

Now if  $|G| = p^n$ , then note that since

$$|G| = |G : C(x)| \cdot |C(x)|,$$

the terms  $|G : C(x)|$  must all be divisible by  $p$ . So we have; from the class equation:

$$p^n = |C(G)| + \text{divisible by } p$$

$\Rightarrow |C(G)|$  is divisible by  $p$ , so it's nontrivial.

So now when we take  $G/C(G)$ , we get another  $p$ -group (again its order is  $p^m$  for some  $m$ ). If  $m > 0$  then its centre is nontrivial again, giving a ~~nontrivial~~<sup>proper</sup>  $C_2(G) \subset G$ . Then  $G/C_2(G)$  is also a  $p$ -group. if it's trivial, stop, because that means  $G = C_2(G)$  is nilpotent. Otherwise  $C(G/C_2(G))$  is nontrivial, and we get a proper  $C_3(G) \subset G \dots$

because  $G_2$  is finite, this process must terminate, so eventually  $C_k(G) = G$  and  $G$  is nilpotent

Theorem: If  $G$  and  $H$  are nilpotent then so is  $G \times H$ .

Proof: First we note that

$$C(G \times H) = C(G) \times C(H) \quad (\text{this is an easy check})$$

We want to show by induction that  
 $C_i(G \times H) = C_i(G) \times C_i(H)$ , so with the base case  
done we assume  $C_{i-1}(G \times H) = C_{i-1}(G) \times C_{i-1}(H)$  and  
proceed as follows:

First we check that the quotient map  $\frac{G \times H}{C_{i-1}(G \times H)} \rightarrow G \times H$

is the composition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times H & \xrightarrow{\pi = (\pi_G, \pi_H)} & G/C_{i-1}(G) \times H/C_{i-1}(H) \\ (g, h) \longmapsto (g C_{i-1}(G), h C_{i-1}(H)) \longmapsto ((g, h)_N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{G \times H}{\underbrace{C_{i-1}(G) \times C_{i-1}(H)}_N} \\ & & = \frac{G \times H}{\underbrace{C_{i-1}(G \times H)}_N} \end{array}$$

So then

$$\begin{aligned} C_i(G \times H) &= \pi^{-1} \psi^{-1} \left( C \left( \frac{G \times H}{C_{i-1}(G \times H)} \right) \right) \\ &= \pi^{-1} \left( C \left( \frac{G/C_{i-1}(G) \times H/C_{i-1}(H)}{C_{i-1}(H)} \right) \right) \quad \text{check that } \psi^{-1} \text{ works this way} \\ &= \pi^{-1} \left( C \left( \frac{G/C_{i-1}(G)}{} \right) \times C \left( \frac{H/C_{i-1}(H)}{} \right) \right) \\ &= \pi_G^{-1} \left( C \left( \frac{G/C_{i-1}(G)}{} \right) \right) \times \pi_H^{-1} \left( C \left( \frac{H/C_{i-1}(H)}{} \right) \right) \\ &= C_i(G) \times C_i(H). \end{aligned}$$

So, by induction our claim holds. Now choose  $n$

large enough that  $C_n(G) = G$  and  $C_n(H) = H$ . Then  
 $C_n(G \times H) = C_n(G) \times C_n(H) = G \times H$ , so  $G \times H$  is nilpotent.

Surprisingly, we can characterize finite nilpotent groups in terms of their Sylow subgroups. Using the previous theorem is key.

Theorem: A finite group is nilpotent if and only if it is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

For this proof, we need a lemma:

Lemma: Suppose  $G$  is nilpotent and  $H \subseteq G$  is a proper subgroup. The normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$  is

$$N_G(H) = \{x \in G \mid xHx^{-1} = H\}$$

be it is "the largest subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  is normal in  $K$ ". Obviously  $H \subseteq N_G(H)$ .

Then  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $N_G(H)$ .

Proof of Lemma:

Begin with  $C_0(G) = \{e\}$ , then  $C_1(G) = C(G), \dots$  etc. Let  $n$  be the largest integer such that  $C_n(G) \subseteq H$ , such an  $n$  exists since  $H$  is a proper subgroup and  $\exists n$  st.  $C_n(G) = G$  by nilpotency.

Now choose  $a \in C_{n+1}(G) \setminus H$ . Now since  $a \in C_{n+1}(G)$ ,  $aC_n(G)$  is in the centre of  $G/C_n(G)$ .

Writing  $C_n$  in place of  $C_n(G)$ , we then have

for all  $h \in H$ :

$haC_n = (hC_n)(aC_n) = (aC_n)(hC_n) = ahC_n$ . Thus  
 $ha = ah' h'$  for some  $h' \in C_n \subseteq H$ . Thus  $a^{-1}ha = hh'$   
for every  $h \in H$ , meaning  $a^{-1}Ha = H$ . Thus  $a \in N_G(H)$   
but  $a \notin H$ , so  $H \subset N_G(H)$  is proper.

=

Proof of Theorem:

First note that if  $G$  is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups, then it is nilpotent. This is because for every prime  $p$  with  $p^n \mid |G|$ , the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is of order  $p^n$ , hence nilpotent, and therefore the product of such groups is also nilpotent.

Now we prove the converse. Suppose  $G$  is nilpotent and finite, and  $P \subset G$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $P = G$  we're done. If  $P \subset G$  is proper, then  $P$  is also a proper subgroup of  $N_G(P)$ , by our lemma. For Sylow  $p$ -subgroups it is not hard to check that  ~~$N_G(N_G(P)) = N_G(P)$~~ , which by our lemma actually forces  $N_G(P) = G$ . Thus  $P$  is normal in  $G$ , and is therefore the unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

for this particular prime  $p$ .

So, suppose  $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$  and for each  $p_i$  let  $P_i$  be the corresponding unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup with  $|P_i| = p_i^{n_i}$ . Then  $P_i \cap P_j = \{e\}$  for all  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$  since  $|P_i|$  and  $|P_j|$  have no common factors. Thus  $\forall x \in P_i$  and  $y \in P_j$ ,  $xy = yx$ .

Therefore if we consider an element of  $P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k$ , we see that its order must divide  $p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} p_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$ .

(Since  $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_k \in P_1 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k$  implies

$(a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_k)^l = a_1^l \cdots a_{i-1}^l a_{i+1}^l \cdots a_k^l$ , so if this were the identity then  $l$  would divide  $p_j^{n_j}$ , for  $j \neq i$ ).

Therefore  $P_i \cap (P_1 \cdots P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \cdots P_k) = \{e\}$  since their orders share no common factor and

$P_1 P_2 \cdots P_k = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k$  since every element is uniquely written as  $\prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{m_i}$  for some  $a_i \in P_i$  and  $m_i \mid p_i^{n_i}$ .

Now since  $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k} = |P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k|$   
 $= |P_1 \cdots P_k|$  and

$P_1 \cdots P_k \subset G$ , we must have

$$G = P_1 \cdots P_k \cong P_1 \times \cdots \times P_k.$$



42

We can produce a new sequence of normal subgroups of a group  $G$ , allowing for another useful decomposition of  $G$  as follows.

Definition: If  $a, b \in G$ , the element  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  is called a commutator and is denoted  $[a, b]$ . The subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\{[a, b] \mid a, b \in G\}$  is denoted  $G'$  and is called the commutator subgroup.

- 
- Remarks:
- $[a, b] \in G$  can be thought of as "how far  $a, b$  are from commuting"
  - $G' = \{e\}$  if and only if  $G$  is abelian, so  $G'$  can be thought of as a measure of how far  $G$  is from being abelian.

Theorem: Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $G' \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G/G'$  is abelian. Moreover, if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is any other normal subgroup, then  $G/N$  is abelian if and only if  $N \supseteq G'$ .

Proof: First, we prove  $G'$  is normal. To see this, let

$$S = \{aba'b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

denote the generating set of  $G'$ .

Now given  $g \in G$ , consider  $gS\bar{g}$ :

$$gS\bar{g} = \{ gab\bar{a}b\bar{b}\bar{g} \mid a, b \in G \}$$

$$= \{(gag^{-1})(gb\bar{g})(gag^{-1})^{-1}(gb\bar{g})^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

$$= \{ ab\bar{a}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G \} \quad \xleftarrow{?} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{since } a \mapsto gag^{-1} \text{ is} \\ \text{a bijection } G \rightarrow G \end{matrix}$$

$$= S.$$

Thus since  $G' = \langle S \rangle$  and  $gS\bar{g} = S$  we know that

$$gG'\bar{g} = \langle gS\bar{g} \rangle = \langle S \rangle = G', \text{ so } G' \text{ is normal.}$$

To see that  $G/G'$  is abelian, let  $aG', bG' \in G/G'$  be given. Then  $ab\bar{a}b'G' = G' \Rightarrow (abG')(a\bar{b}b'G') = G'$ , so

$$(aG')(bG')(a'G')(b'G') = G'$$

$$\Rightarrow (aG')(bG') = (bG')(aG').$$

Last, let  $N \subset G$  be normal and suppose  $G/N$  is abelian. Then  $abN = baN$  for all  $a, b \in G$

$$\Rightarrow aba'b'N = N, \text{ so } aba'b' \in N \quad \forall a, b \in G,$$

so  $S \subset N$  and thus  $G' = \langle S \rangle \subset N$ . On the other hand if  $G' \subset N$  it is easy to see that  $G/N$  is abelian, because there is an onto homomorphism:

$$G/G' \longrightarrow G/N.$$

Definition: Given a group  $G$ , set  $G^{(1)} = G'$ , and in general for  $i \geq 2$  set  $G^{(i)} = (G^{(i-1)})'$ . The group  $G^{(i)}$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  derived subgroup of  $G$  and the sequence of subgroups  $G \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \dots$  is called the derived series of  $G$ .

Definition: If  $\exists n$  such that  $G^{(n)} = \{\text{id}\}$  then  $G$  is called solvable.

Proposition: Nilpotent groups are solvable.

Proof: By definition of  $C_i(G)$ , we can compute:

The quotient homomorphism  $q_i : G \rightarrow G/C_{i-1}(G)$

gives, upon restriction to  $C_i(G)$  an onto homomorphism  $h : C_i(G) \rightarrow C\left(G/C_{i-1}(G)\right)$ . The kernel of  $h$  is

exactly  $C_{i-1}(G)$  because  $h$  is the restriction of the quotient  $q_i$ , so the first isomorphism theorem gives

$C_i(G)/C_{i-1}(G) \cong C\left(G/C_{i-1}(G)\right)$ , which is abelian.

Thus  $C_i(G)' \subset C_{i-1}(G)$  for all  $i > 1$ , and  $C_1(G)'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= C(G)' \\ &= \{e\}, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C(G)$  is abelian.

Now because  $G$  is nilpotent,  $\exists n$  such that  $G = C_n(G)$ .

Thus  $C\left(\frac{G}{C_{n-1}(G)}\right) = \frac{C_n(G)}{C_{n-1}(G)} = \frac{G}{C_{n-1}(G)}$  and we

conclude that  $G/C_{n-1}(G)$  is abelian; therefore

$G' \subset C_{n-1}(G)$ . Then we find

$G^{(2)} = (G^{(1)})' \subset C_{n-1}(G)' \subset C_{n-2}(G)$ , and therefore

$G^{(3)} = (G^{(2)})' \subset C_{n-2}(G)' \subset C_{n-3}(G)$ , etc, and in

the end we get  $G^{(n)} \subset C_{n-n}(G) = C_0(G) = \{e\}$ ,  
so that  $G$  is solvable.

As with nilpotent groups, solvable groups behave well  
with respect to quotients and subgroups.

46

Theorem: Suppose  $G$  is a solvable group.

- (i) Every subgroup of  $G$  is solvable.
- (ii) Every quotient of  $G$  is solvable.
- (iii) If  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and both  $N$  and  $G/N$  are solvable, then so is  $G$ .

Proofs: (i) Suppose  $f: G \rightarrow H$  is an onto homomorphism. Then it is not hard to verify that  $f(G^{(n)}) = H^{(n)}$ , since every homomorphism maps commutators to commutators:

$$f(ab\bar{a}'\bar{b}') = f(a)f(b)f(a)^{-1}f(b)^{-1}.$$

Thus if  $G^{(n)} = \{\text{id}\}$  then  $H^{(n)} = f(\{\text{id}\}) = \{\text{id}\}$ , so  $H$  is solvable. The proof of (ii) is similar.

To prove (iii), note that if  $G/N$  is solvable then the quotient  $q: G \rightarrow G/N$  gives

$$q(G^{(n)}) = (G/N)^{(n)} = \{\text{id}\} \text{ for some } n \geq 1,$$

meaning  $G^{(n)} \subseteq \ker q = N$ . But  $N$  is assumed solvable, so  $G^{(n)} \subset N$  implies  $G^{(n)}$  is solvable. Thus  $(G^{(n)})^{(k)} = G^{(n+k)}$  is the identity for some  $k$ . Thus  $G$  is solvable.

Example: The alternating groups  $A_n \subset S_n$  for  $n \geq 5$  are simple. They're also nonabelian, so  $A_n$  for  $n \geq 5$  is not solvable.

$\Rightarrow S_n$  is not solvable for  $n \geq 5$ .

77

Solvability is a powerful restriction on groups. It allows for (for example) the strengthening of many existing structure theorems if we restrict our attention to solvable groups only. Here is a strengthening of Sylow's theorems:

Theorem (Hall). Let  $G$  be a solvable group of order  $mn$ , with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then:

- (i)  $G$  contains a subgroup of order  $m$
- (ii) Any two subgroups of  $G$  of order  $m$  are conjugate
- (iii) Any subgroup of  $G$  of order  $k$  where  $k \mid m$  is contained in a subgroup of order  $m$ .

We will not prove this generalization, but simply mention it to highlight the strength of the solvability condition.

### § 2.8 Normal and Subnormal series.

Our goal here is to repeat a portion of material from Algebra 2 in a more general setting, culminating in a proof of the Jordan-Hölder theorem.

In Algebra 2, you saw this theorem in the special case of finite groups — where the proof is much simpler. The core ideas here are significantly harder (and carry the names of famous mathematicians as a result).

Definition: A subnormal series of a group  $G$  is a chain of subgroups

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$$

such that  $G_{k+1}$  is normal in  $G_k$  for all  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

The factors of the series are the quotients  $G_k/G_{k+1}$ , and the length of the series is the number of nontrivial factors. A subnormal series in which each  $G_i$  is additionally normal in  $G$  is called normal.

Examples: The derived series

$$G > G^{(1)} > \dots > G^{(n)}$$

is a normal series (fact that needs checking:  $G^{(1)} \trianglelefteq G$ )

The ascending central series

$$G \leq C_n(G) > C_{n-1}(G) > \dots > C_1(G)$$

is a normal series if  $G$  is nilpotent. If  $G$  is not nilpotent then it can fail to be even a subnormal series.

Definition Let  $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$  be a subnormal series. A one-step-refinement of this series is

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_i > N > G_{i+1} > \dots > G_n$$

where  $N \triangleleft G_i$  and  $G_{i+1} \trianglelefteq N$ , or

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n > N$$

where  $N \trianglelefteq G_n$ .

A refinement of a subnormal series  $S$  is any subnormal series obtained from  $S$  by a finite number of one-step refinements. A refinement is proper if its length is greater than the length of the initial series.

Definition: A subnormal series  $G = G_0 > \dots > G_n = \langle \text{id} \rangle$  is a composition series if each factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is simple. A subnormal series  $G = G_0 > \dots > G_n = \langle \text{id} \rangle$  is a solvable series if each factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is abelian..