُمْهَا جَمِيلٌ.

"Her mouth is beautiful."

مُعْلَتْ فُمَة طَعَام فِي فَم ٱبْنَتِها.
"He opened his mouth."

جُعَلَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ لُقْمَةَ طَعَامٍ فِي فَم ٱبْنَتِها.

"And the state of the
```

The other irregularity of فَم fam "a mouth" is that its broken plural is أُفُواه 'afwāh.

175

Note that the letter  $\rho$  has not been used to form the broken plural, and instead a  $\rho$ , and a  $\rho$  are used to form it.

## 13.3. Other irregular nouns

There are more nouns that have irregularity in their own ways. We will discuss them below.

 $\dot{v}ul\bar{u}$  (first syllable has a short vowel with a silent 9) means "people<sub>m</sub> of". It is only used as a masculine plural annexe noun, similar in meaning to  $\hat{dh}aw\bar{u}$  which we discussed in section @ref(zu) above. There is no singular or dual of this noun.

Here is its form in the different states:

<i>ulī</i> أُولِي ' <i>ulū</i> أُولُو ' <i>ulū</i>	

#### Example:

لِأُولِي ٱلْأَرْحَامِ حُقُوقٌ.

u'uu -ı'arṇamı ṇuquq.

"The people of the wombs (i.e. blood relatives) have rights."

The feminine counterpart of أُولُو ' $ul\bar{u}$  is أُولُو ' $ul\bar{u}$  is ' $ul\bar{u}$  "women of". The first syllable again has a short vowel with a silent 9.

u-state	a-and i-state
ئولَاتُ 'ulātu	' <i>ulāti</i> أُولَاتِ

لِأُولَاتِ ٱلْحَمْلِ حُقُوقٌ عَلَىٰ بُعُولَتِهِنَّ.

li'ulāti -lhamli hugūg salā busūlatihinn.

"The women of pregnancy (i.e. pregnant women) have rights upon their husbands."

# 2.3.2. أُمّ *umm*

The noun الله " $^{2}$ umm "a mother" forms two  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural variants:

- i. أُمَّهَات 'ummahāt
- ii. أُمَّات 'ummāt

The first variant أُمَّهَات 'ummahāt is more commonly used. Example:

أُمَّاهَاتُ ٱلْغلْمَانِ طَيِّبَاتٌ.

'ummahātu -lghilmāni tayyibāt.

"The boys' mothers are good."

#### sanah سَنَة .3.3.3

The noun سَنَة sanah "a year" forms both an  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural and an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural. (Remember from section @ref(applicability-of-the-un-sound-plural) that a few nouns that don't denote male intelligent beings have  $\bar{u}n$  sound plurals.)

In both plurals, the singular noun is modified irregularly.

Singular	āt sound plural	<i>ūn</i> sound plural (u-state)	<i>ūn</i> sound plural (a-and i-states)
sanah سَنَة	سَنَوَات sanawāt	sinūna سِنُونَ	سِنِينَ sinīna

Either of the two plurals may be used interchangeably. Here are some examples:

#### '*mā* مَاءِ .3.3.4

مَاء ''a water" forms its broken plural irregularly: مناه miyāh "waters".

# shafah شَفَة .3.3.5

شَفَة  $\widehat{\mathit{shafah}}$  "a lip" forms its broken plural irregularly: شِفَاه  $\widehat{\mathit{shifah}}$  "lips".

Also, despite ending in the feminine marker  $\ddot{o}$ , it does not form an  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural.

## ibnah, and بنْت bint أَبْنَة 'ibnah, and' أَبْنَة

The noun بنو 'ibn "a son" is from the root بنو. It has two feminine counterparts:

- i. ٱبْنَة 'ibnah
- ii. بنْت bint

which mean "a daughter".

ibn "a son" forms both a broken plural and an  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural.

Its broken plural is أُبْنَاء 'abnā' "sons".

In forming the  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural, the singular noun is modified irregularly:

Singular	ūn sound plural (u-state)	<i>ūn</i> sound plural (a- and i-states)
ibn ٱِبْن	بَنُونَ banūna	بَنِينَ banīna

The feminine بِنْت and بِنْت "a daughter" form the irregular  $\bar{a}t$  sound plural at sound plural at "daughters". Note that بَنَات at is not a broken plural from the root بنت Therefore, it obeys the rules of at sound plurals and does not end with at or at in the a-state.

Here are some examples using these nouns:

# 13.3.7. نَاس *nās*, and أُنَاس '*nās*

ناس  $n\bar{a}s$  and أناس أناس. They both mean "a people".

When indefinite, only نَاس  $n\bar{a}s$  tends to be used, and نَاس  $n\bar{a}s$  tends to be unused.

When definite, only ٱلنَّاس ' $annar{a}s$  tends to be used, and ٱلْأُنَاس 'al' $unar{a}s$  is unused.

Here are some examples using these nouns:

# اِمْرَأَة and اِمْرَأَ and اِمْرَأَ and اِمْرَأَة

The nouns ٱِمْرَأًة '*imra*' (masc.) "a man, a person" and اُمْرَأًة '*imra'ah* (fem.) "a woman" are quite irregular.

Firstly, آڤِمْرَأَة '*imra'ah* "a woman" is, from the perspective, of its meaning, the feminine counterpart of رَجُل "a man (male human being)".

أَمْرًا 'imra', on the other hand, only means "a man" in a general sense. For example, in the sentence "A man is only as good as his word." It can also be translated as "a person".

Secondly, ٱمْرَأ 'imra' "a man, a person" has no plural. أُنَاس/نَاس "a people" and "a population" may be used when a plural is required.

نِسْوَة nisāʾ and نِسَاء imraʾah "a woman" irregularly forms the broken plurals إِمْرَأَة niswah "women". The former (بَسَاء nisāʾ) is more commonly used.

Like شَفَة  $\widehat{shafah}$  it also, despite ending in the feminine marker  $\delta$ , does not form an  $\overline{at}$  sound plural.

Thirdly, both nouns are very irregular in how they become definite nouns with  $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ . When  $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$  is prefixed to these nouns to make them definite, they lose the initial connecting hamzah and change their internal vowels. This table shows what we mean:

State	Definite of ٱِمْرَأ	Definite of ٱِمْرَأَة
u-state	'almar'u ٱلْمَرْءُ	almar·atu' ٱلْمَرْأَةُ
a-state	'almar'a ٱلْمَرْءُ	almar·ata' ٱلْمَزَأَةَ
i-state	'almar'i ٱلْمَرْءِ	almar·ati ٱلْمَرْأَةِ

The masculine noun إُوْمَرًا 'imra' has an additional irregularity. When it is indefinite, it irregularly displays its state, not only on its final letter  $\mathfrak s$ , but also on the letter before it  $\mathfrak s$ .

It is also permissible for it to behave regularly by displaying its state on its final letter only, but this is not as commonly used.

This table shows what we mean:

State	Regular indefinite (less common)	Irregular indefinite (more common)
u-state	أَمْرَأً 'imra'un	imru'un إُمْرُؤُّ
a-state	آِمْرَةًا	imra'an مُرْءًا
i-state	'imra'an أِمْرَةًا 'imra'in	imri'in إُمْرِيُّ

Here are some examples of these nouns:

# Chapter 14.

# Proper nouns

## 14.1. Introduction

Proper nouns are also known as names. Here are some examples of Arabic names:

Men's	names	Women's	names
مُحَمَّد	Muḥammad	عَائِشَة²	£ā <sup>3</sup> ishah
سَعِيد	Saeīd	فَاطِمَة²	Faṭimah
ٱُلْحَسَن ٱلنُّعْمَان	al-Ḥasan	$^2$ حَفْصَة	Ḥafṣah
ٱلنُّعْمَان	al-Nuɛmān	$^2$ سُمَيَّة	Sumayyah
طَلْحَة²	Ṭalḥah	جَمِيلَة²	Jamīlah
أْسَامَة²	Usāmah	زَيْنَب²	Zaynab
عُثْمَان²	Euthmān	مَرْيَم²	Maryam
عُمَر²	Eumar	سُعَاد <sup>2</sup>	Suɛād
إِبْرَاهِيم²	Ibrāhīm	أَسْمَاء <sup>2</sup>	Asmā'
عَبْد ٱللَّـٰه	Eabd Allāh	لَيْلَىٰ²	Laylā
أَبُو بَكْر	Abū Bakr	أُمّ حَبِيبَة²	Umm Ḥabībah

Place	names	Misc.	names
مَگَّة²	Makkah	رَمَضَان²	Ramadān (a month)
دِمَشْق²	Damascus	أُحُد	Uḥud (a mountain)
مِصْر² ٱُلْقَاهِرَة	Egypt	O	the Nile (a river)
	Cairo	ٱُلْفَاتِحَة	the Fātiḥah (a sūrah)
ٱڵۿؚڹ۠ۮ	India	ٱُلْجُمُعَة	Friday

Note the following points from the list abobe:

- Although some names begin with اُّلْ, most don't.
- Many names are semi-flexible (indicated by <sup>2</sup>).
- Some names consist of more than a single word, like عَبْد ٱللَّـٰه Eabd Allāh

We will explain these and more details regarding proper nouns in this chapter.

## 14.2. Definiteness of proper nouns

Proper nouns differ from common nouns and adjectival nouns in a couple of important ways:

- All proper nouns, even if they don't begin with الله are definite.
- A proper noun which does not begin with اُلْ, and which is fully-flexible, shall be nūnated, despite being definite.

The above points are exemplified in the following sentence:

```
ذَهَبْتُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ مُحَمَّدٍ ٱلْكَرِيمِ وَزَيْنَبَ ٱلطَّيِّبَةِ.
```

dhahabtu 'ilā bayti muḥammadini -lkarīmi wazaynaba -ṭṭayyibah.

"I went to the house of the noble Muḥammad and the good Zaynab."

Note the above from the above example:

- مُحَمَّد is fully-flexible so it has a nūnated i-mark in the i-state.
- زَيْنَتِ is semi-flexible so it is not nūnated, and instead has an *a*-mark in the i-state.
- The proper nouns مُحَمَّد and زَيْنَب are describees in descriptive noun phrases.
- Their describers (الطَّيِّبَةِ. and الْطَيِّبَةِ. respectively) have الْ to match the definiteness of the definite proper noun describees. Furthermore, they both end with 

   because they match the i-state of their describees.

# 14.3. Meanings of names

Many names are re-used from common nouns and adjectival nouns with positive meanings. Examples:

- مُحَمَّد Muḥammad "a highly praised one $_{\mathrm{m}}$ "
- سَعِيد Saɛīd "a happy (fortunate) one<sub>m</sub>"
- ٱلْحَسَن al-Ḥasan "the good one<sub>m</sub>"
- طَلْحَة Țalḥah "an acacia (tree)"
- جَمِيلَة Jamīlah "a beautiful one<sub>f</sub>"

It is possible for these names to sometimes (technically) cause a sentence to have an ambiguous meaning. For example,

```
جَلَسَ ٱلْحَسَنُ مَعَ سَعِيدٍ.
jalsa -lhasanu maɛa saɛid
"al-Ḥasan sat with Saɛīd."
or
"The good one<sub>m</sub> sat with a happy (fortunate) one<sub>m</sub>."
```

Context would tell us whether the proper noun or the common/adjectival noun meaning is intended.

Note however the following sentence:

ُ ذَهَبَتْ جَمِيلَةُ إِلَىٰ ٱلْبَيْتِ dhahabat iamīlatu ʾila -lbayt.

This sentence can only be understood to use جَمِيلَة with its proper noun meaning:

"Jamilah went to the house."

This is because جَمِيلَة is semi-flexible as a proper noun and fully-flexible as an adjectival/common noun. If جَمِيلَة were intended to be used with its adjectival/common noun meaning then it would have a nūnated u-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  and the sentence would be:

ذَهَبَتْ جَمِيلَةٌ إِلَىٰ ٱلْبَيْتِ.

dhahabat jamilatun 'ila -lbayt.

"A beautiful one, went to the house."

We will learn why  $ilde{\epsilon}$  is semi-flexible as a proper noun in section @ref(proper-nouns-ending-with-looped-ta) below.

### 14.4. Flexibility of proper nouns

In this section we will discuss the flexibility of proper nouns. For now, we will deal only with proper nouns that do not begin with اُلْ . In terms of their flexibility, proper nouns consist of two types:

- i. Fully-flexible proper nouns.
- ii. Semi-flexible proper nouns.

We will treat each of them below.

### 14.4.1. Fully-flexible proper nouns

For names that don't begin with أُلُّ, the default assumption is that they are fully-flexible, unless they fall into one of the categories of semi-flexible nouns (which we will study soon).

Examples of fully-flexible names are:

مُحَمَّد	Muḥammad	مُعَاذ	Muεādĥ
نُوح	Nūh	سَعْد	Saed
شُعَيْب	Shuεayb	عَمَّار	Eammār
	Ealī	حَسَّان	Ḥassān
 زَیْد	Zayd	سَعِيد	Saɛīd
أَنَس	Anas	أُحُد	Uḥud (a mountain)

These are all masculine names.

Examples of sentences with fully-flexible proper nouns:

```
زَيْدٌ غُلَامٌ طَيِّبٌ.
zaydun ghulāmun ṭayyib
"Zayd is a good boy."
شَكَرَ أَنَسٌ عَلِيًّا.
```

shakara 'anasun εaliyyā. "Anas thanked Ealī."

لَبِسَ سَعِيدٌ قَمِيصَ نُوحِ ٱلأَخْضَرَ.

labisa sacīdun qamīṣa nūḥini -l'akhḍar.

"Saɛīd wore Nūḥ's green shirt."

#### 14.4.2. Semi-flexible proper nouns

The rules for the semi-flexibility of proper nouns are a little different from the rules for the semi-flexibility of common nouns and adjectival nouns that we learned in chapter @ref(semi-flexible-nouns). Proper nouns shall be semi-flexible if they fall under one of the categories below. Note that the categories are not mutually exclusive. That is: some semi-flexible proper nouns will fall into more than one category.

### 14.4.2.1. Names ending with ة

All names ending with  $\ddot{\circ}$  shall be semi-flexible. This rule is specific to proper nouns. We have already seen that common nouns and adjectival nouns that end ith  $\ddot{\circ}$  are fully-flexible.

Most such proper nouns are feminine names. Examples:

خَدِيجَة²	Khadījah	مَيْمُونَة²	Maymūnah
	Faṭimah	صَّفِيَّة <sup>2</sup>	Şafiyyah
عَائِشَة²	Eā³ishah	خَوْلَٰة²	Khawlah
	Sumayyah	جَمِٰيلَة²	Jamīlah
$^2$ حَفْصَة	Ḥafṣah	آسِيَة²	Āsiyah

However, some masculine names may end with a too:

حَمْزَة²	Ḥamzah	مُعَاوِيَة²	Миєāwiyah
	Usāmah		Eikrimah
$^2$ طُلْحَة	Ţalḥah	عُبَادَة²	Eubādah

183

#### Example:

طَلْحَةُ ٱلْطُّويلُ بَعْلُ جَمِيلَةَ ٱلْكَرِيمَةِ.

"The tall Talhah is the husband of the generous Jamīlah."

#### 14.4.2.2. Names ending with an extrinsic of or is

Similar to common nouns and adjectival nouns, all names ending with an extrinsic اء or ن shall be semi-flexible. These are usually feminine names. Examples:

²أَسْمَاء Asmāʾ	لَیْلَیٰ²	Laylā
^ دَرْدَاء Dardāʾ	سَلْمَیٰ²	Salmā

#### Examples in sentences:

ُ ذَهَبَتْ سَلْمَىٰ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ أَسْمَاءَ. "Salmā went tp Asmā"s house."

Sentence word order is usually pretty flexible. For stylistic reasons, it is permissible for a doee to precede the doer. For example,

سَأَلَتْ دَرْدَاءَ أَسْمَاءُ.

"Asmā' asked Dardā'"

But because words that end with 's never display any state, then for these words the sentence word order becomes more rigid. So the following sentence:

سَأَلَتْ لَيْلَىٰ سَلْمَىٰ. would usually only mean "Layla asked Salma."

#### ان 14.4.2.3. Names ending with an extrinsic

All names ending with an extrinsic io will be semi-flexible.

This is somewhat different from the rule we learnt for common noun and adjectival nouns in section @ref(adjectival-noun-an-diptote). There only adjectival and whose feminine was not formed by adding ة to it were considered semi-flexible nouns.

#### **Examples:**

 عُثْمَان²	Euthmān	رَمَضَان²	Ramaḍān
سُفْيَان²	Sufyān	شَعْبَان²	Shaɛbān

#### Example:

جَلَس عُثْمَانُ مَعَ سُفْيَانَ فِي رَمَضَانَ.

"Euthman sat with Sufyan in Ramadan."

### أَفْعَل 14.4.2.4. Names on the pattern

All names on the pattern أَفْعَل shall be semi-flexible. Examples:

 Aḥmad أَحْمَد	Asɛad أَسْعَد²
-------------------	----------------

### فُعَل 14.4.2.5. Names of the pattern

Names of the pattern فُعَل shall be semi-flexible. Examples:

$$\overline{^2}$$
مُضَر Eumar  $^2$ مُصَر Muḍar

#### 14.4.2.6. Names that are originally verbs

Names that are originally verbs are semi-flexible. Examples:

- <sup>2</sup>يَزيد Yazīd "He increases"
- ²عسش Yaɛīsĥ "He lives"

Their origin as verbs will be apparent when we study incomplete-action verbs.

### 14.4.2.7. Names of foreign origin

Names of foreign origin are generally semi-flexible. These include the names of angels, many of the previous prophets and messengers, and other persons. Examples:

° جبْريل	Jibrīl	زَگَريَّا²	Zakariyyā
إِبْرَاهِيم²	Ibrāhīm	يَحْٰيَىٰ²	Yaḥyā
إِّسْمَاعِيل²	Ismāɛīl	هَاجَر²	Hājar
إِّسْحَاق²	Is∙ḥāq	مَرْيَم <sup>2</sup>	Maryam
يَعْقُوب²	Yaɛqūb	يَأْجُوج²	Ya <sup>,</sup> jūj
يُوسُف²	Yūsuf	مَأْجُوج²	Ma <sup>›</sup> jūj
يُونُس²	Yūnus	إِبْلِيس <sup>²</sup>	Iblīs
ٳٟۮ۠ڔؚݐڛ²	Idrīs	فَورْعَون²	Pharoah
أُيُّوب²	Ayyūb	هِرْقَل²	Heraclius
مُوسَىٰ²	Mūsā	كِسْرَىٰ²	Chosroes
عِيسَىٰ²	Eīsā	قَيْصَر²	Caesar

Note that  $^2$  فِرْعَون "Pharoah" as  $^2$  قَيْصَر "Caesar", despite being titles, are treated as proper names.

185

The only exception to this rule is a masculine name of foreign origin that comprises of only three letters, and whose middle letter has an o-mark. Such a name will be fully-flexible. Example:

Nūḥ ئوح •

#### 14.4.2.8. Feminine names

All feminine names, regardless of their origin, or their ending, shall be semiflexible. We have already given examples of semi-flexible feminine names that end with a, and is, so we will provide other examples here:

2 زَيْنَب Zaynab	مُرْيَم <sup>2</sup> Maryam
2 سُعَاد Suɛād	شَاجَر Hājar

The only exception to this rule is a feminine name of native Arabic origin, that comprises of only three letters, and whose middle letter has an o-mark. Such a name is permitted to be optionally fully-flexible or semi-flexible. Examples:

- Hind ھئد •
- دَعْد Daed

#### Example of usage:

ذَهَبَتْ هِنْدٌ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ دَعْدٍ. ذَهَبَتْ هِنْدُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ دَعْدَ.

"Hind went to Daed's house.

### فَلَان 14.5. The name

The fully-flexible name فُلَان is used as a place-holder name in casual conversations. It may be translated into English as "so-and-so". For example,

The man wronged so-and-so and he acted treacherously ' ظَلَمَ ٱلرَّجُلُ فُلانًا وَغَدَرَ بِفُلَانِ. with so-and-so."

For females, the name <sup>2</sup> فُلانَة is used.

صَدَقَتْ فُلَانَةُ.

"So-and-sof told the truth."

### 24.6. Irregular spelling of the name عَمْرو Eamr

Irregularly, the fully-flexible name Eamr is written with a unpronounced و at its end: عَمْرو when in the u- and i-states. In the a-state, the و is not added.

Name	u-state	a-state	i-state
Eamr	eamrun عَمْرٌو	eamran عَمْرًا	εamrin عَمْرٍو

### 14.7. The Replacement

Before we proceed with our discussion on proper nouns, we will take a short digression to discuss a grammatical concept called the *replacement*. We will only give a short preview here and will treat it fully in chapter @ref(the-replacement-chapter).

A *replacement* is a word that follows another word, the *replacee*, and replaces it from the perspective of the grammar of the sentence. The replacement is put in the same state as the replacee. Here is an example of a sentence with a replacement and a replacee:

"The boy took something: a book"

In the above sentence, the word كِتَابًا "a book" is the replacement of شَيْئًا "something". Therefore, it is put in the same a-state.

The replacement is frequently used with proper nouns. For example,

```
ُذَهَبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ عَمِّهِ عَلِيٍّ.
"The boy went to his uncle Eali's house."
```

In this sentence, the name عَلِيّ ٤alī is the replacement of the replace "uncle". Note, again, that the replacement comes after the replacee and matches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Al-Jallad, "One wāw to rule them all: the origins and fate of wawation in Arabic and its orthography,"

it in state. However, the replacement does not need to come directly after the replacee. We can see that there is the pronoun  $\circ$  "his" between them.

Here is another example:

سَأَلَ ٱلطَّالِبُ مُعَاذٌ ٱلْمُعَلِّمَ سَعْدًا. "The student Muɛādh asked the teacher Saɛd."

#### 14.8. Annexed names

So far we have only dealt with proper nouns that are single words. There are some proper nouns that may be formed from two words that are in an annexation. These belong to different categories:

#### 14.8.1. "Slave of" names

Some names are formed by annexing the noun عُبْد  $\varepsilon abd$  "a slave" to one of the names of Allāh. The most common of these names are:

- عَنْدِ ٱللَّـٰه Eabd Allāh "the Slave of Allāh"
- عَبْد ٱلرَّحْمَـٰن Eabd al-Raḥmān "the Slave of the Most Merciful"

As usual, the base noun shall always be in the i-state. And the state of the annexe noun عَدْد is variable, depending on it's function in the sentence. Example:

"Eabd Allāh is the brother of Eabd al-Raḥmān." عَبْدُ ٱللَّـٰهِ هُوَ أَخُو عَبْدِ ٱلرَّحْمَـٰن.

#### 14.8.2. "Parent of" names

It is common to call a man, not by his own given name, but rather by calling him the father of one of his children, usually his first born son. For example, if a man named أَحْمَد "Aḥmad" had a son named زَيْد Abū Zayd "Zayd's father". Example of usage in a sentence:

```
ذَهَبْتُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ أَبِي زَيْدٍ.
```

"I went to Abū Zayd's house."

(Note how زُيْد has a nūnated *i*-mark ှ in the i-state because it is fully-flexible.)

While using the name of first-born son is more common, a daughter's name could be used as well. Example,

```
سَأَلْتُ أَبَا رُقَيَّةَ سُؤالًا.
```

"I asked Abū Ruqayyah a question."

(Note how وُقَيَّةُ has an *a*-mark ó in the i-state because it is semi-flexible.)

Women, too, are similarly called as the mother of one of their children. For example, the wife of the Prophet (may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing

upon him) <sup>2</sup> أُمِّ حَبِيبَة Umm Ḥabībah was called thus because she had a daughter named حَبِيبَة from a previous marriage.

By the way, a person need not literally be a father or a mother to be called in such a way. These names may be applied as nicknames.

For example, the Companion of the Prophet (may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him) was called أَبُو هُرَيرَة Abū Hurayrah because it is reported that he used to have a pet kitten (هُرَيْرَة). Here is an example of this name in a sentence.

```
أَبُو هُرَيْرَةَ صَحَابِيٌّ جَلِيلٌ.
```

"Abū Hurayrah is a great Companion."

(Note how هُرَيْرَةَ is now considered a semi-flexible proper noun even though it may originally have been derived from the common noun "a kitten".)

Similarly, the Companion أُبُو بَكْرٍ Abū Bakr is not known to have a son named  $\tilde{}$   $\tilde$ 

It is often the case that a "parent of" name overtakes the actual given name of person in popularity, and becomes the person's name for all intents and purposes. Such is indeed the case for the Companions أَبُو هُرَيرَة Abū Bakr and أَبُو مُرْيرَة Abū Hurayrah.

#### 14.8.3. "Son of" names

In a manner similar to "parent of" names, a person may be referred to as the son of his parent. For example, the Companion عُمَر Eumar had a son named عُمْد Eabd Allāh. He is commonly known as  $^2$  اُللَّه Eabd Allāh. He is commonly known as

Attributing a son to his father is most common. But attributing him to a mother or other ancestor is also possible.

#### **Examples:**

- the Companion عَمَّار was affectionately called أَرْبَى سُمَيَّة Ibn Sumayyah "Sumayyah's son" by the Prophet (may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him). His mother Sumayyah was an early martyr in Islām.
- the famous scholar إُبْن كَثِير Ibn Kathir is referred to by his grandfather's name كثير Kathir.
- a human being is called أُبُن آدَم based on his being a descendent of the first man, the Prophet Adam.

#### 14.8.3.1. Full names

The full name of a person is formed by putting his given name first, and then his "son of" name after it as a replacement. Here is an example of a full name:

زَيْدُ بْنُ عَلِيٍّ Zayd the son of Ealī 14.8. Annexed names 189

Note some peculiarities of the full name:

- The name زَيْد "Zayd" has lost its nūnation.
- The word ثن "son" is not written with its initial connecting hamzah أ.

These peculiarities are only when forming a full name in this manner. Consider for example the following sentence:

```
َزَيْدٌ ٱبْنُ عَلِيٍّ.
"Zayd is the son of Ealī."
```

In the above example, the name زَيْدٌ is nūnated and اُبْن is written with its connecting hamzah l̂. Therefore this is not an expression of the full name in a replacee-replacement format. Rather, اُبُنُ أَحْمَد here is the information of the sentence.

For women, the word بنْت is used instead of بْن.

#### Example:

```
قَرَأَتِ ٱلْمُعَلِّمَةُ كِتَابَ ٱلطَّالِبَةِ زَيْنَبَ بِنْتِ أَحْمَدَ.
```

"The teacher read the book of the student Zaynab the daughter of Ahmad."

The names of multiple forefathers may be strung together in this way separated by بُن. For example:

```
ٱِسْمُ نَبِيِّنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عَبْدِ ٱللَّـٰهِ بْنِ عَبْدِ ٱلْمُطَّلِب.
```

"Our prophet's name is Muḥammad the son of Eabd Allāh the son of Eabd al-Muṭṭalib."

(Note that the second بْنِ is in the i-state to match the state of the annexe noun عُبْدِ ٱللَّـٰهِ in عُبْدِ ٱللَّـٰهِ .)

We will deal with complete full names in section @ref(complete-full-names) below.

#### 14.8.4. Other annexed names

Other words besides ٱلْمّ, أَب , عَبْد may be used in annexed names too. Here are some examples:

- کُو ٱلْقَرْنَينِ Dhu l-Qarnayn "He of the two horns"
- مَدِينَة ٱلنَّبِي *madinatu -nnabiyyi* "The City of the Prophet", frequently reduced to simply "al-Madīnah".

Context is used to infer whether by ٱلْمَدِينَة is meant "al-Madīnah" or "the city".

• اَمْرُؤُ ٱلْقَيْس Imru' al-Qays "The man of al-Qays", a pre-Islāmic poet.

### 14.9. Names beginning with ٱلْ

Most names do not begin with الله Some, however, do begin with الله Examples:

al-Ḥasan al-Ḥusayn	al-Zubayr al-Nuɛmān
aı-Ḥusayn al-Eabbās	al-Nueman al-Ḥārith

If a proper noun begins with  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  then the question of its flexibility is mostly irrelevant. This is because noun beginning with with  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  display their state fully, regardless of whether or not they are semi-flexible without the  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$ . Examples:

```
مَّلْ مَن مَّفِيدٌ رَسُولِ ٱللَّهِ صلى اللَّه عليه وسلم.

"al-Ḥasan is the grandson of the messenger of Allāh (may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him)."

(u-state displayed with ُ.)
```

```
َ سَأَلَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ ٱلنُّعْمَانَ عَنْ أَمْرٍ.
"The man asked al-Nuɛmān about a matter."
(a-state displayed with ៍.)
```

```
. ذَهَبُتُ إِلَى بَيْتِ ٱلنُّعْمَانِ
"I went to al-Nuɛmān's house."
(i-state displayed with إِنَّ)
```

Names that begin with اُلْ can sometimes lose their initial الَّالُ Sometimes, this is systematic, as we will lear in section @ref(calling-names-with-al). Other times, it's hard to tell why.

Conversely, names that don't begin with ٱل can sometimes gain it.

#### **Examples:**

- The name of the daughter of the Companion أَبُو ٱلدَّرْدَاء Abu l-Dardāʾ is actually ² أَبُو ٱلدَّرْدَاء Dardāʾ, not أَلدَّرْدَاء.
- The son of the uncle of the Prophet (may Allāh grant peace and confer blessing upon him) ٱلْعَبَّاس (al-Eabbās is called ٱلْعَبَّاس) Ibn ɛabbās, not الْعَبَّاس).

However, the son of ٱلرُّبَيْر al-Zubayr $\mid$  is called ٱلرُّبَيْر Ibn al-Zubayr with the ٱلْرُّبَيْر

14.10. Place names 191

#### 14.10. Place names

Place names are generally feminine. Because of their feminine gender, those not beginning with الله will be semi-flexible according to section @ref(feminine-names) above.

Examples of place names are:

$^2$ مَگَة $^2$	Makkah		al-Madīnah
	Damascus	ٱُلْقَاهِرَة	Cairo
بَغْدَاد²	Baghdād	ٱڵۿٮ۫۫ۮ	India
مِصْر²	Egypt	ٱُلصِّين	China
$^2$ فَارس	Persia	ٱُلرُّوم	Rome
تَبُو <sup>َ</sup> ك ²	Tabūk	ٱُلْبَصْرَة	Baṣrah

#### Example of use:

ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُلُ إِلَىٰ مَكَّةَ ٱلْمُكَرَّمَةِ وَٱلْمَدِينَةِ ٱلْمُنَوَّرَةِ.

While most place names are feminine, a few are masculine. Among these are:

ٱلْيَمَن		the Levant ٱلشَّام
ٱلْعِرَاق	Iraq	Q'

# 14.11. Names of tribes

Here are examples of names of tribes:

	Quraysh		al-Aws
بَنُو تَمِيم	Banū Tamīm		al-Kĥazraj
هَوَازِن² ُ	Hawāzin	بَنُو إِسْرَائِيل²	Banū Isrā'īl

آ [إَسْرَائِيلِ Isrāʾīl is a name of the Prophet 2 يَعْقُوب Yasqūb. The  $\bar{u}n$  sound plural بَنُونَ "sons/children" is annexed to the name إِسْرَائِيلِ Isrāʾīl to get the name of the tribe إِسْرَائِيلِ Banū Isrāʾīl "the children of Isrāʾīl". In the a- and i-states, this becomes بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلِ Banī Isrāʾīl.

Not all tribe names have بَنُونَ "sons" annexed to them, but many do. And often it is optional to keep or drop the annexed بَنُونَ Examples:

- تُرَيْش Quraysh usually does not have بَنُونَ annexed to it.
- بَنُونَ Banū Tamīm may optionally drop the annexed بَنُونَ and be called simply تَمِيم Tamīm.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The man went to the ennobled Makkah and the illuminated al-Madīnah."

#### 14.11.1. Flexibility of tribe names

The flexibility of tribe names depends on the name. Here are some examples:

• ² إِسْرَائِيل Isrāʾīl is a name of foreign origin and is therefore semi-flexible. Example:

. بَعَثَ ٱللَّـٰهُ مُوسَىٰ إِلَىٰ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ. "Allāh sent Mūsā to the children of Isrā'īl."

• تَمِيم Quraysh and تَمِيم Tamīm are native Arabic masculine names and are therefore fully-flexible. Example:

"Quraysh and Banū Tamīm are tribes<sub>2</sub>."

أوف Hawāzin is on the semi-flexible noun pattern <sup>2</sup> فَفَافِف and is therefore semi-flexible.

#### 14.11.2. Gender of tribe names

Tribe names are unusual in that they are treated as both singular feminine and plural masculine. If the tribe name is the doer of a verb then it is usually treated as singular feminine. Otherwise, for example, if it comes before the verb, then the plural masculine pronouns are used for it.

#### Example:

سَكَنَتْ قُرَيْشٌ مَكَّةَ وَعَبَدُوا ٱلْأَصْنَامَ.

"Quraysh dwelled in Makkah and they worshipped idols."

#### 14.12. Titles

Titles are common nouns that denote a rank or position of a person. Titles in English include: Doctor, Mister, and King. For example:

- King David
- · Mr. Smith
- · Dr. Adams

Here are some examples of titles in Arabic:

_
ıām
aykh
āfiḍĥ
ofessor

14.12. Titles 193

Some Arabic titles are left untranslated in English like

- ٱلْإِمَام Imām (a leader)
- ٱلشُّنخ Sĥaykĥ (a venerable man)
- الْحَافظ Hāfidh (one who has memorized, and preserved religious texts)

#### 14.12.1. Titles as replacees

Titles are usually placed in front a proper noun and made definite with if to match the proper noun. For example,

ْ سَأَلَ رَجُٰلٌ ٱلْإِمَامَ مَالِكًا عَنْ أَمْرٍ. "A man asked Imām Mālik about a matter."

In the above sentence, the title ٱلإمَامَ Imām is a replacee and the name مَالِكًا Mālik is the replacement.

Some titles are formed from annexations. Examples:

	the Successor of the	سَيْفُ ٱللَّـٰهِ	the Sword of Allāh
أًمِيرُ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ	Messenger of Allāh the Commander of the Believers	عِمَادُ ٱلدِّينِ	the Pillar of the
أُمُّ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ	the Mother of the Believers	صَلَاحُ ٱلدِّينِ	the Righteousness of the Faith

Example: أُمُّ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ عَائِشَةٌ هِيَ ٱِبْنَةٌ خَلِيفَةِ رَسُولِ ٱللَّهِ أَبِي بَكْرٍ.

"The Mother of the Believers & a'ishah is the daughter of the Successor of the Messenger of Allāh Abū Bakr."

#### 14.12.2. Titles in annexations

Some prominent inanimate objects, like mountains, rivers, and cities, may have titles. For example:

- · Mount Everest
- · the river Nile
- · the city of Damascus

In Arabic, the titles for these objects usually don't occur as replacees as they do for persons. Rather, the title is annexed to the proper noun in an annexation. Examples:

جَبَلُ أُحُدٍ	Mount Uḥud	مَدِينَةُ دِمَشْقَ	
نَهْرُ ٱلنِّيلِ	the river Nile	شَهْرُ رَمَضَانَ	Damascus the month of
يَوْمُ ٱلْجُمُعَةِ	the day of Friday	سُورَةُ ٱلْفَاتِحَةِ	Ramaḍān the Sūrah of al-Fātiḥah

#### Example:

قَرَأَتِ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ سُورَةَ ٱلْفَاتِحَةِ فِي شَهْرِ رَمَضَانَ.

#### 14.13. Nicknames

Nicknames are often given to people. They are usually descriptive of some physical quality or character trait of the person. For example, the Companion Abū Bakr was given the nickname ٱلصِّدِيّ "the steadfast affirmer of the truth".

Nicknames usually come after a person's name as a replacement.

أَبُو بَكَرِ ٱلصِّدِّيقُ هُوَ خَلِيفَةُ رَسُولِ ٱللَّـٰهِ.

"Abū Bakr the steadfast affirmer of the truth is the successor of the messenger of Allāh."

قَرَأً سُلَيْمَانُ ٱلأَعْمَشُ ٱلْقُرْآنَ.

### 14.14. The affiliate adjectival noun

The affiliate adjectival noun is a kind of adjectival noun that indicates an affiliation.

Here are some examples of affiliate adjectival nouns:

 عِرَاقِیّ	an Iraqi	ۊؙؗڔؘۺؚؾۜ	a Qurayshite
مَكِّي	a Makkan		a Tamīmian
دمَشْقیّ	a Damascan	ٳڛ۫ڔؘڶٸؚؾڶؚؾ	an Isrāʾīlite
 شَافِعِيّ	a Shāfiɛite	حَنَفِيّ "	a Ḥanafī
مَالِكِي <u>ّ</u>	a Mālikī	حَنْبَلِيّ	a Ḥanbalī

Note the following about affiliate adjectival nouns:

• Generally, the ending ويّ -iyy is suffixed to a noun to create an affiliate adjectival noun.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The girl read the Sūrah of al-Fātiḥah in the month of Ramaḍān."

<sup>&</sup>quot;Sulaymān the weak-sighted read the Qur'ān."

- The ö ending is removed before adding the "5" -iyy suffix.
- Sometimes there are other internal changes to the word before this suffix is added. For example,
  - قُرَشِيّ becomes قُرَيْش –
- The affiliate adjectival noun may be formed from any of the names of a person. (Usually, one of the more distinctive names is chosen.) For example:
  - A follower of the school of thought of أَلْإِمَام أَبُو حَنِيفَة Imām Abū Ḥanī-fah is called مَنَفِى "a Ḥanafi".
  - A follower of the school of thought of ٱلْإِمَام أَحْمَد بْن حَنْبَلِ Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal is called حَنْبَلِى "a Ḥanbalī".

We will treat adjectival nouns more fully in chapter @ref(the-affiliate-adjective-chapter).

Afflilate adjectival nouns frequently occur with proper nouns. They come after the proper noun as a replacement, and are made definite by اُل to match the proper noun in definiteness. Examples:

"Ibn Kathir the Damascan is an exegete and a historian."

### 14.15. Complete full names

We have already studied how a basic full name is formed in section @ref(full-names). Here, we will expand on that topic.

The complete full name of a person is formed by placing some or all of his different names in a particular order. Each name in the order is a replacement of one of the names before it. Generally, the order is:

- i. Titles
- ii. "Father of" name
- iii. Given name
- iv. "Son of" names
- v. Affiliate names

The nickname's position is variable.

Here are some examples of full names in varying degrees of completeness:

"Eā'ishah is the daughter of the Successor of the Messenger of Allāh, Abū Bakr, the steadfast affirmer of the truth."

```
قَتَلَ أَبُو لُؤُلُؤَةَ ٱلْمَجُوسِيُّ أَمِيرَ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ أَبَا حَفْص عُمَرَ بْنَ ٱلْخَطَّابِ.
```

"Abū Lu'lu'ah, the Magian killed the Commander of the Believers, Abū Ḥafṣ, Eumar the son of al-Khattāb."

ٱلْحَافِظُ ٱلْمُؤَرِّخُ ٱلْمُفَسِّرُ عِمَادُ ٱلدِّينِ أَبُو ٱلْفِدَاءِ إِسْمَاعِيلُ بْنُ عُمَرَ بْنِ كَثِيرٍ ٱلْقُرَشِيُّ ٱلدِّمَشْقِيُّ ٱلشَّافِعيُّ

"The Ḥāfiḍh, the historian, the exegete, the Pillar of the Faith, the father of al-Fidā', Ismā'īl the son of Eumar the son of Kathīr, the Qurayshite, the Damascan, the Shāfiɛite"

(Note how the second بْنِ is in the i-state because it is a replacement of عُمَرَ which is in the i-state because it is a base noun of the first بْنُ.)



### Chapter 15.

### Calling out

#### 15.1. Introduction

When calling out to someone in Arabic, the particle  $\[ \] y\bar{a}$  is usually prefixed to the person's name. For example,

```
اَّلْسَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ يَا زَيْنَبُ.
'assalāmu εalaykum yā zaynabu.
"Peace be upon you, O Zaynab."
```

There are different rules regarding the state markings of the noun following  $y\bar{a}$  and we will describe them in the following sections.

### 15.2. Calling out to specific persons

### 15.2.1. Using single word personal names

When a specific person is called out to, and the name used to call him consists of a single word, then that word shall be in the u-state. The sentence above is an example of this rule where the name زَينَتُ zaynabu "Zaynab" is in the u-state.

If the word would be nūnated, then the nūnation is dropped. So, for example, the name زَيْدٌ zaydun "Zayd" usually is nūnated. But when used for being called out to, the nūnation is dropped and it becomes:

```
اُلسَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ يَا زَيْدُ.
'assalāmu εalaykum yā zaydu.
"Peace be upon you, O Zayd."
```

#### 15.2.2. Using single word indefinite common nouns

The examples above show the person being called out to using a personal name. Instead of a personal name, a common noun can also be used with the same rule. Examples:

```
اُلسَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ يَا غُلَامُ.
'assalāmu ɛalaykum yā ghulāmu.
"Peace be upon you, O you boy."
```

```
اَّلسَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ يَا جَارِيَةُ.
'assalāmu ɛalaykum yā jāriyatu.
"Peace be upon you, O you girl."
```

In English, we have shown that a specific person is being called using the word "you", e.g., "O you boy". Duals and plurals are also allowed, again with the same rule:

```
َيَا رِجَالُ، قَدْ حَدَثَ أَمْرٌ.
yā rijālu qad ḥadatha 'amrun.
"O you men, a matter has occurred."
```

Note how the word رِجَالٌ "men" is not nūnated because the word is used to call out to the specific persons.

Similarly,

```
. يَا لَاعِبَانِ بَدَأَتُّمَا ٱللَّعِبَ وَمَا فَعَلْتُمَا ٱللَّعِبَ وَمَا فَعَلْتُمَا ٱلْعَمَلَ.
yā lācibāni bada'tuma -llaciba wamā facaltuma -lcamal.
"O you players,, you have started playing and you have not done the work."
```

#### 15.2.3. Using single word definite common nouns

When using a common noun to call out to a person, especially if the common noun is a title, it is often desired to make the common noun definite with الَّذُ اللهُ عَلَىٰ عَلَىٰ اللهُ عَلَىٰ عَلَىٰ اللهُ عَلَىٰ عَلَ

```
يَا أَيُّهَا ٱلْأَسْتَاذُ، قَدْ فَعَلْتُ ٱلْوَاحِبَ. 
yā ʾayyuha -l'ustādhu, qad faɛaltu -lwājiba 
"O you the Professor, I have done the obligatory [work]." 
أَنَا سَقِيمٌ أَيُّهَا ٱلطَّبِيبُ.
ʾanā saqīmun, ʾayyuha -tṭabību.
```

If the person being called out to is feminine, then أُتُتُهَا 'ayyuhā is modified to أُتُتُهَا 'ayyatuhā. For example:

```
. أَيُّتُهَا ٱلْمُعَلِّمَةُ، هَـٰذَا كِتَابِي
'ayyatuha -lmuɛallimatu, hādhā kitābi.
"O you the teacher<sub>f</sub>, this is my book."
```

### 15.2.4. Using multiple words

"I am ill, O you the Doctor."

The above discussion pertains to calling out to the addressed person with a single word. Often times a person's name may consist of multiple words. For example:

- عَبْدُ ٱللَّـٰهِ ɛabdu -llāhi "Eabd Allāh"
- أَبُو بَكْرِ <sup>5</sup>abū bakrin "Abū Bakr"
- صَلَاحُ ٱلدِّينِ ṣalāḥu -ddīni "Salāh ad-Dīn"

In this case, then instead of the u-state, the word is put into the a-state. Furthermore, the nūnation, if any, is preserved. Examples:

```
َمَا عَرَفْتُ ذَ ٰلِكَ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ، يَا عَبْدَ ٱللَّهِ.
mā ɛaraftu dhālika -rrajula, yā ɛabda -llāhi.
"I have not recognized that man, O Eabd Allāh."
```

```
َيَا أَبَا بَكْرٍ، أَنْتَ رَجُٰلٌ كَرِيمٌ.
yā ʾabā bakrin, ʾanta rajulun karīmun
"O Abū Bakr, You are a noble man."
```

```
َيَا صَلَاحَ ٱلدِّينِ، صَبَرْتَ فَنَصَرَكَ ٱللَّـهُ.
yā ṣalāḥa -ddīni, ṣabarta fanaṣaraka -llāhu.
"O Salāh ad-Dīn, you were patient so Allāh gave you victory."
```

If, instead of a personal name, a noun phrase consisting of multiple words is used to call out to a person, then in this case as well, the first noun shall be in the a-state. Examples:

```
يَا أَمِيرُ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ، قَدْ حَضَرَ ٱلْقَوْمُ.
yā ʾamīra -lmuʾminīna, qad ḥaḍara -lqawmu.
"O Commander of the Believers, the people are present."
```

```
يَا ٱبْنَ أَخِي، قَدْ سَقَطَ قَلَمُكَ عَلَى ٱلْأَرْضِ.
ya -bna ʾakhī, qad saqaṭa qalamuka.
"O my nephew, your pen has fallen on the ground."
```

```
يَّا تَلَامِيذَ ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ، ٱلْعِلْمُ أَمَانَةٌ.
yā talāmīdha -lmadrasati, -lɛilmu <sup>›</sup>amānatun.
"O pupils of the school, knowledge is a trust."
```

When multiple words are used to call out to a person, the second word in the noun-chain may be a pronoun. Here too, the first noun shall be in the a-state. Examples:

```
يَا أَبانا
yā 'abānā
"O our father"
```

### 15.3. Calling out to unspecified persons

All the discussion so far has pertained to calling out to specific persons. So for example, when you say,

```
َيَا مُسْلِمُ، نَصْرُ ٱللَّـٰهِ قَرِيبٌ.
yā muslimu, naṣru -llāhi qarībun.
"O you Muslim, the victory of Allāh is near."
```

then you are addressing a specific Muslim, who is perhaps in front of you.

If an unspecified person or persons are being called out, then the word used to call out is put into the a-state. Furthermore, the nūnation, if any, is preserved. So if you want to address any unspecific Muslim, you will say:

```
َيَا مُسْلِمًا، نَصْرُ ٱللَّهِ قَرِيبٌ.
yā musliman, naṣru -llāhi qarībun.
"O [any] Muslim, the victory of Allāh is near."
```

If multiple words are used, whether or not the person called out to is specific or unspecified, then too the first noun is put in the a-state.

```
يَا لَاعِبِي لُعَبٍ، ٱلْوَقْتُ ثَمِينٌ.
yā lāɛibī luɛabini, -lwaqtu thamīnun.
"O [any] players of games, time is precious."
```

### 15.4. Omitting يَا *yā*

When calling out to someone, it is permissible to omit the  $\bigcup y\bar{a}$ , especially when the person being called is very near. So, instead of saying,

```
يَا زَيْدُ، سُوْالُكَ جَيِّدُ.
yā zaydu, suʾāluka jayyidun.
"O Zayd, your question is excellent."
```

it is permissible to say:

```
زَيْدُ، سُوَالُكَ جَيِّدٌ.
zaydu, su'āluka jayyidun.
"Zayd, your question is excellent."
```

Note that even when يَا  $yar{a}$  is ommitted the name زَيْدُ zaydu "Zayd" is in the u-state without any nūnation.

This usage is especially common when supplicating to Allāh with the word رُبُّ rabbun "lord", to emphasize the closeness of Allāh to the supplicator. For example,

```
َرَبَّنَا لَكَ ٱلْحَمْدُ.
rabbanā laka -lḥamdu.
"Our Lord, for you is [all] praise."
```

201

### 15.5. Shortening the attached pronoun $_{\zeta}$ $\bar{i}$ "my"

```
يا قَوْمِي
yā qawmī
"O my people"
it is more common to say:
يَا قَوْمِ
yā qawmi
"O my people"
```

This usage is especially common when supplicating to Allāh with the phrase رَبِّ rabbi "my Lord".

### 15.6. Calling out to Allāh by name

When calling out to Allāh by name, it is permissible to prefix the name Allāh with ي. So we can say:

```
يَا أَللَّـٰهُ
yā 'allāhu
"O Allāh"
```

ٱللَّـٰهُمَّ

Note that the word أُللَّـٰهُ  $^{\circ}$ allāhu now has a regular hamzah أ instead of a connecting hamzah أ.

However, instead of saying يَا أَللَّـٰهُ  $y\bar{a}$  'allāhu for "O Allāh", it is in fact more common to use a special word:

```
ُعَالَمُ السَّلَامُ وَمِنْكَ ٱلسَّلَامُ وَمِنْكَ ٱلسَّلَامُ.

Examples:
مُلْتُهُمَّ أَنْتَ ٱلسَّلَامُ وَمِنْكَ ٱلسَّلَامُ وَمِنْكَ ٱلسَّلَامُ.

"O Allāh, You are Peace and from You is peace."

أَللَّهُمَّ أَنْتَ ٱلصَّاحِبُ فِي ٱلسَّفَرِ.
"O Allāh, You are the companion in the journey."
```

Motik in Progress

### Chapter 16.

## Pointing nouns

#### 16.1. Introduction

Consider the following expression:

#### this book

pointing noun	pointed-to noun

The word "this" is what we will call a *pointing noun*. We call it this because we can imagine standing next to a book and pointing to it and saying "this book".

The word "book" here is similarly called the *pointed-to* noun. It refers to the object being pointed to.

### 16.2. The pointing nouns in Arabic

There are two types of pointing nouns:

- i. Near pointing nouns: "this-one" (singular) and "these-ones" (dual and plural).
- ii. Far pointing nouns: "that-one" (singular) and "those-ones" (dual and plural).

The following are the pointing nouns in Arabic:

Participant	State	Near point- ing noun		Far point- ing noun	
sing. masc.	all	هَـٰذَا	this one <sub>m</sub>	ذَ ٰلِكَ	that one <sub>m</sub>
sing. fem.	all	هَـٰذِهِ	this one <sub>f</sub>	تِلْكَ	that one <sub>f</sub>
dual masc.	u	هَـٰذَان	these ones <sub>2,m</sub>	ذَ ٰنِكَ	those ones <sub>2,m</sub>
dual masc.	a,i	ۿؘڂۮؘؽڹؘ	these ones <sub>2,m</sub>	ذَيْنِكَ	those ones <sub>2,m</sub>

		Near point- ing		Far point- ing	
Participant	State	noun		noun	
dual fem. dual fem.	u a,i	هَاتَانِ هَاتَيْن	these ones <sub>2,f</sub> these ones <sub>2,f</sub>	تَانِكَ تَيْنِكَ	those ones <sub>2,f</sub> those ones <sub>2,f</sub>
plural	all	ۿؗۦؙۅ۠ٞڵٳۘۼؚ	these ones <sub>3</sub>	أُولَٰئِكَ	those ones <sub>3</sub>

#### Note the following:

- Many of the pointing nouns contain small alif ். For most of them, this
  is how they must be written. It would be incorrect to write هَـٰـذَا hadhā as
  اهَـٰذَا
- All the near pointing nouns begin with a a. And all the far pointing nouns end with ച.
- The و in أُولَـٰئِكُ 'ulāʾika is silent and not pronounced. That is, the first syllable has a short vowel u, not the long vowel  $\bar{u}$ .
- Most of the pointing nouns are rigid nouns. That is: their endings are not modified for their state.

The dual pointing nouns, however, are flexible nouns, for example: هَـٰذَانِ (u-state) / هَـٰذَيْن hādhayni (a- and i-states).

 The pointing nouns for the plural are the same for both masculine and feminine genders.

### 16.3. Definiteness of pointing nouns

The pointing nouns share some similarities with pronouns, هُوَ , etc. Just like pronouns, pointing nouns, too, are definite nouns even though they don't have ...
أَلْ

Remember, however, from section @ref(describers-with-annexations-to-pronouns), that pronouns may not be describees. Pointing nouns are different from pronouns in this regard. It is allowed to describe a pointing noun with a describer in a noun phrase.

Both these facts will prove useful in the next section.

### 16.4. Pointing noun for plurals of non-intelligent beings

Consistent with how we have been dealing with the so far, , we can choose between the following pointing nouns for the plurals of non-intelligent beings:

	Near point- ing noun	Far point- ing noun			
sing. fem.	all	هَـٰذِهِ	this one <sub>f</sub>	تِلْكَ	that one <sub>f</sub>
plural	all	هَـٰؤُلَاءِ	these ones $_3$	أُولَـٰئِكَ	those ones $_3$

The singular feminine pointing noun is usually preferred, unless the plural plural pointing noun is needed to indicate that there is more than one. We will be giving examples throughout this chapter.

### 16.5. The pointing noun phrase

Remember from chapter @ref(adjectival-nouns-and-descriptive-noun-phrases) that a descriptive noun-phrase consists of a describer and a describee. The describer follows the describer and matches it in definiteness, state, gender, and number.

Here is an example of a descriptive noun-phrase in a sentence.



"I read the small books.")

We will now see how this same descriptive noun-phrase can be used with pointing nouns.

#### 16.5.1. Pointing to a single noun

We will first deal with nouns that are single words, like ٱلۡكِتَابَيْنِ above. In section @ref(pointing-to-an-annexation) below, we will deal with nouns that are part of an annexation, like كِتَّابَى ٱلرَّجُل

### أَلْ 16.5.1.1. The pointed-to noun is definite with

Just like an adjectival noun, a pointing noun can be a describer in a nounphrase. But remember from section @ref(definiteness-of-pointing-nouns) above that pointing nouns are definite. So, if a pointing noun is a describer in a nounphrase, the describee has to be definite too. Example:



"I read these books." (literally: "I read the these-ones books.")

In the above example, the pointed-to noun ٱلْكِتَابُيْنِ is the describee in a descriptive noun-phrase. It is definite, in the a-state, masculine, and dual.

The pointing noun هَـٰذَيْنِ is its describer. It follows the describee and matches it being dual, in the a-state, masculine, and dual.

As a special case, when the pointed-to noun has ٱلَّ (as in this case: ٱلْكِتَابَيْنِ, then the order of the pointing noun and the pointed to noun is permitted to be reversed.

The pointing noun is then a replacee (see section @ref(the-replacement)), and the pointed-to noun is its replacement.

#### Example:



"I read these books." (literally: "I read the books: these-ones.")

In the above example, the pointing noun هَـٰذَيْنِ is a replacee. It is definite, in the a-state, masculine, and dual.

The pointed-to noun ٱلْكِتَابَيْنِ is its replacement. It follows the replacee and matches it being dual, in the a-state, masculine, and dual.

As a matter of fact, even though both orders are permitted, this reverse order of placing the pointing noun first and following it with the pointed-to noun is more common.

Here are some more examples of pointing noun phrases when the pointed-to noun is definite with  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$ :

```
هَـٰذَا ٱلرَّجُلُ ٱلْكَرِيمُ إِمَامٌ.
ٱلرَّجُلُ ٱلْكَرِيمُ هَـٰذَا إِمَامٌ.
"This noble man is an imām."
```

#### 16.5.1.2. The pointed-to noun is a proper noun

Remember that proper noun are definite nouns, even though they usually don't begin with الله . For example:

زَیْد	Zayd	ٱُلْحَارِث	al-Ḥārith
زَيْنَب <sup>2</sup>	Zaynab	قُرَيْشَ	Quraysh

Such names may also be part of a pointing noun phrase. If they don't begin with then only the [pointed-to noun first, then pointing noun] order is permitted. Example:

```
َ زَيْدٌ هَـٰذَا أَخُو زَيْنَبَ تِلْكَ.
"This Zayd is that Zaynab's brother."
قُرَنْشٌ هَـٰؤُلَاءِ سَكَنُوا بِمَكَّةً.
```

"These Ouraysh dwelled in Makkah."

If the name begins with ٱلْ then both orders are permitted.

```
هَـٰذَا ٱلْحَارِث
ٱلْحَارِث هَـٰذَا
"this al-Hārith"
```

#### 16.5.2. Pointing to an annexation

Consider the following expression:

"the man's book"

We can apply the pointing noun "this" to either "the book" or to "the man" in a pointing noun phrase. So we have two options:

- i. "the book of this man"
- ii. "this book of the man"

Similarly, consider the following expression:

```
"Zayd's book"
```

We can, again, apply the pointing noun "this" to either "the book" or to "Zayd":

- i. "the book of this Zayd"
- ii. "this book of Zayd"

In this section we will learn how to construct these pointing noun phrases in Arabic. Arabic uses annexations to express the above meanings. So we will discuss annexations like:

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ "the book of the man"

and

كِتَابُ زَيْدٍ "the book of Zayd"

Note that both the above annexations are definite because their base nouns are definite.

Indefinite annexations like کِتَاب رَجُٰلٍ "a man's book" cannot be used in pointing noun phrases.

16.5.2.1. The definite base noun begins with

We will first consider annexations where the definite base noun begins with أُلُ like:

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ "the book of the man"

16.5.2.1.1. Pointing to the base noun

We would like to express the phrase:

"the book of this man"

In order to point to the base noun ٱلرَّجُكِ "the man" with the pointing noun "this-one<sub>m</sub>", we can put the pointing noun either before or after the base noun, thus:

كِتَابُ هَـٰذَا ٱلرَّجُٰلِ كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ هَـٰذَا "the book of this man"

Both these pointing noun phrases give the same meaning: "the book of this man". However, the first phrase كِتَابُ هَـٰذَا ٱلرَّجُلِ is preferred, consistent with what we learned in section @ref(phrase-single-pointed-to-noun-with-al), above.

The second phrase كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ هَاذَا, although correct, would only rarely be used with this meaning. (In fact, it has another meaning: "this book of the man" which we will learn in section @ref(pointing-to-the-annexe-noun), below.)

Here is how these phrases could be used in complete sentences:

كِتَابُ هَـٰذَا ٱلرَّجُلِ جَدِيدٌ. كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُلِ هَـٰذَا جَدِيدٌ. "The book of this man is new."

Before we give more examples, let's analyze these phrases in detail.

Consider the first pointing noun phrase:



"the book of this man" (literally: "the book of this-one: the man")

As you can see the pointing noun هَـٰـذَا has taken the place of اُلرَّجُل as the base noun in the annexation. In addition to being the base noun, هَـٰـذَا is also a replacee, whose replacement is الَّرَّجُل. The literal, word-for-word, translation of this phrase is:

"the book of this-one: the man"

The more natural translation is:

"the book of this man"

Consider, now, the second pointing noun phrase:

describer 
$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overleftarrow{F} \\ \overleftarrow{F} \\ \overleftarrow{F} \\ \overleftarrow{F} \end{array}\right\}$$
 base-noun  $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \overleftarrow{F} \\ \overleftarrow{F} \\ \overleftarrow{F} \\ \end{array}\right\}$  annexe-noun

"the book of this man" (literally: "the book of the this-one man")

اُلرَّجُل, here, keeps its place as the base noun in the annexation. In addition to being the base noun, اَلرَّجُل is also a describee, whose describer is the pointing noun هَــٰذَا. The literal, word-for-word, translation of this phrase is:

"the book of the this-one man"

The more natural translation is:

"the book of this man"

16.5.2.1.2. Pointing to the annexe noun

Consider, again, the annexation:

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰل

"the book of the man"

We have already discussed how to point to the base noun ٱلرَّجُٰل in a pointing noun phrase. Now, we would like to point to the annexe noun كِتَاب in a pointing noun phrase.

In other words, we would like to express the meaning:

"this book of the man"

The way to express this in Arabic is

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ هَـٰذَا

"this book of the man"

But wait! Didn't we see in section @ref(pointing-to-the-base-noun) above that this expression has the meaning "the book of this man"?

It turns out that this expression supports both meanings.

But it will generally only be used for the meaning: "this book of the man"

In order to express "the book of this man" we will typically use the expression كِتَابُ هَـٰذَا ٱلرَّجُل.

Let's analyze the expression كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُل هَـٰذَا "this book of the man" in detail:



"this book of the man"

(literally: "the this-one book of the man")

بكتّاب, here, is both and annexe noun and a describee. Its describer is the pointing noun اَهَـٰذَا . The literal, word-for-word, translation of this phrase is:

"the this-one book of the man"

The more natural translation is:

"this book of the man"

Here is this pointing noun phrase in a complete sentence:

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُلِ هَـٰذَا أُخْضَرِ.

"This book of the man is green."

Ambiguity of this phrase

A quick note about the ambiguity of this expression:

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُلِ هَـٰذَا

"this book of the man" (usual)

"the book of this man" (rare)

كتَاتُ points to the annexe noun هَـٰذَا points to the annexe noun or the base noun ٱلرَّجُل only exists because the annexe noun and the base noun match each other in gender and number: singular masculine. If the annexe noun and the base noun were different in gender and number, then there would be no ambiguity. Examples:

كِتَابَا ٱلرَّجُٰلِ هَـٰذَانِ "these books<sub>2</sub> of the man"

كِتَابُ ٱلرَّجُلَيْنِ هَـٰذَا "this book of the men<sub>2</sub>"

كتَابُ ٱلْمَرْأَة هَـٰذَا

"this book of the woman"

كتَاتُ ٱلْمَرْأَة هَـٰذه

"the book of this woman

Here are some more examples of pointing to annexe nouns:

ألْ 16.5.2.1.3. The base noun is a proper noun beginning with

Consider the annexation:

كِتَابُ ٱلرُّبَيْرِ "the book of al-Zubayr"

We can apply the preceding discussion of pointing to the annexe noun and base noun to this annexation as well. So we get:

كِتَابُ هَـٰذَا ٱلرُّبَيْرِ "the book of this al-Zubayr"

كِتَابُ ٱلزُّبَيْرِ هَـٰذَا

"this book of al-Zubayr" (usual)

"the book of this al-Zubayr" (rare)

16.5.2.2. The definite base noun does not begin with ٱلْ

Consider, now, that the base noun is definite but does not begin with الله. There are two such types of nouns that we will discuss:

- i. Proper nouns not beginning with ٱلْ
- ii. Pronouns

أَلْ 16.5.2.2.1. The base noun is a proper noun not beginning with

We will first deal with proper nouns that don't begin with اُلْ. Consider the annexation:

```
كِتَابُ زَيْدِ
"the book of Zayd"
```

Because the base noun زَيْد does not begin with أَلْ any pointing nouns can come only after the entire annexation, thus:

In theory, this supports two meanings:

- i. "this book of Zayd"
- ii. "the book of this Zayd"

In practice, however, the first meaning ("this book of Zayd") is much more likely. Pointing to a proper noun in a pointing noun phrase ("the book of this Zayd") is uncommon, generally.

#### 16.5.2.2.2. The base noun is a pronoun

We have also learned, in section @ref(pronouns-as-base-nouns), that a pronoun may be a base noun in an annexation. Example:

```
كِتَابُهُ
"his book"
```

Neither the annexe noun کِتَاب, nor the attached pronoun هُ begin with الَّ . So if we want to add the pointing noun هَـٰذَا to this annexation to form a pointing noun phrase, then we have to place it at the end, after the annexation, thus:

```
كتَائُهُ هَـٰذَا
```

The pointing noun هَـٰذَا, here, is a describee. But what is its describer?

We have also learned, in section @ref(describers-with-annexations-to-pronouns) that pronouns may not be describees in a descriptive noun phrase.

So, we are left with only one option: the annexe noun كِتَاب is the desceibee. And the meaning of the phrase is: كتَائُهُ هَـٰذَا "this book of his"



"this book of his"

Here are some more examples:

### 16.6. Pointing nouns as subjects

Besides their use in pointing noun phrases, pointing nouns are very often used as the subject of a sentence. For example:

$$\left( \vec{\varphi} \right)$$
 information  $\left( \vec{\varphi} \right)$  subject  $\left( \vec{\varphi} \right)$ 

"This is a man." (literally: "This-one is a man.")

The pointing noun is (usually) made to match the information in number and gender. Examples:

هَاتَان جَارِيَتَان. "These are girls<sub>2</sub>."

أُولَٰئِكَ مُعَلِّمُونَ. "Those are teachers."

ُ هُـٰؤُلَاءِ أَقْلَامٌ. "These are pens."

تِلْكَ بُيُوتٌ.

"Those are houses."

هَـٰذَان صَغِيرَان.

"These are small ones<sub>2</sub>."

The information may be a single word (as above) or more complex (as below):

```
َذَ ٰلِكَ أَمِيرُ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَ.

"That is the commander of the believers."

أُولَـٰئِكَ أَكَلْنَ ٱلطَّعَامُ..

"Those-ones ate<sub>3,f</sub> the food."

هُـٰذَا تُوْبُ رَجُٰلٍ.

"This is a man's garment."

هُـٰذِهِ كُنُّبُهُ.

"These are his books."

شَـٰذَانِ بَیْتَانِ کَبِیرَانِ..

"These are big houses<sub>2</sub>."
```

If the information is a noun that begins with  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  then it may be placed after the pointing noun subject in the same manner:

"This is the man." (literally: "This-one is the man.")

While the this is permitted and correct, it may be sometimes confused with for the pointing noun phrase "this man". So, in the same way that we learned in section @ref(chap-smp-sent-sec-def-info), we insert a detached pronoun between the subject and the information, thus:

```
َهُـٰذَا هُوَ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
"This is the man."
```

Here are some more examples:

```
مَا اَلْجَارِيَتَانِ.
"These are the girls<sub>2</sub>."
أُولَـٰئِكَ هُمُ ٱلْمُعَلِّمُونَ.
"Those are the teachers."
هُـٰؤُلَاءِ هُنَّ ٱلْأَقَّلَامُ.
"These are the pens."
تِلْكَ هِيَ ٱلْبُيُوتُ.
"Those are the houses."
هُـٰذَانِ هُمَ ٱلصَّغِيرَانِ.
"These are the small ones<sub>2</sub>."
```

215

#### 16.6.1. Mismatched pointing noun subject

When the pointing noun is a subject we usually match its number and gender with the number and gender of the information, as we have been doing so far. However, when the pointing noun subject refers to a noun in a previous sentence, then we may prefer to match to the previous noun than to the following information. Example:

```
بَلَغَنَا خَبَرُ ٱلْمَطَرِ عَلَى ٱلْجَبَالِ. ذَ ٰلِكَ بُشْرَىٰ لِلزُّرَّاعِ.
```

"The news of the rain on the mountains has reached us. That is a good tiding for the sowers."

Note that the second sentence's subject and information mismatch:

"That is a good tiding."

The information بُشْرَىٰ "a good tiding" is a feminine noun but the subject ذَ لِكَ is masculine. This is because ذَ لِكَ is actually referring to غَبَر in the previous sentence which is a masculine noun.

### 16.7. Pointing nouns as other parts of speech

Besides their use in pointing noun phrases and as subjects, pointing nouns may be used as other parts of speech as well, typically where one would expect pronouns. Here are some examples:

أَخَذْتُ ٱلْكِتَابَيْنِ مِنَ ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ. قَرَأْتُ هَـٰذا وَمَا قَرَأْتُ ذَ ٰلِكَ.

"I took the books<sub>2</sub> from the library. I read this one and I didn't read that one."

شَغَلَنِي ٱلْعَمَلُ ٱلصَّعْبُ وَمَا فَرَغْتُ مِنْ ذَ ٰلِكَ.

"The difficult work occupied me and I did not get done with that."

Work in Progress

# Chapter 17.

# u-state incomplete-action verbs

## 17.1. Introduction

We had mentioned that there are approximately 10 commonly used verb forms. And we have already studied the completed-action verb for form 1. In this chapter we will study incomplete-action form 1 verbs. Incomplete-action verbs are used when the action of a verb is on-going at present or will occur in the future.

### 17.2. Pattern for form 1

Using the root paradigm فعل, we have already seen that completed-action verbs for form 1 occur in the patterns فَعُلَ faɛala, فَعِلَ faɛala, and فَعُلَ faɛula. The patterns for form 1 incomplete-action verbs are يُفْعِلُ yafɛalu, يَفْعِلُ yafɛalu, يَفْعُلُ yafɛalu, يَفْعُلُ yafɛalu.

Note that the incomplete-action verb forms add an extraneous ya- to the beginning of the verb. This extra letter can change, as we will see soon, to the letters  $\ddot{z}$  ta-,  $\ddot{z}$  na, or  $\ddot{z}$  depending on the doer.

## 17.3. Vowel-mark on the middle root letter

We have seen that vowel on the middle root letter in a completed-action verb can vary depending on the verb. So we can have,

- کَتَبَ kataba "he wrote"
- عَمِلَ "he worked"
- کَبُرَ kabura "he became big"

Similarly, the vowel on the middle letter in an incomplete-action verb can also vary depending on the verb. Generally, this will need to be looked up in a dictionary and memorized. But there are the following rules which limit the variation:

- 1. If the completed-action verb has an *a*-mark on the middle letter, the incomplete-action verb's middle letter can have either an *a*-mark, *i*-mark, or an *u*-mark, depending on the verb. For example,
  - کَتَبَ نَكْتُكُ kataba vaktubu "he wrote, he writes"
  - ذَهَتَ يَذْهَتُ مَنْ مُطْمَعُ đhahaba yadh·habu "he went, he goes"
  - کَشَفَ نَکْشفُ kashafa yakshifu "he uncovered, he uncovers"
- 2. If the completed-action verb has an *i*-mark on the middle letter, the incomplete-action verb's middle letter will usually have an *a*-mark. Rarely, for a few verbs, it may be an *i*-mark instead. For example,
  - عَمِلَ يَعْمَلُ "he worked, he works" عَمِلَ يَعْمَلُ
  - مُستُ تَحْستُ hasiba yahsibu "he deemed, he deems"
- 3. If the completed-action verb has an *u*-mark on the middle letter, the incomplete-action verb's middle letter shall have a *u*-mark. For example,
  - کُبُرَ یَکْبُرُ kabura yakburu "he grew big, he grows big"

It is possible for some incomplete-action verbs to have more than option for the vowel mark on the middle letter. Both variants give the same meaning for the verb. For example, the completed-action verb مُسِبُ ḥasiba "he deemed" has as its incomplete-verb both يَحْسِبُ yaḥsibu and يَحْسِبُ yaḥsabu.

### 17.4. Verb state

As you know, nouns in Arabic have a state that is determined by the function of the noun in the sentence. For example, consider the following sentence:

```
. سَأَلَ ٱلْغُلَامُ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ عَنْ شَيْءٍ
sa'ala -lghulāmu -rrajula 'an shay'in.
"The boy asked the man about something."
```

In the above sentence, ٱلْقَادُلَمُ ʾalghulāmu is the doer of the verb so it is in the u-state and this is indicated by the u-mark on its final letter. الْرَجُلَ ʾarrujala is the direct doee of the verb so it is in the a-state and this is indicated by the a-mark on its final letter. هَنَا عَلَمْ عَلَمْ عَلَمْ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ اللهُ عَلَمُ اللهُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ اللهُ عَلَمُ اللهُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ عَلَمُ اللهُ عَلَمُ عَ

As opposed to completed-action verbs, which don't have any state, incomplete-action verbs do have a state which is determinined by the function of the verb in a sentence. Similar to nouns, the state of an incomplete-action verb is indicated by the vowel mark or suffix at the end of the verb.

Incomplete action verbs have three states, just like nouns. These states are called:

- i. The u-state
- ii. The a-state
- iii. The o-state

Two of the states have their names in common with nouns: the u-state and the a-state. The the o-state (null-state) is named differently.

The *u*-mark on the final letter of يَفْعَلُ *yafɛalu* indicates that it is in the u-state. We will study only the u-state of incomplete-action verbs in this chapter. And we will study the a-state and 0-state in later chapters if Allāh wills.

### 17.5. With doer nouns

As with completed-action verbs, doer nouns are placed after the verb in sentence word order. However, the gender of the doer noun affects the beginning of the incomplete-action verb. If the doer noun is masculine, then the incomplete-action verb shall begin with used is  $2 \ ya$ . And if the doer noun is feminine, then the incomplete-action verb shall begin with  $2 \ ta$ . Examples:

```
يَكْتُبُ ٱلْغُلَامُ فِي كِتابِهِ.
yaktubu -l͡ghulāmu fī kitābihi
"The boy writes in his book."
```

يَعْمَلُ ٱلرَّجُلَانِ فِي ٱلْمَدِينَةِ. yaɛmalu -rrajulāni fi -lmadīnati. "The men<sub>dual</sub> work in the city."

َ تُكْتُبُ ٱلْجَارِيَةُ فِي كِتابِهَا. taktubu -ljāriyatu fī kitābihā. "The girl writes in her book."

َتَعْمَلُ ٱلنِّسَاءُ فِي بُيُوتِهِنَّ. taɛmalu -nnisā'u fī buyūtihinna. "The women work in their houses."

## 17.6. With doee nouns and pronouns

Doee nouns and pronouns with incomplete-action verbs work exactly as with completed-action verbs.

```
يُسْأَلُ ٱلْغُلَامُ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ سُؤَالًا.
yas'alu -lghulāmu -rrajula su'ālan.
"The boy asks the man a question."
```

يُسْأَلُهَا ٱلْغُلَامُ سُؤَالًا. yas<sup>3</sup>aluha -lghulāmu su<sup>3</sup>alan. "The boy asks her a question."

## 17.7. With doer pronouns

When we studied completed-action verbs, we saw that doer pronouns are either visible or invisible. Visible doer pronouns are added to the end of the verb, modifying the end of the verb in the process.

The doer pronouns for incomplete-action verbs are different from the doer pronouns for completed-action verbs. Incomplete-action verbs' doer pronouns are also added to the end of the verb, but in addition to modifying the end of the verb, they modify the beginning of the verb as well. Futhermore, additional letters may be added after the doer pronoun to indicate the state of the verb.

We'll show what all this means in the table below of verbs with doer pronouns. Completed-action verbs are included as well so that you can contrast them with their incomplete-action counterparts.

Person	Completed- action doer pronoun	Completed- action verb with doer pronoun	Incomplete- action verb doer pronoun	Incomplete- action verb with doer pronoun in the u-state
he	invisible	أفعَل faɛala	invisible	yafɛalu يَفْعَلُ
she	invisible	faɛalat فَعَلَتْ	invisible	tafɛalu تَفْعَلُ
you <sub>1,m</sub>	ت -ta	faɛalta فَعَلْتَ	invisible	tafɛalu تَفْعَلُ
you <sub>1,f</sub>	<u>ت</u> -ti	faɛalti فَعَلْتِ	<i>ī</i> - ي	tafɛalīna تَفْعَلِينَ
I	تْ tu	faɛaltu فَعَلْتُ	invisible	afɛalu' أَفْعَلُ
they <sub>2,m</sub>	1 -ā	أعَلَا faɛalā	l -ā	yafɛalāni يَفْعَلَان
they <sub>2,f</sub>	l -ā	أغَعَلَتَا faɛalatā	l -ā	tafɛalāni تَفْعَلَانَ
you <sub>2</sub>	<i>tumā</i> تُمَا	faɛaltumā فَعَلْتُمَا	l -ā	tafɛalāni تَفْعَلَانَ
they <sub>3+,m</sub>	9 -ū	فَعَلُوا $faarepsilon alar u$	9 -ū	yafɛalūna يَفْعَلُونَ
they <sub>3+,f</sub>	<i>i -na</i>	أغَعُلْنَ faɛalna	<i>i -na</i>	yafɛalna يَفْعَلْنَ
you <sub>3+,m</sub>	<i>tumā</i> - تُمْ	faɛaltum فَعَلْتُمْ	9 -ū	tafɛalūna تَفْعَلُونَ
you <sub>3+,f</sub>	tunna- تُنَّ -t	faɛaltunna فَعَلْتُنَّ	<i>na</i> ن	tafɛalna تَفْعَلْنَ
we	<i>nā</i> نَا	أaɛalnā فَعَلْنَا	invisible	nafɛalu نَفْعَلُ

### Note the following:

- The verb ثَفْعَلُ is used both for "she" and "you<sub>2m</sub>" doers. Only context will be able to help us differentiate between the two.
- In incomplete action verbs which have invisible doer pronouns, the u-state
  of the verb is indicated by the *u*-mark on the final letter of the verb.

17.8. Future 221

• For incomplete-action verbs that have I, 9, or g as the doer pronoun, the u-state is indicated by an extraneous of added to the end of the verb.

And for the remaining incomplete action verbs whose doer pronoun is 6, there is no indication of the state of the verb.

Here are some examples of the usage of the doer pronouns:

Remember that in Arabic, each verb must have it's own doer, so when there are multiple verbs associated with the same doer, the first verb can be used with the doer noun and the rest with doer pronouns. This is the same behavior as with completed-action verbs. For example:

```
. يَجْلِسُ ٱلرِّجَالُ وَيَأْكُلُونَ وَيَشْرَبُونَ.
yajlisu -rrijālu wa ya'kulūna wa yashrabūna.
"The men sit and (they) eat and (they) drink."
```

### 17.8. Future

The incomplete-action verb is used to express both the present (habitual and progressive) and future tenses. Sometimes all meanings are meant in the same expression. And if only one of the meanings is intended, context can be sufficient to determine which is intended. So, for example,

```
يَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُلُ
yadh·habu -rrajulu.
can mean, either one, or even all, of:
"The man goes" or
```

```
"The man goes." or "The man is going." or "The man will go."
```

Arabic does provide a mechanism for specifying that the use of an incomplete-action verb is solely to intend a future action. This is by means of the particles  $\bar{s}a$ - and  $\tilde{\omega}$  sawfa that can be placed before the verb. They provide a meaning of "will" or "will soon".  $\bar{s}a$ -, being a single letter particle, is attached to the verb.

For example,

```
مَسَيَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُلُ
sayadh habu -rrajulu.
and
سَوْفَ يَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُلُ
sawfa yadh habu -rrajulu.
"The man will go." or
"Soon the man will go."
```

The difference in usage of سَــ sa- and سَوْفَ sawfa can be thought of as one of emphasis. سَوْفَ sawfa is more emphatic than سَــ sa-. This emphasis can translate to more definiteness in the action or even that the action is farther in the future.

### 17.9. Negation

## 17.9.1. Negation using مَا $m\bar{a}$

As with completed-action verbs, incomplete-action verbs too can be negated by placing the particle  $\iota_{\delta}$  before them. This negates the meaning of the verb usually for the present tense. For example,

```
مَا يَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ
mā yadh·habu -rrajulu.
"The man does not go." or,
"The man is not going."
```

## 17.9.2. Negation using لَا $l\bar{a}$

In addition to  $\omega$   $m\bar{a}$ , incomplete-action verbs can be negated using  $\sqrt{l\bar{a}}$  in the same manner. In addition to negating the meaning of the verb for the present tense, it can also negate the meaning for the future tense.

```
لَا يَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُلُ
lā yadh·habu -rrajulu.
"The man does not go." or,
"The man is not going." or,
"The man will not go."
```

The particles  $mac{a}$  and  $mac{a}$  and  $mac{a}$  and  $mac{a}$  and  $mac{a}$  when negating verbs.

## قَدْ 17.10. With

### **TODO**

When negating a resembling verb preceded by قُدْ there is some question about whether قُدْ is retained or dropped, but the stronger opinion seems to be that it may be kept, as proven by the following verse of poetry:

```
وَقَدْ لَا تَعْدَمُ الْحَسْنَاءُ ذَامًا
"And [it] may be [that] the beautiful female does not lack a defect."
(مَام means "defect".)
```

# Chapter 18.

# The verbal-noun of doing

#### 18.1. Introduction

Every verb has a set of *verbal-nouns* derived from it that, despite being nouns, have a verbal meaning to them. One of these verbal-nouns is the "doing" verbal-noun, that we shall study in this chapter.

Consider the following form 1 verb:

Root	Completed-action verb	Incomplete-action verb (u-state)	Doing verbal-noun
ذهب	"he went" ذَهَبَ	"he goes" يَذْهَبُ	"going" ذَهَاب

The doing verbal-noun associated with this verb is  $\vec{dhahab}$ . It denotes "the action of going", or simply "going". In this section we shall learn how this and other verbal-nouns are used.

Before we proceed, we present a new method to present a verb and its meaning in this book. We will often give a new verb in the format:

The completed-action verb for the singular masculine absentee participant "he", the corresponding incomplete-action verb, and their doing verbal-noun are given together, in sequence. The doing verbal-noun is given in the a-state, because of a usage that we shall learn in a later chapter, if Allāh wills. This is how verb definitions are traditionally found in Arabic dictionaries. And the English meaning is given using the dictionary definition, in this case, the phrase: "to go".

## 18.2. Patterns of the doing verbal-noun for form 1 verbs

The patterns of the doing verbal-noun for form 1 verbs are very variable. It is best to learn the doing verbal-noun when you learn a new verb. Having said that, there are some general trends which may be useful to keep in mind:

- 1. If the verb takes a direct doee, then the completed-action verb must necesfaeila (because completed-action فَعِلَ faeala or فَعِلَ faeala (because completed-action verbs of the pattern فَعُلَ faɛula never take a direct doee). In this case:
  - a. The doing verbal-noun for many verbs, in general, tends to be فُعْل fael. Examples:
    - "(.s.th هـ) to open هـ) s.th فَتَحَ نَفْتَحُ فَتْحًا
    - "to take (ھے) s.th.)" أَخَذُ يَأْخُذُ أَخْذًا
    - "to praise (ه s.o.)" حَمدَ يَحْمَدُ حَمْدًا
- 2. If the verb does not take a direct doee, then:
  - a. If the completed-action verb is of the pattern فُعل facila, then:
    - i. If the meaning of the verb does not fall under the cases ii., iii., and iv. (below), then the doing verbal-noun tends to be, in general, of the pattern فَعَل faɛal. Examples:
      - تُعِبَ يَتْعَبُ تَعَبًا "to become tired"
      - ثَرِغَ يَجْزَعُ عَرَعُ to be impatient" خَرِغَ يَجْزَعُ خَزَعًا "to be sorrowful"
    - ii. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes being a color, then the doing verbal-noun is usually of the pattern فُعْلَة fuɛlah. Examples:
      - "to be green خَضرَ يَخْضَرُ خُضْرَةً "
      - "to be brown" سَمِرَ يَسْمَرُ سُمْرَةً
    - iii. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes some work or effort, then the doing verbal-noun tends to be of the pattern فُعُول fueūl. Example:
      - "to arrive" قَدمَ يَقْدَمُ قُدُومًا
    - iv. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes some static quality, then the doing verbal-noun tends to be of the pattern فُعُولَة fuεūlah. Example:
      - "to be dry" يَيسَ يَيْيَسُ يُبُوسَة
  - b. If the completed-action verb is of the pattern فُعَلَ faɛala, then:
    - i. If the meaning of the verb does not fall under the cases ii., iii., and iv. (below), then the doing verbal-noun tends to be, in general, of the pattern فُعُول fuɛūl. Examples:
      - "to sit, stay back" قَعَدَ نَقْعُدُ قُعُودًا
      - "to prostrate down" سَجَدَ يَسْجُدُ سُجُودًا
      - "to be humble" خَضَعَ يَخْضَعُ خُضُوعًا
    - ii. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes an ailment, then the doing verbal-noun is usually of the pattern فُعَال fuɛāl. Examples:
      - "to cough" سَعَلَ نَسْعُلُ سُعَالً
    - iii. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes travelling, then the doing verbal-noun is usually of the pattern فُعِيل faɛīl. Examples:

- "to depart" رَحَلَ يَرْحَلُ رَحيلًا •
- iv. If, instead, the meaning of the verb denotes a sound, then the doing verbal-noun is usually of the pattern فَعيل faɛīl or فُعال fuɛāl, or both. Examples:
  - "to scream" صَرَخَ نَصْرُخُ صَرِيخًا وَصُرَاخًا •
- 3. If the verb denotes a craft or a profession or a rank, then the doing verbalnoun is often of the pattern فَعَالَة fiɛālah. Examples:
  - "to trade" تَجَرَ يَتْجُرُ تِجَارَةً
  - "to be a commander" أَمَ نَأْمَرُ اَمَارَةً
- 4. If the completed-action verb is of the pattern فَعُل faeula, then the doing verbal noun tends to be of the pattern فُعُولَة fuɛūlah or فَعَالَة faɛālah. Examples:
  - أَصْعُبُ صُعُوبَةً "to be difficult"
     شُجُعُ شَجُعُ شَجُعُ شَجْعُ شَجْعُ شَجْعً مَشَجًع مَشْجُعُ شَجَاعَةً

As mentioned earlier, these are only general trends and there are many verbs that have doing verbal-nouns which don't fall under the above rules.

## 18.3. Usage of the doing verbal-noun

# 18.3.1. State and definiteness

The doing verbal noun has properties of a noun, like state and definiteness. But it gives the meaning of a verb. For example, consider the verb ٱكَارُ، أَكْلُ أَنْ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللهِ "to eat". We can use its doing verbal noun in a sentence like this:

```
فَرَغَ زَيْدٌ مِنَ ٱلْأَكْلِ.
faragha zaydun mina -l'akli.
"Zayd got done with eating."
```

Note how the doing verbal noun ٱلْأَكُل 'al'akli gives the meaning of the action of the verb "eating". But since it is a noun, it obeys the rules for nouns, like being in the i-state when preceded by the preposition مِنْ min.

Another point worth noting is that we have made it definite by saying ٱلْأَكُل 'al'akli instead of saying أُكُل 'aklin for the meaning of "eating". This is because, as we explained in section @ref(usage-of-definite-and-indefinite-nouns), the definite noun is usually used in Arabic to give a general meaning, where in English we would not use "the". This may be a good time to re-read that section.

Having said that, the indefnite doing verbal-noun may be used too, and this will give the meaning of "a certain", or "a specific". For example, with the verb عَملَ "to work", we can say: يَعْمَلُ عَمَلًا

. فَرَغَ مِنْ عَمَلٍ صَعْبِ faragha min εamalin ṣaɛbin. "He got done with a [certain] difficult work."

### 18.3.2. With a doer

A doer may be used with the doing verbal-noun to show who is doing the action. In this case, the doing verbal-noun and the doer are usually placed in an annexation. The doing verbal-noun shall be the annexe noun and the doer shall be in the i-state as the base noun in the annexation. For example, consider the verb وَا الله عَلَمُ الله عَلَمُ الله وَالله وَل

َ سَمِعْتُ قِرَاءَةَ زَيْدٍ. samietu qirā'ata zaydin. "I heard Zayd's reading."

The doer may similarly be a pronoun, in which case, as usual, attached pronouns are used. So we can say:

َ سَمِعْتُ قِرَاءَتَهُ. samietu qirā'atahu. "I heard his reading."

## 18.3.3. With an indirect doee

If a verb uses a particular preposition with indirect doees, and the doing verbalnoun of that verb is to be used with an indirect doee, then that same preposition is used with the doing verbal-noun.

For example the verb ذَهَبُ ذَهَابًا "to go" is used with the preposition إِلَىٰ "to" with an indirect doee to give the place to which the doer is going. This same preposition is then used with the doing verbal noun, thus:

تَعِبْتُ مِنَ ٱلذَّهَابِ إِلَىٰ ٱلْمَدِينَةِ ٱلْبَعِيدَةِ. taɛibtu mina -d͡hd̄hahābi ʾila -lmadīnati -lbaɛīdati. "I became tired from going to the far city."

If a doer is used along with the indirect doee, then the doer shall be placed in a noun chain with the doer verbal-noun, as explained in the previous section. For example,

حَزِنْتُ مِنْ ذَهَابِ زَيْدٌ إِلَىٰ مَدِينَةٍ بَعِيدَةٍ. ḥazintu min dhahābi zaydin ʾilā madīnatin baɛīdatin. "I became sad from Zayd's going to a far city."

### 18.3.4. With a direct doee

If a verb takes a direct doee, and we wish to use the direct doee with the verb's doing verbal noun, then we may deal with it in one of three ways:

18.3.4.1. The direct doee in the i-state in an annexation with the doing verbal noun

In the first method, the direct doee is in the i-state as the base noun in an annexation with the doing verbal-noun. This method is used when the doer of the verbal noun is not mentioned with the doing verbal-noun, or when there is no other phrase between the doing verbal-noun and the direct doee. For example,

```
. فَرَغَ زَيْدٌ مِنْ قِرَاءَةِ ٱلْكِتَابِ
faragha zaydun min qirā'ati -lkitābi.
"Zayd got done with reading the book."
```

In this sentence, ٱلْكِتَابِ ʾalkitābi "the book" is the direct doee of the doing verbalnoun قَرْاءَةِ qirāʾati "reading". The doer زُيْدٌ zayd "Zayd" is only mentioned in the beginning of the sentence but not again with the doing verbal-noun. Therefore, the direct doee الْكِتَابِ ʾalkitābi "the book" is allowed to be put in an annexation with the doing verbal noun thus: قِرَاءَةِ ٱلْكِتَابِ qirāʾati-lkitābi "reading the book".

Instead of a noun, the direct doee may be a pronoun instead. For example,

```
. قَرَأُ زَيْدٌ ٱلْكِتَابَ فَفَرَغَ مِنْ قِرَاءَتِهِ.
qara'a zayduni -lkitāba fafaragha min qirā'atihi
"Zayd read the book, and then he got done with reading it."
```

Remember from the previous section, that a doer is handled in the same way with a doing verbal-noun by placing it in an annexation with the doing verbal-noun. So how do we know whether the base noun in an annexation with a doing verbal-noun is a doer or a doee? Well, for many verbs the meaning of the verbal-noun and the noun is sufficient. For example, in the phrase قِرْاءَةِ ٱلْكِتَابِ qirā'ati -lkitābi "reading the book", the meaning of "reading" makes it clear that أَلْكِتَابِ 'alkitābi can only be a doee, because a book can't be the one doing the reading.

But there are some verbs, however, where the meaning of the verbal-noun itself is not sufficient to tell us whether the noun following it in an annexation is a doer or a doee. Consider the verb صَّرَبَ يَضْرِبُ صَرَبُ الله "to beat (o s.o.)". If we form an annexation using its doing verbal-noun, thus: صَرَبُ وَيْدِ darbu zaydin, we cannot know whether Zayd is the doer (the one doing the beating), or the doee (the one getting beaten). In this case, we will need more context to help us determine whether Zayd is the doer or the doee. Here are a few sentences that may help illustrate this point:

ضَرَبَ زَيْدٌ عَمْرًا. سَمِعَ ٱلْأَبُ ضَرْبَ زَيْدٍ فَعَضِبَ عَلَيْهِ. فَنَدِمَ زَيْدٌ مِنْ ضَرْب عَمْرو.

daraba zaydun eamran. samiea -l'abu darba zaydin faghadiba ealayhi. fa nadima zaydun min darbi eamrin.

"Zayd beat Eamr. The father heard Zayd's beating so he became angry with him. So, Zayd became remorseful of beating Eamr."

We can see that the meaning of the sentences help us determine that in the phrase ضَرْبِ عَمْرٍو darba zaydin, Zayd is the doer, and in صَرْبِ عَمْرٍو darbi ɛamrin, Eamr is the doee.

### 18.3.4.2. The direct doee in a-state following the doing verbal-noun

The second way to deal with a direct doee and a doing-verbal noun is to put it in the a-state after the doing verbal-noun. This is usually done when the doer is mentioned with the doing verbal-noun in an annexation with it. The direct doee is then placed after the doer in the a-state. For example, we can re-word the previous example:

اَلْأَبُ ضَرْبِ عَمْرًا فَعَضِبَ عَلَيْهِ. فَنَدِمَ زَيْدٌ عَمْرًا. سَمِعَ ٱلْأَبُ ضَرْبَ زَيْدٍ عَمْرًا فَعَضِبَ عَلَيْهِ. فَنَدِمَ زَيْدٌ عَمْرًا. سَمِعَ ٱلْأَبُ ضَرْبَ زَيْدٍ عَمْرًا فَعَضِبَ عَلَيْهِ. وَمَرْبِ عَمْرًا daraba zaydun ɛamran. samiɛa -l'abu ḍarba zaydin ɛamran faghaḍiba ɛalayhi. fa nadima zaydun min ḍarbihi ɛamran.

"Zayd beat Eamr. The father heard Zayd's beating Eamr so he became angry with him. So, Zayd became remorseful of his beating Eamr."

Notice that in فَرْبِهِ عَمْرًا darbihi  $\varepsilon$ amran "his beating  $\varepsilon$ amr", the doer is a pronoun instead of a noun. This is permissible, and is in line with other usages we have learned so far.

The doee noun in the a-state, too, may be replaced with a pronoun, but just like when the attached doee pronoun is separated from its verb it has to instead be attached to the prefix  $\hat{y}_{ij}$   $\hat{y}_{ij}$ , here too this prefix is used. For example,

أَلِمَ عَمْرُو مِنْ ضَرْبِ زَيْدٍ إِيَّاهُ. 'alima ɛamrun min ḍarbi zaydin 'iyyāhu. "Eamr was in pain from Zayd's beating him."

This usage of putting the direct doee in the a-state after the doing verbal noun is not only done when the doer is mentioned with the doing verbal-noun. But it is also done when the direct doee is separated from the doing verbal-noun by some other words, like a prepositional phrase. For example,

فَرَغْتُ مِنَ ٱلْقِرَاءَةِ فِي ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ كِتَابًا. faraghtu mina -lqirā'ati fi -lmaktabati kitāban. "I got done with reading, in the library, a book."

The prepositional phrase فِي ٱلْمَكْنَبَةِ fi-lmaktabati in the above example is placed between the doing verbal-noun and the doee for effect. It could, of course, also have been placed after the doee, in a more normal fashion. In this case, it would be preferred for the doing verbal-noun and the doee to be placed in an annexation, in the manner we have already learned.

229

. فَرَغْتُ مِنْ قِرَاءَةِ كِتَابٍ فِي ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ faraghtu min qirā'ati kitābin fi -lmaktabati. "I got done with reading a book in the library."

### 18.3.4.3. The direct does in i-state preceded by the preposition $\bigcup li$

The third way to deal with a direct doee and a doing-verbal noun is to put it in the i-state preceded by the preposition  $\bigcup_i li$ . This is usually done in one of the following scenarios:

 When the doing verbal-noun is indefinite and immediately precedes the direct doee. Example:

. فَرَغْتُ مِنْ قِرَاءَةٍ لِلْكُتُبِ faraghtu min qirā'atin lilkutubi. "I got done with a reading of the books."

This sentence can be used to indicate one particular instance of reading the books. As opposed to saying قِرَاءَةِ ٱلْكُتُبِ qirāʾati -lkutubi which would indicate that the reading was general or complete.

When the doer comes between the doing verbal-noun and the doee. Example,

أَلِمَ عَمْرُو مِنْ ضَرْبِ زَيْدٍ لَهُ. 'alima eamrun min ḍarbi zaydin lahu. "Eamr was in pain from Zayd's beating him."

This is as an optional alternative to putting the doee in the a-state, in the manner we have already learned in the previous section:

اَلِمَ عَمْرُو مِنْ ضَرْبِ رَيْدٍ إِيَّاهُ. 'alima ɛamrun min ḍarbi zaydin 'iyyāhu. "Eamr was in pain from Zayd's beating him."

## 18.4. Multiple doing verbal-nouns for the same verb

It is possible, and fairly common, for verbs to have more than one doing verbalnoun. Usually, each of the doing verbal-nouns has its own meaning, distinct from each other.

For example, the verb حَمَلَ يَحْمِلُ حَمْلًا means "to carry (هـ s.th.)" Here is an example of its doing verbal noun in a sentence:

تَعِبَ زَيْدٌ مِنْ حَمْلِهِ لِلْكُتُبِ ٱلثَّقِيلَةِ. taɛiba zaydun min ḥamlihi lilkutubi -ththaqilati. "Zayd became tired from his carrying the heavy books." There exists another meaning for this verb with its own doing verbal-noun: حَمَلَ which means "to launch an attack (عَلَىٰ on s.o.)" Here is an example of its doing verbal noun in a sentence:

دَهِشَ ٱلْقَوْمُ مِنْ حَمْلَةِ ٱلْعَدُوِّ عَلَيْهِمْ.

dahisha -lqawmu min hamlati -leaduwwi ealayhim.

"The people were astonished at the attack launched by the enemy on them."

Sometimes the meaning between the multiple doing verbal-nouns is only slight. Consider, for example, the verb جَهِلَ يَجْهَلُ "to not know, or to be ignorant (هـ) of s.th.)"

It has two doing verbal-nouns: jahl and جَهُالٌ jahlah which have meanings that are close to each other.

جَهْلٌ jahl is the more simple doing verbal-noun used for not knowing something. For example,

مَا فَعَلَ زَيْدٌ ٱلْوَاجِبَ لِجَهْلِهِ إِيَّاهُ.

mā facala zayduni -lwājiba lijahlihi 'iyyāhu.

"Zayd did not do the obligatory [work] because of his not knowing it."

جَهَالَة jahālah has the more abstract meaning of "ignorance". For example,

نَفَرَ ٱلْمُسْلِمُ مِنْ جَهَالَةِ ٱلْمُشْرِكِينَ.

nafara -lmuslimu min jahālati -lmushrikīna.

"The Muslim was repulsed by the ignorance of the pagans."

As a general rule of thumb, the fewer letters in a doing verbal-noun, the simpler its meaning. And doing verbal-nouns of the pattern فَعَالَة  $fae\bar{a}lah$  tend to have an abstract meaning.

## 18.5. Doing verbal-nouns re-used as common nouns

There are many doing verbal-nouns, that in addition to their verbal meaning, are also re-used as common nouns. Their common noun meaning is typically associated, in some manner, with their verbal meaning.

For example, the verb سَأَلَ يَسْأَلُ سُوَّالًا means "to question or ask (ه عن s.o. about s.th.)". The doing verbal-noun سُوَّالٌ su'ālun can be used with its verbal meaning: "questioning". For example,

سَئِمَ ٱلْأَبُ مِنْ كَثْرَةِ سُؤَالِ ٱبْنِهِ إِيَّاهُ.

sa'ima -l'abu min kathrati su'āli -bnihi 'iyyāhu.

"The father became weary from the excessiveness of his son's questioning him."

مُسُوَّالٌ su'ālun, in addition to being a doing verbal-noun "questioning" is re-used as a common noun with the meaning "a question" and the broken plural أَسْئِلَة 'as'ilah "questions". So, for example, we can say: . كَتَبَ ٱلْأَسْتَاذُ سُوَّالًا عَلَى ٱلسَّبُّورَةِ. kataba -l'ustādhu su'ālan ɛala -ssabbūrati. "The professor wrote a question on the board."

## 18.6. Common nouns re-used as doing verbal-nouns

Just as some doing verbal-nouns are re-used as common nouns, there are some common nouns that may be re-used as doing verbal-nouns. For example, the verb فَعُلَ يُفْعُلُ "to do (ه an action)" has the doing verbal-noun فَعُلَ يَفْعُلُ faɛlun.

There is an associated common noun from this root: وَعُـٰلٌ fielun "an act". This common noun is frequently used in place of the doing verbal-noun فَعُـٰلٌ faelun. For example:

طَلَبَ ٱلْأُسْتَاذُ مِنَ ٱلتَّلَامِيذِ فِعْلَ ٱلْوَاجِبِ. talaba -l'ustādhu mina -ttalāmīdha fiela -lwājibi. "The professor wanted from his students the doing of the obligatory [work]."

18.7. TODO

Add multiple doees with masdar

Motk in Progress

# Chapter 19.

# a-state incomplete-action verbs

### 19.1. Introduction

In chapter @ref(u-state-incomplete-action-verbs) we mentioned that incomplete action verbs have three states (like nouns). These states are called:

- i. The u-state
- ii. The a-state
- iii. The o-state

We introduced the u-state incomplete-action verb in chapter @ref(u-state-incomplete-action-verbs). In this chapter we will study the a-state incomplete-action verb.

The u-state incomplete-action verb makes a plain statement. The a-state incomplete-action verb implies a wish or purpose. The a-state incomplete-action verb is used after the following articles:

- an أَنْ •
- lan لَنْ •
- ∪ li
- kay گئ
- hatta حَتّى •
- 'idhan' اذَنْ

We will go over these cases in this chapter.

## 19.2. Forming the a-state incomplete-action verb

Here is the u-state incomplete action verb for the singular masculine absentee participant doer "he":

```
يَفْعَلُ
yafɛalu
"he does"
```

Note that, because it is in the u-state, the its final letter ends with a u-mark  $\circ$ . In order to form the a-state incomplete-action verb, we change the u-mark into a a-mark  $\circ$ , thus:

يَفْعَلَ yafɛala

This is done for all participants whose doer pronoun is invisible and u-state verb ends with a u-mark  $\circ$ .

For participants whose doer pronoun is followed by an extra  $_{\dot{O}}$  in the u-state verb, this final  $_{\dot{O}}$  is dropped in order to form the a-state incomplete-action verb. So, for example, the u-state incomplete-action verb:

يَفْعَلَانِ *yafɛalāni* "they<sub>2 m</sub> do"

becomes, for the a-state:

يَفْعَلَا yafɛalā

Here is the complete table of the a-state incomplete-action verb for all doer participants.

Participant	Incomplete action verb doer prono	incomplete-ac	a-state incomplete-action verb
he	invisible	يَفْعَلُ	 يَفْعَلَ
she	invisible	تَفْعَلُ	تَفْعَلَ
you <sub>1m</sub>	invisible	تَفْعَلُ	تَفْعَلَ
you <sub>1f</sub>	ي	تَفْعَلِينَ	تَفْعَلِي
I	invisible	أَفْعَلُ	يَفْعَلَ تَفْعَلَ تَفْعَلَ تَفْعَلِي أَفْعَلَ يَفْعَلَا يَفْعَلَا
they <sub>2m</sub>	IA Y	أَفْعَلُ يَفْعَلَانِ	يَفْعَلَا
they <sub>2f</sub>	4 D Y	تَفْعَلَان	تَفْعَلَا
$you_2$	T	تَفْعَلَانَ	تَفْعَلَا
they <sub>3m</sub>	9	يَفْعَلُونَ	يَفْعَلُوا
they <sub>3f</sub>	نُ	يَفْعَلْنَ	(same) يَفْعَلْنَ
you <sub>3m</sub>	9	تَفْعَلُونَ	تَفْعَلُوا
you <sub>3f</sub>	نُ	تَفْعَلْنَ	(same) تَفْعَلْنَ
we	invisible	نَفْعَلُ	نَفْعَلَ

### Take note the following:

- The u-state and a-state verbs are the same for the feminine plural absentee and addressee participants:
  - يَفْعَلْنَ (they $_{3f}$ )
  - ر (you<sub>af</sub>) تَفْعَلْنَ –
- The a-state verbs for the masculine plural absentee and addressee participants have a final silent alif:

19.3. After اُأَنْ an 235

- يَفْعَلُوا (they<sub>3m</sub>) – تَفْعَلُوا (you<sub>2m</sub>)
- an' أَنْ 19.3. After

اًنْ 'an "that" is the main article which causes the following incomplete-action verb to be in the a-state. The other articles that we listed in the introduction are all either derived from أُنْ or include its meaning implicitly without expressing it.

# 19.3.1. Basic usage of أُنْ an with the a-state incomplete-action verb

أَنْ often follows verbs that have a meaning of wishing or hoping. For example,

```
. أَمَلَ ٱلطَّالِبُ أَنْ يَنْجَحَ
'amala -ṭṭālibu 'an yanjaḥ.
"The student hoped that he succeed."
```

 $\vec{\nu}$  can be used to negate the following a-state incomplete-action verb.  $\vec{\nu}$  combines with أَنْ and assimilates with it to form أَلَّ 'allā "that not". For example,

```
َأُمَرَ ٱلْأَبُ ٱلِٱبْنَ أَلَّا يَكْسَلَ.
'amara -l'abu li-bna 'allā yaksal.
"The father ordered the son that he not be lazy."
```

Other than this  $\dot{\vec{j}}$  must directly precede the following a-state incomplete-action verb and must not be separated from it.

# 19.3.2. Grammatical equivalence of $\dot{\mathring{\mathbb{D}}}$ clause with a doing verbal noun

In grammatical theory, أَنْ and the following verb form a clause that is equivalent in meaning to the doing verbal-noun of the verb. So in the example, أَمْلَ ٱلطَّالِبُ أَمْلَ ٱلطَّالِبُ, the أَنْ يَنْجَحَ clause is أَنْ يَنْجَحَرَ أَنْ يَنْجَحَرَ أَلْقَالِكُ. So the sentence is grammatically equivalent to

```
أَمَلَ ٱلطَّالِبُ ٱلنَّجَاحَ.
'amala -ṭṭālibu -nnajāḥ.
"The student hoped [for] success."
```

This grammatical equivalence of the  $\mathring{\dot{}}$  clause with a noun aloows the  $\mathring{\dot{}}$  clause to take the place of a noun in various positions in a sentence. So, in the above example, the  $\mathring{\dot{}}$  clause is in place of the direct doee of the verb  $\mathring{\dot{}}$  clause is in place of the direct does of the verb  $\mathring{\dot{}}$ 

"The student hoped that he suceed."

We show other examples below where the  $\mathring{\mathring{\sc i}}$  clause occurs in place of other noun positions.

As the subject:

"That you succeed is the purpose."

which is grammatically equivalent to: .نَجَاحَكَ هُوَ ٱلْمَقْصِدُ.

As the information:

"The purpose is that you succeed."

which is grammatically equivalent to: .أَلْمَقْصِدُ نَجَاحَكَ.

As a doer noun:

"That he [should] go saddens me."

which is grammatically equivalent to: .يَحْزُنُنِي ذَهَابُهُ.

In the i-state as the base noun in an annexation:

"She stayed quiet from fear of that he be angry at her."

which is grammatically equivalent to: سَكَتَتْ مِنْ خَشْيَةِ غَلَيْهَا.

In the i-state after a preposition:

"The boy desired that he eat the food."

which is grammatically equivalent to: .رَغِبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ فِي أَكُل ٱلطَّعَام.

an <sup>'</sup>أَن 'an <sup>'</sup>33.

## أَنْ 19.3.3. Option to drop the preposition before

In the above example the verb رَغِبَ يَرْغَبُ takes an indirect doee after the preposition فِي . In such cases, where the أُنْ clause occurs after a preposition, it is common to drop the preposition as long as there is not resulting confusion in meaning. So, we can also say (without the preposition فِي ) for the same meaning:

.رَغِبَ ٱلْغُلَامُ أَنْ يَأْكُلَ ٱلطَّعَامَ. "The boy desired that he eat the food."

meaning "lest" أَنْ 19.3.4.

Ocassionally, أُنْ is used with the meaning "lest". For example:

. قَتَلْتُ ٱلثُّعْبَانَ أَنْ يَقْتُلَنِي "I killed the serpent lest it kill me."

19.3.5. أُنْ with the completed-action verb

may also occur before a completed-action verb. Example:

َ بَلَغَنِي أَنْ رَجَعْتَ. "That you have returned has reached me."

## أَنْ 19.3.6. Other types of

There are other types of  $\mathring{\mathring{\mathbb{I}}}$  in the Arabic language. They all have the basic meaning "that". But they are used in different grammatical ways.

The أُنْ we have learned here is called the *verbal noun* أَنْ because of the equivalence of its clause with a doing verbal noun.

There is also another type of  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  called the *lightened*  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  that we will learn in section @ref(lightened-an).

There is also the *explanatory*  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  and the *extra*  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  that we will cover in chapter @ref(types-of-an).

## 19.4. After ل *li*

## 19.4.1. The ∪ of purpose

The article الله may be attached to the preposition الله li thus: لِأَنْ li an to give the purpose of the following verb. This  $_{\bigcup}$  may be translated as "so that". For example:

```
. أَكُلَ لِأَنْ يَشْبَعَ
"He ate so that he be sated."
```

When  $\bigcup$  is thus used, is optionally allowed to be dropped while its meaning is retained.  $\bigcup$  is then attached to the verb. So we can say, for the same meaning:

```
. أَكَلَ لِيَشْبَعَ
"He ate so that he be sated."
```

But when using  $\dot{\nu}$  to negate the verb, then أَنْ must be expressed, and the combination of الله , أَنْ , and  $\dot{\nu}$  is written as  $\dot{l}$   $\dot{l}$  i all al

```
. شَرِبَ ٱلْمَاءَ لِئَلَّا يَعْطَشَ
"He drank the water so that he not be thirsty."
```

By the way, the grammatical equivalence of  $\mathring{\dot{}}$  and a following a-state incomplete-action verb with a verbal noun of doing applies also to when  $\dot{\ \ }$  is used before (either an expressed or an implied)  $\mathring{\dot{}}$ . So, for example, if we have a sentence:

```
. قُرَّاً ٱلْكِتَابَ لِيَعْلَمَ مَفْهُومَهُ.
or
قَرَاً ٱلْكِتَابَ لِأَنْ يَعْلَمَ مَفْهُومَهُ.
"He read the book so that he know its meaning."
```

Then, grammatically, أُنْ and what follows it may be expressed with the verbal noun of doing عِلْم thus:

```
قَرَأُ ٱلْكِتَابَ لِعِلْمِ مَفْهُومِهِ.
"He read the book for the knowledge of its meaning."
```

## of denial ل 19.4.2.

There is a specific ل, called the ل of denial, which is used with a-state incomplete-action verbs and the verb كَانَ that we will discuss in section (TODO in كَانَ chapter).

## kay گئ 19.5. After

kay is a preposition similar to إن in meaning. It may be translated as "in order that", or also as "so that". It is also used before the a-state incomplete-action verb. The difference from إن is that, when إن is used with the a-state incomplete-action verb, expressing or dropping the أَنْ was optional. But with رُخي dropping the أَنْ is mandatory, while its meaning is retained. For example:

```
أَكَلَ كَيْ يَشْبَعَ.
```

"He atë in order that he be sated."

 $\dot{ extbf{U}}$  is used, as usual, to negate the verb and is attached to گيْلا thus: گيْلا  $kaylar{a}$ . Example:

شَربَ ٱلْمَاءَ كَيْلَا يَعْطَشَ.

"He drank the water in order that he not be thirsty."

The preposition لِ may be combined with گئ thus: لِكَيْ likay, for more or less the same meaning. For example:

أَكَلَ لِكَيْ يَشْبَعَ.

"He ate in order that he be sated."

With أَنْ . the whole combination is written as لَا الله likaylā. أَنْ must again be not be expressed.

### Example:

شَرِبَ ٱلْمَاءَ لِكَيْلَا يَعْطَشَ.

"He drank the water in order that he not be thirsty."

By the way, گيْ and a following a-state incomplete-action verb are not *directly* replaced by a verbal noun of doing. So, for example, if we have a sentence:

قَرَأً ٱلْكِتَابَ كَيْ يَعْلَمَ مَفْهُومَهُ.

"He read the book in order that he know its meaning."

Then اِي is to be used in place of کيْ if we wish to replace it and what follows with the verbal noun of doing عِلْمz thus:

قَرَأَ ٱلْكِتَابَ لِعِلْم مَفْهُومِهِ.

"He read the book for the knowledge of its meaning."

## 19.6. After حَتَّىٰ hattā

أمّتًى  $hatt\bar{a}$  is a particle that can be used in multiple ways. Its basic meaning is "until" or "to the point of" or "even" where it indicates an extreme limit.

Before we discuss its use with a verb following it, we will take a short digression to discuss its use with a following noun.

## 19.6.1. حَتَّىٰ hattā with a following noun

Consider the following sentence:

```
أَكُلْتُ ٱلسَّمَكَةَ حَتَّىٰ رَأْسِهَا.
"I ate the fish until its head."
```

"until", here, is used as a preposition. Therefore, وَأُس is in the i-state, as the noun following a preposition. The meaning of the sentence is that the fish was eaten all the way to its head. (Whether the head itself was eaten or not is ambiguous. The sentence itself admits both meanings.)

Consider now a variant of this sentence:

```
أَكُلْتُ ٱلسَّمَكَةَ حَتَّىٰ رَأْسَهَا.
"I ate the fish, even its head."
```

ate". The particle أَكُلَ "even", here, is only a connector between the direct doees in much the same way as وَمُثَّىٰ "and". (أَسُّمَكَةُ وَرُأْسَهَا.) "I ate the fish and its head.")

Consider now yet another variant of this sentence:

```
أَكَلْتُ ٱلسَّمَكَةَ. حَتَّىٰ رَأْسُهَا [أَكَلْتُهَا].
"I ate the fish. Even its head [I ate]."
```

Now الله is in the u-state because it is actually the subject of a new sentence, whose information is (an either expressed or implied) وَحَتَّىٰ "I ate it". أَكُلُنُهُا here, serves as an introductory particle to the second subject and does not affect the state of the following noun.

## 19.6.2. حَتَّىٰ ḥattā with a following verb

Just as حَتَّىٰ is used for different purposes with a following noun, so too is it used with different purposes with a verb following it.

19.6.2.1. خَتَّىٰ with a following a-state incomplete-action verb

When مَتَّىٰ is used with an expectation or purpose of a future action of the verb following it, then the verb following it is an a-state incomplete-action verb. This is done in the following two scenarios:

1. When مَتَّىٰ is used to indicate an extreme point at which the action of the following verb would occur, or is meant to occur. Here, مَتَّىٰ may be translated as "to the point of" and the verb following it is translated using "-ing". For example,

```
قَرَأُتُ ٱلْقُرْآنَ مَتَّىٰ أَخْتِمَهُ.
"I read the Qur'ān to the point of finishing it."
```

َيُغْضَبُ حَتَّىٰ يَهْرَبُوا مِنْهُ. "He becomes angry to the point of their fleeing from him."

غَضبَ حَتَّىٰ لَا يَمْلكَ نَفْسَهُ.

"He became angry to the point of not controlling himself."

It is noteworthy that the use of حَتَّىٰ, here, implies only that the following action is meant to occur, or is at the point of being expected to occur. It doesn't actually state that the action will actually occur, for something may prevent it from occurring in reality.1

Note, also, that لَا is not attached to حَتَّىٰ لَا in حَتَّىٰ لَا أَنْ اللهِ أَنْ اللهِ اللهِ

Also, similar to the case of کَيْ, there is an assumed (but mandatorily unexpressed) أَنُ which is the real cause of the following incomplete-action verb being in the a-state. In fact, حَتَّىٰ, here, can be considered synonymous to [the point] that". So the above examples can be considered إلَىٰ أَنْ similar in meaning to:

قَرَأْتُ ٱلْقُرْآنَ إِلَىٰ أَنْ أَخْتَمَهُ.

يَغْضَبُ إِلَىٰ أَنْ يَهْرَبُوا مِنْهُ.

غَضبَ إِلَىٰ أَلَّا يَمْلكَ نَفْسَهُ.

2. When حَتَّىٰ is used with the meaning "to such a purpose that". This is a similar meaning to گئ "in order that". For example,

. اَّذْهَبُ إِلَيْهِ حَتَّىٰ يَأْمُرَنِي بِشَيْءٍ. "I go to him to such a purpose that he order me [to do] something."

ُ وَعَظَ ٱلْأَبُّ ٱبْنَهُ حَتَّىٰ يَصْلُحَ. "The father admonished his son to such a purpose that he be righteous."

Again, there is an assumed (but mandatorily unexpressed) أَنُ which is the real cause of the following incomplete-action verb being in the a-state.

Sometimes, the sentence itself may admit both of the above meanings. For example:

يَأْكُلُ حَتَّىٰ يَشْبَعَ.

"He eats to the point of being full."

"He eats to the purpose that he be full."

Context would be needed to determine which meaning or whether both meanings are intended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sadan, Arik, The subjunctive mood in Arabic grammatical thought, 2012, p. 201

with no effect on the following verb حَتَّىٰ .19.6.2.2

If خَتَّىٰ is not used with any expectation or purpose of a future action of the verb following it, then it has no effect on this verb. (It goes without saying that an implicit أَنْ is not assumed with خَتَّىٰ in this case.)

The verb following حَتَّىٰ in this case may even be a completed-action verb. For example:

ُ أَكُلْتُ ٱلطَّعَامَ حَتَّىٰ شَبِعْتُ. "I ate the food until I became full."

When used with a following incomplete-action verb, the verb is put in the u-state and the meaning is that the action of the verb before مَتَّىٰ was done to such an extent that it caused the action of the verb following مَتَّىٰ to definitely occur. The action before مَتَّىٰ must necessarily be a past action, and the action following مَتَّىٰ must necessarily be a present (not a future) action. For example,

أُكَلْتُ ٱلطَّعَامَ حَتَّىٰ أَشْبَعُ. "I ate the food to such an extent that I am (being) full."

غُضِبَ حَتَّىٰ يَهْرَبُونَ مِنْهُ. "He became so angry that they are fleeing from him."

غَضِبَ حَتَّى لَا يَمْلِكُ نَفْسَهُ. "He became so angry that he is not controlling himself."

Compare these examples with the corresponding ones in the previous subsection that have an a-state incomplete action verb.

## lan لَنْ 19.7. After

أَنْ are combined to form لَنْ lan with the meaning "shall not". لَنْ is used with the a-state incomplete-action verb to emphatically negate the future.

َ لَنْ تَذْهَبَ. "You<sub>ım</sub> shall not go."

# idhan إِذَنْ '19.8. After إِذَنْ

TODO

# ثُمَّ and أَوْ , فَ , and أَوْ , فَ , and

### 19.9.1. As connectors

If the connectors  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and  $\hat{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$  occur after an a-state incomplete-action verb, then a second a-state incomplete-action verb (that doesn't have its own أَنْ, etc.) may be either in the a-state or the u-state. For example,

. أَرْغَبُ أَنْ أَحْضُرَ ٱلْمَجْلِسَ وَأَسْمَعَ أَرْغَبُ أَنْ أَحْضُرَ ٱلْمَجْلِسَ وَأَسْمَعَ. "I desire that I attend the session and [that] I listen."

٥r

. أُرْغَبُ أَنْ أَحْضُرَ ٱلْمَجْلِسَ وَأَسْمَعُ أَنْ أَحْضُرَ ٱلْمَجْلِسَ وَأَسْمَعُ. "I desire that I attend the session and I will listen."

### 19.9.2. With special meanings

وَ , فُ , وَ , and ثُمَّ also cause the following incomplete-action verb to be in the a-state in their own right, not simply as connectors. This is discussed in more detail in chapter TODO.

Joil in P

Motk in Progress

# Chapter 20.

# 0-state incomplete-action verbs

### 20.1. Introduction

In chapter @ref(u-state-incomplete-action-verbs) we mentioned that incomplete action verbs have three states (like nouns). These states are called:

- i The 11-state
- ii. The a-state
- iii. The o-state

We have already studied the u-state of incomplete-action verbs in chapter @ref(u-state-incomplete-action-verbs). And we will defer the study of a-state of incomplete-action verbs to chapter @ref(a-state-incomplete-action-verbs). In this chapter we will study the O-state incomplete-action verb.

We will also study the *verb of command* which is very similar to the o-state incomplete-action verb.

## 20.2. Forming the o-state incomplete-action verb

Here is the u-state incomplete action verb for the singular masculine absentee participant doer "he":

```
يَفْعَلُ
yafɛalu
"he does"
```

Note that, because it is in the u-state, the its final letter ends with a u-mark  $\circ$ . In order to form the o-state incomplete-action verb, we change the u-mark into a o-mark  $\circ$ , thus:

```
يَفْعَلْ
yafɛal
```

This is done for all participants whose doer pronoun is invisible and u-state verb ends with a u-mark  $\circ$ .

For participants whose doer pronoun is followed by an extra  $_{\circlearrowleft}$  in the u-state verb, this final  $_{\circlearrowleft}$  is dropped in order to form the o-state incomplete-action verb. So, for example, the u-state incomplete-action verb:

يَفْعَلَانِ *yafɛalāni* "they<sub>2.m</sub> do"

becomes, for the o-state:

يَفْعَلَا yafɛalā

Here is the complete table of the o-state incomplete-action verb for all doer participants.

Participant	Incomplete- action verb doer pronoun	u-state incomplete-action verb	O-state incomplete-action verb
he	invisible	يَفْعَلُ تَفْعَلُ تَفْعَلُ	َيْفْعَلْ تَفْعَلْ تَفْعَلْ
she	invisible	تَفْعَلُ	تَفْعَلْ
you <sub>1,m</sub>	invisible	تَفْعَلُ	تَفْعَلْ
you <sub>1,f</sub>	ي	تَفْعَلِينَ	تَفْعَلِي
I	invisible	أَفْعَلُ	ً . أَفْعَلُ يَفْعَلَا
they <sub>2,m</sub>	1	أَفْعَلُ يَفْعَلَانِ تَفْعَلَانِ	
they <sub>2,f</sub>	1	تَفْعَلَانَ	تَفْعَلَا
you <sub>2</sub>	1	تَفْعَلَانَ	تَفْعَلَا
they <sub>3+,m</sub>	9	يَفْعَلُونَ	يَفْعَلُوا
they <sub>3+,f</sub>	نَ	يَفْعَلْنَ	(same) يَفْعَلْنَ
you <sub>3+,m</sub>	9	تَفْعَلُونَ	تَفْعَلُوا
you <sub>3+,f</sub>	ΰ	تَفْعَلْنَ	(same) تَفْعَلْنَ
we	invisible	نَفْعَلُ	نَفْعَلْ

### Take note the following:

- The u-state and o-state verbs are the same for the feminine plural absentee and addressee participants:
  - نَفْعَلْنَ (they<sub>3+,f</sub>)
     نَفْعَلْنَ (you<sub>3+,f</sub>)
- The u-state and o-state verbs for the masculine plural absentee and addressee participants have a final silent alif:
  - يَفْعَلُوا (they<sub>3+,m</sub>) – يَفْعَلُوا (you<sub>3+,m</sub>)
- When the 0-state incomplete-action verb ends with a 0-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$ , and the next word begins with a connecting hamzah  $\mathring{1}$  then the 0-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  is converted to an i mark  $\mathring{\circ}$ . For example:

## 20.3. With J for indirect commands

The particle  $\bigcup$  when connected to the front of a incomplete-action verb causes it to be in the o-state and gives it the meaning of an indirect command. In English this can be translated using "should" or "let":

```
لِيَذْهَبِ ٱلرَّجُلُ
"The man should go!"
or
"Let the man go!"
("Let" is being used h
```

("Let" is being used here as a command for the man, not for the addressee of this speech.)

```
لِنَذْهَبْ!
"Let's go!"
```

The indirect command is only rarely used for the addressee participant. Instead, the verb of command is used which we will study in section @ref(verb-of-command) later in this chapter.

The particles  $\dot{\circ}$  "so" and  $\dot{\circ}$  "and" are frequently used before this  $\dot{\cup}$ . The  $\dot{\cup}$  then loses its i-mark and gets a 0-mark. Examples:

```
فَلْنَأَكُّلُ طَعَامَنَا وَلْنَشْرَبْ شَرَابَنَا.
"So let us eat our food and drink our drink!"
لِتَجْلِسُوا عَلَى ٱلْأَرْضِ.
"You should sit on the ground!"
```

## 20.4. With لا for prohibitions

The word  $\dot{V}$  when in front of a 0-state incomplete-action verb gives the meaning of a prohibition. In English this can be translated using "Don't".

For example,

```
لَا تَكْتُبُوا
"Don't write<sub>3,m</sub>!"
يَا زَيْدُ، لَا تَدْخُلِ ٱلْبَيْتَ!
"Don't<sub>1,m</sub> enter the house!"
```

The particles  $\dot{\omega}$  "so" and  $\dot{\varrho}$  "and" may be used before this  $\dot{\omega}$ . Example:

```
فَلَا تَأْكُلْ وَلَا تَشْرَبْ!
"So don't eat<sub>ı.m</sub> and don't drink<sub>ı.m</sub>!"
```

Such prohibitions are generally for the addressee participant. However, rarely, they may be issued for the absentee participant as well. Example:

```
لَايَمْنَعْ زَيْدًا ٱلدُّخُولَ.
"Let him not prevent Zayd from entering!"
```

By the way,  $\dot{V}$  does not force a verb to be in the u-state o-state. We have already seen in section @ref(u-state-verb-negation-la) that  $\dot{V}$  can be used to negate a u-state incomplete-action verb for the present and future tense. Example:

```
لَا يَذْهَبُ ٱلرَّجُلُ
lā yadh habu -rrajulu.
"The man does not go." or,
"The man is not going." or,
"The man will not go."
```

## for "did not" لَمْ

The particle  $\mathring{\nu}$  when in front of an incomplete-action verb causes it to be in the o-state and gives it the meaning of negating the past tense In English this can be translated using "did not". For example,

```
لُمْ يَذْهَبِ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
"The man did not go."
```

We have already learned in section @ref(negating-completed-action-verbs) that the completed-action verb is negated using the particle مَا . For example:

```
مَا ذَهَبَ ٱلرَّجُلُ.
mā dhahaba -rrajulu.
"The man did not go."
or,
"The man has not gone."
```

Both مَا and مَا are used commonly to negate the past tense. مَا has a more emphatic meaning than لَمْ .

Here are some more examples:

## 20.6. With لَمَّا for "did not yet"

The word لَقُا when in front of a O-state incomplete-action verb gives the meaning "did not yet". For example,

```
لَمَّا يَذْهَبْ زَيْدٌ.
"Zayd did not go yet."
```

## 20.7. Other uses of the 0-state incomplete-action verb

The o-state incomplete-action verb is also used for *consequential actions* and in *conditional statements*. We will deal with these in chapters @ref(the-consequential-action) and @ref(conditional-statements) respectively

### 20.8. The verb of command

In order to give a direct command to an addressee, Arabic uses the verb of command. The verb of command is very similar to the O-state incomplete-action verb. The verb of command is only available for the addressee participant.

### 20.8.1. Forming the verb of command

Here is the verb of command for the addressee participants:

Participant	Verb of command
you <sub>1,m</sub>	ٱفْعَلْ ٱفْعَلِي ٱفْعَلَا
you <sub>1,f</sub>	ٱفْعَلِي
you <sub>2</sub>	
you <sub>3+,m</sub>	ٱفْعَلُوا
you <sub>3+,f</sub>	ٱفْعَلْنَ

In order to form the verb of command, we remove the initial  $\odot$  from the addressee participant verb. The verb then begins with an o-mark so we place a connecting hamzah in front of it.

When the verb of command occurs in the beginning of a sentence, then the vowel mark for the connecting hamzah is selected according to the following criteria:

i. When the middle root letter of the verb of command has an *u*-mark &, then the connecting hamzah gets an *u*-mark too. Examples:

Verb of command for "he"
"Look!" أُنْظُرْ
"!Kill!" أُقْتُلْ "Stay!" أُمْكُثْ

ii. Otherwise, when the middle root letter of the verb of command has an *a*-mark  $\circ$  or an *i*-mark  $\circ$ , then the connecting hamzah gets an *i*-mark  $\circ$ . Examples:

Verb	Verb of command for "he"
 عَمِلَ يَعْمَلُ عَمَلًا ذَهَبَ يَذْهَبُ ذَهَابًا	 "Work!" اُِعْمَلْ "Go!" اُذُهَتْ
دهب يدهب دهب جَلَسَ يَجْلِسُ جُلُوسًا	"Sit!" ٱِجْلِسْ

Here are some examples of using the verb of command:

The verb of command is not used to issue negative commands, like "Don't go!". Instead, the 0-state verb is used with  $\hat{\nu}$  as described in section @ref(la-of-prohibition) above.

```
لَا تَذْهَبْ
"Don't go!"
```

### 20.8.2. The verb of command for roots begin with hamzah

Appendix @ref(hamzarules) details the rules for speeling words that contain hamzah generally. In addition to those rules, the verb of command for roots that begin with hamzah warrant additional discussion.

Consider the following form 1 verbs and their verbs of command for the singular masculine addressee doer "he":

Root	Verb	Verb of command
 أمل أذن	"to hope" أَمَلَ يَأْمُلُ أَمَلًا "to permit" أَذِنَ يَأْذَنُ أَذَنًا	ٱؤُمُٰلْ ٱتُٰذَنْ

Here are examples of these verbs of commands in the middle of a sentence:

```
! يَا أُمِّي ٱلْذَنِي لِي ٱللَّعِبَ!
yā 'ummi -'dhanī li -llaɛib!
"O my mother, permit me to play!"
يَا زَيْدُ ٱؤْمُلِ ٱلْخَيْرَ!
yā zaydu -'muli -lkhayr!
"O Zayd, hope for good!"
```

When these verbs of command occur in the beginning of the sentence, then there would be two hamzahs occuring next to each other which is not permitted. So the second hamzah is pronounced as a long vowel, though it may still be written as a hamzah. Examples:

```
أُوْمُلِ ٱلْخَيْرَ يَا زَيْدُ!

'ūmul

not

× 'u'mul

أِنْذَنِي لِي ٱللَّعِبَ يَا أُمِّي!

'îdĥanī

not

× 'i'd͡ħanī
```

As a further complication, when the verb of command is preceded by  $\hat{9}$  "and" or  $\hat{\omega}$  "so" then the connecting *hamza* is not written and the hamzah of the first root letter is written seated on an alif. Examples:

```
وَأَمُّلُ
wa'mul
"And hope!"
فَأُذَنُ
fa'dhan
"So permit!"
```

## 20.8.3. Irregular verbs of command

In addition to the rules states above there are four verbs of command (all containing hamzah) that are irregular. We will discuss them below:

The verbs of command for the following three verbs are irregular:

Root	Verb	Verb of command
 أكل	"to eat" أَكَلَ يَأْكُلُ أَكْلًا	 گڻ
أخذ	"to take" أَخَذَ يَأْخُذُ أَخْذًا	خُذْ
أمر	"to order" أَمَرَ يَأْمُرُ أَمْرًا	مُرْ

As you can see, the initial hamzah has been completely deleted for the verbs of command. However, of these verbs, the verb of command for أَمْرَ يَأْمُرُ أَمْرًا وَ "so". Then, it becomes

```
وَأُمُرْ wa<sup>,</sup>mur
and
فَأُمُرْ fa<sup>,</sup>mur
```

This retaining of the initial hamzah is not done for the other two verbs.

Here are some examples of these verbs of command:

سَأَل 20.8.3.2. The verb

The verb سَأَلَ يَسْأَلُ سُؤَالًا "to question" forms its verb of command both regularly, and irregularly:

i. Regular: ٱسْأَلْ 'is'al ii. Irregular: سَلْ sal

If the verb of command is preceded by  $\hat{9}$  "and" or  $\hat{\bullet}$  "so", then the regular verb of command أَسْأَل 'is'al is often preferred.

Otherwise, the irregular verb of command سَلْ sal is often preferred.

Examples of usage:



# Chapter 21.

# The unknown-doer verb

#### 21.1. Introduction

Consider the sentence:

```
شَربَ زَيْدٌ ٱلْمَاءَ.
shariba zayduni -lmā'.
"Zayd drank the water."
```

In this sentence, شَربَ "Zayd" is the doer of the verb" زَيْدٌ "drank". This construction of the verb, which we have been using so far, is called the known-doer verb construction, because the doer of the verb, in this case "Zayd" is known. Now consider the following sentence:

```
شُربَ ٱلْمَاءُ.
shuriba -lmā'.
"The water was drunk."
```

In this sentence, the doer of the verb, i.e. the person who is doing the action of the verb "to drink", is not mentioned. From the sentence itself it is unknown who the doer is. This construction of the verb is called the unknown-doer verb construction.

# 21.2. Forming the unknown-doer verb

So far we have been studing form 1 verbs, which use only the three root letters. As we know, the vowel on the middle root letter is variable for known-doer verbs, for example:

- "to drink" شَرِبَ يَشْرَبُ
- فَتَحَ يَفْتَحُ "to open" كُبُرَ يَكْبُرُ "to become big"

The pattern of unknown-doer verb for form 1 verbs is always:

فُعلَ يُفْعَلُ fueila yufealu This is regardless of the vowel on the middle root letter in the known-doer verb. If there is more than one verb from the same root, with different middle root letter vowels for the known-doer verb, then they will share the same unknown-doer verb. For example, the known-doer verbs: حَسْبَ يَحْسُبُ "to reckon" and حُسْبَ يَحْسُبُ يُحْسَبُ يُحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ عَصْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ عَلَيْسُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ يَصْبُ يَصْسَبُ يَحْسَبُ عَلَيْسُ عَلَيْ

The same doer pronouns are used for the unknown-doer verb as for the known-doer verb. And the incomplete-action unknown-doer verb has the same three states as the incomplete-action known-doer verb. For example:

- فُعِلُوا "they $_{
  m 3m}$ " (completed-action)
- تُفْعَلَان tufɛalāni "you2" or "they2f" (u-state incomplete-action)
- أُفْعَلَ 'ufɛala "I" (a-state incomplete-action)
- · etc.

The complete table showing the doer pronouns is given in appendix @ref(verb-tables).

# 21.3. The deputy doer

Consider again this sentence with an unknown-doer verb construction:

```
شُرِبَ ٱلْمَاءُ.
deputy doer
```

"The water was drunk."

Note that the noun ٱلْمَاءُ  $^{\prime}$ almā'u "the water" is in the u-state. This is because, in the absence of the doer, the direct-doee of the known-doer verb (ٱلْمَاءُ) is deputized to take doer's place in the u-state. It is then known as the *deputy doer*.

The doer pronouns for the unknown-doer verb shall therefore match the gender and number of the deputy doer. Here are some examples:

```
. شُكِرَتِ ٱلْأُمَّهَاتُ وَمُدِحْنَ
shukirati -l'ummahātu wamudiḥn.
"The mothers were thanked and praised."
. أَنْتُمَا تُغْبَنَانِ وَتُخْدَعَانِ
''antumā tughbanāni watukhdasān.
"You<sub>2</sub> are being cheated and deceived."
```

```
مَا قُطِعَتِ ٱلشَّجَرَةُ.
mā quṭiɛati -shshajarah.
"The tree was not cut."
```

## 21.3.1. The deputy doer for multiple direct doees

Some verbs, in the known-doer construction, take multiple doees. These verbs can be classified into two classes:

a. Verbs that cause the first direct doee to be affected by, or asked for, the second direct doee. Examples:

```
مَلَاً ٱلْغُلَامُ ٱلدَّلْوَ مَاءً.

"The boy filled the bucket (with) water."

مَنَعَ ٱلْمَرَضُ ٱلرَّجُلَ ٱلْعَمَلَ.

"Sickness prevented the man (from) work."

سَأَلَ ٱلْفَقِيرُ ٱلْغَنِيَّ دِرْهَمًا.

"The poor [man] asked the rich [man] (for) a dirham."
```

b. Verbs that signify an action of the heart or of intention, like thinking, deeming, making, etc. Examples:

```
حَسِبْتُ زَيْدًا صَدِيقًا.
"I deemed Zayd [to be] a friend"

وَجَدَتِ ٱلطَّالِبَةُ ٱلْأُسْتَاذَةَ حَلِيمَةً.
"The student<sub>f</sub> found the professor<sub>f</sub> [to be] forbearing."

جَعَلَ ٱللَّـٰهُ ٱلْمَاءَ بَرَكَةً.
"Allāh has made the water a blessing."
```

When such verbs are converted to the unknown-doer verb construction, then one, and only one, direct doee shall be chosen to be the deputy doer. It is generally preferred to make the first direct doee the deputy-doer, and leave the second direct doee, as is, in the a-state. Here are the same sentences in the unknown-doer construction:

```
ثَلُوهُ مَاءً. "The bucket was filled (with) water."

أَمْنِعَ ٱلرَّجُٰلُ ٱلْعَمَلَ.

"The man was prevented (from) work."

سُئِلَ ٱلْغَنِيُّ دِرْهَمًا.

"The rich [man] was asked for a dirham."

خُسِبَ زَيْدٌ صَدِيقًا.

"Zayd was deemed [to be] a friend"

وُحِدَتِ ٱلْأُسْتَاذَةُ حَلِيمَةً.

"The professor was found [to be] forbearing."

جُعِلَ ٱلْمَاءُ بَرَكَةً.

"Water has been made a blessing."
```

# 21.4. Impersonal use

When verbs are used without a direct doee, then their unknown-doer construction gives in an impersonal meaning. There are a few such usages that we will explain in the following subsections.

## 21.4.1. With prepositional phrases

Some verbs take no direct doees, but are used with prepositional phrases. For example,

```
جَلَسَ ٱلنَّاسُ عَلَى ٱلْأَرْضِ.
"The people sat upon the ground."
```

Other verbs, which can take a direct doee, may be used without one, and again with a prepositional phrase instead. For example:

```
.كَتَبَ ٱلْكَاتِبُ بِٱلْقَلَمِ
"The scribe wrote with the pen."
```

When such sentences are converted to the unknown-doer verb construction then the prepositional phrase may be taken as the deputy doer. However, the preposition causes the noun following it to remain in the i-state. So the deputy doer is not indicated by an apparent *u*-mark (or by the other indicators of the u-state). The verb then appears to be in the singular masculine, with its deputy doer following it. For example:

"The ground was sat upon."

```
.
گُتِبَ بِٱلْقَلَمِ
"The pen was written with."
```

## 21.4.2. With adverbs of time or place

Other verbs don't take a direct doee but may be used with an adverb of time or place in the a-state. (We will study adverbs of time and place in chapter @ref(adverbs-of-time-and-place), if Allāh wills.) Here is an example:

"The mother stayed up the Night of Power."

When this sentence is converted to an unknown-doer construction then the adverb of time or place can be taken as the deputy doer in the u-state:

َسُهِرَتْ لَيلَةُ ٱلْقَدْرِ. "The Night of Power was stayed up [in]."

Note the u-state of لَنْلَةُ laylatu as the deputy doer.

## 21.4.3. With the verbal noun of doing

The verbal noun of doing, because it is the action being done may be considered a kind of direct doee. (We will study the use of the verbal noun of doing as a doee in chapter @ref(absolute-doee), if Allāh wills.) For example:

فَهِمَ ٱلشَّيْخُ فَهْمًا عَمِيقًا.

"The old man understood, a deep understanding."

When such sentences are converted to the unknown-doer verb construction, then the verbal noun of doing may be taken as the deputy doer in the u-state.

فَهِمَ فَهُمٌ عَمِيقٌ.

"A deep understanding was understood."

## 21.4.4. Requirement of specialization

When a prepositional phrase, or an adverb of time or place, or a verbal noun of doing is to be used as a deputy doer in an unknown-doer verb construction, then it is required that they be specialized in meaning, and not used in a general sense. So for example, if we have the sentence:

ْ سَهَرَتِ ٱلْأُمُّ لَيلَةً. "The mother stayed up a night."

then because of the non-specialized meaning of لُنْلَةً, such a sentence is typically not suitable for being converted to a unknown-doer verb construction.

# 21.4.5. Choosing the deputy doer

If a sentence is to be converted to an unknown-doer verb construction and it has more than one of the following:

- a direct doee
- · a specialized prepositional phrase
- a specialized adverb of time or place
- · a specialized verbal noun of doing

Then only one shall be chosen as the deputy doer. If a direct doee exists, then it is typically chosen. If a direct doee does not exist, then the one desired to be emphasized may be chosen as the deputy doer. For example:

ُ سُهِرَ فِي ٱلْمَسْجِدِ لَيلَةَ ٱلْقَدْرِ. "The mosque was stayed up in [on] the Night of Power."

was chosen as the فِي ٱلْمَسْجِدِ was chosen as the deputy doer, and the adverb of time لَيْلَةَ remains, as is, in the a-state.

# 21.5. States of the incomplete-action unknown-doer verb

The incomplete-action verb unknown-doer verb has three states, just like the incomplete-action verb known-doer verb: The u-state, the a-state, and the ostate. The three states are used in the same circumstances, and with the same rules that we have already learned.

So the u-state verb is used for making plain statements:

```
تُذْكَرُ قِصَّةُ ٱلْمَلِكِ فِي كُتُبِ ٱلتَّأْرِيخِ.
```

"The story of the king is mentioned in the books of history."

لَا تُرْفَعُ ٱلْأَصْوَاتُ فِي ٱلْمَكْتَبَةِ. "Voices are not raised in the library."

The a-state verb is used for expressing wish or purpose:

يَأْمُلُ ٱلْمُسْلِمُونَ أَنْ يُغْفَرُ لَهُمْ.

"The Muslims hope that they be forgiven."

(Note that تُغْفَرَ has a singular masculine deputy doer because there is no direct doee.)

. لَنْ تُسْمَعَا لَا لَّٰنُ سُمَعًا "You $_2$  shall not be heard."

دُفِعَ ٱلْبَابُ حَتَّىٰ يُفْتَحَ.

"The door was pushed for the result that it open."

Similarly, the o-state verb is used in the following cases:

• With لَمْ was not":

لَمْ يُؤْذَنْ لِي أَنْ أَدْخُلَ. "It was not permitted for me that I enter."

"not vet" لَمَّا with 'mot vet"

لَمَّا يُكْتَبْ كِتَابٌ فِي هَـٰذَا ٱلْمَوْضُوعِ.

"A book has not yet been written in this subject."

• With || for indirect commands:

لِيُسْمَعْ صَوْتُهُ!

"Let his voice be heard!"

There is no verb of command for unknown-doer verbs. So while indirect commands are typically not used for the addressee participant for knowndoer verbs (see section @ref(indirect-commands)), they are the only way to issue commands for the addressee participant in the unknown-doer verb construction:

```
اِتُنْصَرُوا!
"Let you<sub>am</sub> be aided!"
```

# 21.6. Usage of the unknown-doer verb

There are cases where it is permissible to use of the unknown-doer verb construction, and cases where it is *not* permissible to use of the unknown-doer verb construction. We will explain them below:

## 21.6.1. Permissible use of the unknown-doer verb

There can be a number of reasons why a speaker is forced to, or chooses to, use the unknown-doer verb construction. Among these reasons are:

a. When the doer is actually unknown to the speaker. This is the classic usecase, as indicated by the name *unknown-doer verb*. (But, as we shall see below, it is not the only use case.) So, if we say:

```
گُسِرَتِ ٱلزُّجَاجَةُ.
"The glass was broken."
```

then, it may be that we don't know who broke the glass, and that is why we are expressing it in the unknown-doer verb construction.

- b. When the doer is known to the speaker, but he does not wish to make him known to others. So the same sentence above كُسِرَتِ ٱلزُّجَاجَةُ. could be used when the speaker knows that it was actually زَيْد "Zayd", for example, who broke the glass, but the speaker does not wish that others find out that it was Zayd. This itself could be for any reason, for example: the speaker fears Zayd, for fears for Zayd, etc.
- c. When the speaker wishes to draw attention to the act itself rather than the doer of the act. So we might say:

```
مُنِعْنَا ٱلدُّخُولَ.
```

"We have been prevented from entering."

when we wish to focus on the act of our having been prevented, rather than who prevented us.

d. For stylistic reasons, when it is obvious and known who the doer is. For example:

```
خُلِقَ ٱلْإِنْسَانُ مِنْ ْعَجَل
```

"Man was created of haste [i.e., impatience]." (Qur'ān 21:37. Trans. Saheeh International)

In the above 'āyah it is known and obvious that Allāh created man.

e. In order to glorify the doer. So we might the unknown-doer verb construction to say:

```
ُخُلِقَ ٱلْخِنْزِيرُ.
"The pig was created."
```

This could be to distance Allāh from being mentioned next to the name of a particularly dirty animal.

f. When the doer is not mentioned due to contempt for him. For example:

```
قُتِلَ أُمِيرُ ٱلْمُؤُمِنِينَ عُمُرُ.
"The Commander of the believers, Eumar, was killed."
```

In this sentence we chose not to name the killer due to contempt for him.

These reasons are not mutually exclusive, and sometimes the unknown-doer verb construction is used for a combination of them.

## 21.6.2. Impermissible use of the unknown-doer verb

The unknown-doer verb may not be used when the doer is mentioned with the verb. This is different from English which can use the word "by" to indicate the doer in a passive voice construction, as in: "The book was written by Zayd.". Such a sentence, in Arabic can only be expressed with the known-doer verb construction:

```
رَيْدٌ ٱلْكِتَابَ.
kataba zayduni -lkitāb.
"Zayd wrote the book."
```

It may seem like this rule is broken in sentences like:

```
ِ فُتِلَ بِٱلسَّيْفِ.
qutila bi-ssayf.
"He was killed by the sword."
```

But such is not the case. The known-doer verb constuction would be something like:

```
َ قَتَلَهُ فُلَانٌ بِٱلسَّيْفِ.
qatalahu fulānun bi-ssayf.
"So-and-so killed him with the sword."
```

As you can see, ٱلسَّيْف "so-and-so" is actually the doer, and ٱلسَّيْف "the sword" is merely a prepositional phrase indicating the instrument used in the act.

# Chapter 22.

# Additional forms of the verb

#### 22.1. Introduction

So far we have been studying the form 1 verb (وَفَعَلَ يَفُعُلُ ). The form 1 verb is the basic form of the verb. It consists of only the three root letters for the stateless verb.

In this chapter we will learn additional forms of the verb. These forms add extra letters to three root letters. There are about 15 or so additional forms of the verb. But only 10 or so are in common usage.

Learning these additional forms may seem tedious but we advise you to persevere because additional forms of the verb are used very commonly.

The form 1 verb has variability in the middle ( $\varepsilon$ ) letter, which can have either an a, i, or u mark. It also has variability in the pattern its verbal noun of doing. The additional forms have almost no variability of this kind. So, in one sense, the additional forms of the verb are easier than the form 1 verb.

# 22.1.1. Topics to cover

- · usage of the masdar of one form for another form
- افتعل with the meaning of تفاعل and nuances in the meaning

# فَعَّلَ يُفَعِّلُ بُفَعِّلُ 22.2. The form 2 verb

The form 2 verb doubles the middle  $\varepsilon$  letter. The vowel mark on the middle letter does not vary. Here are some examples of form 2 verbs:

Root	Verb	Meaning
علم	عَلَّمَ يُعَلِّمُ	to teach
قتل	قَتَّلَ يُقَتِّلُ	to massacre
كبر	كَبَّرَ يُكَبِّرُ	to magnify, say ٱللَّـٰهُ أَكْبَرُ

## 22.2.1. Meaning patterns

The form 2 verb can denote a strengthening of the meaning. For example,

- "to smash (هـ) s.th.)" كُسَّرَ "to break (هـ) s.th.)"
- (s.o.)" قَتَّلَ "to massacre (ه s.o.)" قَتَلَ "to kill (ه s.o.)"

Often it denotes a causative meaning. For example,

- "to be pure" طَهُرَ "to purify (ھُ مُا نُفَيَر "s.o., s.th.)"
- "to teach (ه د or ه د s.th.)" هُلُمَ "to teach (ه د or ه د s.th.)" عُلَمَ

Note that in such cases, if the form 1 verb is intranstive (e.g. طَهُرَ) then it becomes transitive in form 2 (طَهَّرَ). And if it is transitive in form 1 (e.g. عَلِمَ), then it becomes doubly transitive in form 2 (عَلِمَ).

# فَاعَلَ نُفَاعِلُ 12.3. The form 3 verb

The basic meaning pattern for the form 3 verb is to express or emphasize the relation of the action to someone else.

Sometimes, as an extension of the basic meaning, it expresses vying with someone in the action of the verb. For example:

- قَتَل "to kill (ه s.o.)", قَتَل "to fight (ه s.o., with s.o., or against s.o.)", i.e., "to vie with another to kill him".
- سَبَقَ "to precede (ه ه. هـ) s.o., s.th.)", سَبَقَ "to race (ه s.o.)", i.e., "to vie with another to precede him".

# Chapter 23.

# The verbal-nouns of the doer and the doee

#### FIXME:

- move to later chapter, after شروط إعمال اسم الفاعل because استفهام relies on it.
- add الصفة المشبهبة verb-like descriptive noun and its
- add difference in إعمال اسم الفاعل when it has ال and when without.
- add اسم المفعول acting as verb with اسم المفعول

See + Wright vol. ii. p. 65+, and p. 195. + النحو الوافي vol 3, p. 246+ + Howell vol. 4, p 1606+

#### 23.1. Introduction

In the previous chapter we studied the verbal-noun of doing. In this chapter we shall study two more kinds of verbal-nouns. These are the doer verbal-noun and the doee-verbal noun. These, too, are nouns that can give the meaning of the verb they are derived from. In places, they may even replace the verb, thereby adding some nuances in meaning.

The doer verbal-noun gives the meaning of the doer, that is the person doing the action of the verb. For example, for the verb قُرَأً يَقْرَأُ قِرَاءَةً "to read", the doer verbal-noun is قَرَأً يَقْرَأُ قَرَاءَةً "a reader".

## 23.2. Pattern of the doer verbal-noun

We saw in the previous chapter that the pattern for the doing verbal-noun for form 1 verbs was very variable. In contrast, the pattern for the doer verbal-noun for form 1 verbs is fixed. It is always on the pasttern فَاعِلَ  $f\bar{a}\epsilon il$ . Also, the doer verbal-noun is modified for gender and number. Its forms its feminine by appending  $\dot{a}$  thus: فَاعِلَة It takes sound plurals: the  $-\bar{u}n$  for the masculine, and the  $-\bar{a}t$  plural for the feminine. In many case, it may also have broken plurals. Here is a table showing these modifications for the u-state. You should be able to extend them for the a-state and i-state.

Number	Masculine	Feminine
singular dual	fāɛilun فَاعِلٌ fāɛilāni فَاعِلَان	قَاعِلَةٌ fāɛilatun فَاعِلَتَان fāɛilatāni
plural	فَاعِلُونَ fā $arepsilon$ فَاعِلُونَ	fāɛilātun فَاعِلَاتٌ

#### 23.3. The doer verbal-noun as a noun

Like the doing verbal-noun, the doer verbal noun occupies a place that is between a noun and a verb. The basic, most essential, meaning of the doer verbal noun is that of a noun which denotes the doer of the verb.

So, for example, consider the verb سَأَلَ يَسْأَلُ سُوَّالًا "to question". Its doer verbal-noun is سَائِل. Since it refers to the doer of this verb, we can translate it as "a questioner<sub>m.</sub>".

By itself, the word سَائِل "a questioner" just denotes a noun. It does not indicate when the doer does the action of the verb: has the questioner already asked the question, is he asking it at present, or will he ask it in the future? So, for example, we can say:

```
َ سَيَقْدَمُ سَائِلٌ وَسَيَسْأَلُ سُؤَالًا.
sayaqdamu sāʾilun wasayaqdamu suʾālan.
"A questioner<sub>m.</sub> will arrive and he will ask a question."
```

In the above sentence, the doer verbal-noun is being described as performing the action of the verb in the future.

Here is another example:

```
َ سُأَلَتِ ٱلْفَقِيهَ سَائِلَةٌ عَنْ أَمْرٍ.
sa'alati -lfaqīha sā'ilatun ɛan 'amrin.
"A questioner<sub>f.</sub> asked the jurist about a matter."
```

In the above sentence, the doer verbal-noun is being described as having performed the action of the verb in the past.

Doer verbal-nouns of form 1 verbs, when used with this nounal meaning, often have broken plurals, in addition to their sound plurals. Generally, either could be used in most cases, but the usage of the broken plurals is preferred.

For example, consider the verb قَتْلَ يَقْتُلُ قَتْلُ "to kill (ه s.o.)". Its doer verbalnoun is قَاتِلُ "a killer<sub>m.</sub>". Its sound plural is قَاتِلُ *qātilūna* and its broken plurals are قَتَّلُ *qatalah*. Any of these could be used but the broken plural is often preferred.

```
. هُرَبَ قَتَلَةُ ٱلرَّجُٰلِ إِلَىٰ مَخْبَئِهِمْ
haraba qatalatu -rrajuli ʾilā makhbaʾihim.
"The killers of the man fled to their hideout."
```

## 23.4. The doer verbal-noun as a verb

We have learned that the essential meaning of the doer verbal-noun is the doer of the action of the verb from which it is derived. In addition to this essential meaning, the doer verbal-noun can also be used in place of the verb from which it is derived. This is only done when the verb to be replaced is the incomplete-action verb. The doer verbal-noun does not replace the completed-action verb. We will now explain this usage.

## 23.4.1. Usage of the doer verbal-noun as a present tense verb

Consider the following sentence:

```
َيَذْهَبُ زَيْدٌ إِلَى ٱلْمَدْرَسَةِ.
yadh·habu zaydun 'ila -lmadrasati.
"Zayd goes to school."
```

The above sentence does not explicitly specify whether Zayd is actually going to school at present, or that he goes to school habitually and not necessarily right now.

If we wish to indicate that Zayd is actually going to school at present we can replace the incomplete-action verb with the indefinite doer verbal-noun. So we get:

```
َزَيْدٌ ذَاهِبٌ إِلَى ٱلْمُدْرَسَةِ.
yadh·habu zaydun 'ila -lmadrasati.
"Zayd is going to school."
```

Note that the same preposition إِلَىٰ  $il\bar{a}$  "to" is used with the doer verbal-noun as is used with the verb. Also note that this is now a subject-information sentence instead of a verbal sentence. وَيْدٌ aydun "Zayd" is the subject, and ذَاهِبُ  $dh\bar{a}hibun$  is part of the information.

This usage of the doer verbal-noun to indicate that the action of the verb is ocurring at present is mostly done for what we call *verbs of posture* and *verbs of motion*.

Verbs of posture denote a static position or activity of the doer's body and include verbs like sitting, standing, lying down, sleeping, etc.

Verbs of motion denote a moving action of the doer's body and include verbs like going, coming, running, etc.

```
So, if, for example, we say,
. زَيْنَبُ جَالِسَةٌ عَلَى هَـٰذَا ٱلْكُرْسِيِّ
zaynabu jālisatun ɛala hādha -lkursiyyi.
"Zaynab is sitting on this chair."
```

this indicates that Zaynab is sitting on this chair at present. And if we say,

َ تَجْلِسُ زَيْنَبُ عَلَى هَـٰذَا ٱلْكُرْسِيِّ. tajlisu zaynabu ɛala hādha -lkursiyyi. "Zaynab sits on this chair."

this indicates that Zaynab usually sits on this chair.

If this usage of the doer verbal-noun to indicate a present action is mostly only for verbs of posture and motion, how then do we indicate this distinction for other verbs? We have answered this in section [TODO: add section to incomplete-action verb] where we said that in order to give the meaning that the action of the verb is happening right now, a verbal sentence can be converted to a subject-information sentence.

#### 23.4.2. Usage of the doer verbal-noun as a future tense verb

The doer verbal-noun may be used in place of the verb it is derived from to indicate an intent on the part of the doer, or to indicate that the action will occur in the future.

This usage of the doer verbal-noun is not just for verbs of posture and motion like the present tense usage. Rather, it is for all verbs in general.

And since intention is something that is mostly expressed by the speaker for himself, rather than for someone else, we will often find this usage with the subject to ana "I".

#### 23.4.2.1. With an indirect doee

Here is an example of the usage of the doer verbal-noun as a future tense verb with an indirect doee:

```
. أَنَا ذَاهِبٌ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ صَدِيقِي فِي ٱلصَّبَاحِ.
'ana dhāhibun 'ilā bayti ṣadīqī fī -ṣṣabāḥi.
"I'm going to go to my friend's house in the morning."
```

In the above sentence it is possible for the phrase فِي ٱلصَّبَاحِ fi-ṣṣabāḥi "in the morning" to be ommitted for the same meaning. In that case, surrounding context could tell us that the person is intending to go in the future, and is not actually in the process of going there at present.

Here is another example (by a female speaker):

```
عِنْدِي كُرَةٌ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ فَأَنَا رَاجِعَةٌ إِلَى ٱلْبَيْتِ وَلَاعِبَةٌ بِهَا.
eindī kuratun fi -lbayti fa'ana rājieatun 'ila -lbayti walāeibatun bihā.
"I have a ball at home, so I'm going to go home and play with it."
```

## sawfa سَوْفَ sa- and سَـ sa- and سَـ sa- and مَـوْفَ

We have already learned a method to express a future action using the particles  $\tilde{sa}$  sa- and سَوْفَ sawfa with the incomplete-action verb. So we could also have said:

```
. سَأَذْهَبُ إِلَىٰ بَيْتِ صَدِيقِي
sa'adh·habu 'ilā bayti ṣadīqī.
"I will to go to my friend's house."
```

The difference between using the particles سَـ sa- and سَـوْفَ sawfa and using the doer verbal-noun is that using the doer verbal-noun signifies more emphasis, or, as a possible consequence of the emphasis, that the action is more imminent. That is:

```
... أَنَا ذَاهِبٌ

'ana dhāhibun ...

"I will [definitely] go ..."

or

"I'm going to go ..."

... سُأَذْهَبُ

sa'adh·habu ...

"[Soon] I will go ..."
```

#### 23.4.2.3. With a direct doee

If a verb takes a direct doee, and we wish to use the direct doee with the verb's doer verbal-noun when the doer verbal-noun is acting as a verb, then we may deal with it in one of three ways:

1. The direct doee in a-state following the doer verbal-noun

The most basic method of dealing with a direct doee of a doer verbal noun is by placing it in the a-state right after the doer verbal-noun. Here is an example,

```
قَدْ دَخَلَ ٱلْمَدِينَةَ رَجُٰلٌ شَرِيرٌ. هُوَ قَاتِلٌ سُكََّانَهَا.
qad dakhala -lmadīnata rajulun sharīrun. hua qātilun sukkānahā.
"An evil man has entered the city. He is going to kill its residents."
```

2. The direct doee in i-state annexed to the doer verbal-noun

The combination of the doer verbal-noun and following direct doee in the a-state is often replaced with an annexation of the doer verbal-noun to the i-state direct doee. So, for example, instead of the above example, we can say:

```
قَدْ دَخَلَ ٱلْمَدِينَةَ رَجُلٌ شَرِيرٌ. هُوَ قَاتِلُ سُكَّانِهَا.
qad dakhala -lmadīnata rajulun sharīrun. hua qātilu sukkānihā.
"An evil man has entered the city. He is going to kill its residents."
```

Note that قَاتِلُ سُكَّانِهَا  $qatilu\ sukkaniha$ . can also support the non-verbal meaning of the doer verbal-noun: "killer of its residents", i.e., he has already killed its residents in the past. So, when an annexation is used with a doer verbal-noun, we will often need surrounding context to tell us whether the verbal (incomplete-action) meaning is intended, or the noun meaning.

This usage of annexing the doer verbal-noun to the i-state direct doee instead of employing the more basic usage of the doer verbal-noun and a following a-state direct doee is optional, but fairly common. In fact, when the doer-verbal noun is indefinite and nūnated, and the direct doee begins with  $\hat{J}^{i}$  al, then the annexation usage becomes predominant over the basic a-state usage. So we will be more likely to see:

```
أَنَا فَاعِلُهُ.
'ana fāɛiluhu.
```

#### instead of:

```
أَنَا فَاعِلٌ إِيَّاهُ.
'ana fāɛilun 'iyyāhu.
```

for the meaning: "I will do it." Note again, that the latter sentence could also support the nounal meaning of the doer-verbal noun: "I am its doer.", i.e., "the one who did it."

Similarly, it will be more common to find:

```
هُوَ قَاتِلُ ٱلنَّاسِ.
huwa qātilu -nnāsi.
```

#### instead of:

```
هُوَ قَاتِلُ ٱلنَّاسَ.
huwa qātiluni -nnāsa.
```

for the meaning: "He is going to kill the people." Note, once again, that the former sentence also supports the meaning: "He is the people's killer.", i.e., "the one who killed them", and that context would be needed to tell us which of the two meanings is intended.

The annexation of a doer verbal-noun to its direct doee in the i-state is not the kind of "proper" annexation that we have learned so far. In fact, it is called an *improper annexation* and we shall study it in more detail in chapter TODO, if Allāh wills.

3. Quite similar to what we learned in section @ref(the-direct-doee-in-i-state-preceded-by-the-preposition-%D9%84-li) for doing verbal-nouns, the direct doee can follow the doer verbal-noun in the i-state preceded by the preposition  $\bigcup li$ .

This is often optional, as an alternative to the above two methods. For example,

```
َهُوَ قَاتِلٌ لَهُمْ.
huwa qātilun lahum.
"He will kill them."
```

Using  $\bigcup$  li in this manner is also a technique to move the direct doee before the doer verbal-noun for effect, if desired. For example,

```
َهُوَ لَهُمْ قَاتِلٌ.
huwa lahum qātilun.
"He will kill them"
```

#### 23.4.3. The definite doer verbal-noun as a verb

So far we have seen only an indefinite doer verbal-noun being used with the meaning of an incomplete-action verb. However, the definite doer verbal-noun, too, can give this meaning. The meaning is often in the present tense. Here are some examples:

With an indirect doee:

```
. قَدِمَ زَيْدٌ ٱلذَّاهِبُ إِلَى ٱلْجَامِعَةِ. 
qadima zayduni -dĥdĥāhibu 'ila -ljāmiɛati.
"Zayd, the one who goes to the university, has arrived."
```

With a direct doee in the a-state:

```
. هَرَبْتُ مِنَ ٱلْأَسَدِ ٱلْآكِلُ ٱلْإِنْسَانَ
harabtu mina -l'asadi -l'ākilu -l'insāna.
"I fled from the lion, the one that eats man."
```

With a direct doee in the i-state preceded by the preposition  $\cup$  li:

```
َ سَيَنْجَحُ ٱلطَّالِبُ ٱلتَّارِكُ لِلَّهْوِ.
sayanjaḥu -ṭṭālibu -ttāriku lillahwi.
"The student, the one who leaves idle amusement, will succeed."
```

#### 23.4.4. Plurals of the doer verbal-noun when used as a verb

We mentioned in section @ref(the-doer-verbal-noun-as-a-noun) that doer-verbal nouns when used with their nounal meaning often have broken plurals along with their sound plural. We gave the example of the doer verbal-noun قَتْل qātile" a killer<sub>m.</sub>" with the sound plural is قُتُل qātilūna and the broken plurals قُتُل qūtilūna and the broken plurals قَتُل qatalah.

When the doer verbal-noun is used as a verb, only the sound plural is permitted to be used, and the broken plurals, if any are not used. So we can only say:

```
. هُمْ قَاتِلُونَ ٱلنَّاسَ
hum qātilūna -nnāsa.
and
```

هُمْ قَاتِلُو ٱلنَّاسِ. hum qātilu -nnāsi. for "They will kill the people." not, for example × .هُمْ قُتَّالٌ ٱلنَّاسَ.

(In the second sentence, the  $\dot{}$  of قَاتِلُونَ is ommitted because it is an annexe noun).

## 23.5. The doee verbal-noun

The doee verbal-noun for form 1 verbs is on the pattern مَفْعُول mafɛūl. It carries the meaning of the person or thing to whom the action of the verb has been done. For example, the doee verbal-noun for the verb قَتَلَ يَقْتُلُ قَتْلًا قَتْلًا قَتْلًا مُقْتُول s.o.)" is مَقْتُول and means "a killed person".

## 23.5.1. The plural of the doee verbal noun

The doee verbal-noun almost always takes the sound plurals  $-\bar{u}n$  for masculine intelligent beings, and  $-\bar{a}t$  otherwise. Therefore the plural of the doee verbal-noun مُقْتُولُونَ  $maqt\bar{u}l$  "a killed person<sub>m.</sub>" is مُقْتُولُن  $maqt\bar{u}l\bar{u}na$  "killed persons<sub>m.</sub>" is مَقْتُولُت  $maqt\bar{u}lah$  "a killed person<sub>f.</sub>" is  $maqt\bar{u}lah$  "a killed person<sub>f.</sub>" is

There are a only a few doee verbal-nouns that, as an exception, have broken plurals. The broken plural for these exceptions is than always on the pattern مَفَاعِيلُ mafāɛīl². For example, the doee verbal-noun for the verb لَعَنَ يَلْعَنُ لَعْنًا لَعْنًا لَعْنًا لَعْنًا مَلْعِينَ "to curse (ه s.o.)" is مَلْعِين malēɛīn².

## 23.5.2. Usage of the doee verbal-noun

Much of what has been said regarding the doer verbal-noun applies to the doee verbal-noun as well: The doee verbal-noun may be used with a verbal meaning for the incomplete-action verb only. So if we say:

هُوَ مَقْتُولٌ. huwa maqtūl

with a verbal meaning, then it means "He will be killed." And if we say it using its nounal meaning, then it means "He is the person killed."

Unlike the doer verbal-noun which can take doees, since the doee verbal-noun is itself the doee, there is no question of it taking other doees. So this does simplify matters.

#### 23.5.3. The doee verbal-nouns of indirect doee verbs

.". s.o. about s.th.) سَأَلَ يَسْأَلُ سُوَّالًا سُوَّالًا consider the verb سَأَلَ يَسْأَلُ سُوَّالًا

Here it is used in a sentence:

```
َ سَأَلَ زَيْدٌ زَيْنَبَ عَنْ حَادِثَةٍ.
sa'ala zaydun zaynaba ɛan ḥādithah.
"Zayd questioned Zaynab about an accident."
```

In this sentence, ژَيْدَرُ zaydun "Zayd" is the doer. The corresponding doer verbal-noun that refers to him is سَائِل sā'il "a questioner<sub>m.</sub>". Next, رَيْنَبَ zaynaba "Zaynab" is the direct doee. The corresponding doee verbal-noun that refers to her is مَسْؤُولَ مَسْقُ mas'ūlah "a questioned person<sub>f.</sub>". But how, now, do we refer to the indirect doee: جَادِثَةِ hadithatin "an accident"? The answer is that the doee verbal-noun referring to this indirect doee is مَسْؤُول عَنْهَا mas' $\bar{u}l$   $\epsilon anh\bar{a}$  "a thing questioned about".

Let's analyze this term مَسْؤُول عَنْهَ mas' $\bar{u}l$   $\varepsilon anh\bar{a}$  "a thing questioned about" carefully. The first word is مَسْؤُول mas' $\bar{u}l$  which shall always be singular masculine, regardless of the gender and number of the indirect doee. The second word is  $\varepsilon anh\bar{a}$  "about it". Here عَنْ  $\varepsilon anh\bar{a}$  is the same preposition that has been used with the verb. And هَ is the pronoun that refers to the indirect doee were to change then this would be reflected in this pronoun.

So, for example, if we say,

```
نَظُرَ زَيْدٌ إِلَى ٱلرِّجَالِ.
naḍhara zaydun ʾila -rrijāli.
"Zayd looked at the men."
```

then, the doee verbal-noun that refers to مُنْظُور إِلَيْهِمْ 'arrijāli "the men" is مَنْظُور إِلَيْهِمْ  $man \widehat{q}h \bar{u}r$  'ilayhim "persons<sub>m.</sub> looked at".

If doee verbal-nouns of indirect doees are used in sentences then it is the first word (in this case مَنْظُور manḍ̄hūrun) that changes for definiteness and state (but not for gender or number, as already discussed). Here are some examples:

"to play (هـ) s.th.): ثُعِبَ يَلْعَبُ لَعِبًا troplay (هـ)

```
هَـٰذِهِ ٱلْكُرىٰ هِيَ ٱلْمَلْعُوبُ بِهَا.
hādhi -lkurā hiya -lmalɛūbu bihā.
"These balls are the ones played with."
```

From the verb أَمَرَ يَأْمُرُ أَمْرًا to order (ه s.o. ب to do s.th.)":

```
. فَعَلَ ٱلْغُلَامُ ٱلْمَأْمُورَ بِهِنَّ
faɛala -l͡ghulāmu -lmaʾmūra bihinna.
"The boy did the [things] ordered to do."
```

(Remember that the feminine plural pronouns may be used to refer to plural nonintelligent beings, regardless of their grammatical gender, in order to indicate plurality.)

Having said all this, in practice, you may find that indirect doees are sometimes treated as direct doees when forming their doee verbal-noun. This is especially common when forming plurals for terms that are very common. So instead of referring to "[things] ordered to do" in the above example as ٱلْمَامُورَ بِهِنَ 'alma'mūra bihinna, you may find the word ٱلْمَامُّورَ اللهُ 'alma'mūrāti used instead.

TODO: The doee verbal noun for indirect doees may have some ambiguity with the doee verbal for direct doees. مسؤول عنه can also be "the person who is asked about it" where the pronoun has been substituted for a noun, for example مسؤول عن الأمر In this case it is the word مسؤول عن الأمر "the persons asked about it."

For that matter ساءل عنه is also valid as "the questioner about it".

# 23.6. Doer and doee verbal-nouns re-used as adjectival-nouns

Doer and doee verbal-nouns are often re-used as adjectival-nouns with meanings that are directly formed from their doer and doee meaning respectively. Here are some examples:

Verb	Doer/doee verbal-noun	Adjectival-noun meaning
"to be soft" نَعْمَ يَنْعُمَ نُعُومَةً	نَاعِم	"soft"
"to be dried up" يَبِسَ يَيْبَسُ يُبُوسَةً	يَابِس	"dried up"
"to be present" حَضَرَ يَحْضُرُ حُضُورًا	حَاْضِر	"present
		(attending)"
s.th.)" هـ) to gather هـ) s.th مِمَعَ يَجْمَعُ جَمْعًا	جَامِع	"comprehensive"
"to be shiny" لَمَعَ يَلْمَغُ لَمْعًا ۖ وَلَمَعَانًا	لَامِعَ	"shiny"
"(.s.th هـ) to open" فَتَحَ يَفْتَحُ فَتْحًا	مَفْتُوح	"open"
"to make famous (ه، هـ) s.o., s.th.)"	مَشْهُور	"famous"

# 23.6.1. Genderizability of doer and doee verbal-nouns when re-used as adjectival-nouns

When a doer or doee verbal-noun is re-used as an adjectival-noun, then it generally retains its genderizability. For example,

بَابٌ مَفَتُوحٌ bābun maftūḥun "an open door" and

```
نَافِذَةٌ مَفَتُوحَةٌ
nāfidhatun maftūḥatun
"an open window"
```

If, however, the adjectival-noun is only applicable to females, then, only a female adjectival-noun is formed but, peculiarly, without the feminine marker ة. The most common example is from the verb: "قَمَلُ يَحْمِلُ حَمْلُ يَحْمِلُ حَمْلُ يَحْمِلُ مَالُ عَمْلُ يَحْمِلُ مَالًا "a carrier". The adjectival-noun formed from the doer verbal-noun is "pregnant", but because it is only applicable to females, it does not get the feminine marker  $\ddot{s}$ . For example,

```
ِ ٱَلْمَرْأَةُ حَامِلٌ.
'almar'atu ḥāmil.
"The woman is pregnant."
```

This does not affect the doer verbal-noun when it is not used with this adjectival-noun meaning. For example,

```
أَلْمَرْأَةُ حَامِلَةُ ٱلْمَاءِ.

'almar'atu ḥāmilatu -lmā'.

"The woman will carry the water."

or

"The woman is the water-carrier."
```

# 23.6.2. Corresponding with English adjectives

Sometimes both the doer verbal-noun and the doee verbal-noun are used in Arabic with distinct meanings where we would use the same word in English. For example, the verb عَقَلَ يَعْقِلُ عَقَلُ  $\varepsilon$  eaqala yaeqilu  $\varepsilon$  eaqlan means "to make sense ( $\varepsilon$  of s.th.)". Its doer verbal-noun عَاقِلَ  $\varepsilon$  and may be re-used as an adjectival noun meaning "sensible" when it refers to a person who makes sense of something. For example,

```
َزَيْدٌ غُلَامٌ عَاقِلٌ.
zaydun ghulāmun eāqil.
"Zayd is a sensible boy."
```

Its doee verbal-noun مَحْقُول masqūl means "something which makes sense" and may be re-used as an adjectival noun meaning "sensible" when it refers to a something which makes sense. For example,

```
هُـٰذَا مَنْهُجٌ مَعْقُولٌ.
hādhā manhajun maɛqūl.
"This is a sensible approach."
```

## 23.7. Doer and doee verbal-nouns re-used as common nouns

The doer verbal-noun is often re-used as a common noun with a meaning that is either directly, or indirectly related to the meaning of the verb. For example, the doer verbal-noun of the verb سَأَلُ مُسُوَّالُ سُوَّالُ سُوَّالُ سُوَّالُ شُوَّالُ "a questioner" with the sound plural سُوُّالُ مُعَالِمُ sāʾilūna and the broken plurals سُوَّالُ saʾalah.

The word سَائِل  $s\bar{a}$ 'il "a questioner" is re-used with the meaning "a beggar". The association in meaning is that a beggar continually asks people for money.

The re-use of a doer verbal-noun or doee verbal-noun as a common noun does not prevent it from being used with its doer/doee or verbal meaning any more. مَسَائِل  $s\bar{a}$  may be used to mean both "a questioner" and "a beggar", and context will help us determine which of the meanings is intended.

When a doer verbal-noun is re-used as a common noun then only the broken plural, if it exists, may be used. The sound plural is only permitted to be used if no broken plurals exist. Here are some more examples of doer verbal-nouns re-used as common nouns:

Verb	Doer/doee verbal- noun	Plural	Common noun meaning
"to know (ـه s.th.)" عَلِمَ يَعْلَمُ عِلْمًا	عَالِم	 عُلَمَاء <sup>2</sup>	"a scholar"
"to seek (هـ) s.th.)" طَلَبَ يَطْلُبُ طَلَبًا	طَالِب	عساء طُلَّاب، طَلَىَة	"a student"
"(.s.th هـ) to play شعبُ لَعِبًا	لَاعِب	لَاعِبُونَ	"a player"
هــ to gather" جَمَعُ يَجْمَعُ جَمْعًا s.th.)"	جَامِعَة	جَامِعَات	"a university"
هے) to gather" جَمَعَ يَجْمَعُ جُمْعًا s.th.)"	جَامِع	جَوَامِع²	"a mosque (in which the Friday prayers are performed)"
"to happen" حَدَثَ يَحْدُثُ حُدُوثًا	حَادِثَةٌ	حَوَادِث²	"an accident"
"(.s.th هـ) to drink شَرِبَ يَشْرَبُ شُرْبًا	شَارب	$^2$ شَوَارب	"a moustache"
هـ) to abrade" سَحَلَ يَسْحَلُ سَحْلًا s.th.)"	سَاحَِلُ	سَوَاحَِل²	"a seashore"
هـ) to guarantee" ضَمِنَ يَضْمَنُ ضَمَانًا s.th.)"	مَضْمُوxk	مُضَامِين²	"a content (of a letter, etc.)"
"to enter" دَخَلَ يَدْخُلُ دُخُولًا	دَاخِل	none	"inside"
"to exit" خَرَجَ ۖ يَخْرُجُ ۖ خُرُوجًا	خَارِج	none	"outside"

The last two ذَارِجٌ "inside" and خَارِجٌ "outside" are notable. Here, for example, is how they can be used:

. غَسَلَ ٱلْكُوبَ مِنْ دَاخِلِ ghasala -lkūba min dākhilin. "He washed the tumbler from inside."

# 23.7.1. Genderizability of doer and doee verbal-nouns when re-used as common nouns

When a doer or doee verbal-noun is re-used as a common noun, then it loses its genderizability. For example, if we wish to say "The building is a university." we will say:

```
ٱلْبِنَاءُ جَامِعَةٌ.
'albinā'u jāmiɛah.
"The building is a university."
```

We cannot masculinize جَامِعَة jāmiɛah "a university" to جَامِعة jāmiɛ in order to make it match the gender of بِنَاء  $bin\bar{a}$ " (masc.) "a building". Were we to do so, then جَامِع jāmiɛ would get interpreted with either:

1. Its doer verbal-noun meaning "a gatherer":

"The building is a gatherer."

which doesn't make sense as a sentence.

2. Or, with the common noun meaning of جَامِع  $j\bar{a}mi\epsilon$ , if one happens to exist. There is such a meaning in this case: "a mosque (in which the Friday prayers are performed)". So then we would get:

```
اَّلْبِنَاءُ جَامِعٌ.
'albinā'u jāmiɛun.
```

"The building is a mosque (in which the Friday prayers are performed)."

3. Or, with the adjectival noun meaning of جَامِح jāmie, if one happens to exist. There is such a meaning in this case: "comprehensive". So then we would get:

```
ٱلْبِنَاءُ جَامِعٌ.
'albinā'u jāmiɛun.
"The building is comprehensive."
```

None of these give the original meaning we intended: "The building is a university." So, in summary, once a doer or doee verbal-noun is re-used as a common noun, it loses its genderizability.

Having said this, when a doer verbal-noun is re-used as a common noun that applies to humans, both the masculine and feminine common-noun typically exist together. So for example,

عَالِم arepsilon ais re-used as the common-noun for "a (male) scholar" with the plural عُلَمًاء arepsilon ais arepsilon aic areps

عَالِمَة  $\epsilon \bar{a}$ limah is re-used as the common-noun for "a (female) scholar" with the plural عَالمَات  $\epsilon \bar{a}$ limāt.

In such cases, i.e., when applicable to humans, the dictionary will generally only list, and supply the definition for the masculine common-noun. The reader is expected to know that its feminine exists and how to form it.



# Chapter 24.

# گان The verb

#### 24.1. Introduction

We have learned that a verb must have a doer in the u-state and can have a direct doee in the a-state. In this chapter, we will learn about a new type of verb, whose doer is called its subject, and whose direct doee is called its information.

The principal verb of this type is کَانَ which is used to mean "was". There are other verbs which behave in a similar manner and they are called the *sisters* of  $\dot{\mathcal{O}}$ .

# 24.2. كَانَ, its subject, and its information

Consider the sentence:

ٱلرَّجُٰلُ مُعَلِّمٌ.

"The man is a teacher."

This is a subject-information sentence. ٱلرَّجُلُ is the subject in the u-state, and مُعَلِّمٌ is the information, also in the u-state. Arabic does not, in this case, express any word for "is".

Consider now the following sentence:

direct doee/  
information 
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi_{2} \\ \phi_{3} \\ \phi_{4} \\ \phi_{5} \\ \phi_{5} \\ \end{array} \right\}$$
 subject  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi_{3} \\ \phi_{4} \\ \phi_{5} \\ \phi_{5} \\ \end{array} \right\}$ 

Now, as you can see, Arabic does express a word for "was". It is the past verb  $\hat{b}$   $\hat{b}$  is a hollow verb from the root  $\hat{b}$  . It's resembling verb is  $\hat{b}$   $\hat{b$ 

Doer pronoun	past verb	resembling verb
he she	گَانَ گَانَتْ	 يَكُونُ تَكُونُ

Doer pronoun	past verb	resembling verb
you <sub>1m</sub>	كُنْتَ	تَكُونُ
$you_{1f}$	كُنْتِ	تَكُونُ تَكُونِينَ
I	كُنْتُ	أَكُونُ
they <sub>2m</sub>	گانَا	ىَكُونَان
they <sub>2f</sub>	كَانَتَا	تَكُونَان
you <sub>2</sub>	كُنْتُمَا	تَكُوْنَانَ
they <sub>3m</sub>	كَانُوا	يَكُونُونَ
they <sub>3f</sub>	ػ۠ؾۜۘ	ِ ي <del>َ</del> كُٰنَّ
you <sub>3m</sub>	كْنْتُمْ	تَكُونُونَ
you <sub>3f</sub>	كْنْتُنَّ	تَكُنَّ
we	كُنَّا	نَكُونُ

Like, for other verbs, the doer of ٱلرَّجُلُ, أَلَا is in the u-state, and and its direct doee, مُعَلِّمًا, is in the a-state.

However, unlike most other verbs, the doer of ٱلرَّجُٰلُ , أَن is also called its subject and its direct doee , مُعَلِّمًا, is also called its information. 1

So a sentence with  $\tilde{\partial}$  used in this way is a subject-information sentence. If it begins with  $\tilde{\partial}$  then it is also a verbal sentence at the same time.

This property also applies to the sisters of  $\Im U$  that we will learn later in this chapter. Together, these verbs are also called *deficient* verbs, because, besides their doer/subject, they also need an information to complete the meaning of the sentence. That is, without the information, the sentence is deficient.

"Is" subject-information sentences can be converted to "was" subject-information sentences using the verb آران Here are some examples:

"is"	"was"
زَيْنَبُ جَائِعَةٌ.	كَانَتْ زَيْنَبُ جَائِعَةً.
"Zaynab is hungry."	"Zaynab was hungry."
ٱٞلْغُلَامُ زَيْدٌ.	كَانَ ٱلْغُلَامُ زَيْدًا.
"The boy is Zayd."	"The boy was Zayd."
ٱۘلنِّسَاءُ فِي بُيُوتِهِنَّ.	كَانَتْ ٱلنِّسَاءُ فِي بُيُوتِهِنَّ.
"The women are in their houses."	"The women were in their houses."
هُمْ مَسْرُورُونَ وَفَرحُونَ.	گانُوا مَسْرُورينَ وَفَرحِينَ.
"They <sub>3m</sub> are happy and rejoicing."	"They <sub>3m</sub> were happy and rejoicing."
أَنَّا نَائِمَةٌ.	كُنْتُ نَائِمَةً.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Baṣran school explained the reason for the خبر of كان being منصوب that it is a مفعول به. The Kūfan school, on the other hand, considered it a حال. حال vol. 2, p. 137:

لَمَّا كان وجهُ نَصْبِهُ مُختَلفًا فيه بَين البصريين والكوفيين؛ فذهب البصريون إلى أنّه منصوب خبرًا لها، فالمبتدأ والخبر معها كالفاعل والمفعول. وذهب الكوفيون إلى أنه ينصَبُ على الحال.

"is"	"was"
"I <sub>1f</sub> am sleeping."	"I <sub>1f</sub> was sleeping."
اًنْتَ لِي أُخُ. "You <sub>ım</sub> are a brother to me.	"I <sub>ıf</sub> was sleeping." کُنْتَ لِی أَخًا.
"You $_{1m}$ are a brother to me.	"Yo $u_{1m}$ were a brother to me.

## 24.2.1. Sequence of کَانَ, its subject, and its information

In sentence word order, the natural sequence is verb, subject, information.

كَانَ زَيْدٌ قَائمًا.

"Zayd was standing."

but we may also, for the same meaning, apply the sequence verb, information, subject:

كَانَ قَائمًا زَيْدٌ.

"Zayd was standing."

and also the sequence information, verb, subject:

قَائمًا كَانَ زَيْدٌ.

"Zayd was standing."

This last order is common in questions and alternative sentence sentences. For example:

أُقَائِمًا كَانَ زَيْدٌ.

"Was Zayd standing?"

أُدْعُ زَيْدًا قَائِمًا كَانَ أَوْ جَالِسًا!

"Call Zayd, be he standing or sitting!"

Sometimes, however, this inversion is impossible because of an indistinguishable state of the two nouns.

For example, in order to express "My brother was my companion," we must say:

. گَانَ أَخِي رَفِيقِي "My brother was my companion."

This is because, if we invert it, it would naturally mean:

كَانَ رَفِيقِي أَخِي.

"My companion was my brother."

The following apparent sequence is also possible:

زَيْدٌ كَانَ قَائمًا.

"Zayd: he was standing."

But this is actually a topic-comment sentence. إَيْدٌ is the topic. And the comment is كَانَ قَائِمًا, which is itself a كَانَ subject-information sentence in the sequence verb, subject, information. The subject is the hidden pronoun "he" and the information is اقَائمًا.

## كانَ 24.2.2. Plurals of non-rational beings with

Because  $\circ$ ls sentences are subject-information being sentences, many of the rules that we have learned for subject-information sentences also apply to  $\circ$ ls sentences. One such rule is that when the subject of a sentence is a plural of non-rational beings, and the information is a adjectival noun, then the feminine singular adjectival noun is often used. (See section @ref(usage-of-plurals-of-non-intelligent-beings).) For example:

```
. گَانَتِ ٱلْبُيُوتُ صَغِيرَةً
"The houses were small."
```

"The bulls were large."

Also allowed, but not as common: كَانَتِ ٱلْبُيُوتُ صَغِيرَاتٍ كَانَتِ ٱلْبُيُوتُ صِغَارًا. كَانَتِ ٱلْبُيُوتُ صِغَارًا. (typical) ٱلثِّيرَانُ كَانَتْ ضَخْمَةً

Also allowed, but not as common: ٱلثِّيرَانُ كَانَتْ ضِخَامًا. ٱلثِّيرَانُ كُنَّ ضَخُمَاتٍ.

# 24.2.3. گانَ with a separating pronoun

Another rule that applies to subject-information sentences, and that carries over to ڬsentences, is that when the subject and information are both definite, then a separating pronoun, which is a detached pronoun that matches the subject, can be inserted between them. For example,

```
.
گانَ ٱلْمُؤْمِنُونَ هُمُ ٱلْفَائِزِينَ
"The believers were the winners."
```

The separating pronoun هُمْ does not, in this case, serve to disambiguate the information هُمْ does not, in this case, serve to disambiguate the information "the winners", from being a describer, as it did in sentences without كَانَ (see section @ref(subject-information-sentences-separating-pronoun)). This is because the a-state of ٱلْفَائزِينَ already tells us that it is the information of الْفُومِنُونَ were a describer of the u-state subject . كَانَ then it too would be in the u-state, not the a-state. So the separating pronoun serves more, here, to emphasize the subject.

Most of the time, separating pronouns are used in کَانَ sentences when the subject of نَا is itself a pronoun. Examples:

وَكُنَّا نَحْنُ ٱلْوَارِثِينَ

"And it is We who were the inheritors" [al-Qur'ān 28:58, translation by Saheeh International]

كُنْتَ أَنْتَ ٱلرَّقِيبَ عَلَيْهِمْ

"You were the Observer over them" [al-Qur'ān 5:117, translation by Saheeh International]

Sometimes a pronoun may appear to be a separating pronoun, but actually is not one. Consider, for example, the following sentence:

كَانَ ٱلْمُؤْمِنُونَ هُمُ ٱلْفَائِزُونَ.

"The believers were the winners."

Note that آلْفَائِزُونَ is in the u-state, so it is not, by itself, the information of كَانَ So this is, in fact, a topic-comment sentence. ٱلْفُومِنُونَ is the topic and the subject of كَانَ The information of كَانَ is the comment (هُمُ ٱلفَائِزُونَ , which is itself a subject-information sentence with a u-state subject (هُمُ and a u-state information (الْفَائِرُونَ).

## گانَ Negating گانَ

Like other past verbs, the verb گان may be negated by preceding it with the particle ف. For example:

َمَا كَانَ إِبْرُهِيمُ يَهُودِيًّ ا وَلَا نَصْرَانِيًّ ا وَلَـٰكِن كَانَ حَنِيفًا ا مُّسْلِمً ا وَمَا كَانَ مِنَ ٱلْمُشْرِكِينَ "Abraham was neither a Jew nor a Christian, but he was one inclining toward truth, a Muslim [submitting to Allāh]. And he was not of the polytheists." (al-Qur-an 3:67, translation Saheeh International)

A similar meaning may be obtained with the particle لَمْ followed by the o-state resembling verb .يَكُنْ This is dealt with in section @ref(lam-yakun) below.

# 24.2.5. Gender of a pronoun subject of کان

Remember from section @ref(gender-of-pronoun-subject) that when the subject of a sentence is a pronoun, then it may optionally either match the gender of the noun it refers to, or the the gender of the predicate. This rules carries over to گان subject-information sentences as well. For example:

ذَهَبْتُ إِلَى ٱلسُّوقِ فِي ٱلصَّبَاحِ. وَكَانَتْ لِي عَادَةً.

"I went to the market in the morning. And it was a habit for me."

وَرَكِبُوهُمْ فَكَانَتْ هَزيمَتَهُمْ

"And they bore down upon them and it was their defeat."

Note, how, in the above examples, گانَتْ has a ت of femininity to match the feminine gender of the information عَزيمَتَهُمْ their defeat".

## كانَ 24.2.6. A pronoun as the information of

TODO

# يَكُونُ 24.3. The resembling verb

The rules related to گان, its subject, and its information, that we have given above apply also to its resembling verb يَكُونُ.

We will now discuss the usages of the specific states of the resembling verb.

# يَكُونُ 24.3.1. The u-state resembling verb

We have already mentioned that Arabic does not usually express any word for "is". So when, then, is the u-state resembling verb يَكُونُ used? There are actually a few uses of this verb. We will explain them below:

# 24.3.1.1. يَكُونُ used for habitual "is"

Consider the sentence, "The mother cooks the food." The verb "cooks" implies that the action is habitually done, not necessarily that it is being done at present. If we wished to say that the action is being done at present, we might instead say, "The mother *is cooking* the food." English maintains this distinction between the present and the habitual for most verbs. But it does not for ther verb "is". So if we say, "The sky is blue," then it can mean both (i) that the sky is blue at present, or (ii) that it is habitually blue, not necessarily that it is blue at present.

In Arabic the situation is somewhat different. Arabic does not usually have a distinction between the present and the habitual for most verbs. So تَطْبُخُ الْأُمُّ may mean both (i) that the mother is cooking the food at present, or (ii) that she habitually does.

But for the verb "is", Arabic can distinguish between the present and the habitual. So if we say السَّمَاءُ زَرْقَاءُ, then this can, in general, mean both (i) that the sky is blue at present, and (ii) that it is habitually blue. If we wish to emphasize the habitual meaning, we may use the resembling verb يُكُونُ, thus:

```
َ تَكُونُ السَّمَاءُ زَرْقَاءَ.
"The sky is [habitually] blue."
```

While we call this the habitual يَكُونُ, it can include a range of meanings, including continually, recurringly, regularly, typically, generally, often, sometimes, can, may, etc. Habitual يَكُونُ is negated using لَ or لَا just like other u-state resembling verbs.

Here are some examples:

283

قَالَ وَمَا الْبِتْعُ وَالْمِزْرُ؟ قُلْتُ شَرَابٌ يَكُونُ مِنَ الْعَسَلِ وَالْمِزْرُ يَكُونُ مِنَ الشَّعِيرِ "He said: 'What is mead and beer?' I said: 'A drink [made] from honey, and beer is [made] from barley.'

(Part of hadith 5604 from Sunan al-Nisā'i)

يَكُونُ اللِّحَافُ وسَادَةً وَلَا تَكُونُ الْوسَادَةُ لحَافًا.

"The blanket can be a pillow but the pillow cannot be a blanket."

مَا يَكُونُ الرَّجُلُ صَديقَكَ حَتَّى يَصْدُقَكَ.

"A man is not your friend until he is truthful to you."

"for "may be" قَدْ يَكُونُ .24.3.1.1.1

when the meaning "may be" is desired, the the resembling verb يَكُونُ may be preceded by the particle قَدْ. For example,

قَدْ يَكُونُ الاسْتِهْزَاءُ كُفْرًا.

"Mocking may be a disbelief."

used for future "will be" نگونُ used for future

Another usage of the resembling verb يَكُونُ is for the future tense to mean "will are optional سَوْفُ and سَوْفُ are optional سَ مَسُوْفُ or سَوْفُ and are commonly dropped, especially when the context indicates the future. Future يَكُونُ is negated by لَا Here are some examples:

فَقَدْ كَذَّبْتُمْ فَسَوْفَ يَكُونُ لِزَامًا

"For you [disbelievers] have denied, so it [i.e., your denial] is going to be adherent."

(al-Qur'ān 25:77, translation Saheeh International)

لا يَكُونُ اللَّعَّانُونَ شُفَعَاءَ وَلَا شُهَدَاءَ يَومَ القيَامَة

"The frequent cursers will be neither intercessors nor witnesses [on] the day of resurrection."

(Ḥadīth 1553 from Riyāḍ al-Ṣāliḥīn, يَوْمَ is in the a-state because it is an adverb of time, see chapter @ref(adverb-of-time).)

يَوْمَ يَكُونُ ٱلنَّاسُ كَٱلْفَرَاشِ ٱلْمَبْثُوثِ

"It is the Day when people will be like moths, dispersed,"

(al-Qur'ān 101:4, translation Saheeh International)

# يَكُونَ 24.3.2. The a-state resembling verb

Like a-state resembling verbs in general, يَكُونَ "be" expresses the meaning of purpose, wish, or expectation. It occurs after the particles وَتَّىٰ ,كَىْ ,ل ,لَنْ ,أَنْ and إِذَنْ and All this is consistent with what we have learned about a-state resembling verbs in chapter @ref(a-state-incomplete-action-verbs). Here are some examples:

نَزَلَ بِهِ ٱلرُّوحُ ٱلْأَمِينُ. عَلَىٰ قَلْبِكَ لِتَكُونَ مِنَ ٱلْمُنذِرِينَ

"The Trustworthy Spirit [i.e., Gabriel] has brought it down. Upon your heart, [O Muhammad] - that you may be of the warners -"

(al-Qur'ān 26:193-194, translation Saheeh International)

لَّن يَسْتَنكِفَ ٱلْمَسِيحُ أَن يَكُونَ عَبْدً ١ لِّلَّهِ وَلَا ٱلْمَلَــُئِكَةُ ٱلْمُقَرَّبُونَ

"Never would the Messiah disdain to be a servant of Allāh, nor would the angels near [to Him]"

(From al-Qur'ān 4:172, translation Saheeh International)

أَفَأَنتَ تُكْرهُ ٱلنَّاسَ حَتَّىٰ يَكُونُوا ۖ مُؤْمِنِينَ

"Then, [O Muḥammad], would you compel the people in order that they become believers?"

(al-Qur'an 10:99, translation Saheeh International)

# يَكُنْ 24.3.3. The o-state resembling verb

The O-state resembling verb يَكُنْ is used consistent with the usage of O-state resembling verbs in general. (See chapter @ref(O-state-resembling-verbs).)

## For example:

وَلْتَكُن مِّنكُمْ أُمَّةٌ ۚ يَدْعُونَ إِلَى ٱلْخَيْرِ وَيَأْمُرُونَ بِٱلْمَعْرُوفِ وَيَنْهَوْنَ عَن ٱلْمُنكَرِ

"And let there be [arising] from you a nation inviting to [all that is] good, enjoining what is right and forbidding what is wrong,1 and those will be the successful."

[From al-Qur'ān 3:104, translation by Saheeh International]

ٱلْحَقُّ مِن رَّبِّكَ فَلَا تَكُن مِّنَ ٱلْمُمْتَرِينَ

"The truth is from your Lord, so do not be among the doubters." [From al-Qur'ān 3:60, translation by Saheeh International]

لَمْ يَكُنِ النَّبِيُّ صلى الله عليه وسلم سَبَّابًا وَلاَ فَحَّاشًا وَلاَ لَعَّانًا

"The Prophet  $(\Box)$  was not one who would abuse (others) or say obscene words, or curse (others)"

[From Hadīth in al-Bukhārī:6031]

#### 24.3.3.1. Deletion of ن

The  $_{\circlearrowleft}$  may (irregularly) be deleted for the o-state resembling verbs that don't have a  $_{\circlearrowleft}$  before them. These are:

- يَكُنْ becomes بَيكُنْ
- تَكُنْ, becomes
- نَكُ becomes ,نَكُنْ •
- أَكُ becomes أَكُنْ •

285

This may only be done when the word following the verb does not begin with a connecting hamzah i. Examples:

وَلَا تَكُ فِي ضَيْقٍ مِّمًّا يَمْكُرُونَ "and do not be in distress over what they conspire." [From al-Our³ān 16:127, translation by Saheeh International]

وَقَدْ خَلَقْتُكَ مِن قَبْلُ وَلَمْ تَكُ شَيْءً ا "for I created you before, while you were nothing" [From al-Qur'ān 19:9, translation by Saheeh International]

But we can't say:

This is because ٱلرَّجُول begins with with a connecting hamzah  $\^{1}$ . So we have to say instead:

لَمْ تَكُنِ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ. "You were not the man."

# ڭن 24.4. The verb of command

The verb of command کُنْ is used to mean "Be!". Examples:

قُلْنَا یَانَارُ کُونِی بَرْدًا وَسَلَامًا عَلَیٓ إِبْرُهِیمَ We [i.e., Allāh] said, "O fire, be coolness and safety upon Abraham." [al-Qur'ān 21:69, translation by Saheeh International]

فَقُلْنَا لَهُمْ كُونُوا ۚ قِرَدَةً خَـٰسِئِينَ "and We said to them, 'Be apes, despised.' [From al-Qur'ān 2:65, translation by Saheeh International]

The verb of command كُنْ followed by the name of a person in the a-state is used to express one's guessing that the person whom one sees is the individual named. For example:

کُنْ زَیْدًا. "I presume that the person approaching is Zayd." or "I guess that you are Zayd."

# گانَ 24.5. The complete

The verb كَانَ that we have been using so far is called the *deficient* كَانَ. It is called so because its meaning is deficient without its information. For example, in the sentence قَائِمًا "Zayd was standing," if we remove the information قَائِمًا then the sentence is not complete for the desired meaning.

There is another type of كَانَ called the *complete* كَانَ. This كَانَ does not need an information to complete its meaning. This كَانَ gives the meaning of "exists". In English, we usually express this meaning using "there was". For example,

```
َكَانَ مَلِكٌ.
"There was a king."
(literally: "A king was.")
```

Note that مَلك "king" is in the u-state as the subject. If it were in the a-state, then it would change the meaning:

```
گَانَ مَلِگًا.
"He was a king."
```

Here are some more examples:

```
. گَانَ تَاجِرٌ وَكَانَ لَهُ بَنُونَ
"There was a trader, and he had sons."
```

Incidentally, as you can see, the past verb of "have": "has" is expressed using قَانَ:

```
رَّ كَانَ عِنْدِي كِتَابٌ.
"I had a book."
(literally: "A book was for me.")

يَكُونُ فِي آخِرِ الرَّمَانِ دَجَّالُونَ كَذَّابُونَ
"There will be in the end of time charlatan liars"
[From Ḥadīth in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim:7]

إِنَّهَا تَكُونُ الظُّلْمَةُ وَالسَّيْلُ
"[At times there] is darkness and flooding"
[From Ḥadīth in Ṣahīh al-Bukhārī:667]
```

```
َلُمْ تَكُنِ ٱلْحَرْبُ.
"The war didn't occur."
(literally: "The war was not.")
```

# كانَ 24.6. Time signification of the past verb

The general siginification of the past verb كَانَ is to indicate a state that existed in the past, and that has possibly ceased. For example:

```
كَانَ زَيْدٌ قَائِمًا
"Zayd was standing."
```

This statement is regarding Zayd's state in the past and the implication is that he is possibly no longer standing.

This is the most common signification of the past verb  $\eth b$  and the one that we have been using so far. But  $\eth b$  is special in that it admits additional significations:

287

The second signification of ڬئن is to indicate a state that, at first, had not yet begun, and which then began and remained, possibly up to the present. It has, in this sense, the meaning "became", "has become", or "happened". Examples:

أَبَىٰ وَٱسْتَكْبَرَ وَكَانَ مِنَ ٱلْكَافِرِينَ "He refused and was arrogant and became of the disbelievers." [From al-Qur'ān 2:34, translation by Saheeh International]

َاحْتَرَقَ الْخَشَبُ فَكَانَ تُرَابًا. "The wood burned and so became dust."

A third signification of  $\eth b \circ b$  is to indicate a state that will be in the future. For example:

وَيَخَافُونَ يَوْمً ا كَانَ شَرُّهُ مُسْتَطِيرً "and [they] fear a Day whose evil will be widespread." [From al-Qur'an 76:7, translation by Saheeh International]

A fourth signification of  $\Sigma$  is to indicate a state that always existed and will always exist. For example:

وَكَانَ ٱللَّهُ غَفُورً ا رَّحِيمً ا "And ever is Allāh Forgiving and Merciful." [From al-Qur'ān 33:73, translation by Saheeh International]

وَلَا تَقْرَبُوا ٱلرِّنَّيِّ ۚ إِنَّهُ كَانَ فَحْضِشَةً وَسَاءَ سَبِيلًا "And do not approach unlawful sexual intercourse.1 Indeed, it is ever an immorality and is evil as a way."
[From al-Qur'an 17:32, translation by Saheeh International]

وظاهر كان هنا أنها الناقصة، وخير أمة هو الخبر. ولا يراد بها هنا الدلالة على مضي الزمان وانقطاع النسبة نحو قولك: كان زيد قائماً، بل المراد دوام النسبة كقوله: { وكان الله غفوراً رحيماً } [الأحزاب: 73] { ولا تقربوا الزبا إنه كان فاحشة وساء سبيلاً } [الإسراء: 23] وكون كان تدل على الدوام ومرادفه لم يزل قولاً مرجوحاً، بل الأصح أنها كسائر الأفعال تدل على الانقطاع، ثم قد تستعمل حيث لا يراد الانقطاع. وقيل: كان هنا الأصح أنها كسائر الأفعال تدل على الانقطاع، ثم قد تستعمل حيث لا يراد الانقطاع. وقيل: كان هنا الزائدة لا تكون أول كلام، ولا عمل لها. وقال الزمخشري: كان عبارة عن وجود الشيء في من ماض على سبيل الإبهام، وليس فيه دليل على عدم سابق، ولا على انقطاع طارىء. ومنه قوله تعالى: { وكان الله غفوراً كلامه ققوله: أنها لا تدل على عدم سابق هذا إذا لم تكن بمعنى صار، فإذا كلت: كان زيد عالماً بمعنى صار، دلت على غائه انتقل من حالة الجهل إلى حالة العلم. وقوله: ولا على انقطاع طارىء قد ذكرنا قبل أن الصحيح أنها كسائر الأفعال يدل لفظ المضي منها على الانقطاع، ثم قد تستعمل حيث لا يكون انقطاع. العموم، بل المراد الخصوص. وقوله: كأنه قال وجدتم خير أمة، هذا يعارض أنها مثل قوله: { وكان الله غفوراً العموم، بل المراد الخصوص. وقوله: كأن قبدل على أنها تامة، وأن خير أمة حال. وقوله: { وكان الله غفوراً حيلاً أن تقديره وجدتم خير أمة يدل على أنها تامة، وأن خير أمة حال. وقوله: { وكان الله غفوراً خيراً } لا شك أنها هنا الناقصة فتعارضاً.

<sup>2</sup>From البحر المحيط لأبي حيان in the tafsīr of كُنْتُمْ خَيْرَ أُمَّةِ in the tafsīr of البحر المحيط لأبي

## 24.7. گانَ combined with other verbs

The past verb  $\dot{o}$  and its resembling verb  $\dot{o}$  are combined with other verbs to express complex tenses in the past and the future, respectively. We will explain these combinations below.

## 24.7.1. گان combined with a resembling verb

كان is combined with a following resembling verb to express that the action of the verb was repeatedly or continually ocurring in the past. For example:

```
گانَ زَيْدٌ يَكْتُبُ.
"Zayd was writing."
or
"Zayd used to write."
or
"Zayd would write."
```

This sentence can be analyzed grammatically as a topic-comment sentence. The subject of كَانَ and it is the topic of the sentence. The information of كَانَ is itself a sentence " يُكُنُّتُ "he writes", and it is the comment of the main sentence.

The order of the subject and the resembling verb can be re-arranged for the same meaning thus:

```
كَانَ يَكْتُبُ زَيْدٌ.
```

Now, two grammatical analyses are possible:

- 1. كَانَ is the doer of كَانَ is a hidden pronoun of the fact.
- 2. گانَ is the delayed subject of گانَ and يَكْتُبُ is the information of گانَ. The doer of يَكْتُبُ is a hidden pronoun.

Either way, the meaning, as we have mentioned, is the same. Here are some more examples for different types of doers/subjects:

```
رَّانَ يَعْبُدُ مُشْرِكُو مَكَّةَ ٱلْأَصْنَامَ. or

الله عَبُدُونَ ٱلْأَصْنَامَ. كَانَ مُشْرِكُو مَكَّةَ يَعْبُدُونَ ٱلْأَصْنَامَ. The polytheists of Makkah used to worship idols."

الصَّدَةُ تُحِبُّ الصَّدَةُ تُحِبُّ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ السَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ السَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ المَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ الصَّدَةُ المَّلِيْكُومُ السَّلَمُ الصَّلَى الصَّدَةُ المَّالِقُومُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَةُ الصَّلَى الصَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ الصَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلِمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلِمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَّلَ السَلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَّلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلِمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَمُ السَلَم
```

كُنْتُ أَلْعَبُ بِالْبَنَاتِ عِنْدَ النَّبِيِّ صلى الله عليه وسلم وَكَانَ لِي صَوَاحِبُ يَلْعَبْنَ مَعِي "I used to play with dolls in the presence of the Prophet, and I had companions<sub>f</sub> playing with me."

[From Hadīth in Sahīh al-Bukhārī:6130]

and the resembling گان orecedes the combination of كان and the resembling verb. Example:

قَالُوا هَذَا قَدْ كَانَ ىَكْتُتُ لِمُحَمَّد "They said: and said: This [person] used to transcribe for Muhammad  $\Box\Box\Box$ ) [From Hadīth in Sahīh Muslim:2781]

The following resembling verb may also be an unknown-doer verb. Example:

إِنَّ أُنَاسًا كَانُوا يُؤْخَذُونَ بِالْوَحْي فِي عَهْدِ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صلى الله عليه وسلم "Indeed people were (sometimes) judged (literally: held) by the [revealing of] divine inspiration in the lifetime of the Prophet." [From Hadīth in Sahīh al-Bukhārī:2641]

### 24.7.1.1. One کَانَ suffices multiple resembling verbs

If the signification of an action ocurring continually in the past applies to more that one resembling verb, then it is sufficient to prefix كان to only the first one. Example:

كَانَتْ تَعْمَلُ بِيَدِهَا وَتَصَدَّقُ

"She used to work with her hand and spend (that income) on charity." [From Hadīth in Sahīh Muslim:2452]

is abbreviated to تَتَصَدُّقُ See section @ref(form-5-verbs-abbrev).)

#### 24.7.1.2. Negation of کان and a resembling verb

In order to negate the combination of كَانَ and the following resembling verb, multiple options are available. Using يَفْعَلُ as an example resembling verb, we can have:

- مَا كَانَ يَفْعَلُ •
- ر ... لَمْ يَكُنْ يَفْعَلُ كَانَ لَا يَفْعَلُ •

#### Examples:

مَا كَانَ أَحَدٌ يَبْدَأُ، أَوْ يَبْدُرُ، ابْنَ عُمَرَ بالسَّلام

"No one preceded or got ahead of Ibn Eumar with (giving) the greeting." [Hadith in al-Adab al-Mufrad:982]

كَانُوا لَا يَتَنَاهَوْنَ عَن مُّنكَر فَعَلُوهُ

"They used not to prevent one another from wrongdoing that they did." [From al-Qur'ān 5:79, translation by Saheeh International]

لَمْ يَكُونُوا يَسْأَلُونَ عَنِ الإِسْنَادِ "They would not ask about the chains of narration" [From Sahīh Muslim:Introduction]

In terms of the differences between these constructions مَا كَانَ يَفْعَلُ imparts more emphasis in the denial than كَانَ لَا يَفْعَلُ.

There is also difference between the options مَا كَانَ لَا يَفْعَلُ and كَانَ لَا يَفْعَلُ in terms of when one would be used instead of the other.<sup>3</sup>. For example, if someone says to you: "I thought I saw you writing yesterday." To reply in the negative, you would say: كُنْتُ لَا أَكْتُكُ instead of كُنْتُ لَا أَكْتُكُ to mean "I was not writing."

The construction مَا كَانَ يَفْعَلُ is also used when one wishes to say that one never used to do something due to one's circumstances And also to mean that one did not know how to do something in the past. For example,

َمَا كُنْتُ أَحْفَظُ شِعْرًا. "I used to not memorize any poetry."

As for رَّكَانَ لَا يَفْعَلُ, it connotes an intentional or purposeful lack of doing something. Whereas, with مَا كَانَ يَفْعَلُ, the lack of action may be incidental. For example, مَا كَانَ لَا يَقْتِأُ ٱلْقُرْآنَ "He used to not read the Qur'ān imparts that the person was intentionally not doing so. Whereas مَا كَانَ يَقْرَأُ ٱلْقُرْآنَ does not convey the intentionality of the lack of action. For example, the person may not have been reading the Qur'ān because he did not know how to.

#### يَكُونُ with كَانَ with كَانَ with

Occasionally, کُونُ can be combined with its own resembling verb يَكُونُ This gives the meaning "was being" or "used to be". يَكُونُ is, in this combination, often optional and may be dropped for no change in meaning. Examples:

كَانَ يَكُونُ فِي مِهْنَةِ أَهْلِهِ [From Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī:676] and كَانَ فِي مِهْنَةِ أَهْلِهِ [From Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī:6039] "He used to keep himself busy serving his family" قَدْ كَانَ يَكُونُ فِي الأُمُمِ مُحَدَّثُونَ "There used to be in the nations inspired persons" [From Jāmiɛ al-Tirmidhī:2398] رِجَالٌ كَانُوا يَكُونُونَ مَعَ ٱلْمُلُوكِ "men that used to be with the kings" كَانَ يَكُونُ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ "He used to be in the house."

معاني النحو لفاضل صالح السامرائي ³١/٢٢٢

#### 24.7.1.4. Possible past occurrence

Sometimes  $\grave{\text{Ol}}$  is used with a resembling verb to express an action that could have occurred in the past, or should have. Examples:

كَانَ يَكُونُ سُوءَ أَدَبٍ. It would have be"

"It would have been a misbehavior."

فَقَالَ حُذَيْفَةُ أَنْتَ كُنْتَ تَفْعَلُ ذَلِكَ

"Hudhayfah said: 'You might have done that.'"

[From Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim:1788]

#### گانَ 24.7.1.5. Omission of

If one or more past verbs precedes the resembling verb or if the context indicates that the action was occurring in the past, then ¿s can be omitted, and the resembling verb is used by itself. For example:

قُلْ فَلِمَ تَقْتُلُونَ أَن بِيَآءَ ٱللَّهِ مِن قَبْلُ Say"Then why did you kill th

"Say,"Then why did you kill the prophets of Allāh before" [From al-Qur'ān 2:91, translation by Saheeh International]

(.تَقْتُلُونَ before كُنْتُمْ No

وَٱتَّبَعُوا مَا تَتْلُوا ٱلشَّيَـٰطِينُ عَلَىٰ مُلْكِ سُلَيْمَـٰنَ

"And they followed [instead] what the devils had recited during the reign of Solomon"

[From al-Qur'ān 2:102, translation by Saheeh International] (No كَتْلُو before كَانَتْ.)

## 24.7.1.6. کَانَ followed by a doer or doee verbal-noun instead of a resembling verb

Instead of a resembling verb after گانَ, its doer or doee verbal noun may be used instead. And this can give the effect of a state of being rather than an action being done. For example:

كَانَ سَاكِنًا فِي ٱلْمَدِينَةِ.

"He was dwelling in the city."

كَانَتِ ٱلْعُصِيُّ مَرْكُوزَةً فِي ٱلْأَرْضِ.

"The staffs were sticking in the ground."

گانَتْ تُرْكَزُ) would imply that they were being stuck.)

When the verbal noun refers to an action taking place in the future, the idea of futurity is transferred to a past time. For example:

أَمْرٌ كَانَ مَفْعُولًا

"a matter which was to be done"

#### 24.7.2. گانَ combined with a past verb

is combined with a following past verb to denote an action completed prior كَانَ to some past (specified or implied) point in time. Example:

مَاتَ ٱلرَّشِيدُ بِطُوسَ وَكَانَ خَرَجَ إِلَىٰ خُرَاسَانَ لِمُحَارَبَةِ رَافِع بْنِ ٱللَّيْثِ.

"al-Rashīd died at Tūs after (literally: and) he had set out for Khurāsān to combat Rāfie ibn al-Lavth."

may قَدْ is often used when كَانَ is combined with a past verb. قَدْ be placed either between كَانَ and the following past verb, or before كَانَ. Examples:

كُنْتُ قَدْ رَبَّيْتُ جَارِيَةً "I had brought up a girl"

قَدْ كَانَتْ فَرَغَتْ مِنْ عَمَلَهَا.

"She had been done with her work."

When their are multiple past verbs, and one (or more) of them occurred farther in the past than the others, it is indicated with قُدُ, the others having merely كَانَ, the others having merely We re-use a previous example here and extend it:

مَاتَ ٱلرَّشِيدُ بِطُوسَ وَكَانَ خَرَجَ إِلَىٰ خُرَاسَانَ لِمُحَارَبَةِ رَافِع بْنِ ٱللَّيْثِ.

وَكَانَ رَافِعٌ هَٰـٰذَا قَدْ خَرَجَ وَخَلَعَ ٱلطَّاعَةَ وَتَغَلَّبَ عَلَىٰ سَمَرْقَنْدَ.

"al-Rashīd died at Tūs after he had set out for Khurāsān to combat Rāfiɛ ibn al-Layth. And this Rafie had already rebelled and cast off his allegiance and taken forcible posession of Samarqand."

(Note how قُدْ is only used before Rāfie's action of rebelling.)

#### 24.7.2.1. Negation

This combination may, again, be negated in multiple ways. Examples:

لاَ يَأْتِي ابْنَ آدَمَ النَّذْرُ بِشَيْءٍ لَمْ يَكُنْ قَدْ قَدَّرْتُهُ

"Vowing does not bring to the son of Adam anything I have not already written in his fate,"

[From Sahīh al-Bukhārī:6609]

وَدِدْتُ أَنِّي لَمْ أَكُنْ خَرَجْتُ الْعَامَ

"I wish I had not come out (for Hajj) this year"

[From Sunan Abī Dawūd:1778]

وَمَا كَانَ قَدْ أَتَاهَا بِشَيْءٍ "And he had not brought her anything"

<sup>.</sup> (إسناد without) إحياء علوم الدين لأبي حامد الغزالي <sup>4</sup>From:

#### 24.7.3. يَكُونُ combined with a past verb

The resembling verb يَكُونُ is compined with a past verb (often with an interposed فَدْ) to express that the action is conceived of having been completed in the future. Examples:

يَكُونُ قَدْ وَجَبَ عَلَيْكَ صَدَقَةٌ "Charity will have been incumbent upon you" [From Mishkāt al-Maṣābīḥ:1793]

فَلْنَأْخُذْهُ فَنَكُونُ قَدْ أَخُذْنَا عِوَضًا "Let us take him for (then) we will have taken a substitute"

#### 24.7.3.1. a-state يَكُونَ combined with a past verb

An a-state يَكُونَ is combined with a past verb to express being in a state of an action having occurred or that might have occurred. For example:

خَافَ أَنْ يَكُونَ قَدْ خَطَأَ "He feared that he could have erred."

وَيَجُوزُ أَنْ يَكُونُوا قَدْ سُبِقُوا "And that they might have been preceded is possible"

يَشْطَرِطُّ فِي ٱلنَّائِبِ أَنْ يَكُونَ قَدْ حَجَّ عَنْ نَفْسِهِ "It is conditional for the deputy that he be in as state of already having performed the Hajj for himself."

## 24.7.4. Verb of command كُنْ combined with a resembling verb

Ocassionally, the verb of command کُنْ is combined with a resembling verb, thus:

كُنْ أَنْتَ تُكَلِّمُهُمْ "You be speaking to them!"

> وَكَانَ مُعَاذُ بْنُ جَبَلٍ عَامِلًا لعمر رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُ فَلَمَّا رَجَعَ قَالَتْ لَهُ امْرَأَتُهُ مَا حِنْتَ بِهِ مِمَّا يَأْتِي بِهِ الْعُمَّالُ إِلَى أَهْلِهِمْ وَمَا كَانَ قَدْ أَتَاهَا بِشِيْءٍ فَقَالَ كَانَ عِنْدِي ضَاغِطٌ قَالَتْ كُنْتَ أَمِينًا عِنْدَ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ وعند أبي بكر رضي الله عنه فبعث عمر مَعَكَ ضَاغِطًا وَقَامَتْ بِذَلِكَ بَيْنَ نِسَائِهَا وَاشْتَكَتْ عمر فَلَمَّا بَلَغَهُ ذَلِكَ دَعَا معاذا وَقَالَ بعثت معك ضاغطاً قال لم أُجِدُ مَا أَعْتَذِرُ بِهِ إِلَيْهَا إِلَّا ذَلِكَ فضحك عمر رضي الله عنه وَأَعْطَاهُ شَيْئًا فَقَالَ أَرْضِهَا بِهِ

#### 24.8. گانَ with the ال of denial

One special use of كَانَ is what is termed the  $\bigcup$  of denial. This is a negative كَانَ (either مَا كَانَ or نَمْ يَكُنْ or with the appropriate suffixes or prefixes for the subject) followed by  $\bigcup$  and then an a-state resembling verb. This expresses the meaning of:

- i. to deny being the one to do something, or
- ii. to deny going to do something

Here are some examples:

لَمْ تَكُنْ زَيْنَبُ لِتَضْرِبَ وَلَدَهَا "Zaynab was not one to beat her child." or

"Zaynab was not going to beat her child."

وَمَا كَانَ ٱللَّهُ لِيُعَذِّبَهُمْ وَأَنتَ فِيهِمْ

"But Allāh would not punish them while you, [O Muḥammad], are among them" [From al-Qur'ān 8:33, translation by Saheeh International]

فَقَالَ أَتَخْشَيْنَ أَنْ أَقْتُلَهُ مَا كُنْتُ لأَفْعَلَ ذَلِكَ

"He said: Do you fear that I shall kill him? I am not going to do that." [From Sunan Abī Dawūd:3112]

قَالَ لَمْ أَكُنْ لأَفْعَلَ

"He said: I was not going to do that." [From Sunan Ibn Mājah:1503]

## 24.9. كَانَ with the الله of deserving

Closely related, yet distinct from, the use of  $\check{\upsilon}$  with the  $\bigcup$  of denial (above) is the use of  $\check{\upsilon}$  with a  $\bigcup$  that signifies deserving, behooving, appropriateness, or possibility. This is, again, a negative  $\check{\upsilon}$  followed by the preposition  $\bigcup$  and a following i-state noun, and then  $\check{\upsilon}$  followed by an a-state resembling verb. This signifies that the action of the verb does not behoove, or is not appropriate or desrving or possibly for the person denoted by the noun after  $\bigcup$ . For example:

ومعنى { ما كان لبشر أن يؤتيه الله } وما جاء نحوه أنه ينفي عنه الكون، والمراد نفي الخبر، وذلك على قسمين.

أحدهما: أن يكون الانتفاء من حيث العقل، ويعبر عنه بالنفي التام، ومثاله قوله:{ ما كان لكم أن تنبتوا شجرها } [النمل: 60]{ وما كان لنفس أن تموت إلا بإذن الله } [آل عمران: 145].

والثاني: أن يكون الانتفاء فيه على سبيل الانتفاء، ويعبر عنه بالنفي غير التام، ومثاله قول أبي بكر الصديق، رضي الله عنه: ما كان لابن أبي قحافة أن يتقدّم أن يصلى بين يدي رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم.

ومدرك القسمين إنما يعرف بسياق الكلام الذي النفي فيه، وهذه الآية من القسم الأول، لأنا نعلم أن الله لا يعطي الكَذَبة والمدَّعين النبوّة، وفي هذه الآية دلالة على عصمة الأنبياء عليهم السلام.

From التحرير والتوير in the tafsīr of the same verse:

in the tafsīr of البحر المحيط لأبي حيان أيَّرَ لِبَشَر in the tafsīr of البحر المحيط لأبي حيان

فَأَن بَتْنَا بِهِ حَدَآئِقَ ذَاتَ بَهْجَةٍ مَّا كَانَ لَكُمْ أَن تُن بتُوا شَجَرَهَآ

"causing to grow thereby gardens of joyful beauty which you could not [otherwise] have grown the trees thereof"

[From al-Qur'ān 27:60, translation by Saheeh International]

مَا كَانَ لِبَشَرٍ أَن يُؤْتِيَهُ ٱللَّهُ ٱلْكِتَّبَ وَٱلْحُكْمَ وَٱلنُّبُوَّةَ ثُمَّ يَقُولَ لِلنَّاسِ كُونُوا عِبَادَ الِّي مِن دُونِ ٱللَّهِ "It is not for a human [prophet]1 that Allāh should give him the Scripture2 and authority and prophethood and then he would say to the people,"Be servants to me rather than Allāh,""

[From al-Qur'ān 3:79, translation by Saheeh International]

قَالَ أَبُو بَكْرٍ مَا كَانَ لِابْنِ أَبِي قُحَافَةَ أَنْ يُصَلِّيَ بَيْنَ يَدَىٰ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صلى الله عليه وسلم "Abu Bakr said ; it was not befitting for the son of Abu Quhafah (Abu Bakr) to lead the prayer in the presence of the Messenger of Allah "( $\square$ ) [From Sunan Abī Dawūd:940]

lotk in brostess

وقوله { ما كان لبشر } نفي لاستحقاق أحد لذلك القول واللام فيه للاستحقاق. وأصل هذا التركيب في الكلام ما كان فُلان فاعلاً كذا، فلما أريدت المبالغة في النفي عدل عن نفي الفعل إلى نفي المصدر الدال على الجنس، وجعل نفي الجنس عن الشخص بواسطة نفي الاستحقاق إذ لا طريقة لحمل اسم ذات على المبند، وبعل نفي الجنس عن الحروف، فصار التركيب ما كان له أن يفعل، ويقال أيضاً ليس له أن يفعل، ومثل ذلك في الإثبات كقوله تعالى { إن لك ألا تجوع فيها ولا تعرى } طه 118. فمعنى الآية ليس قولٌ { كونوا عباداً لي } حقاً لبشر أيِّ بشر كان. وهذه اللام هي أصل لام الجحود التي في نحو( وما كان الله ليعذبهم } الأنفال 33، فتراكيب لام الجحود كلّها من قبيل قلب مثل هذا التركيب لقصد المبالغة في ليغذبهم } الأنفال 30، وهذه المسند إليه مجعولاً لأجل فِعْل كذا، أي فهو بريء منه بأصل الخلقة ولذلك سميت جحوداً. والمنفي في ظاهر هذه الآية إيتاء الحكم والنبوءة، ولكن قد علم أنَّ مصبّ النفي هو المعطوف من قوله { ثم يقول للناس كونوا عباداً لي } أي ما كان له أن يقول كونوا عباداً لي إذا آناه الله الخاب إلخ.

Work in Progress

## Chapter 25.

## and its sisters إِنَّ

#### 25.1. Introduction

In the basic subject-information sentence, both the subject and the information are in the u-state. For example:

"This man is a teacher."

In the above sentence both the subject ٱلرَّجُولَ "the man", and the information مُعَلِّمٌ "a teacher" are in the u-state. In this chapter we will study a family of particles, called إِنَّ and its sisters, that modify the subject-information sentence by placing the subject in the a-state instead of the u-state. For example,

```
ِ إِنَّ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ مُعَلِّمٌ.
'inna -rrajula muɛallimun.
"Indeed the man is a teacher."
```

Note how, in the above example, the subject ٱلرَّجُولَ "the man" is now in the a-state. The information مُعَلِّمٌ "a teacher" remains in the u-state.

The particles constituting the family of  $\mathring{\ddot{\cup}}$  and its sisters are:

- inna' إِنَّ ،1
- 2. أَنَّ 'anna
- 3. كَأَنَّ *ka*'anna
- ا كَكِنَّ *lākinna*
- 5. لَيْتَ *layta*
- laɛalla لَعَلَّ .6

We shall now study each of these particles.

## inna إنَّ 25.2.

- أَلَّ 'inna is used to begin independent sentences. It has an emphatic meaning, as if the speaker is asserting the information about the subject. It is often translated into English as "indeed" or "verily", but it is also often left untranslated.
- إِنَّ *inna* is only used to begin subject-information sentences. Verbal sentences cannot be introduced by إِنَّ *inna* directly. (Later, in section @ref(damiir-alshan), we shall see how to overcome this restriction.). For example,

```
إِنَّ ٱلدِّينَ عِنْدَ ٱللَّـٰهِ ٱلْإِسْلَامُ.
```

inna -ddīna einda -llāhi -l'islāmu.

"Indeed, the religion in the sight of Allāh is Islām." (Qur'ān 3:19, trans. Saheeh International)

يَّنَّ 'inna may be preceded by other particles like وَ "and". فَ "so", and ثُمَّ "then". For example,

"Seek the useful أُطْلُبِ ٱلْعِلْمَ ٱلنَّافِعَ. فَإِنَّ طَلَبَ ٱلْعِلْمَ ٱلنَّافِعَ عَمَلٌ صَالِحٌ. وَإِنَّ تَرْكَهُ غَفْلَةٌ. knowledge. For indeed the seeking of the useful knowledge is a good deed. And indeed leaving it is a negligence."

The subject of إِنَّ 'inna may be a noun phrase, in which case, any describers or replacements of the subject are also in the a-state. Examples:

```
إِنَّ هَـٰؤُلَاءِ ٱلرِّجَالَ ٱلْكِرَامَ أَصْدِقَائِي.
"Indeed these noble men are my friends."
```

َإِنَّ 'inna may have multiple subjects, each in the a-state, separated by 6. Example,

```
ِإِنَّ ٱلْمُسْلِمِينَ وَٱلْمُسْلِمَاتِ يَعْبُدُونَ ٱللَّـٰهَ.
"Indeed the Muslim men and Muslim women worship Allāh."
```

If the information of the first subject has been mentioned before the second subject, then the second subject may optionally be in the a-state or the u-state. For example:

```
اِنَّ زَيْدًا جَالِسٌ وَعَمْرًا.
or
إِنَّ زَيْدًا جَالِسٌ وَعَمْرُو.
"Indeed Zayd is sitting and Eamr [as well]."
.نَّ هَـٰذَا ٱلْكِتَابَ لِي وَذَ ٰلِكَ ٱلْكِتَابَ لَكَ.
or
إِنَّ هَـٰذَا ٱلْكِتَابَ لِي وَذَ ٰلِكَ ٱلْكِتَاكُ لَكَ.
"Indeed this book is for me and that book is for you."
```

َانَّ 'inna may be used to begin sentences with an indefinite subject. For example,

```
إِنَّ مَلِكًا مِنَ ٱلْهِنْدِ كَتَبَ إِلَىٰ أَحَدِ وُزَرَائِهِ.
"Indeed a king from India wrote to one of his ministers."
```

25.2. وَإِنَّ inna 299

Note that in all the above examples that  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}$  inna is only used to begin subject-information sentences. Verbal sentences cannot be introduced by  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}$  inna directly. (Later, in section @ref(damiir-al-shan), we shall see how to overcome this restriction.). By default, the subject of  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}$  inna must directly follow it with no intervening words or particles. The only exception is when the information consists of a prepositional or adverbial phrase, it is then allowed to precede the subject. The subject, in any case, shall be in the a-state. For example,

```
َ إِنَّ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ رَجُلًا.
"Indeed, in the house, is a man."
إِنَّ تَحْتَ ٱلشَّجَرَةِ كَثْرًا تَمِينًا.
"Indeed, under the tree, is a precious treasure."
```

This reverse order is permitted even when the subject is definite. For example,

```
ِ اِنَّ مَعَكَ صَاحِبَك.
"Indeed, with you, is your companion."
```

This puts the logical accent on the subject صَاحِبَكَ "your companion". If the subject is placed first then this puts the logical accent on the information:

```
ِ إِنَّ صَاحِبَكَ مَعَكَ.
"Indeed your companion is with you."
```

If the subject contains a pronoun that refers to a noun in the information then the information must precede the subject. For example,

```
إِنَّ فِي ٱلْمَصْنَعِ عُمَّالُهُ.
"Indeed, in the factory, are its workers."
إِنَّ أَمَامَ ٱلدَّارِ حَارِسَهَا.
"Indeed, in front of the door, is its guard."
```

#### 25.2.1. Pronoun subjects

The subject of  $\mathring{\mathbb{G}}_{l}^{l}$  may be a pronoun instead of a noun. For this the attached pronouns are used. For example,

```
لَا تَقْطَعْ تِلْكَ ٱلشَّجَرَةَ فَإِنَّهَا ظَلِيلَةٌ.
"Don't cut that tree, for it is shady."
إِنَّكُمَا صَدِيقَايَ.
"You<sub>2</sub> are my friends."
```

The speaker pronouns, both singular and plural, may optionally keep or drop their ن. So for the singular speaker pronoun both إِنِّنِي 'innanā and الله 'innanā and 'إِنَّنِي 'innā may be used. And for the plural speaker pronoun both الله 'innanā and 'innan

```
إِنِّي مُسْلِمٌ.
or
```

```
اٍنَّنِي مُسْلِمٌ.
"Indeed I am a Muslim."
```

إِنَّنَا كَاتِبُو هَـٰذَا ٱلْكِتَابَ. or إِنَّا كَاتِبُو هَـٰذَا ٱلْكتَابَ

"Indeed we are the writers of this book."

اِنِّ وَ إِيَّاكَ ... :TODO: Multiple pronoun subjects

with the speaker pronouns are often used with doer verbal nouns to signify that the speaker intends to to the action of the verb. For example,

We also mentioned this point in section @ref(doer-verbal-noun-for-intended-future-action).

## رَ عُرِيْ ،'inna with a strengthening إِنَّ عُرِي

The strengthening particle  $\circlearrowleft$  adds extra emphasis and may optionally be used between the subject of  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}$  and its information. If the subject occurs first (as is the default) then  $\circlearrowleft$  is connected to and placed directly before the information. For example:

```
إِنَّ زَيْدًا لَقَائِمٌ.
"Indeed Zayd is definitely standing."
```

If the information precedes the subject, then then  $\circlearrowleft$  is connected to and placed directly before the subject. For example:

```
إِنَّ فِي ٱلْبَيْتِ لَرَجُلًا.
"Indeed, in the house, is definitely a man."
```

The strengthening particle  $\tilde{j}$  is only used with  $\tilde{\tilde{j}}$  and not for any of its other sisters (لَيْتَ ,لَـٰكِنَّ ,كَأَنَّ ,أَنَّ ,إِنَّ , مَالَىٰتَ ,لَـٰكِنَّ ,كَأَنَّ ,أَنَّ ,إِنَّ ).

## 25.2.3. Commonality of rules for إِنَّ and its sisters

Unless otherwise noted, the rules we have presented above for  $\ddot{\mathbb{Q}}$ , for example, the subject being in the a-state, the order of the subject and the predicate, the use of attached pronouns for the subject, etc., apply also to its other sisters.

The strengthening particle  $\hat{j}$ , as mentioned above, is only used with  $\hat{j}$  and not for any of its other sisters.

25.3. أَنَّ anna 301 'anna

## anna أَنَّ 25.3.

The particle  $\mathring{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}$  'anna can be translated as "that". It is similar to  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in that it is asserts the information about the subject. But  $\mathring{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is different from  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in that  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , its subject, and its information together constitute a complete sentence. Whereas the  $\mathring{\mathbb{Q}}^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  clause ( $\mathring{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), its subject, and its information together) does not constitute a complete sentence. For example, consider the expression:

This is a complete sentence. But if we add  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  "that" to its beginning, it no longer remains a complete sentence:

We need to additional words, external to the أُنَّ clause to complete the sentence. We will see examples of this below.

25.3.1. The أُنَّ clause in place of the direct doee

"I know that Zayd is truthful."

Note how, in the example above the أُنَّ زَيْدًا صَادِقٌ) has occupied the place of the direct doee of the verb .أُعْلَمُ

In a similar manner,  $\ddot{\ddot{0}}^{\dagger}$  clauses can be placed where one would expect other noun positions, such as: a subject, an information, a doer, and more. Here are some examples:

25.3.2. The أُنَّ clause in place of the doer

Example:

"That you are sick has reached me." ("It has reached me that you are sick.")

## 25.3.3. The أُنَّ clause in place of the subject

Example (with information before subject in sentence word order):

"From his characteristeics is that he is noble."

## 25.3.4. The أُنَّ clause in place of the information

Example:

"The truth is that he went."

As you know,  $\mathring{\circ}$  doer is also its subject, and its doee is also its information. The  $\mathring{\circ}$  clause can occur in either the subject or the information of  $\mathring{\circ}$ . For example, the  $\mathring{\circ}$  clause as the information:

"The matter was that he didn't do his obligation."

Now, the  $\tilde{\mathring{\mbox{\rm \"{0}}}}\mathring{\mbox{\rm \"{i}}}$  clause as the subject:

"That he didn't do his obligation was the matter."

Note that in the latter case, the information precedes the subject.

25.3. وُأَنَّ anna 303 <sup>\*</sup>

## 25.3.6. The أَنَّ clause in place of an i-state noun

The  $\ddot{\dot{0}}^{\dagger}$  clause can occur in place of an i-state base noun in an annexation. Example:

"The highway robbers (literally: the cutters of the way) have increased to the degree that the journey is dangerous."

The الَّا clause can occur in place of an i-state noun directly following a preposition. Example:

"I wondered at that Zayd is asleep."

#### 25.3.6.1. Optionally deleting the preposition directlt before an أَنَّ clause

If an أَنَّ clause directly follows a preposition, it is permissible to optionally delete the preposition as long as the meaning remains clear. So the previous example can be expressed without the preposition عِنْ with the same meaning:

The combination of the preposition  $\dot{\mathbb{J}}$  "for" and  $\ddot{\tilde{\mathbb{J}}}$  is used to mean "because". For example,

## 25.3.7. Equivalence of the أَنَّ clause with a verbal noun of doing

As a matter of grammatical theory, the  $\mathring{\ddot{\mathbb{O}}}^{\dagger}$  clause, i.e.  $(\mathring{\ddot{\mathbb{O}}}^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$  itself, its subject, and its information) is considered equivalent to a verbal noun of doing (typically in an annexation, and possibly with a doee as well). It is this equivalalence that allows it to thake the place of a doer, direct doee, and the other categories we have given above. For instance, consider the example:

. عَجِبْتُ مِنْ أَنَّ زَيْدًا ذَهَب "I wondered at that Zayd went."

Here, the clause أَنَّ زَيْدًا ذَهَب is equivalent to the verbal noun phrase ذَهَابِ زَيْدِ "Zayd's going". So the grammatically equivalent sentence with this verbal noun phrase is:

عَجِبْتُ مِنْ ذَهَابِ زَيْدٍ. "I wondered at Zayd's going."

Similarly, in the example,

مِنْ صِفَاتِهِ أَنَّهُ كَرِيمٌ.

"From his characteristics is that he is generous."

the clause گرَامَتِهِ is equivalent to the verbal noun phrase گرَامَتِهِ "his generosity". So the grammatically equivalent sentence with this verbal noun phrase is:

.کَرَامَتِهِ مِنْ صِفَاتِهِ "His generosity is from his characteristics."

This grammatical equivalence is more a matter of theory than of practical usefulness to us. And you have seen this grammatical equivalence before with  $\mathring{\mathbb{J}}$  and a-state incomplete action verbs in chanpter @ref(a-state-incomplete-action-verbs-verbal-noun).

## 25.4. كَأَنَّ kaʾanna

كَانَّ ka'anna may be translated as "[It is] as if". It is actually simply the preposition (1) "like" attached to أَنَّ But it is treated separately because, unlike أَنَّ ka'anna, its subject, and its information constitute a complete sentence. For example,

كَأَنَّ ٱلْأُمُّ مَدْرَسَةٌ. "[It is] as if the mother is a school."

TODO: add more info

ا لَكِنَّ *lākinna* 

**TODO** 

layta لَيْتَ .6.

TODO

25.7. لَعَلَّ laɛalla 305

laɛalla لَعَلَّ .7.

**TODO** 

#### 25.8. Topic-comment sentences and the pronoun of the fact

#### 25.8.1. Topic-comment sentences

There is a sub-type of subject-information sentence called a topic-comment sentence. Here is an example:

فُرُوعُها طَوِيلَةٌ.	ؙڶۺۜۘڿؘڔؘۊؙؗ
	subject/topic
ion/coı	subjec
information/comment	

"The tree: its branches are long."

In these kinds of sentences, the subject introduces a topic, and the information is itself a sentence which comments on the topic/subject. We have, in fact, already seen sentences like this in section @ref(past-verbs-order-of-words), when we take a verbal sentence and convert it to a subject-information sentence. This is the example we discussed there:

اُلرَّجُٰلُ كَتَبَ كِتَابًا. "The man: he wrote a book."

#### 25.8.1.1. The linker pronoun

A topic-comment sentence typically requires a pronoun in the comment that links back to the comment. In the example .أَلْشَجَرَهُ فُرُوعُهَا طَوِيلَةٌ, the attached pronoun "it" in فُرُوعُهَا "its tree" is the linker pronoun that links back to the topic ثَالشَّجَرَةُ "the tree".

Similarly, in the example اَ لَرُجُلُ كَتَبَ كِتَابًا. the linker pronoun is the invisible doer pronoun "he" of the verb كَتَبَ "the wrote" that links back to the topic أُلرَّجُٰلُ "the man".

#### and its sisters وأنَّ 25.8.1.2. Topic-comment sentences with

أَنَّ and its sisters are very often used in topic-comment sentences. (With  $\tilde{\ddot{0}}$  it is, as usual, an incomplete sentence.) Here are some examples:

"Indeed Zayd: he has a brother and sister."

إُعْلَمْ أَنَّ ٱلْعِلْمَ حُصُولُهُ يَتَطَلَّبُ جُهْدًا.

"Know that knowledge: its obtaining requires effort."

#### 25.8.1.3. Topic-comment sentences with a pronoun topic

The topic, in a topic-comment sentence, is frequently a pronoun. For example,

أَنَا ٱسْمِى زَيْدٌ.

"I: my name is Zayd."

. أُكَلْتُ ٱلطَّعَامَ لَـٰكِنَّكَ لَمْ تَأْكُلْ. "I ate the food but you: you didn't eat."

## 25.8.2. The pronoun of the fact

Mostly, pronouns are used in place of nouns when it is already known to whom the noun refers to. So if you say:

أَنَا ٱسْمِي زَيْدٌ. "I: my name is Zayd."

the pronoun الله "I" refers to the speaker, who is known.

There is a special pronoun, called the pronoun of the fact that begins topiccomment sentences. This pronoun does not refer to any previously known entity, but rather refers to the comment that follows it. It is sometimes translated as "the fact is" but is often left untranslated. Here is an example:

ٱلْبَرْدُ شَدِيدٌ.	ھُوَ
information/comment	pronoun of the fact
informatio	pronour

<sup>&</sup>quot;The fact is: the cold is intense."

307

This pronoun is usually the singular masculine pronoun (as above) but it is also sometimes the singular feminine pronoun عِي . It is typically used with statements of import, to which the speaker wishes to draw attention. The comment does not contain a linker pronoun because the whole comment refers back to the topic. The pronoun of the fact is frequently used with إِنَّ and its sisters. Here are some examples:

```
ِ إِنَّهُ لَا يُفْلِحُ ٱلْكَافِرُونَ.
"Indeed, the disbelievers will not succeed."
(Our an 23:117, trans. Saheeh International)
```

Sometimes, one can choose between using the pronoun of the fact and a pronoun matching the participant resulting in different emphasis. For example,

```
إِنِّهُ هُمُ ٱلْفَاعِلُونَ
"Indeed, the fact is: they are the doers."
إِنَّهُمْ هُمُ ٱلْفَاعِلُونَ
"Indeed, they are the doers."
```

## 25.9. The lightened versions كَأَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,إِنْ

The particles نَّ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ are considered heavy. There exist lightened versions of these particles that are: لَـٰكِنْ , and كَأَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أِنْ ,أِنْ ,أَنْ ,أِنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ ,أَنْ مِلاتِكِنْ These lightened versions have similar meanings to their heavy counterparts but they have somewhat different rules. We will discuss them below. In terms of their usage كَأَنْ are not very commonly used except in the Qur³ān, poetry, and other rhetorical texts. نَكِنْ and نَكِنْ are relatively more common.

## إنْ 25.9.1. The lightened

The lightened إِنْ can be used in either of the following ways:

i. With the same rules as the heavy ْإِنَّ The subject is put in the a-state and the use of the strengthening الله is optional. For example,

```
إِنْ زَيْدًا مُسْلِمٌ.
or
إِنْ زَيْدًا لَمُسْلِمٌ.
"Indeed Zayd is a Muslim."
```

However, this method is not as common as the second way (below):

ii. The subject is not put in the a-state but is rather in the u-state. However, the strengthening  $\hat{J}$  (see section @ref(inna-strengthening-la) above), that was optional with the heavy  $\hat{J}_{ij}$ , is now mandatory with the lightened  $\hat{J}_{ij}$ . For example,

```
اٍنْ زَيْدٌ لَمُسْلِمٌ.
"Indeed Zayd is a Muslim."
```

Another notable difference between the lightened إِنَّ and the heavy إِنَّ is that the heavy أِنَّ is only used to introduce subject-information sentences. The lightened أِلَ however, can be used to introduce verbal sentences, but only those that begin with the verbs: كَانَ and its sisters, and غَنَّ and its sisters. For example,

```
قَرَأْتُ ٱلْكِتَابَ وَإِنْ كَانَ ٱلْكِتَابُ لَجَيِّدًا.
"I read the book and indeed the book was good."
```

## أَنْ 25.9.2. The lightened

As we know, the heavy  $\mathring{\tilde{\mathbb{J}}}$  is an emphatic particle and is frequently used with the pronoun of the fact, thus:

```
أَعْلَمُ أَنَّهُ ٱلْبَرْدُ شَدِيدٌ.
"I know that the fact is: the cold is intense."
```

When we wish not to use much emphasis, we may replace the heavy أَنَّ along with its following pronoun of the fact (اُنَّهَا/أَنَّهُا) with a lightened أَنْ , thus:

```
أَعْلَمُ أَنِ ٱلْبَرْدُ شَدِيدٌ.
"I know that the cold is intense."
```

Note that the lightened أَنْ replaces أَنْ , which is the combination of heavy أَنَّ and the pronoun of the fact  $\dot{\delta}$ . So the pronoun of the fact ( $\dot{\delta}$ ) does not appear with the lightened أَنْ .

In the above example, the lightened  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  introduces a comment which is a subject-predicate sentence. But the more common use of the lightened  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  is to introduce comments that are verbal sentences.

When the comment of the lightened  $\dot{\mathring{\mathbb{I}}}$  is a verbal sentence, then it is preferred to separate the verb from  $\dot{\mathring{\mathbb{I}}}$  with one of the following:

1. قُدْ Example:

```
.
أَظْنُّ أَنْ قَدْ غَرَبَتِ ٱلشَّمْسُ.
"I think that the sun has set."
```

2. سَوْفَ or سَوْفَ. Example:

```
أَعْلَمُ أَنْ سَيَذْهَبُ.
"I know that he will go."
```

309

3. A negative particle like لَنْ ,لَا ,or لَنْ, or لَنْ, عَلَيْ ,or

أَعْلَمُ أَنْ لَا يَذْهَبُ. "I know that he does/will not go."

Note that, in writing, we have not combined the lightened أَنْ and  $\bar{l}$  to form أَلْ as is done for the a-state-verbal أَنْ (for example: أَلَّا "that he not go") in chapter @ref(chapter-a-state-incomplete-action-verbs). This distinction in spelling is not obligatory, but some authorities recommend it. In any case, they are both pronounced the same: 'allā.

More examples:

أَعْلَمُ أَنْ لَنْ يَذْهَبَ. "I know that he shall not go."

. أَعْلَمُ أَنْ لَمْ يَذْهَبْ "I know that he did not go."

Note that the  $\dot{l}$  and  $\dot{l}$ , even when after the lightened  $\dot{l}$ , change the state of the following incomplete-action verb to the a-state and 0-state respectively.

4. The conditional particle لَوْ. We will study conditional sentences in chapter @ref(conditional-sentences). TODO: add example.

Rigid verbs like لَيْسَ and verbs expressing supplications are exempted from needing to be separated from the lightened أَنْ Example:

ظَنَنْتُ أَنْ لَيْسَ ٱلْبَرْدُ شَدِيدًا. "I thought that the cold is not intense."

25.9.2.1. Distinguishing between the lightened أُنْ and the a-state-verbal أَنْ

Although they are similar in meaning, care must be taken to distinguish between this lightened  $\mathring{\text{o}}$  and the a-state-verbal  $\mathring{\text{o}}$  (that we learned in chapter @ref(chapter-a-state-incomplete-action-verbs)), The a-state-verbal  $\mathring{\text{o}}$  puts the following incomplete action verb in the a-state. Whereas the incomplete action verb directly after the lightened  $\mathring{\text{o}}$  remains in the u-state. The following guidelines can help to distinguish between these two  $\mathring{\text{o}}$  is:

• If the verb before  $\mathring{\mathring{o}}^{\dagger}$  signifies certainty then only  $\mathring{\mathring{o}}^{\dagger}$  and its lightened version  $\mathring{\mathring{o}}^{\dagger}$  is used. For example,

أَعْلَمُ أَنْ قَدْ ذَهَبَ وَأَنْ سَيَرْجِعُ. "I know that he has gone and that he will return." • If the verb before  $\dot{\tilde{l}}$  signifies wanting, hoping, or expecting, then the  $\dot{\tilde{l}}$  puts the following verb in the a-state. For example,

أَطْمَعُ أَلَّا يَذْهَبَ. "I hope that he not go."

Note that the verb يَذْهَبَ is in the a-state.

• If the verb before أَنْ reflects a view of something going to occur, and signifies neither certainty nor expectation, but rather doubt or neutrality, then either of the أَنْ may be used, depending on the intended meaning. Such verbs include "خَسِنُ يَحْسِبُ "to think" and حَسِبَ يَحْسِبُ "to deem". For example,

```
a-state-verbal :أَنْ
ظَنَنْتُ أَنْ يَرْجِعَ.
"I thought that he should return."
```

lightened أَنْ: ظَنَنْتُ أَنْ يَرْجِعُ. "I thought that he will return."

• If the verb before أَنْ does not reflect a view of something going to occur then the أَنْ is typically the a-state-verbal أَنْ For example,

```
َ سَرَّنِي أَنْ تَنْجَرَ
"That you succeed [will have] gladdened me."
```

Remember from chapter @ref(chapter-a-state-incomplete-action-verbs)), that the a-state-verbal أَنْ can occur with completed-action verbs as well. Example:

َ سَرَّنِي أَنْ نَجَحْتَ "That you have succeeded [has] gladdened me."

## كَأَنْ 25.9.3. The lightened

The lightened  $\mathring{\mathbb{J}}$  is similar to the lightened  $\mathring{\mathbb{J}}$  in that it introduces a topic-comment sentence and the topic is usually a deleted pronoun of the fact. For example,

```
. كَأَنِ ٱلْبَرْدُ ذَهَبَ
"[It is] as if the cold has gone."
```

Also similar to the lightened أُنْ may introduce a verbal sentence but it must be separated from لَمْ ro قَدْ by either لَمْ for example,

```
ُذَهَبَ كَأَنْ لَمْ يَسْمَعْ.
"He went as if he did not hear."
```

#### كَـٰكِنْ 25.9.4. The lightened

The lightened لَـٰكِنَّ has the same meaning as the heavy لَـٰكِنَّ but it has no grammatical effect on the word or sentence after it. It may introduce either subject-information or verbal sentences. For example,

. نَجَحَ زَیْدٌ لَکِنْ صَدِیقُهُ لَمْ یَنْجَخْ "Zayd succeeded but his friend did not succeed."



Motk in Progress

## Chapter 26.

#### The connected nouns

#### 26.1. Introduction

Consider the sentence:

If the listener (or reader) can identify the individual referred to by the noun "the man" (maybe from a pre-existing mutual understanding with the speaker), then there is no problem with this sentence. But often, further clarification is needed for the listener to correctly identify the individual to whom the speaker is referring. This further clarification can be provided in a number of ways.

One way is to use an adjectival noun to describe the noun. For example:

Another way is to use a pointing noun, thus:

But sometimes, a whole sentence is needed to provide the needed identification. In this case, Arabic uses what is called a *connected noun* and a *connecting sentence*. This example should help you understand what we mean:

"I saw the [specific] man whom I met yesterday."

In the above sentence, the connected noun is ٱللَّذِي 'alladhī. It is applied to singular masculine nouns, like الرَّجُل. By itself it may be translated as "the one  $_{1m}$  that/which/who/whom". It is called a connected noun because it is directly followed by, i.e. connected to, a connecting sentence. The connecting sentence

contains necessary information for the listener to correctly identify the individual that the connected noun refers to. The connecting sentence in the above example is the sentence لَقِيتُهُ بِٱلْأَمْسِ "I met him yesterday."

Note by the way, that we did not translate the pronoun "him" in our original translation (above). This is because it would sound unnatural in English to say: "I saw the [specific] man (whom) I met *him* yesterday." But this pronoun is an essential part of the Arabic connecting sentence and is called the *refer-back pronoun*. We will deal with it in section @ref(refer-back-pronoun) later in this chapter.

There are two types of connected nouns:

- 1. The specific connected nouns
- 2. The general connected nouns

We will study both these types separately within this chapter.

#### 26.2. The specific connected nouns

The specific connected nouns have a significance which is restricted to a specific individual or category of individuals, and its connecting sentence should contain sufficient information to identify that specific individual.

The specific connected nouns is a group of nouns where each noun is applied to a gender and number of individuals. They are:

The state of the s	
Connected	Description
noun	Description
ٱلَّذِي 'allad̂hī	Singular masculine. For both intelligent and non-intelligent
'alladhī	beings. Rigid. Only one U in its spelling.
ُ allatī' ٱلَّتِي	Singular feminine. For both intelligent and non-intelligent beings. Also used for plural non-intelligent beings of both genders. Rigid. Only one ∪ in its spelling.
ٱُللَّذَان	Dual masculine. For both intelligent and non-intelligent beings.
<sup>°</sup> alladhānī	Flexible: اَّلَّذَيْنِ ' <i>alladhayni</i> in the a- and i-states. Two J's in its spelling.
ٱُللَّتَان	Dual feminine. For both intelligent and non-intelligent beings.
<sup>2</sup> allatānī	Flexible: ٱللَّتَيْنِ ' <i>allatayni</i> in the a- and i-states. Two J's in its spelling.
ٱلَّذِينَ alladhina'	Plural masculine. For both intelligent beings. Rigid. Only one $\ensuremath{U}$ in its spelling.
'allātī ٱللَّاتِي	For plural feminine intelligent beings. Also used for
	non-intelligent beings of both genders but اَّلَّتِي is more common there. Rigid. Two ل's in its spelling. Has the following variants: مُ اَللَّواتِي 'allāti, ٱللَّائِي 'allāti, ٱللَّائِي 'allāti, ٱللَّائِي

#### 26.2.1. Grammatical position of the specific connected noun

Consider again the same example:

```
. رَأَيْتُ ٱلرَّجُٰلَ ٱلَّذِي لَقِيتُهُ بِٱلْأَمْسِ
"I saw the [specific] man whom I met yesterday."
```

In this example, the connected noun ٱلَّذِي  $alladh\bar{\iota}$  is a describer (in the a-state) to the described noun ٱلرَّجُٰلَ. Because ٱلَّذِي is a rigid noun, it will appear the same in all states without any change to its ending.

As a describer, the connected noun may also come as the last in a series of describers, and can also be combined with a pointing noun. For example:

```
َرَأَيْتُ ذَ ٰلِكَ ٱلرَّجُلَ ٱلطَّوِيلَ ٱلَّذِي لَقِيتُهُ بِٱلْأَمْسِ.
"I saw that [specific] tall man whom I met yesterday."
```

But connected nouns need not only occur as describers. They may occur in various grammatical positions. Here are some examples:

As a subject:

```
َ وَالَّذِينَ يَكْنِزُونَ ٱلذَّهَبَ وَٱلْفِضَّةَ وَلَا يُنْفِقُونَهَا فِي سَبِيلِ ٱللَّهِ فَبَشِّرْهُمْ بِعَذَابٍ أَلِيم
"And those who hoard gold and silver and spend it not in the way of Allāh - give
them tidings of a painful punishment."
```

As an information:

```
خُ خِيَارُ أَلِمَّتِكُمُ الَّذِينَ تُحِبُّونَهُمْ وَيُحِبُّونَكُمْ "كُوبُونَهُمْ وَيُحِبُّونَكُمْ "The best of your rulers are the ones whom you love and who love you"
```

هُنَّ اللَّوَاتِي عَلَى الْمِنْبَرِ <sup>3</sup> "They are the ones which (are) on the pulpit."

As a doer:

قَدْ بَلَغَنَا ٱلَّذِي قُلْتُمُوهُ.

"The [specific] one (thing) that you said has reached us."

As a doee:

َ رَبَّنَا أَرِنَا ٱللَّذَيْنِ أَضَلَّانَا مِنَ ٱلْجِنِّ وَٱلْإِنْسِ 4 "Our Lord, show us those who misled us of the jinn and men"

Following a preposition:

5ً أَوْ كَٱلَّذِي مَرَّ عَلَىٰ قَرْيَةٍ

"Or [consider such an example] as the one who passed by a township"

As a base noun in an annexation:

<sup>34:</sup>التوبة <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1855:</sup>مسلم<sup>2</sup>

<sup>:36:</sup>الدارمي<sup>3</sup>

<sup>29:</sup>فصلت<sup>4</sup>

<sup>259:</sup>الىقة<sup>5</sup>

<sup>6</sup> قَدْ سَمِعَ ٱللَّـٰهُ قَوْلَ ٱلَّتِي تُجَادِلُكَ فِي زَوْجِهَا

"Certainly has Allah heard the speech of the one who argues [i.e., pleads] with vou, [O Muhammad]"

#### 26.3. The refer-back pronoun

The connecting sentence is directly follows the connected noun. As we mentioned in the introduction, the connecting sentence provides clarifying information for the listener (or reader) to identify the individual referred to by the connected noun.

In the connecting sentence is a pronoun that refers back to the connected noun. This pronoun is called the refer-back pronoun. and it is an essential (though not always apparent) part of the connecting sentence.

Let us identify some of the refer-back pronouns in the examples we have given.

وَالَّذِينَ يَكْنزُونَ ٱلذَّهَبَ وَٱلْفضَّةَ

"And those who hoard gold and silver"

refer-back pronoun: the plural masculine doer pronoun و in يَكْنِزُونَ ni و

خِيَارُ أَئِمَّتِكُمُ الَّذِينَ تُحِبُّونَهُمْ

"The best of your rulers are the ones whom you love and who love you" refer-back pronoun: the plural masculine doee attached pronoun مُمْ in تُحتُّونَهُمْ

قَدْ يَلَغَنَا ٱلَّذِي قُلْتُمُوهُ.

"The [specific] one (thing) that you said has reached us."

refer-back pronoun: the singular masculine doee attached pronoun هٔ in قَلْتُمُوهُ nr

رَبَّنَا أَرِنَا ٱللَّذَيْنِ أَضَلَّانَا مِنَ ٱلْجِنِّ وَٱلْإِنْسِ "Our Lord, show us those who misled us of the jinn and men" refer-back pronoun: the dual masculine doer pronoun ا أَضَلَّانَا refer-back pronoun:

أَوْ كَٱلَّذِي مَرَّ عَلَىٰ قَرْيَةِ

"Or [consider such an example] as the one who passed by a township" مَرَّ refer-back pronoun: the implied singular masculine doer pronoun "he" in

قَدْ سَمِعَ ٱللَّـٰهُ قَوْلَ ٱلَّتِي تُجَادِلُكَ فِي زَوْجِهَا

"Certainly has Allah heard the speech of the one who argues [i.e., pleads] with you, [O Muhammad]"

refer-back pronoun: the implied singular feminine doer pronoun "she" in تُجَادلُكَ

<sup>1:</sup>المحادلة<sup>6</sup>

#### 26.3.1. Matching the refer-back pronoun with the connected noun

However, there is an exception to the refer-back pronoun being an absent-person pronoun. And that is when the connected noun refers to the speaker or the addressed person, like أَنْ الَّذِينَ or أَنَا ٱلَّذِينَ, etc. In these cases, the refer-back pronoun may optionally:

- i. either be an absent-person pronoun to match the connected noun (as usual),
- ii. or match the pronoun for the speaker or addressed person (as the case may be)

The latter is generally more common but both options are permissible. For example:

أَنَا ٱلَّذِي حَضَرْتُ.

refer-back pronoun: the singular masculine doer pronoun for the speaker تُ in حَضَرْتُ

or

أَنَا ٱلَّذِي حَضَرَ.

refer-back pronoun: the implied singular masculine doer pronoun for the absent person "he" in حَضَٰمَ

"I am the one (who) was present."

Here are some examples from Classical Arabic:

7 أَنَا ٱلَّذِي سَمَّتْنِ أُمِّي حَيْدَرَهْ

"I am the one whom my mother named Haydarah"

(The refer-back pronoun is the speaker person's doee pronoun in سَمَّتْنِ, which is an abbreviation of سَمَّتْنِي "she named me".)

8 فَقَالَ مُوسَى يَا آدَمُ أَنْتَ الَّذِي خَلَقَكَ اللَّهُ بِيَدِهِ

"Mūsā said: O Ādam, you are the one whom Allāh created with His Hand" (The refer-back pronoun is the addressed person's doee pronoun فَلَقَكَ in فَلَقَكَ.)

There is one circumstance where matching the refer-back pronoun to the (absent person) connected-noun is mandated. And that is when the connected noun is the called-out person using the particle الله من من الله عنه الل

يَاأَيُّهَا ٱلَّذِينَ آمَنُوا

"O you who have believed"

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  Wright vol. ii, §175, p. 324B  $^{8}$ الترمذي:2134

```
not
× يَاأَيُّهَا ٱلَّذِينَ آمَنْتُمْ
Similarly,
<sup>9</sup> يَاأَيُّهَا الَّذِي نُزِّلَ عَلَيْهِ الذِّكُرُ
"O you upon whom the message has been sent down"
```

#### مَا and مَنْ and مَن and وَعَلَى and عَنْ

The general connected nouns are unrestricted in significance, and may be applied to any individual who fits the criteria given in the connecting sentence. The most commonly general connected nouns are:

- مَنْ man. Typically used for intelligent beings and translated as "who".
- أم  $m\bar{a}$ . Typically used for non-intelligent beings and translated as "what".
- أيّ 'ayy. Used for both intelligent and non-intelligent beings. Translated as "which", "whichever" or "any".

There are also a couple of rarely or dialectally used general connected nouns that we will not cover. These are  $\hat{d}h\bar{u}$ , and  $\hat{b}$   $\hat{d}h\bar{a}$ .

In this section we will deal with مَنْ and مَا. We will deal with أُيِّ separately in section (give ref) later in this chapter.

Unlike the specific connected nouns (ٱلَّذِي, etc.), the general connected nouns do not vary for number and gender.

For example:

```
أُحِبُّ مَنْ يَعْدِلُ
"I love [him] who is just."

أُحِبُّ مَنْ يَعْدِلُونَ
"I love [them] who are just."

أُصْنَعْ مَا بَدَا لَكَ.
"Do what seems (good) to you."

مَرَرْتُ بِمَا يُعْجِبُك.
"I passed by what will please you."
```

<sup>6:</sup>الحجر<sup>9</sup>

#### after prepositions مَنْ and مَا after prepositions

When مَنْ and مَنْ are directly preceded by the prepositions مِنْ and مَنْ, these prepositions lose their ن and are joined to the following noun with the noun's doubled. For example: عَمَّنْ ش mimman مَمَّنْ εamman, مَمَّنْ εamman, مَمَّنْ

The preposition فِي is also often (though not always) optionally attached to these connected nouns, thus: فِيمَنْ fiman, فِيمَنْ  $fim\bar{a}$ .

The remaining prepositions follow the normal rules: كَمَنْ , عَلَى مَا , etc. But we will see, if Allāh wills, in chapter @ref(questions), that لَمْ and مَنْ are also used as question nouns, in which case the rules of joining prepositions to them will differ.

#### 26.4.2. The refer-back pronoun for the general connected nouns

The general connected nouns  $\delta$  and  $\delta$  are themselves singular masculine in number and gender. However, they can be used to signify persons or things of any number and gender.

Their refer-back pronouns can then, optionally:

 i. Either match the gender and number of the persons or things meant by the connected noun. For example:

```
وَمِنْهُمْ مَنْ يَسْتَمِعُونَ إِلَيْكَ <sup>10</sup>
"And among them are those who listen to you"
```

```
11 قَدْ خَابَتْ مَنْ فَعَلَتْ ذَلِكَ مِنْهُنَّ وَخَسِرَتْ
```

"She has thwarted herself, whoever did that from them<sub>3f</sub>, and lost."

Note also how, in this example how, in addition to the feminine referback doer pronouns in خَسِرَتْ and خَسِرَتْ, the feminine gender of the person signified by مُنْ has also caused the تُ of femininity to be added to the verb خَابَ is the doer noun).

". gathered what fell from the leaves" جَمَعْتُ مِنَ ٱلْوَرَقِ مَا سَقَطْنَ.

```
صَلِّ مِنَ ٱلرُّكَعَاتِ مَا يَتَيَسَّرْنَ.
or
صَلِّ مِنَ ٱلرُّكَعَاتِ مَا تَتَيَسَّرُ.
```

"Pray from the units (of prayer) what is easy."

ii. Or be singular masculine to match the connected noun itself. This is generally more common for ق. For example:

```
قُومِنُ بِهِ وَمِنْهُم مَّن يُؤْمِنُ بِهِ وَمِنْهُم مَّن يُلْ يُؤْمِنُ بِهِ ' وُمِنْهُم مَّن يُلْ يُؤْمِنُ بِهِ ' "And of them are those who believe in it, and of them are those who do not believe in it."
```

3318:الترمذي<sup>11</sup>

<sup>42:</sup>يونس 10

<sup>40:</sup>يونس<sup>12</sup>

"I gathered what fell from the leaves." جَمَعْتُ مِنَ ٱلْوَرَقِ مَا سَقَطَ.

صَلِّ مِنَ ٱلرَّكَعَاتِ مَا يَتَيَسَّرُ.

"Pray from the units (of prayer) what is easy."

لَمْ أَجِدْ مَا أَعْتَذِرُ بِهِ

"I did not find what I (could) make an excuse for with".

Both options can be utilized together as well. For example:

آجُرُهُ عِندَ رَبِّهِ وَلاَ خَوْفٌ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا هُمْ يَحْزَنُونَ ' أَجُرُهُ عِندَ رَبِّهِ وَلاَ خَوْفٌ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا هُمْ يَحْزَنُونَ "Yes, [on the contrary], whoever submits his face [i.e., self] in Islām to Allāh while being a doer of good will have his reward with his Lord. And no fear will there be concerning them, nor will they grieve."

<sup>14</sup> فَمَن تَبِعَ هُدَايَ فَلَا خَوْفٌ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا هُمْ يَحْزَنُونَ

"whoever follows My guidance - there will be no fear concerning them, nor will they grieve."

#### 26.4.3. Applicability of مَنْ and مَنْ to intelligent and non-intelligent beings

As we mentioned earlier, مَنْ is typically used to refer to intelligent beings. And is typically used to refer to non-intelligent beings. However, there are some circumstances in which these roles can differ.

مُنْ may be used for non-intelligent beings when a non-intelligent being is compared with an intelligent being. For example,

وَاللَّهُ خَلَقَ كُلَّ دَابَّةٍ مِّن مَّاءٍ فَمِنْهُم مَّن يَمْشِي عَلَىٰ بَطْنِهِ وَمِنْهُم مَّن يَمْشِي عَلَىٰ رِجْلَيْنِ وَمِنْهُم مَّن يَمْشِي عَلَىٰ أُرْبَعٍ

15

"Allāh has created every [living] creature from water. And of them are those that move on their bellies, and of them are those that walk on two legs, and of them are those that walk on four."

مَنْ may also be used for non-intelligent beings when attributes usually applicable to intelligent beings are applied to a non-intelligent being. For example:

أُسِرْبَ الْقَطَا، هَلْ مَنْ يُعِيرُ جَنَاحَه \* لَعَلِّي إِلَىٰ مَنْ قَدْ هَوِيتُ أَطِيرُ O flock of birds, is there who will lend his wing

that perhaps I may fly to whom I love

َا: "O", سِرْب: "flock", قَطَا: a species of bird, هَلْ: "is there?", يُعِيرُ: "lend", غَيْعِيرُ: "wing", يُعِيرُ: "Perhaps I", غَويتُ: "I love", أَطِيرُ: "I fly".

<sup>112:</sup>البقرة <sup>13</sup>

<sup>38:</sup>البقرة <sup>14</sup>

<sup>45:</sup>النور <sup>15</sup>

<sup>16</sup>Wright vol. ii, §170 rem. c, p. 314D

321

may also be used for non-intelligent beings when there is a mixed group including both intelligent and non-intelligent beings, and the intelligent beings are given preference. For example:

```
أَلْأَرْضِ وَٱللَّهِ يَسْجُدُ مَن فِى ٱلسَّمَـٰوٰتِ وَٱلْأَرْضِ
"And to Allāh prostrates whoever is within the heavens and the earth"
```

Similarly,  $\iota_0$  may, in some circumstances, be used for intelligent beings. This may be when there is a mixed group including both intelligent and non-intelligent beings, and the non-intelligent beings are given preference because of their larger number. For example:

```
الْأَرْضِ وَمَا فِي السَّمَاوَاتِ وَمَا فِي اللَّارُضِ" <sup>18</sup>
"Whatever is in the heavens and whatever is on the earth is exalting Allāh"
```

 $\Box$  may also be used for intelligent beings when the person being referred to is vague to the speaker. For example:

```
19 رَبِّ إِنِّي نَذَرْتُ لَكَ مَا فِي بَطْنِي مُحَرَّرًا
"My Lord, indeed I have pledged to You what is in my womb, consecrated [for Your service]"
```

to may also be used for intelligent beings when the characteristics of an intelligent being are highlighted when referring to them. For example:

```
أَنكُمُ مِّنَ النِّسَاءِ <sup>20</sup> فَانكِحُوا مَا طَابَ لَكُم مِّنَ النِّسَاءِ
"then marry those that please you of [other] women"
```

#### 26.4.4. Grammatical position of the general connected nouns

The general connected noun may occur in various grammatical positions. Here are some examples:

As a subject:

```
ما عِنْدَكُمْ يَنْفَدُ
"Whatever you have will end"
```

As an information:

"Your wealth is what you have sent forward, and the wealth of your inheritors is what you have left behind."

#### As a doer:

<sup>17:</sup>الرعد 17: 18 الجمعة 19: الجمعات 19: العمارات 19: النساء 19: النحل 12: 19: الأدب المفرد 22:

```
قَعَلَهُ مَنْ هُوَ خَيْرٌ مِنِّي <sup>23</sup>
"it was done by one who was better than I"
```

As a doee:

اعْمَلُوا مَا شِئْتُمْ "Do whatever vou will"

Following a preposition:

عُمَّنْ سِوَاكَ "and make me independent from (all) who are besides You"

As a base noun in an annexation:

فَذَلِكَ مَثَلُ مَنْ أَطَاعَنِي <sup>26</sup> "So that is the similitude of the one who obeyed me"

. مَا تَرَىٰ رَأْيَ مَا نَرَىٰ مَا يَرَىٰ رَأْيَ مَا نَرَىٰ رَأْيَ مَا نَرَايْ مَا نَرَىٰ رَأْيَ مَا نَرَىٰ رَأْيَا مِلْكُونِ مِلْعَلَىٰ مَا نَا رَعْلَىٰ مَا نَالَعُلْعَالَىٰ مَا نَالِعَا لَعَلَىٰ مَا لَعَلَىٰ مَا لَعَلَىٰ مَا لَعْلَالًا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَالِعَلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا لَعَلَى مَا لَعْلَى مَا يَعْلَى مَا لَعْلَى مُعْلِيْكُونِ مَا لِعَلَى مَا لَعْلَى مُعْلِعِلَى مُعْلِعْ مَلْعُلِهِ مَا مُعْلِعْلِكُمْ مَا مُع

<sup>28</sup> أَمْرَ مَا تَحْذَرُ "the matter of which you are wary"

Unlike the specific connected nouns (ٱلَّذِي, etc), the general connected nouns do not occur as describers. So while we can say:

ُ مَرَرْتُ بِٱلرَّجُٰلِ ٱلَّذِي أَحْسَنَ إِلَيّ. "I passed by the man who was good to me."

we cannot say:

We will have to say instead:

The general connected noun can, however, occur as a replacement. For example,

والمسلمون مَن تبع رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم كثير (Band the Muslims, who follow the Messenger of Allāh, are many)

<sup>668:</sup>البخارى<sup>23</sup>

<sup>40:</sup>فصلت<sup>24</sup>

<sup>3563:</sup>الترمذي<sup>25</sup>

<sup>26</sup>عسلم<sup>26</sup>:مسلم

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Fischer §425, p. 218

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Fischer §425, p. 218

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Fischer §421.2. Originally, from السيرة النبوية لابن هشام.

#### مِنْ 26.4.5. Use with the preposition

The preposition وَنْ is frequently used with the general connected nouns to restrict the applicability of the connected noun to a group or type. This مِنْ may come either before the connected noun, or after its connecting sentence. For example:

```
َ اللَّهُمَّ اقْسِمْ لَنَا مِنْ خُبْرِد. 30 'Then he gave me what he had of bread."

"Then he gave me what he had of bread."

"Those Arabs who entered Syria"
(literally: "Who entered Syria from the Arabs")

32

"then marry those that please you of [other] women"

﴿ اللَّهُمَّ اقْسِمْ لَنَا مِنْ خَشْيَتِكَ مَا يَحُولُ بَيْنَنَا وَبَيْنَ مَعَاصِيكَ

O Allāh, apportion for us, from the fear of You, (that) what shall come between us and disobedience of You
(The connected noun lo is the direct doee of the verb of command وَقُسِمُ النَّاسِ وَ اللَّهُمُ الْسِمْ لَنَا مِنْ خَشْدِينَ مَعَاصِيكَ
```

# 26.4.6. Use with a repeated word to express vagueness or uncertainty

The general connected nouns  $\delta \omega$  and  $\omega$  are used with a word that is repeated to express a vague or uncertain quantity or quality. For example:

```
"They are what they are."

"They are what they are."

"Some of them came down."
(literally: Came down who came down from them.")

شَعْمُ مَا هُمْ عَالَمُ مَنْ نَزَلَ مِنْهُمْ مَا هُمُعْتُ مَا مُعْمَتُ مَا جَمَعْتُ آSischer §425, p. 218

**They are what they are."

**Grid **

**Grid **

**Grid **

**They are what they are."

**Grid **

**They are what they are."

**They are what it all they are."

**They are what they are."

**They are what it all they are what it all they are."

**They are what it a
```

#### 26.5. Deleting the refer-back pronoun

The refer-back pronoun may be deleted (and its meaning is then implicit) if some conditions are satisfied. Some conditions are specific to the state of the refer-back pronoun. These are:

(a) When the refer-back pronoun is the subject of a subject-information sentence, then it may be deleted, and its meaning will be implied. When deleting the refer-back pronoun in this case, it is preferred that the connecting sentence not be too short.

For example:

If the connecting sentence is very short, the deleting the refer-back pronoun subject is permissible, though rare. For example:

```
لَا يَنْطِقُ بِمَا سَفَهُ <del>40</del>
"He does not speak what is foolish."
(بمَا هُوَ سَفَهٌ and)
```

(b) When the refer-back pronoun is an attached pronoun for the direct doee it is permissible to delete it. For example:

```
هُـٰذَا مَا كَنَزْتُمْ لِأَنْفُسِكُمْ
"This is what you hoarded for yourselves"
(instead of مَا كَنَزْتُمُوهُ (مَا كَنَزْتُمُوهُ
```

- (c) When the refer-back pronoun is in the i-state, it is permissible to delete it:
  - (i) When the refer-back pronoun is the base noun in an annexation whose annex noun is a verbal noun of the doer or the doee implying a present or future meaning. For example:

 $<sup>^{37}</sup>$ مسلم:2963c. See also ابن ماجه:4142 for variants with the refer-back pronoun.

<sup>21:</sup>الأنبياء <sup>38</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>Wright vol. ii, §175, p. 322D

<sup>40</sup>Wright vol. ii, §175, p. 322D

<sup>35:</sup>التوبة<sup>41</sup>

```
فَاقْضِ مَا أَنتَ قَاضٍ <sup>42</sup>
"So decree whatever you are to decree."
(أَفْقُض مَا أَنتَ قَاضِيهِ (instead of
```

(ii) When the refer-back pronoun is attached to a preposition or adverb, and the same preposition or adverb has already been used (with the same meaning) with the connecting noun or its described noun. The preposition/adverb is deleted along with its attached pronoun. For example:

In addition to the above conditions that are specific to the state of the refer-back pronoun, a further, general, condition should be satisfied, which is: that the omission of the refer-back pronoun be obvious to the listener, and the listener can re-construct the original meaning by restoring the refer-back pronoun to get the intended meaning.

If the refer-back pronoun is deleted, and the remaining connecting sentence (with the refer-back pronoun deleted) is valid as a connecting sentence on its own right, then it will give a different meaning (even if slightly) from the original. And the listener will not know that the refer-back pronoun has been deleted. In this case, it is not permitted to delete the refer-back pronoun. Here is an example that should explain what we mean:

Consider the sentence:

```
. جاء الذي كَلَّمْتُهُ في داره <sup>45</sup>
"The one whom I spoke to (him) in his house, came.
```

```
جاء الذي كَلَّمْتُ في داره.
"The one who I spoke in his house, came.
```

Now the meaning of the sentence is markedly different. The connecting sentence وَكُلَّمْتُ فِي دَارِهِ is valid on its own right but it gives a different meaning from the original. It does not specify that I spoke to him in his house, just that I spoke in his house, possibly to others. Because the omission of the refer-back pronoun

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>طه:72

<sup>43</sup>Wright vol. ii, §175, p. 323C

<sup>44</sup>Wright vol. ii, §175, p. 323D

شرح ابن عقيل على ألفية ابن مالك in جاء الذي ضربته في داره <sup>45</sup>modified from the example

is not obvious, the sentence now does not siginify the original intent. And so deleting the refer-back pronoun in this case is not permitted.

## أَىّ 26.6. The general connected noun

مًا "which/whichever" is a general connected noun similar to مَّن "what" and مَنْ "who". But it differs from مَنْ in a few aspects:

Firstly, وأَن is (generally) fully flexible. So it will display its state as a vowel mark on its final letter. Whereas مَنْ and مَنْ, as we know, are rigid.

Secondly, آيّ can be, and, in fact, properly is, the annex noun in an annexation. Whereas مَنْ and مَنْ cannot be annex nouns. For example,

```
يُعْجِبُنِي أَيُّ ٱلتَّلَامِذَةِ يَجْتَهِدُ.
"Whichever of the students strives, pleases me."
أيّ is in the u-state)
```

َ فَأُو إِلَى أَيِّهِمْ يَحْمِيكَ. "So take shelter with whichever of them protects you." is in the i-state)

Also, أيّ is used indiscriminately for both intelligent and non-intelligent beings. For example,

```
أَكْمِلُ أَيَّ ٱلْحَقِيبَتَيْنِ هِيَ ٱلْأَثْقَلُ
"I shall carry whichever of the two bags is the heavier."
أيّ is in the a-state)
```

Note how, in the above examples, the final vowel mark on أيِّ varies, depending on its state due to its being a flexible noun.

أَيِّ , مَنْ and مَنْ , is itself a singular masculine noun. And, like مَنْ and أَيِّ , مَنْ can be used, unchanged, for both genders. The previous example used أَيَّة with عَقِيبَة which is a feminine noun. But a feminine version عَقِيبَة does exist and can be used with feminine nouns. It is, however, only really common if its base noun is a feminine pronoun. For example,

```
َصَادِقِي أَيَّتَهُنَّ تَنْصَحُكِ.
"Befriend whichever of them<sub>3 f</sub> is sincere to you<sub>1 f</sub>."
```

## 26.6.1. The connected noun أيّ without a base noun

أيّ can sometimes occur without a base noun. Technically, it will then be indefinite, and thus nunated. However, it will carry the meaning of an implied annexation. For example,

327

َ سَأُفَاتِلُ أَيَّا يُقَاوِمُنِي. "I will fight whichever [of them, the enemies, etc.] resists me."

## 26.6.2. The connected noun أَيّ with a deleted refer-back pronoun

Consistent with what we have already learned for the other connected nouns, the refer-back pronoun may be deleted in connected sentences for the connected noun  $_{\vec{l}S}$ .

For example,

َّا جُٰزِي أَيَّهُمْ صَادِقٌ. "I will reward whichever of them is truthful." (instead of أَيَّهُمْ هُوَ صَادِقٌ)

## 26.6.3. Circumstance for the rigidity of أَىّ

As mentioned, أَيُّ is generally fully flexible. However, there is one circumstance in which it may optionally be a rigid noun with the final vowel mark of thus: أُيُّ أَي This is when both of the following conditions are satisfied:

- i. زَّ is an annex noun.
- ii. The refer-back pronoun to آيّ is the subject of the connecting sentence and it is deleted.

For example,

َأُجْزِي أَيُّهُمْ صَادِقٌ. "I will reward whichever of them is truthful."

Since this rigidity is optional,  $\ddot{b}$  may, in this case, retain its full-flexibility:

. أُجْزِي أَيُّهُمْ صَادِقٌ "I will reward whichever of them is truthful."

But if both the conditions above are not satisfied then  $\dot{\vec{j}}$  shall remain fully-flexible. For example,

أَجْزِي أَيَّهُمْ هُوَ صَادِقٌ. أَجْزِي أَيًّا هُوَ صَادِقٌ. <sup>46</sup>أَجْزِي أَيًّا صَادقٌ.

<sup>46</sup>The examples generally quoted by the grammarians are (1) the verse of poetry ... إِذَا مَا لَقِيتَ بَنِي مَالِكٍ ... [69:مريم] ثُمُّ لَنُنْزَعَنَّ مِنْ كُلِّ شِيعَةٍ أَيُّهُمْ أَشَدُّ عَلَى الرَّحْمَن عِتِيًا وَعَلَى أَيُّهُمْ أَفْضَلُ

# 26.7. Separating the connecting sentence from the connected noun

Generally, the connecting sentence directly follows the connected noun, as in all the examples we have given so far. However, the connecting sentence may be separated from the connected noun by one of the following:

i. An oath. For example:

```
جَاءَ ٱلَّذِي وَٱللَّـٰه قَهَرَ ٱلْأَعْدَاءَ.
"The one who - by Allāh - overpowered the enemies has come."
```

ii. A sentence calling out to someone. For example,

iii. A parenthetical clause, which is a sentence within the main sentence that adds information to it, but which can be deleted without affecting the completeness of the main sentence. For example:

```
قَدِمَ أَلَّذِي أَطَالَ ٱللَّـهُ عُمْرَهُ أَحْسَنَ إِلَيَّ.
"The one who - may Allah lengthen his age - was good to me has arrived."
قَدَمَ ٱلَّذَى وَهُوَ مُعْتَسِمٌ أَحْسَنَ النَّـ.
```

## قَدِمَ ٱلَّذِي وَهُوَ مُبْتَسِمٌ أَحْسَنَ إِلَيَّ. "The one who - and he is smiling - was good to me has arrived."

# 26.8. Deleting the connected noun and/or the connecting sentence

TODO. See

#### 26.9. Sentences without connected nouns

There are some sentences where we might expect a connected noun but which are always, or often (as the case may be), expressed in Arabic without a connected noun. These sentences are of different types:

# 26.9.1. Sentences with indefinite nouns needing a qualifying sentence

When an indefinite noun needs a qualifying sentence, it is natural in English to insert "that", "which", "who", etc. between the noun and the following sentence. For example, "I passed by a man *who* was sleeping."

In Arabic, however, we will not use any connected noun in such sentences. This is because the connected nouns are considered definite nouns. And therefore they may not be a describer to an indefinite noun. So while we can say:

we cannot say

Instead, we put the qualifying sentence directly after the indefinite noun. The qualifying sentence will then not be a connecting sentence, but will itself be the describer to the described noun:

Here is another example:

"I sat in a sitting (that) had been sprinkled with rose-water."

A connected noun can, however, follow an indefinite noun, if we intend to start a separate sentence with it, or if it is a *replacement* (see chapter @ref(the-replacement)). For example.

```
47 وَابْعَثْهُ مَقَامًا مَحْمُودًا الَّذِي وَعَدْتَهُ
```

"Resurrect him to a praiseworthy station, the one that you promised him"

```
وَيْلٌ لِّكُلِّ هُمَزَةٍ لُّمَزَةٍ
<sup>48</sup> الَّذِي جَمَعَ مَالًا وَعَدَّدَهُ
```

"Woe to every scorner and mocker

Who collects wealth and [continuously] counts it."

#### 26.9.2. Sentences containing a noun with generic definiteness

Sometimes the definite article  $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$  does not determine a particular individual, but makes a noun definite only in a generic way. In this case a qualifying sentence may directly follow it without any intermediate connected noun used as a describer. Because there is no connected noun, the qualifying sentence is, again, not analyzed as a connecting sentence. For example:

"like that of a donkey who carries volumes [of books]"

(Note how the translator has translated ٱلْجِمَار as "a donkey" because in English an indefinite noun is often used to indicate a generic type.)

<sup>614:</sup>البخارى<sup>47</sup>

<sup>1-2:</sup>الهمزة <sup>48</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> الحمعة: 5, cited in Wright vol. ii, §172, p. 318C

```
ْ أَنْتَ ٱلْوَزِيرُ لَا يُعْصَىٰ 50
"You are the (sort of) vizier (who) is not disobeyed."
مُمُ ٱلْفَوَارِسُ يَحْمُونَ ٱلنِّسَاءَ.
51 هُمُ ٱلْفَوَارِسُ يَحْمُونَ ٱلنِّسَاءَ.
```

"They are the (kind of) horsemen (who) protect the women."

#### 26.9.3. Sentences with prepositional or adverbial phrases

If a sentence has a definite noun which is to be qualified by a prepositional or adverbial phrase, then in many cases, that phrase may directly follow the definite noun without any intermediate connected noun used a describer. But using a connected noun is also permissible if one wishes to emphasize that the specificity of the noun. When there is no connected noun, the prepositional or adverbial phrase is not analyzed as a connecting sentence, but is considered attached to an implied verb that has the idea of "being", like "is", "are", etc. When there is a connected noun, then it is analyzed as a connecting sentence, as usual. For example:

```
بِسِرْتُ فِي ٱلْحَدِيقَةِ عِنْدَ ٱلْمَسْجِدِ.

"I walked in the garden next to the mosque."

or

سِرْتُ فِي ٱلْحَدِيقَةِ ٱلَّتِي عِنْدَ ٱلْمَسْجِدِ.

or

سِرْتُ فِي ٱلْحَدِيقَةِ ٱلَّتِي هِيَ عِنْدَ ٱلْمَسْجِدِ.

"I walked in the [specific] garden that [is] next to the mosque."
```

#### 26.10. Notes and references

26.10.1.

Wright:

• vol. ii, §172, p. 317-319:

26.10.2.

Fischer claims (§427):

Other interrogatives (§289) are also used as relatives: عَرَفَ ٱلْمَكِيدَةَ 'He knew the trick and how the king employed it.' وَكَيْفَ كَانَ ٱلْمَلِكُ أَوْقَعَهَا 'By God, we do not care where he went'; cf. also §419b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Fischer §428.1, p. 219

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Fischer §428.1, p. 219

لكيف However, we have not been able to find the classical grammarians including أين, etc. among الأسماء الموصولة. To understand how these interrogatives are to be analyzed in such sentences, it may help to look up the إعراب of the verses: أوري سَوْءَةَ أُخِيهِ 3:6], and لِيُرِيَهُ كَيْفَ يُوَارِي سَوْءَةَ أُخِيهِ 3:6], and البحر المحيط لأبي حيان difficultion and التحرير والتنوير لابن عاشور and البحر المحيط لأبي حيان.

The article الأسماء الموصولة المشتركة is also generally included among الأسماء الموصولة المشتركة. However, we have deemed it too complicated to cover (and too confusing to even mention in main text). See النحو الوافي لعباس حسن vol. 1, pp. 356–357 for its treatment.

26.10.3.

From كتاب المقتصد في شرح الإيضاح للجرجاني, vol. 1, p. 319:

ومَن لا يوصف به، ألا ترى لا تقول: مررت بالرجل مَن أخوه منطلق. فتجعله صفةً للرجل، كما تقول: بالرجل الذي أخوه منطلق

Also شرح الرضى على الكافية لابن الحاجب vol. 2, p. 314:

ولا يقع من الموصولات وصفا إلا ما في أوله اللام، نحو الذي والتي، واللاتي، وبابها، لمشابهته لفظا للصفة المشبهة في كونه على ثلاثة أحرف فصاعدا، بخلاف من وما، وأما (أي) الموصول فلم يقع وصفا، لأن الأغلب فيه: الشرط والاستفهام ووقوعه موصولا قليل فروعي ذلك الأكثر، وإنما يوصف بذو، الطائية وإن كانت على حرفين كما في قوله:

٣٢٧ - فقولا لهذا المرء ذو جاء ساعيا ... هلم فان المشرفي الفرائض

Basically, of ما, مَن, أي, أل, ذو, ذا (which comprise) الأسماء الموصولة المشتركة) only the dialectal خو appears as a

26.10.4.

:شرح ابن عقيل على ألفية ابن مالك From

وأشار بقوله وأبوا أن يختزل إن صلح الباقي لوصل مكمل إلى أن شرط حذف صدر الصلة أن لا يكون ما بعده صالحا لأن يكون صلة كما إذا وقع بعده جملة نحو جاء الذي هو أبوه منطلق أو هو ينطلق أو ظرف أو جار ومجرور تامان نحو جاء الذي هو عندك أو هو في الدار فإنه لا يجوز في هذه المواضع حذف صدر الصلة فلا تقول جاء الذي أبوه منطلق تعني الذي هو أبوه منطلق لأن الكلام يتم دونه فلا يدرى أحذف منه شيء أم لا؟ وكذا بقية الأمثلة المذكورة ولا فرق في ذلك بين أي وغيرها فلا تقول في يعجبني أيهم هو يقوم يعجبني أيهم يقوم لأنه لا يعلم الحذف ولا بين أي وغيرها فلا تحول في يعجبني أيهم هو يقوم يعجبني أيهم الكلام الحذف وعدمه لم يختص هذا الحكم بالضمير إذا كان مبتدأ بل الضابط أنه متى احتمل الكلام الحذف وعدمه لم يجز حذف العائد وذلك كما إذا كان في الصلة ضمير غير ذلك الضمير المحذوف صالح لعوده على الموصول نحو جاء الذي ضربته في داره فلا يجوز حذف الهاء من ضربته فلا تقول جاء الذي ضربت في داره لأنه لا يعلم المحذوف.

وبهذا يظهر لك ما في كلام المصنف من الإبهام فإنه لم يبين أنه متى صلح ما بعد الضمير لأن يكون صلة لا يحذف سواء أكان الضمير مرفوعا أو منصوبا أو مجرورا وسواء أكان الموصول أيا أم غيرها بل ربما يشعر ظاهر كلامه بأن الحكم مخصوص بالضمير المرفوع وبغير أي من الموصولات لأن كلامه في ذلك والأمر ليس كذلك بل لا يحذف مع أي ولا مع غيرها متى صلح ما بعدها لأن يكون صلة كما تقدم نحو جاء الذي هو أبوه منطلق ويعجبني أيهم هو أبوه منطلق وكذلك المنصوب والمجرور نحو جاءني الذي ضربته في داره ومررت بالذي مررت به في داره وعجبني أيهم ضربته في داره.

26.10.5.

#### From تفسير القرطبى:

قوله تعالى : ثم آتينا موسى الكتاب مفعولان . تماما مفعول من أجله أو مصدر . على الذي أحسن قرئ بالنصب والرفع . فمن رفع - وهي قراءة يحيى بن يعمر وابن أبي إسحاق - فعلى أخسن قرئ بالنصب والرفع . فمن رفع - وهي قراءة يحيى بن يعمر وابن أبي إسحاق العائد على تقدير : تماما على الذي هو أحسن . قال المهدوي : وفيه بعد من أجل حذف المبتدأ العائد على الذي . وحكى سيبويه عن الخليل أنه سمع " ما أنا بالذي قائل لك شيئا " . ومن نصب فعلى أنه فعل ماض داخل في الصلة ; هذا قول البصريين . وأجاز الكسائي والفراء أن يكون اسما نعتا ل " الذي " وأجازا " مررت بالذي أخيك " ينعتان " الذي " بالمعرفة وما قاربها . قال النحاس : وهذا محال عند البصريين ; لأنه نعت للاسم قبل أن يتم ، والمعنى عندهم : على المحسن .

شرح ابن عقيل: ذهب الكوفيون إلى أنه يجوز حذف العائد المرفوع بالابتداء مطلقا، أي سواء أكان الموصول أيا أم غيره، وسواء أطالت الصلة أم لم تطل، وذهب البصريون إلى جواز حذف هذا العائد إذا كان الموصول أيا مطلقاً، فإن كان الموصول غير أي لم يجيزوا الحذف إلا بشرط طول الصلة، فالخلاف بين الفريقين منحصر فيما إذا لم تطل الصلة وكان الموصول غير أي، فأما الكوفيون فاستدلوا بالسماع، فمن ذلك قراءة يحيى بن يعمر: (تماما على الذي أحسن) قالوا: التقدير على الذي هو أحسن، ومن ذلك قراءة مالك ابن دينار وابن السماك: (إن الله لا يستحيى أن يضرب مثلا ما بعوضة فما فوقها) قالوا: التقدير: مثلا الذي هو بعوضة فما فوقها، ومن ذلك قول الشاعر: لا تنو إلا الذي خير، فما شقيت \* إلا نفوس الألى للشر ناوونا قالوا: التقدير لا تنو إلا الذي هو خير، ومن ذلك قول الآخر: من يعن بالحمد لم ينطق بما سفه ولا يحد عن سبيل المجد والكرم قالوا: تقدير هذا البيت: من يعن بالحمد لم ينطق بالذي هو سفه، ومن ذلك قول عدى بن زيد العبادي: لم أر مثل الفتيان في غبن \* الأيام يدرون ما عواقبها قالوا: ما موصولة، والتقدير: يدرون الذي هو عواقبها. وبعض هذه الشواهد يحتمل وجوها من الاعراب غير الذي ذكروه، فمن ذلك أن " ما " في الآية الثانية يجوز أن تكون زائدة، وبعوضة خبر مبتدأ محذوف، ومن ذلك أن " ما " في بيت عدى بن زيد يحتمل أن تكون استفهامية مبتدأ، وما بعدها خبر، والجملة في محل نصب مفعول به ليدرون، وقد علق عنها لأنها مصدرة بالاستفهام، والكلام يطول إذا نحن تعرضنا لكل واحد من هذه الشواهد، فلنجتزئ لك بالإشارة.

# 26.10.6. Use of من for intelligent beings and من for non-intelligent beings

From شرح ابن عقيل على ألفية ابن مالك ت: محمد محيي الدين عبد الحميد 1/147, footnotes

(۱) تستعمل " ما " في العاقل في ثلاثة مواضع، الاول: أن يختلط العاقل مع غير العاقل نحو قوله تعالى: (يسبح لله ما في السموات وما في الارض) فإن ما يتناول ما فيهما من إنس وملك وجن وحيوان وجماد، بدليل قوله: (وإن من شئ إلا يسبح بحمده) والموضع الثاني: أن يكون أمره مبهما على المتكلم، كقولك - وقد رأيت شبحا من بعيد -: انظر ما ظهر لي، وليس منه قوله تعالى: (إذ قالت امرأة عمران رب إني نذرت لك ما في بطني محررا) لان إبهام ذكورته وأنوثته لا يخرجه عن العقل، بل استعمال " ما " هنا في ما لا يعقل لان الحمل ملحق بالجماد، والموضع هو الثالث: أن يكون المراد صفات من يعقل، كقوله تعالى (فانكحوا ما طاب لكم) وهذا الموضع هو الذى ذكره الشارح بالمثال الاول من غير بيان.

(٢) تستعمل " من " في غير العاقل في ثلاثة مواضع، الاول: أن يقترن غير العاقل مع من يعقل في عموم فصل بمن الجارة، نحو قوله تعالى: (فمنهم من يمشي على بطنه، ومنهم من يمشي على رجلين، ومنهم من يمشي على رأبع) ومن المستعملة فيما لا يعقل مجاز مرسل علاقته المجاورة في هذا الموضع، والموضع الثاني: أن يشبه غير العاقل بالعاقل فيستعار له لفظه، نحو قوله تعالى: (من لا يستجيب له تعالى) وقول الشاعر أسرب القطا هل من يعير جناحه وهو الذي استشهد به المؤلف فيما يلي، وسنذكر معه نظائره، واستعمال من فيما لا يعقل حينئذ استعارة، لان العلاقة المشابهة، والموضع الثالث: أن يختلط من يعقل بما لا يعقل نحو قول الله تعالى: (ولله يسجد من في السموات ومن في الارض) واستعمال من فيما لا يعقل - في هذا الموضع - من باب التغليب، واعلم أن الاصل تغليب من يعقل على ما لا يعقل، وقد يغلب ما لا يعقل المقامات.

### شاء followed by أيّ .26.10.7

I have found some narrations where أي is followed by the verb . For example,

فَلْتَدْخُلْ مِنْ أَيِّ أَبْوَابِ الْجَنَّةِ شَاءَتْ https://sunnah.com/mishkat:3254

فُتِحَتْ لَهُ أَبْوَابُ الْجَنَّةِ الثَّمَانِيَةُ يَدْخُلُ مِنْ أَيِّهَا شَاءَ https://sunnah.com/muslim:234a

فَخُذْ بِيَدِ أَيِّهِمَا شِئْتَ

https://sunnah.com/abudawud:2277

موصولة in these examples is مُوصولة

محمد عبد has a thesis entitled أيُّ) في اللغة العربية - دراسة نحوية under which some similar examples are filed under a category أي التعميمية.

I'm not convinced...

I find a similarity between these examples and the āyahs:

من كان يريد العاجلة عجلنا له فيها ما نشاء لمن نريدة https://quran.com/17/18

and

ٱللَّهُ نَرْفَعُ دَرَجَـٰتٍ مَّن نَّشَآءُ https://quran.com/12/76

As far as I can see, مَنْ has been analyzed as موصولة in these verses. As to their موصولة it has been generally menioned that the صلح for verbs like شاء often deleted. But usually this is in a conditional context:

:معاني النحو From

ويكثر ذلك في فعل المشيئة أو الإرادة أو نحوهما إذا وقع فعل شرط فإن الجواب يدل عليه ويبينه، نحو قوله تعالى: وَلَوْ شاءَ اللَّهُ مَا اقْتَتَلُوا، أي: ولو شاء الله ألا يقتتلوا أو عدم اقتتالهم ما اقتتلوا. فإنه لما قيل: «ولو شاء» علم السامع أن هناك شيئا تعلقت المشيئة الإلهية به لكنه خفىّ مبهم، فلما جىء بجواب الشرط صار بينا واضحا يقع فى النفس.

ومثله قوله تعالى: وَلَوْ شاءَ لَهَداكُمْ أَجْمَعِينَ، أي: لو شاء هدايتكم لهداكم أجمعين. وقوله تعالى: وَلَوْ شِئْنا لَآتَيْنا كُلَّ نَفْس هُداها، أي: ولو شئنا هداية النفوس لآتينا كل نفس هداها.

:دلائل الإعجاز للجرجاني From

لَوْ شِئْتَ لَمْ تُفْسِدْ سَمَاحَةَ حَاتِم كَرَمًا وَلَمْ تَهْدِمْ مَآثِرَ خَالِدِ

الْأَصْلُ لَا مَحَالَةَ: لَوْ شِئْتَ أَنْ لَا تُفْسِدَ سَمَاحَةَ حَاتِمٍ لَمْ تُفْسِدْهَا ، ثُمَّ حَذَفَ ذَلِكَ مَنِ الْأُوّلِ اسْتِغْنَاءً بِذَلَالَتِهِ فِي الثَّانِي عَلَيْهِ . ثُمَّ هُوَ عَلَى مَا ذَكَرْتُ لَكَ مِنَ الْحُسْنِ وَالْغَرَابَةِ وَهُوَ عَلَى مَا ذَكَرْتُ لَكَ مِنْ أَنَّ الْوَاجِبَ فِي حُكْمِ الْبَلَاغَةِ أَنْ لَا يُنْطَقَ بِالْمَحْذُوفِ وَلَا يَظْهَرُ إِلَى اللَّفْظِ . فَلَيْسَ يَخْفَى أَنَّكَ لَوْ رَجَعْتَ فِيهِ إِلَى مَا هُوَ أَصْلُهُ فَقُلْتَ : " لَوْ شِئْتَ أَنْ لَا تُفْسِدَ سَمَاحَةَ حَاتِمٍ لَمْ تُفْسِدْهَا " صِرْتَ لَوْ رَجَعْتَ فِيهِ إِلَى مَا هُوَ أَصْلُهُ فَقُلْتَ : " لَوْ شِئْتَ أَنْ لَا تُفْسِدَ سَمَاحَةَ حَاتِمٍ لَمْ تُفْسِدْهَا " صِرْتَ إِلَى كَلَامٍ غَثِّ، وَإِلَى شَيْءٍ يَمُجُّهُ السَّمْعُ وَتَعَافُهُ التَّفْسُ . وَذَلِكَ أَنَّ فِي الْبَيَانِ، [ ص: 164 ] إِذَا وَرَدَ بَعْدَ الْإِنْهَامِ وَبَعْدَ التَّحْرِيكِ لَهُ أَبِدًا لُطْفًا وَنُبْلًا لَا يَكُونُ إِذَا لَمْ يَتَقَدَّمْ مَا يُحَرِّكُ.

وَأَنْتَ إِذَا قُلْتَ : " لَوْ شِئْتَ " عَلِمَ السَّامِعُ أَنَّكَ قَدْ عَلَّقْتَ هَذِهِ الْمَشِيئَةَ فِي الْمَعْنَى بِشَيْءٍ، فَهُوَ يَضَعُ فِي نَفْسِهِ أَنَّ هَهُنَا شَيْئًا تَقْتَضِي مَشِيئَتُهُ لَهُ أَنْ يَكُونَ أَوْ أَنْ لَا يَكُونَ . فَإِذَا قُلْتَ : " لَمْ تُفْسِدْ سَمَاحَةَ حَاتِمٍ " عَرَفَ ذَلِكَ الشَّيْءَ.

وَمَجِيءُ " الْمَشِيئَةِ " بَعْدَ " لَوْ " وَبَعْدَ حُرُوفِ الْجَزَاءِ هَكَذَا مَوْقُوفَةً غَيْرَ مُعَدَّاةٍ إِلَى شَيْءٍ، كَثِيرٌ شَائِعٌ كَقَوْلِهِ تَعَالَى : « وَلَوْ شَاءَ اللَّهُ لَجَمَعَهُمْ عَلَى الْهُدَى » [ سُورَةِ الْأَنْعَامِ :35 ] « وَلَوْ شَاءَ لَهَدَاكُمْ أَجْمَعِينَ » [ سُورَةِ النَّحْلِ :9 ] . وَالتَّقْدِيرُ فِي ذَلِكَ كُلِّهِ عَلَى مَا ذَكَرْتُ، فَالْأَصْلُ : لَوْ شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ يَجْمَعَهُمْ عَلَى الْهُدَى لَجَمَعَهُمْ - وَلَوْ شَاءَ أَنْ يَهْدِيَكُمْ أَجْمَعِينَ لَهَدَاكُمْ - إِلَّا أَنَّ الْبَلَاغَةَ فِي أَنْ يُهْدِيكُمْ أَجْمَعِينَ لَهَدَاكُمْ - إِلَّا أَنَّ الْبَلَاغَةَ فِي أَنْ يُهْدِيكُمْ قَدِيرُ لَهَدَاكُمْ - إِلَّا أَنَّ الْبَلَاغَةَ فِي أَنْ يُهْدِيكُمْ

My examples are not in a conditional context but could they be analyzed as أي with its in the deleted شاء of مفعول with its الموصولة

For example:

فَلْتَدْخُلْ مِنْ أَيِّ أَبْوَابِ الْجَنَّةِ شَاءَتْ أن تدخل منه

## Chapter 27.

## Questions

27.1. Introduction

TODO

questions هَلْ and أَ

TODO

27.3. مُنْ "Who" questions

In chapter @ref(the-connected-nouns) we have studied the use of  $\delta$   $\delta$  man as a connected noun. Now, we will study its use as a question noun.  $\delta$  is used to formulate "who" questions. As with connected-nouns  $\delta$  is typically used for intelligent beings. (See section @ref(man-and-maa-for-intelligent) for when  $\delta$  may be used for non-intelligent beings.) Except in case that we will note below, is usually placed in the beginning of the question sentence. Being a noun,  $\delta$  may be in one of the three states but because it is a rigid noun, its state will not be apparent.  $\delta$  is considered a singular masculine noun but it is used for all numbers and genders.

### in the u-state مَنْ

Here are some examples of the question noun مَنْ as the subject of a sentence:

مَّنْ زَيْدٌ؟ "Who is Zayd?" مَّنْ أَخُوهُ زَيْدٌ؟ "Whose brother is Zayd?" مَنْ أَنْتِ؟ "Who are you<sub>1f</sub>?" مَنِ هَـنْؤُلاءِ ٱلنَّسَاءُ؟ "Who are these women?" مَنْ ٱلرِّجَالُ ٱلَّذِينَ جَاءُوا؟ "Who are the men that have come?

In all the above sentences مَنْ is the subject of the sentence, and the rest of the sentence constitutes the information. The noun following مَنْ, even when indefinite, cannot be considered its describer or replacement. Therefore, مَنْ can only mean "Who is a man?", not "Which man?".

When مَنْ is used to ask about the doer of a verb, the verb is generally used with a singular masculine doer pronoun. For example:

مَنْ جَاءَ؟ "Who came?"

However, occasionally, the verb's doer pronoun can be made to match the gender of the person(s) who are being asked about, especially if the question is specific to a gender. For example:

ُ مَنْ كَانَتْ أُمَّكَ؟ "Who was your mother?"

Note how كَانَتْ has a feminine doer pronoun to match the gender of كَانَتْ.أُمُّكَ

If the question is regarding a specific number and gender, then we are more likely to insert the corresponding specific connected noun (الَّذِي, etc.) between the question noun مَن and the verb. For example:

مَنِ اللَّتَانِ تَظَاهَرَتَا عَلَى النَّبِيِّ صلى الله عليه وسلم مِنْ أَزْوَاجِهِ "Who are the ones  $_{2f}$ , from his wives, who aided one another against the Prophet  $\square$ ?

sunnah.com/bukhari:4913

<sup>1</sup>Wright states (vol. 2, §170, p. 313C):

As interrogatives, مَنْ and مَا are construed with the masculine singular of a verb, but occasionally admit of the feminine when the predicate is of the feminine gender; as مَنْ قَلْكُ مَنْ who was your mother?

From البحر المحيط لأبي حيان for the tafsīr of البحر المحيط لأبي حيان [4:176]:

... مَن صُرِّحَ بِها ولَها لَفْظٌ ومَعْنَى. فَمَن أَنَّثَ راعى المَعْنى، لِأَنَّ التَّقْدِيرَ: أَيَّةُ أَمُّ كانَتْ أُمَّكَ. ومَدْلُولُ الخَبَرِ في هَذا مُخالِفٌ لِمَدْلُولِ الِاسْمِ، بِخِلافِ الآيَةِ، فَإِنَّ المَدْلُولَيْنِ واحِدٌ، ولَمْ يُؤَنَّتْ في مَن كانَتْ أُمَّكَ لِتَأْنِيثِ الخَبَرِ، إِنَّما أُثْثَ مُراعاةً لِمَعْنى مَن إِذْ أَرادَ بِها مُؤَثَنًا. أَلا تَرى أَنَّكَ تَقُولُ: مَن قامَتْ فَظُؤَنَّتُ مُراعاةً لِلْمَعْنى إذا أَرْدَتَ الشَّوْالَ عَنْ مُؤَنَّتُ، ولا خَبَرَ هُنا فَيُؤَنَّتُ قامَتْ لِأَجْلِهِ

:[6:23] ثُمَّ لَم تَكُن فِت نَتُهُم for the tafsīr of البحر المحيط لأبي حيان [6:23]

وأمّا مَن كانَتْ أَمَّكَ، فَإِنَّهُ حَمَلَ اسْمَ كانَ عَلى مَغنى (مَن)؛ لِأَنَّ مَن لَهَا لَفَظٌ مُفْرَدٌ، ولَها مَغنًى بِحَسَبِ ما تُرِيدُ مِن إفْرادٍ وتَثْنِيَةٍ وجَمْعٍ وتَذْكِيرٍ وتَأْنِيثٍ، ولَيْسَ الحَمْلُ عَلى المَغنى لِمُراعاةِ الخَبَرِ، ألا تَرى أَنَّهُ يَجِيءُ حَيْثُ لا خَبَرَ ؟ نَحْوَ ومِنهم مَن يَسْتَمِعُونَ إلَيْكَ. و(تَكُنِّ) مِثْلُ مَن يا ذِنْبُ يَصْطَحِبانِ. ومَن تَقْنُتْ في قِراءَةِ التّاء، فَلَيْسَتْ تَأْنِيثُ كانَتْ لِتَأْنِيثِ الخَبَرِ، وإنَّما هو لِلْحَمْلِ عَلى مَعنى (مَن) حَيْثُ أَرْدَت بِهِ المُؤَنَّثَ، وكَأَنْكَ قُلْتَ: أَنَّهُ أَمْرَاهُ كانَتْ أَنْفِثُ الْمَرْدُ، وإنَّما هو لِلْحَمْلِ عَلى مَعنى (مَن) حَيْثُ أَرْدَت بِه Note that now the dual feminine doer pronoun in تَظَاهَرَتَا is the link-back pronoun that matches the connected noun ٱللَّتَان.

27.4. ما "What" questions

TODO

27.5. أُىّ "Which" questions

TODO

27.6. كَنْفَ "How" questions

TODO

27.7. أَيْنَ "Where" questions

TODO

27.8. مَتَىٰ "When" questions

**TODO** 

27.9. Other question words

**TODO** 

# 27.10. Differentiating question words from their other functions

Many of the question words (أَ, مُنْ , مَلْ , مَنْ, etc.) also have other functions. For example, in chapter @ref(the-connected-nouns) we learned that مَا ,مَنْ , and قَا are also connected nouns. Many of the question nouns can also be used as conditional nouns that we will study in chapter @ref(...), if Allāh wills.

In this section, we will discuss briefly how to determine when words like أَمْنُ ,أ فَيْفَ , etc. are question words, and when they could have one of their other functions.

The basic principle regarding a question sentence is that it is to be treated as one unit. A word from within a question sentence is not, individually, affected by anything outside the question. Let's try to understand this using an example.

Consider the following sentence:

اِسْأَلْ أَيُّهُمْ قَامَ 'is'al 'ayyuhum qām "Ask: 'Which of them stood?'"

The speaker is commanding the addressed person to ask a group of people the question: "Which of them stood".

The question sentence is أَيُّهُمْ قَامَ 'ayyuhum qām "Which of them stood". This entire sentence is considered the direct doee of the verb of command "ask!". The question noun وُّنَ 'ayyu is in the u-state because it is the subject of the question sentence. It does not become أَيْ 'ayya in the a-state because it is not, by itself, the direct doee of الْسَأَلُ. Rather, as we have just stated, the entire question sentence is the direct doee. But because the direct doee is a sentence, and not an individual word, it does not indicate its state using, for example, an a-mark ó.

Now, let's modify the example. Consider now this sentence:

اِسْأَلْ أَيُّهُمْ قَامَ 'is'al 'ayyahum qām Ask the one of them who stood!

Now, the noun أَيُّ is in the a-state because it (individually) is the direct doee of the verb of command الْسُأَلْ. The speaker is now commanding the addressed person to ask (something) of only the person who stood, out of a group of people. The rest of the people are not to be asked. Because the noun أَيُّ is individually affected by the preceding verb ٱلسُأَلُ it is now not a question noun, and must be one of the other functions that the word أَيِّ can have. As a matter of fact, it is here a connected noun, and the sentence following it: وُلُمُ "he stood" is its connector.

The other noteworthy point regarding questions (besides their being considered one unit) is that they may only be preceded either by a verb of knowledge, (like  $\tilde{\zeta}$ , etc.) or by an expression indicating that the question is being quoted. We have already seen an example of the question being quoted in the example:

اِسْأَلْ أَيُّهُمْ قَامَ "Ask: 'Which of them stood?'"

An example of a question being preceded by a verb of knowledge is:

َمَا عَلِمْتُ أَذَهَبَ أَمْ مَكَثَ I don't know whether he went or stayed.

"Did he go or did he stay?" أُذْهَبَ أَمْ مَكَثَ

By the way, a question sentence may be part of a bigger question as well. For example:

أَتَدْرِي أَيُّهُمْ فِي ٱلدَّارِ؟ 'atadrī 'ayyuhum fi -ddār

Do you know: which of them is in the house?

Note now the subtle difference in meaning if we change the state of  $\ddot{\ddot{}}$  in the above example from the u-state to the a-state:

أَتَدْرِي أَيَّهُمْ فِي ٱلدَّارِ؟ 'atadrī 'ayyahum fi -ddār

Do you know the one of them who is in the house?

When أيّ was in the u-state it was a question noun. And the speaker was asking the addressed person if he could figure out who, from among the group of people, was in the house.

When الله is in the a-state it is now a connected noun. The connector's link-back pronoun is omitted (as is permissible in this case, see section @ref(...)). With the link-back pronoun restored, the sentence is أَتَدْرِي أَتِّهُمْ هُوَ فِي ٱلدَّارِ؟ And the speaker is asking the addressed person if he is familiar with the person who is in the house. Now he is not asking the addressed person to identify him, but rather if knows him as a person.

This difference is apparent with الله because it is a flexible noun whose state is indicated by the vowel mark at its end. The same occurs in the other question nouns that are also connected nouns: فَنْ but it won't often be as apparent because they are rigid nouns.

As a final note, sometimes, one of the words like أَدْ مَنْ مَا رَمَنْ , أَمْ وَا رَمْنْ , أَدْ etc. may be preceded by parts of a sentence that are neither quoting, nor a verb of knowledge. Here we can be sure that the word is not a question word and must be one of its other functions. However, it still may not be easy to figure out what that other function is. Nevertheless, the meaning of the sentence can still usually be gleaned. For example:

فَلْتَدْخُلْ مِنْ أَيِّ أَبْوَابِ الْجَنَّةِ شَاءَتْ

"then let her enter from whichever of the doors of paradise she wishes."2

:تفسير الآلوسي from the tafsīr of وَإِذَا مَآ أُنزلَتْ سُورَةٌ فَمِنْهُمْ مَّن يَقُولُ أَيُّكُمْ زَادَتُهُ هَـٰذِهِ إِيمَاناً from the tafsīr of:

:بداىع الفوائد لابن القيم from the tafsīr of ثُمَّ لَنَنزِعَنَّ مِن كُلِّ شِيعَةٍ أَيُّهُمْ أَشَدُّ عَلَى ٱلرَّحْمَـٰنِ عِتِيًّا from the tafsīr of:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Distinguishing question words from their other functions is often not straightforward. Consider the example:

أُتَدْرِي أَيَّ كِتَابِ قَرَأً؟

Do you know which book he read?

يق is a question noun in the a-state because it is the direct doee of the verb أَيُّ كِتَابٍ. It can't be a connected noun because أَيُّ كِتَابٍ is indefinite and connected nouns are always considered definite. See also these excerpts:

و ﴿أَيُّهِم أَشَدُّ ﴾ للنحاة فيه أقوال:

أحدها: قول الخليل أنه مبتدأ وأشد خبره ولم يعمل لننزعن فيه لأنه محكي والتقدير الذي يقال فيه: ﴿أَيُّهم أَشَدُّ عَلى الرَّحْمَن عِبِيًّا﴾ وعلى هذا فـ (أى) استفهامية.

الثاني: قول يونس أنه رفع على جهة التعليق للفعل السابق كما لو قلت علمت أنه أخوك فعلق الفعل. عن الفعل كما تعلق أفعال القلوب.

الثالث: قول سيبويه إن (أي) هنا موصولة مبنية على الضم والمسوغ لبنائها حذف صدر صلتها، وعنده أصل الكلام أيهم هو أشد فلما حذف صدر الصلة بنيت على الضم تشبيها لها بالغايات التي قد حذفت مضافاتها ك قبل وبعد وعلى كل واحد من الأقوال إشكالات نذكرها ثم نبين الصحيح إن شاء الله.

فأما قول الخليل: فقيل يلزمه ستة أمور:

الأول حذف الموصوف.

الثاني: حذف الصُلة.

الثالث: حذف العائد لأن تقديره الذي يقال لهم إنهم أشد وهذا لا عهد لنا فيه باللغة.

وأما ما يحذف من القول فإنه إنما يكون قولا مجردا عن كونه صلة لموصول نحو قوله: ﴿والمَلائِكَةُ باسِطُو أَيْدِيهِمْ أُخْرِجُوا أَنْفُسَكُمُ﴾ أي يقولون أو قائلين ومثله: ﴿والَّذِينَ اتَّخَذُوا مِن دُونِهِ أُوْلِياءَ ما نَعْبُدُهم إِلاّ لِيُقَرِّبُونا إلى اللَّه زُلْفی﴾

الرابع: أنه إذا قدر المحذوف هكذا لم يستقم الكلام فإنه يصير ﴿لَنَنْزِعَنَّ مِن كُلِّ شِيعَةِ﴾ الذين يقال فيهم ﴿أَيُّهم أَشَدُّ﴾

وهذا فاسد فإن ذلك المتزوع لا يقال فيه: ﴿أَيُّهِم أَشَدُّ﴾ بل هو نفسه أشد أو من أشد الشيعة على الرحمن فلا يقع عليه الاستفهام بعد نزعه فتأمله.

الخامس: أن الاستفهام لا يقع إلا بعد أفعال العلم والقول على الحكاية ولا يقع بعد غيره من الأفعال تقول علمت أزيد عندك أم عمرو ولو قلت ضربت أزيد أم عمرو لم يجز وننزعن ليس من أفعال العلم فإذا قلت: ضربت أيهم قام. لم تكن إلا موصولة ولا يصح أن يقال ضربت الذي يقال فيه أيهم قام، وإنما توهم مثل ذلك لكون اللفظ صالحا لجهة أخرى مستقيمة فيتوهم متوهم أن حمله على الجهة الأخرى يستقيم والذي يدل عليه أنه لو قدرت موضعه استفهاما صريحا ليس له جهة أخرى لم يجز

فإذا قلت: ضرب أزيد عندك أم عمرو. لم يجز بخلاف ضرب أيهم عندك.

فلو كان أيهم استفهاما لجاز الكلام مع الاستفهام الذي بمعناهما وإنما لم يقع الاستفهام إلا بعد أفعال العلم والقول أما القول فلأنه يحكي به كل جملة خبرية كانت أو إنشائية، وأما أفعال العلم فإنما وقع بعدها الاستفهام لكون الاستفهام مستعلما به فكأنك إذا قلت: أزيد عندك أم عمرو كان معناه أعلمني، وإذا قلت: علمت أزيد عندك أم عمرو.

كان معناه علمت ما يطلب استعلامه فلهذا صح وقوع الاستفهام بعد العلم لأنه استعلام ثم حمل الحسبان والظن عليهما لكونهما من بابه ووجه آخر وهو كثرة استعمال أفعال العلم فجعل شأن ليس لغيرها.

السادس: أن هذا الحذف الذي قدره في الآية حذف لا يدل عليه سياق فهو مجهول الوضع وكل حذف كان بهذه المنزلة كان تقديره من باب علم الغيب وأما قول يونس بن حبيب فإشكاله ظاهر فإن التعليق إنما يكون في أفعال القلوب نحو العلم والظن والحسبان دون غيرها.

ولا يجوز أن تقول ضربت أيهم قام على أن تكون (أيهم) استفهاما وقد علق الفعل عن العمل فيه وأما قول سيبويه فإشكاله أنه بناء خارج عن النظائر ولم يوجد في اللغة شاهد له قال السهيلي ما ذكره سيبويه لو استشهد عليه بشاهد من نظم أو نثر أو وجدنا بعده في كلام فصيح شاهدا له لم نعدل به قولا ولا رأينا لغيره عنه طولا ولكنا لم نجز ما بين لمخالفته غيره لا سيما مثل هذه المخالفة فإنا لا نسلم أنه حذف من الكلام شيء وإن قال: إنه حذف ولا بد والتقدير أيهم هو أخوك فيقال: لم يبنوا في النكرة فيقولون مررت برجل أخوك أو رأيت رجلا أبوك أي هو أخوك وأبوك ولم خصوا أيا هذا دون سائر الأسماء أن يحذف من صلته ثم يبنى للحذف ومتى وجدنا شيئا من الجملة يحذف ثم يبنى الموصوف بالجملة من أجل ذلك الحذف وذلك الحذف لا نجعله متضمنا لمعنى الحرف ولا مضارعا له وهذه علة البناء وقد عدمت في أي قال والمختار قول الخليل ولكنه يحتاج إلى شرح وذلك أنه لم يرد بالحكاية ما يسبق إلى الفهم من تقدير معنى القول ولكنه أراد حكاية لفظ الاستفهام الذي هو أصل في أي كما يحكيه بعد العلم إذا قلت قد علمت من أخوك وأقام زيد أم قعد فقد تركت الكلام على حاله قبل دخول الفعل لبقاء معنى الاختصاص والتعيين في أي الذي كان موجودا فيها وهي استفهام لأن ذلك المعنى هو الذي وضعت له استفهاما كانت أو خبرا كما حكوا لفظ النداء في قولهم اللهم اغفر لي أيها الرجل وارحمنا أيتها العصابة فنحكي لفظ هذا إشعارا بالتعيين والاختصاص الموجود في حال النداء لوجود معنى الاختصاص والتعيين فيه قال وقول يونس: إن الفعل ملغي حق وإن لم يكن من أفعال القلب وعلة إلغائه ما قدمناه من حكاية لفظ الاستفهام للاختصاص فإذا أتممت العلة وقلت ضربت أيهم أخوك. زالت مضارعة الاستفهام وغلب فيه معنى الخبر لوجود الصلة التامة بعده

قال وأما قوله تعالى: ﴿وَسَيَعْلَمُ الَّذِينَ ظَلَمُوا أَيُّ مُنْقَلَبُ يَنْقَلِبُونَ﴾ وإجماعهم على أنها منصوبة بـ ﴿ينقلبون﴾ لا بـ ﴿سيعلم على جهة الاستفهام ولكن تكون موصولة لا بـ ﴿سيعلم على جهة الاستفهام ولكن تكون موصولة والجملة صلتها والعائد محذوف ولكن منع من هذا أصل أصلناه ودليل أقمناه على أن الاسم الموصول إذا عني به المصدر ووصل بفعل مشتق من ذلك المصدر لم يجز لعدم الفائدة المطلوبة من الصلة وهي إيضاح الموصول وتبيينه والمصدر لا يوضح فعله المشتق من لفظه لأنه كأنه هو لفظا ومعنى إلا في المختلف الأنواع كما تقدم قال ووجه آخر أقوى من هذا وهو أن أيا لا تكون بمعنى الذي حتى تضاف إلى معرفة فتقول لقيت أيهم في الدار إذ من المحال أن يكون بمعنى الذي وهو نكرة والذي لا ينكر وهذا أصل

From معانى القآن للفراء for the tafsīr of [2:69]:

فأعملْ في " ما " " وأي " الفعلَ الذي بعدَهما، ولا تُعمِل الذي قبلهما إذا كان مُشتقّاً من العِلْم ؛ كقولك : ما أعلم أيُّهم قال ذاك، ولا أعلمنّ أيُّهم قال ذاك، وما أدرى أيَّهم ضربت، فهو في العِلِم والإخبار والإنباء وما أشبهها على ما وصفتُ لك. منه قول الله تبارك وتعالى :﴿ وَما أَدْرَاكَ ماهِيَهْ ﴾ ﴿ وَما أَدْرَاكَ ما يَوْمُ الدَّين ﴾ " ما " الثانية رفعٌ، فرفعتَها بيوم ؛ كقولك : ما أدراك أي شيء يومُ الدّين، وكذلك قول الله تبارك وتعالى :﴿ لِنَعْلَمَ أَى الْحِزْبَيْنِ أَحْصَى ﴾ رفعتَه بأَحْصَى، وتقول إذا كان الفعل واقعا على أي : ما أدرى أيَّهم ضربت. وإنما امتنعت من أن تُوقع على أي الفعل الذي قبلها من العلم وأشباهه؛ لأنك تجدُ الفعلَ غيرَ واقع على أي في المعنى ؛ ألا ترى أنك إذا قلت : اذْهَبْ فاعلم أيُّهما قام أنك تسأل غيرهما عن حالهما فتجد الفعل واقعا على الذي أعلمك، كما أنك تقول : سل أيُّهُمْ قام، والمعنى : سل الناس أيُّهُمْ قام. ولو أوقعت الفعل على " أي " فقلت : اسأل أيَّهُمْ قام لكنت كأنك تضمر أيّاً مرّة أخرى ؛ لأنك تقول : سل زيدا أيُّهُمْ قام، فإذا أوقعت الفعل على زيد فقد جاءت " أي " بعده. فكذلك " أي " إذا أوقعت عليها الفعل خرجت من معنى الاستفهام، وذلك إن أردته، جائز، تقول : لأُضْرِبَنَّ أيَّهُم يقول ذاك ؛ لأنّ الضرب لا يقع على [ اسم ثم يأتي بعد ذلك استفهام، وذلك لأن الضرب لا يقع على ] اثنين، وأنتَ تقول في المسألة : سل عبد الله عن كذا، كأنك قلت : سله عن كذا، ولا يجوز ضربت عبد الله كذا وكذا إلا أن تريد صفة الضرب، فأما الأسماء فلا. وقول الله :﴿ ثُمَّ لَنَنْزِعَنَّ مِنْ كُلِّ شِيعةٍ أَيُّهُم أَشَدُّ على الرَّحْمَن عِتيّاً ﴾ من نصب أيّاً أوقع عليها النزع وليس باستفهام، كأنه قال : ثم لنستخرجن العاتيَ الذي هو أشد. وفيها وجهان من الرفع ؛ أحدهما أن تجعل الفعل مكتفيا بِمن في الوقوع عليها، كما تقول : قد قتلنا من كل قوم، وأصبنا من كل طعام، ثم تستأنف أيّاً فترفعها بالذي بعدها، كما قال جلّ وعزّ :﴿ يَبْتَغُونَ إِلَى رَبِّهِمُ الْوَسِيلَةَ أَيُّهُمْ أَقْرَبُ ﴾ أي ينظرون أَيُّهُم أقرب. ومثله ﴿ يُلْقُونَ أَقْلاَمَهُمْ أَيُّهُمْ يَكْفُلُ مَرْيَمَ ﴾. وأما الوجه، الآخر فإن في قوله تعالى :﴿ ثم لَنَنْزَعَنَّ مِنْ كلِّ شِيعَةِ ﴾ لننزعن من الذين تشايعوا على هذا، ينظرون بالتشايع أيهم أشدّ وأخبث، وأيهم أشدّ على الرحمن عِتيّاً، والشيعة ويتشايعون سواء في المعنى. وفيه وجه ثالث من الرفع أن تجعل ﴿ ثُمّ لَننْزَعَنَّ مِنْ كُلِّ شِيعَةِ ﴾ بالنداء ؛ أي لننادين ﴿ أَيُّهُمْ أَشدُّ على الرَّحْمانِ عِتيّاً ﴾ وليس هذا الوجه يريدون. ومثله مما تعرفه به قوله :﴿ أَفَلَمْ يَيْأُس الَّذِينَ آمَنَوُا أَنْ لَوْ يشاء اللَّهُ لهَدَى الناس جَميعاً ﴾ فقال بعض المفسرين ﴿ أَفَلَمْ يَيْأًس الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا ﴾ : ألم يعلم، والمعنى - والله أعلم - أفلم ييأسوا علما بأن الله لو شاء لهدى الناس جميعا. وكذلك " لَنَنْزعَنّ " يقول يريد ننزعهم بالنداء.

Work in Progress

## Chapter 28.

## Yes/no questions

For دلائل الإعجاز للجرجاني, see دلائل الإعجاز للجرجاني. Also referred to in دلائل الإعجاز للجرجاني. Also add, if the comment is simply a noun: أمسافر أنت.

Motk in Progress

Motk in Progress

## Appendix A.

## Rules for writing hamzah

#### A.1. Seats of hamzah

Hamzah is written in four different ways:

- 1. Seated on an alif: 1 or 1
- 2. Seated on an waw: 5
- 3. Seated on an yā': 3
- 4. Unseated: 6

Here are some of notes about writing hamzah in the above four methods:

- When unseated hamzah comes between two letters that are joined, then it is written above the line that joins them, for example: خَطِيعُة  $khat\bar{\iota}^{3}ah$ . In this word, the yā' ن joins to the  $t\bar{a}^{7}$  marb $\bar{\iota}$ that  $\bar{\iota}$ .
  - As a special case, when unseated hamza comes between joined  $l\bar{a}m$  and alif (l'), then it is positioned between them thus: l'. (In most cases, this is replaced with l' as we will explain in the next point below.) And this is different from hamzah on the alif following the  $l\bar{a}m$ : l'.
- When unseated hamzah is followed by an alif: اَمْنَ , the combination of hamzah and alif is usually written as آ as a convention. Examples: اَمْنَ 'āmana, اَمُنَ 'āham'ān, شَنَانَ shana'ān. However, when the alif is a suffix or part of a suffix, or the hamzah is doubled, or there is an alif before the hamzah then we will write اه , not آ. Examples: شَيْتَانِ shay'āni, قَرَاءَات qirā'āt.
- When hamzah is seated on alif, if it has an *i*-mark, it is written below the alif:  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Otherwise, it is written above the alif:  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ .
- When hamzah is seated on yā' & the dots of the yā' are no longer written. Here's how it will appear in different positions:

Isolated	End	Middle	Beginnning
ئ	ئ	ئ	ئ

Note that hamzah is seated on yā' in the middle position  $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$  is different from unseated hamzah between two joining letters  $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ .

So how do we know when to write hamzah unseated and when seated? And how do we choose between its three different seats? There are a set of rules that we need to follow in order to correctly write hamzah.

#### A.2. Rules for determining the seat of hamzah

#### A.2.1. Without prefixes and suffixes

We will first learn how to determine the seat of hamzah for a word without any prefix or suffix.

Hamzah can occur in three positions in a word:

- 1. At the beginning of the word
- 2. In the middle of the word
- 3. At the end of the word

We will treat each of these positions below.

#### A.2.1.1. At the beginning of the word

When hamzah occurs in the beginning of a word, then:

- a. If the hamzah carries a long- $\bar{a}$  vowel, it is written unseated followed by an alif and written as  $\bar{b}$ , for example  $\bar{b}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{b}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{b}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{b}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{b}$   $\bar{a}$   $\bar{b}$   $\bar{$
- alif and written as آ, for example آمَنَ 'āmana.

  b. If the hamzah carries any other vowel, it is written seated on an alif, and is marked with the appropriated vowel mark, for example أُرِيدُ 'aslama, أُرِيدُ 'arīdu, أُوخذُ 'islām, إِيمَانَ 'imān, أُوخذُ 'īmān, إِيمَانَ 'ikhidha.

#### A.2.1.2. In the middle of the word

Arabic has three short vowels, three long vowels, two semi-vowels, and a zero-vowel indicated by a 0-mark  $\circ$ . Each of these has an order of precedence and a hamzah seat, that we have shown in the table below:

Precedence	Vowel	Seated hamzah
1.	ī/ay	۶
2.	i	ئ
3.	ū/aw	۶
4.	и	ؤ
5.	ā	۶
6.	а	ĺ
7.	ំ	۶

Main rule: Disregard any doubling mark . Consider the vowel on the consonant before the hamzah and the vowel on the hamzah itself. Determine which of the two vowels wins by being higher in precedence in the above table. The winning vowel's seat will be the seat of the hamzah.

**Exception:** If the vowel  $\bar{a}$  wins, but it is written not as an alif but as a  $\gtrsim$ , then the hamzah will be seated on an alif.

#### Examples:

	Vowel before	Vowel on	Winning	
Word	hamzah	hamzah	vowel	Seated hamzah
hay'ah هَيْئَة	ay	а	ay	۶
khatī'ah خُطِيئَة	ī	a	ī	ç
istīʾās اسْتِيَّاس	i	а	ī	* (Exception: \\$ is not written as \( \) when the preceding vowel is \( i \).
taw'am تَوْءَم	aw	а	aw	\$
saw'ah سَوْءَة	aw	а	aw	ç
maw <sup>ı</sup> il مُوْتِل	aw	i	i	ئ
sāʾil سَائِل	ā	i	i	ئ
sāʾil سَائِل tasāʾul تَسَاؤُلِ	$\bar{a}$	u	и	ۇ
tasā'ala تَسَاءَلَ	ā	а	ā	ç
qirāʾāt قِراءَات مُبِءُ	$ar{a}$	ā	ā	<b>\$</b>
nū¸ānun نُوانَّ	ū	ā	ū	٤
masʾūl مَسْئُول	ំ	ū	ū	¢
tar <sup>ı</sup> īs تَرْءِيسِ	் ்	ī	ī	<b>\$</b>
mirʾāh مِرْآة	ំ	ā	ā	<b>\$</b>
atham'ān ظَمُآن	ំ	ā	ā	¢

Word	Vowel before hamzah	Vowel on hamzah	Winning vowel	Seated hamzah
mas'alah مَسْأَلَة	்	а	а	ĺ
almar'ah الْـرَأَة	ំ	а	а	١
as'ilah أَسْئَلَة	ំ	i	i	ئ
af'idah' أَفْتُدَة	ំ	i	i	ئ
بئس bi'sa su'l سُؤْل	i	ំ	i	ئ
نر عن su'l سؤل	и	ំ	и	
ka's كَأْسِ	а	ំ	а	ۇ ا
، مسئلُ suʾila	и	i	i	ئ
يئس yaʾisa	а	i	i	ئ
muṭmaʾinn مُطْمَئِنَّ	а	i	i	ئ
su'āl سُؤَالُ	и	ā	u	ؤ
raʾīs رَءِيس	a	ī	i	<b>\$</b>
raʾīs رُءِيس ruʾūs رُءُوسِ	и	ū	ū	<b>\$</b>
shu'ūn ِ شـُون	и	ū	ū	<b>\$</b>
ra'ūf رُءُوف	a	ū	ū	<b>\$</b>
lu'ayy لُؤُيَّ	и	а	и	ؤ
َ shana'ān شَنَآنَ	а	ā	ā	¢
sa'ala سِأَلَ	á	a	a	١
ra''asa رَأْسَ	а	а	а	١
sayyi'ah سَيِّئَة	i	a	i	ئ
ورية بح يركس yura <sup>››</sup> isu	а	i	i	ئ
يُرِيِّسُ yura <sup>››</sup> isu ru <sup>››</sup> isa tafa <sup>›</sup> ›ul sa <sup>››</sup> āl	и	i	i	ئ
tafa"ul تَفُوُّل	а	и	u	ؤ
sa››āl سَـُّال	а	ā	ā	<b>\$</b>
لَّال la''āl	а	ā	ā	<b>\$</b>
ra'ā رَأَىٰ	а	ā	ā	(using exception)

#### A.2.1.3. At the end of the word

When hamzah occurs at the end of a word, disregard the vowel on hamzah itself, and consider only the vowel on preceding consonant. Plug it into the precedence table as above to determine the seat of hamzah.

		Vowel on	
		conso-	
		nant before	
Word		hamzah	Seated hamzah
dueā'u دُعَاءُ		ā	¢
sū'u سُوءُ		ū	۶
jī'a جيءَ		ī	•
jī'a جيءَ daw'a ضُوءَ		aw	
shay'a شَيْءَ		ay	•
but'u بُطْءُ		ំ	.00
eib'u عِبْءُ			
shat'u شُطْءُ		ំ	<b>9</b> ,
yuhaddi'u يَهُدِّئُ		i	ئ
muttaki'a مُتَّكِئَ	1	i	ئ
sayyi'u سَيِّئُ	40	i	ئ
baṭu'a بَطُوٍّ	Mo	и	ؤ
yahda'u يُدَأُ		а	١
إلى موموع ألى الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال		а	إ

The exception to this rule is when the previous letter is a doubled wāw with an u-mark. In this case the hamzah will again be unseated. Example  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  tabawwu'u.

Note also that مُبْتَداً mubtada'i can be written with the hamzah below the alif because of the i-mark on the hamzah. But it is also common to write it as مُبتَداً mubtada', especially when the hamzah is unvoweled.

#### A.2.2. With prefixes and suffixes

#### A.2.2.1. Prefixes

If hamzah is in the beginning of a word, adding a prefix to the word will not alter the writing of the hamzah. Hamzah will continue to be seated on an alif. Here are some examples of words with beginning hamzahs and prefixes.

Word without prefix	Prefix	Word with prefix
أُسْتَاذِ	ل	لأُسْتَاذِ
آخِرَة	الْ	الْآخِرَة

#### A.2.2.2. Suffixes

If hamzah is at the end of a word, adding a suffix to the word can, in general, alter the writing of the hamzah. Hamzah is now, generally, treated as if it is in the middle of the word, and the rules for hamzah in the middle of a word apply. Examples:

	Vowel			
	on con-	Shortene	ed	
	sonant	vowel		
	before	on	Winning	
Word	hamzah	hamzah	vowel	Seated hamzah
barīʾūna بَرِيتُونَ	ī	ū	ī	ç
فِرِيثَانِ barīʾāni	ī	ā	ī	۶
barīʾīna بَرِيئِينَ	ī	ī	ī	۶
barī'ayni بَرِينَيْنِ	ī	ay	ī/ay	۶
sī'at سيئت	ī	а	ī	۶
shay uhu شيْئُهُ	ay	u	ay	\$
shay'ahu شَيْنَهُ	ay	а	ay	¢
shay'ihi شيئه	ay	i	ay	¢
shay ani شيئان	ay	ā	ay	¢
آشيئه shay'uhu شيئه shay'ahu شيئه shay'ihi شيئان shay'āni شيئان shay'ayni شيئين majī'uhu مجيئه majī'ahu	ay	ay	ay	¢
majī'uhu مجِيتُه	ī	u	ī	٤
majī'ahu مَجِيتُهُ	ī	а	ī	\$

Word	Vowel on con- sonant before hamzah	Shortene vowel on hamzah	ed Winning vowel	Seated hamzah
majī'ihi مجيئه	ī	i	ĩ	\$
مُرَّمُّ sū'ihi سُوتُه	ū	i	i	ئ
sū'ihi سُوتُه daw'ihi ضُوْتُه	aw	i	i	ئ
yasūʾūna يَسُوٍءُونَ	ū	ū	ū	¢
sū'uhu سُوءُهُ	ū	и	ū	¢
sū'ahu سُوءَهُ	ū	а	ū	¢
sūʾāni سُوءَانِ	ū	ā	ū	<b>\$</b>
ḍaw'ahu ضُوْءَهُ	aw	a	aw	, , ,
ḍawʾāni ضُوْءَانِ	aw	ā	aw	. 6.3
murjaʾāt مُرْجَئات	a	ā	ā	£
khāṭiʾīn خاطئين	i	ī	ī	•
khāsi'īn خَاسِئين	i	ī	Ĩ	¢
muttakiʾīna مُتَّكِئِنَ	i	ī	Ĩ	¢
muttaki <sup>,</sup> ūna مُتَّكِئُونَ	i	ū	i	ئ
يُرِيُّونَ yubarri <sup>,</sup> ūna يَبِرِيُّونَ	i	ū	i	ئ
yubarra'ūna يبرَّءُونَ	a	ū	ū	¢
iqra'ū ِ ٱقْرِءُوا	а	ū	ū	¢
sayyi'āt سيِئات	i	ā	i	ئ
fi'āt فِئات	i	ā	i	ئ
fi'ūn فِتُون	i	ū	i	ئ
mi <sup>,</sup> āt مِثَات	i	ā	i	ئ
mi'ūn مِئُون	i	ū	i	ئ
yutfi'ū يُطْفِئُوا	i	ū	i	ئ
مستهزئون mustahziʾūn	i	ū	i	ئ
munshi'ūn مُنْشِئُون	i	ū	i	ئ
yuḍāhiʾūna يُضَاهَنُونَ	i	ū	i	ئ
J ,				_

ئ murji<sup>v</sup>ūn i ū i مُرْجِئُون

#### There are some exceptions:

- If the letter before the hamzah has a o-mark and is not wāw or yā', then the hamzah will be written unseated. Examples:
  - juz'āni جُزْءَان
  - eib'āni عبْنَان –
  - eib'ayni عِنْ يِنْ
  - buṭʾahu بُطْنَهُ –
  - , , , buṭʾuhu بطُّهُ –
  - buṭʾihi بُطُّهِ –

(ن ران), من من are suffixes.) Note that the combination اء is not written as  $\tilde{l}$  when the alif is part of the suffix.

#### A.2.3. Nūnation on final hamzah

Nūnation on final hamzah does not affect the writing of the hamzah except in the case of a nūnated a-mark  $\circ$ . When writing a nūnated a-mark  $\circ$  on a hamzah at the end of a word:

- 1. If there is an alif before a unseated hamzah  $\epsilon$ l, then we don't add a silent alif when writing the nūnated a-mark  $\delta$ . For example  $\epsilon$ l becomes  $\delta$ d $\bar{a}$ 'an, not  $\delta$ l.
- 2. Otherwise, we add the silent alif after the hamzah so that the hamzah is now in the middle of the word with a suffix alif after it. We now pretend that the hamzah has an *a*-mark and that the alif after it is a long- $\bar{a}$  vowel. Then we go through the rules for writing hamzah in the middle of a word (given above) to determine how hamzah will be written. We then write the nūnated *a*-mark  $\mathring{\circ}$  on the hamzah. Examples:
- مُبتَداً، مُبتَدَءًا، مُبتَدَءًا، مُبتَداً
- مَلْجَأً، مَلْجَاً، مَلْجَاً، مَلْجَاً
- رُهُ . جَزْءً، جُزْءًا، جَزْءًا، جَزْءً

353

- شَيْءٌ، شَيَّا، شَيْءٍ becomes شَيْء
- سَيِّعُ, سَيِّئًا, سَيِّع becomes سَيِّعً

#### A.2.4. Variants

There are some historical and regional variants to the above rules. The main one is when the letter before hamzah has a o-mark, the hamzah is generally written unseated. So with this variant, we write:

مَسْأَلَة instead of مَسْئَلَة

However, this rule appears to be not consistently followed. For example, *nash'ah* is generally always written نَشْعُهُ never نَشْعُهُ.

Another variant is that when word final hamzah has an i-mark and is followed by a suffix starting with  $\bar{u}$ , then the hamzah is written unseated instead of as  $\dot{u}$ . Examples:

- مُتَّكِئُون muttaki un instead of مُتَّكِئُون
- يُطْفِئُوا yutfi'ū instead of يُطْفِئُوا
- مُستَهْزِ تُون mustahzi un instead of مُستَهْزِ عُون
- مُنْشُونُ munshi vīn instead of مُنْشُونُ
- يُضَاهِئُونَ yuḍāhiʾūna instead of يُضَاهِئُونَ
- مُرْجِئُون murji<sup>v</sup>ūn instead of مُرْجِئُون
- مُ جنون murji un instead of مُرْجنُون
- رئيس ra'is instead of رئيس

Excepted from this variant are deficient words like:

- fi<sup>v</sup>ūn فتُون
- mi'ūn مِئُون •

Another, more modern, variant is to modify the precedence rule of vowels. According to this modern variant, the vowel before hamzah is compared with the *shortened* vowel on the hamzah. This can result in some changes in the hamzah seat. For example:

- مَسْتُول mas'ūl instead of مَسْؤُول
- رُؤُوس  $ru^{\nu}\bar{u}s$  instead of رُؤُوس

Excepted from this variant is the combination  $a^{\bar{a}}$  which will continue to be written as  $\tilde{\Box}$  or  $\tilde{\Box}$ . For example:  $\hat{a}^{\bar{b}}$ ,  $\hat{a}^{\bar{b}}$ .

#### A.3. Why so complicated?

Hamzah was originally not pronounced everywhere in some Classical Arabic dialects. For speakers of these dialects, when hamzah would occur in the middle of a word, they would replace it with an a, u, or i vowel. So they would adjust their pronunciation as follows:

Proununciation with hamza	h Proununciation without hamzah
hay'ah هَيْـُة	مَيِّة hayyah
khaṭīʾah خُطِيَّة	khaṭiyyah خُطيَّة
تُوءَم taw'am	tawwam تَوَّم
tasā'ul تَسَافُولُ	tasāwul تَسَاوُلُ
bi'sa بنس su'l سُؤْل	بيس bīsa
su'l سُوَّل	sūl سُول
ka's کَأْس	الله سون kās کاس

When the Classical Standard Arabic variety emerged, then, for reasons that are beyond the scope of this text, the pronunciation *with* hamzah and the consonantal spelling *without* hamzah became standardized. So  $\varepsilon$  is now added as a pronunciation mark on top of the various *seats* that would instead have been dialectally pronounced without hamzah.

### A.4. Typographical limitations

Unfortunately, most digital fonts do not currently allow for correctly typing an unseated hamzah between two joined letters (عُطِئَة  $\widehat{kh}ati^{2}ah$ . In most fonts, the hamzah character (Unicode u + 0621) will break the joining between the two letters surrounding it, and the output will be rendered incorrectly: خُطِئَة  $\widehat{kh}ati^{2}ah$ .

Two typefaces which allow for the correct typesetting are

- Amiri from Alif Type (amirifont.org)
- Naskh<sup>™</sup> from DecoType (decotype.com)

We have used the Amiri font for typesetting this appendix chapter.

For most other fonts, an unseated hamzah between two joined letters would have to be approximated in one of two ways:

Hamzah superscript on a taṭwīl character: ــ. Example: خَطِيئة. The Unicode input sequence is:

u+0640 ARABIC TATWEEL

u + 0654 ARABIC HAMZA ABOVE

This is a more accurate approximation, but some fonts may not position the hamzah correctly on the taṭwīl, or position vowel marks on the superscript hamzah correctly.

2. Hamzah seated on yāʾ: ئ. Example: خَطِيئة. This is a reprehensible, yet more prevalent, and better supported, approximation.

Beware, though, that neither of these approximations would allow for the correct rendering of a complex (but thankfully rare) word like  $\sqrt[3]{\tilde{V}} la^{n}\bar{a}l$  "pearl seller", where the hamzah is not allowed to disturb the lām-alif ligature V.

Mork in Pross

Motk in Progress

## Appendix B.

## Usage and style

#### B.1. "There is a ..." sentences.

In English the plain existence of an indefinite subject is expressed using the word "there". For example:

- i. "There is a gloom in the house"
- "There is a type of anger which is liked and [there is] a type of anger which is disliked.
- iii. "There are reasons."
- iv. "There is a god."
- v. "Is there food?"
- vi. "Yes, there is food"

The word "there" in these examples does not indicate a specific place. Rather it signifies the existence of the subject of the sentence. This use of "there" is called the *existential* "there".

Expressing such sentences in Arabic can sometimes be tricky. There is a modern tendency to use the ﴿أَلُهُ and the majhūl verb يُوجَدُ So one might find:

- i. هُنَاكَ حَزَنٌ فِي ٱلْبَيتِ.
   يُوجَدُ حَزَنٌ فِي ٱلْبَيتِ.
- ii. هناك غضب يستحب وهناك غصب يكره. or يوجد غضب يستحب ويوجد غصب يكره.
- or هُناك أسباب. .iii تُوحَدُ أسباب.
- or هناك إله. .iv
  - يوجد إله.
- or هَل هناك طعام؟ .v

هل پوجد طعام؟

or نَعَمْ هناك طعام. نَعَمْ يوجِد طعام.

Sometimes in place of هُنَاكَ, its synonym, ثَمَّةُ is used. These usages of ثُمَّةُ, and يُوجَدُ are foreign to Arabic and should generally be avoided.

In Classical Arabic, expressing such sentences falls under the category of sentences with indefinite subjects. We have discussed this topic in chapter @ref(chap-indef-subjects).

There are various strategies for expressing such sentences:

If, for example, there is a jārr wa-majrūr, or other shibh jumlah then it can readily be used as a khabar that precedes the mubtada'. For example:

```
فِي ٱلْبَيتِ حَزَنٌ.
"In the house is gloom."
```

Sometimes, a jārr wa-majrūr, or other shibh jumlah is not original, but can readily be manufactured. For example, in the sentence, "There are reasons." the reasons must be for something, and that something can be used as a khabar:

```
لِلْوَضْعِ أَسْبَابٌ.
"For the situation, are reasons."
```

Similarly, a introductory sentence or shibh jumlah can be manufactured to pave the way for the main sentence. For example:

```
الغَضَبُ غَضَبَان: غَضَبٌ مُسْتَحَبُّ وَغَضَبٌ مَكْرُوهٌ.
```

"Anger is (actually) two angers: an anger that is liked, and an anger that is disliked."

```
مَنَ ٱلْغَضَبِ مَا يُسْتَحَبُّ وَمَا يُكْرَهُ.
```

"From anger is that which is liked, that which is disliked."

Sometimes it hard to come up with any of the above solutions, as in the sentence: "There is a god." Such sentences, if they are able to be converted to an interjection, may be expressed with the subject itself as a one word sentence:

```
إِلَـٰهُ!
"[There is] a god!"
```

This solution should only be considered if the sentence makes sense as an interjection, and can not be used as a blanket solution. For example, in the exchange:

```
"Is there food?"
"Yes, there is food."
```

One way to express this in Arabic is:

```
هَلْ مِنْ طَعَامٍ؟
نَعَمْ، عِنْدَنَا طَعَامٌ.
```

English also uses the word "there" with this existential meaning for sentences like:

```
i. "There was a king."
```

ii. "There is no hope."

These sentences can be expressed in Arabuc without indefinite subjects. For example:

- i. كَانَ مَلِكُ. This uses the *self-sufficient* كَانَ. (See section @ref(self-sufficient-kaana).)
- ii. لَا أَمْلَ. This uses the nāfiyah lil-jins لَا (See section @ref(la-nafiyah-lil-jins).)

#### يُوجَدُ and هُنَاكَ and يُوجَدُ

If, of course, a place is intended by "there" then there is no problem using هُنَاكَ or its synonyms. For example:

```
أَثَمَّ زَيْدٌ؟
"Is Zavd there?"
```

Similarly, يُوجَدُ may be used with no problem if the meaning "is (to be) found" is intended. For example:

من قتل معاهدا لم يرح رائحة الجنة، وإن ريحها توجد من مسيرة أربعين عاماً

# B.1.2. Technical and scientific use of يوجَد

Our above directive to avoid the use of  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  to mean "there is" holds for normal sentences. Sometimes, however, a more technical meaning of "exists" is intended, especially in the language of science. In this case,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and its ism mafeūl  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  may be used when needing to discuss the existence of something in a scientific text. But such usage should be restricted to its domain, and should not, ideally, spill over to normal sentences, where a simple "there is" is intended.

While this concession can be granted to يوجد, we find no such justifying circumstance for using هناك existentially.

### B.2. Pronoun of separation after question nouns

In later writing we find the following forms:

```
مَنْ هِيَ زَيْنَب؟
Who is Zaynab?
مَا هُوَ ٱلْإِسْلَامُ؟
What is Islām?
لِمَاذَا هُوَ حَزِينٌ؟
Why is he sad?
```

The insertion of the pronoun of separation (هِيَ ,هُوَ, etc.) after question nouns is best avoided. So it is better to say:

مَنْ زَيْنَب؟ Who is Zaynab?

مَا ٱلْإِسْلَامُ؟ What is Islām?

As for "why" sentences, Arabic has a native expression using  $\bigcup$   $\iota$  followed by a noun or pronoun in the i-state and then an adjective in the a-state. For example:

مَا لَهُ حَزِينًا؟ Why is he sad?

Another option, that is sometimes possible, is to convert the "why" question into a "what" question, thus:

مَا أَحْزَنَهُ

Why is he sad? (literally: What has made him sad?)

## B.3. "What happened to ..."

When asking the question, "What happened to a person/thing?" the verbs فَعَلَ and مَسْنَعَ are used and the person or thing asked about is made the doer. For example:

يَا أَبَا عُمَيْرٍ مَا فَعَلَ النُّغَيْرُ What happened to al-nughayr bukhari:6129

ما فعل أصحابك What happened to your companions? riyadussalihin:30

مَا فَعَلَتِ الرَّيْطَةُ What happened to the thin cloak? ibnmajah:3603

فَيَسْأَلُونَهُ مَاذَا فَعَلَ فُلاَنٌ مَاذَا فَعَلَ فُلاَنٌ So they ask him: What happened to so-and-so? What happened to so-and-so? nasai:1833

#### B.4. about

The word "about" is often indiscriminately translated as عَنْ . عَنْ is to be used, but only in specific circumstances. For example, with the verb سَأَل .

سَأَلَهُ عَنْ أَمْرٍ.

He asked him about a matter.

361

We have also found عَنْ in the narration:

مَا هَذَا الَّذِي يَذْكُرُونَ عَنِ الْحَسَنِ abudawud:4621

Usually, though, the preposition في should be used instead. For example:

#### B.5. "What about ..."

"when asking "What about someone/something?" مَاذَا عَنْ .مَا نَالُ Instead use

For example:

حَتَّى إِذَا فَرَغَ مَشَيْتُ، فَقُلْتُ مَا بَالُ الْعَظْمِ وَالرَّوْثَةِ bukhari:3860

إِذَا تَوَاجَهَ الْمُسْلِمَانِ بِسَيْفَيْهِمَا فَكِلاَهُمَا مِنْ أَهْلِ النَّارِ ". قِيلَ فَهَذَا الْقَاتِلُ، فَمَا بَالُ الْمَقْتُول bukhari:7083

عَنْ عَائِشَةَ، أَنَّهَا قَالَتْ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ مَا الشَّيْءُ الَّذِي لاَ يَحِلُّ مَنْعُهُ قَالَ الْمَاءُ وَالْمِلْحُ وَالنَّارُ " . قَالَتْ \_ قُلْتُ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ هَذَا الْمَاءُ قَدْ عَرَفْنَاهُ فَمَا بَالُ الْمِلْحِ وَالنَّار ibnmajah:2474

When the question "What about ...?" is asked as a follow-up to a previous statement then the asked about person/thing can be asked by itself in a single word. For example:

عَنِ النَّبِيِّ صلى الله عليه وسلم أنَّهُ نَهَى أَنْ يَشْرَبَ الرَّجُلُ قَائِمًا . قَتَادَةُ فَقُلْنَا فَالأَكُلُ فَقَالَ ذَاكَ what about eating?" muslim:2024b" أَشَرُّ أَوْ أَخْبَثُة

قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّه صلى الله عليه وسلم للْمُتَلاَعنَيْن " حسَايُكُمًا عَلَى اللَّه أَحَدُكُمَا كَاذبٌ لاَ سَبيلَ لَكَ عُلَيْهَا ". قَالَ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ مَالِي "What about my wealth?" abudawud:2257

Moth in Probless